

1 **Nocturnal Temperature controlled Laminar Airflow for**  
2 **treating atopic asthma: a randomised controlled trial**

3 **Robert J Boyle, clinical senior lecturer,<sup>1</sup> Christophe Pedroletti, consultant,<sup>2</sup>**  
4 **Magnus Wickman, professor,<sup>3</sup> Leif Bjermer, professor,<sup>4</sup> Erkkka Valovirta,**  
5 **professor,<sup>5</sup> Ronald Dahl, professor,<sup>6</sup> Andrea Von Berg, professor,<sup>7</sup> Olof**  
6 **Zetterström, professor,<sup>8</sup> John O Warner, professor,<sup>1</sup> for the 4A Study Group**

7 <sup>1</sup>Department of Paediatrics, Imperial College London, United Kingdom, <sup>2</sup>Department  
8 of Woman and Child Health, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden, <sup>3</sup>Institute of  
9 Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet and Sachs' Children's Hospital,  
10 Stockholm, Sweden, <sup>4</sup>Department of Respiratory Medicine and Allergology, Lund  
11 University Hospital, Sweden, <sup>5</sup>Terveystalo, Finland, <sup>6</sup>Department of Respiratory  
12 Diseases, Aarhus University Hospital, Denmark, <sup>7</sup>Research Institute for Prevention of  
13 Childrens' Allergy and Respiratory Diseases, Marien-Hospital, Wesel, Germany,  
14 <sup>8</sup>Allergy Centre, University Hospital of Linköping, Sweden.

15

16 Address for Correspondence:

17 John O Warner

18 Professor of Paediatrics

19 Imperial College London

20 Wright Fleming Building

21 Norfolk Place

22 London W2 1PG

23 Tel: +44 207 594 3990 Fax: +44 207 594 3984 Email: [j.o.warner@imperial.ac.uk](mailto:j.o.warner@imperial.ac.uk)

## 24 ***METHODS***

### 25 **Mode of action of Protexo**

26 At night airborne particles are carried by a persistent convection current established  
27 by the warm body, transporting allergens from the bedding area to the breathing  
28 zone. The TLA device Protexo is designed to displace the body convection which  
29 leads to persistent exposure to particles and allergen in bed. Ambient room air is  
30 filtered, cooled by 0.5-0.8°C and distributed to the breathing zone by Protexo – the  
31 reduced temperature allows the filtered air to descend slowly in a steady laminar  
32 stream, displacing particulate and allergen rich air from the breathing zone (fig S4).  
33 The method is able to break body convection without creating draught or  
34 dehydration, and thereby reduces and controls particle and aeroallergen exposure in  
35 the breathing zone (1). A recent study demonstrated >30-fold reduction of cat  
36 allergen in the breathing zone with TLA compared to no treatment, and >3000-fold  
37 reduction in all particles  $\geq 0.5\mu\text{m}$  (>3700-fold reduction in particles  $\geq 10\mu\text{m}$ )<sup>1</sup>.

### 38 **Airborne particle count measurements**

39 Home visits for clean zone validation according to EN-ISO14644-3:2005 standard  
40 were performed by technicians at device installation, 3, 6 and 12 months follow-up.  
41 Airborne particle count measurements were made using GT-321 Handheld Particle  
42 Counters (Met One Instruments Inc, USA).

### 43 **Dust allergen collection and analysis**

44 Three months after device installation a vacuumed dust sample was collected from  
45 participants' beds as previously described<sup>2</sup>. Briefly, mattresses with undersheets left

46 on were vacuumed for 2 minutes using a vacuum cleaner with sampling nozzle  
47 (ALK, Hørsholm, Denmark) according to a standard protocol. Protein was extracted  
48 from 100mg dust in 2ml phosphate buffered saline with 0.05% Tween-20 for 2 h at  
49 room temperature with rotation. Samples were centrifuged at 4500 rpm for 10 min  
50 then 10,000 rpm for 10 min and supernatants stored at -20°C. Allergen levels were  
51 determined using a sandwich ELISA kit for cat (Fel d 1) and dust mite (Der f 1 and  
52 Der p 1) allergens according to the manufacturer's instructions (Indoor  
53 Biotechnologies, Warminster, UK). Allergen concentrations were expressed as ng/g  
54 dust with a detection limit of <50 ng/g.

55

## 55 **RESULTS**

### 56 **Treatment compliance and efficacy of blinding**

57 In the active group, 136/166 (72%) participants who completed the whole study used  
58 their device on at least 80% of expected nights. In the placebo group this figure was  
59 66/79 (71%). At the end of the study 165 patients answered the question which  
60 treatment they believed they received, to assess efficacy of masking. In the active  
61 group 52/105 (50%) believed they had received an active device; in the placebo  
62 group 35/60 (58%) believed they had received a placebo device.

### 63 **Aeroallergen exposure and relationship with specific IgE levels**

64 The median particle count (particles $\geq$ 0.5 $\mu$ m diameter) in patients' bedrooms at  
65 device validation visits (installation, 3, 6 and 12 months) was 103,804 particles/ft<sup>3</sup>  
66 (IQR 56,880 to 193,840; n=1064 measurements). Median counts in the breathing  
67 zone a few minutes after turning the device on were 720 particles/ft<sup>3</sup> (IQR 306 to  
68 1,485) for TLA and 117,047 (68,197 to 215,921) for placebo. In view of the finding of  
69 lesser increase in cat-specific IgE in active versus placebo treated patients in this  
70 study, we also analysed dust samples aspirated from the mattresses of 132  
71 participants (87 active, 45 placebo) at 3 months. Allergen detection rates are shown  
72 in table S4 – Der p 1, Der f 1 and Fel d 1 were detected in 20%, 40% and 67% of  
73 mattress dust samples respectively, and there was no significant difference in  
74 detection rates between active and placebo treated patients. Among sensitized  
75 participants, allergen-specific IgE levels were positively correlated with mattress dust

76 allergen levels for cat ( $r=0.36$ ,  $P=0.004$ ), and house dust mite allergens Der f 1  
77 ( $r=0.37$ ,  $P=0.001$ ) and Der p 1 ( $r = 0.57$ ,  $P<0.001$ ; fig S5 A-C).

## 78 **Adverse Events**

79 Adverse events affecting  $\geq 5\%$  of patients on  $\geq 1$  occasion were upper respiratory  
80 tract infection (ICD-9 code 480-488) in 117 (61.9%) participants in active and 62  
81 (66.7%) in placebo group; upper respiratory tract symptoms (ICD-9 code 490-496) in  
82 54 (28.6%) in active and 22 (23.7%) in placebo group; general symptoms (ICD-9  
83 code 780-789) in 43 (22.8%) in active and 19 (20.4%) in placebo group.

84 **REFERENCES**

- 85 1. Gore RB, Boyle RJ, Hanna H et al. Effect of a novel Temperature controlled  
86 Laminar Airflow device on personal breathing zone aeroallergen exposure. (*under*  
87 *review*).
- 88 2. Schram D, Doekes G, Boeve M et al. Bacterial and fungal components in house  
89 dust of farm children, Rudolf Steiner school children and reference children--the  
90 PARSIFAL Study. *Allergy* 2005;60(5):611-8.

**Table S4.** Level of asthma medication use during TLA treatment

	Baseline Active	Baseline Placebo	3-12 months Active	3-12 months Placebo	Difference in medication*	P value
Inhaled corticosteroids	0.72 (0.46)	0.77 (0.47)	0.74 (0.53)	0.77 (0.49)	0.03 (0.04)	0.38
Short acting $\beta$ -2 agonist	0.20 (0.40)	0.22 (0.39)	0.19 (0.25)	0.22 (0.41)	0.02 (0.02)	0.39
Long acting $\beta$ -2 agonist	0.51 (0.51)	0.53 (0.48)	0.51 (0.48)	0.55 (0.47)	-0.01 (0.03)	0.77
Leukotriene receptor antagonist	0.29 (0.46)	0.24 (0.41)	0.31 (0.53)	0.28 (0.43)	-0.00 (0.02)	0.88

All medication doses are expressed as mean (sd) proportion of the 'Defined Daily Dose', according to World Health Organisation Drugs Statistics Methodology guidelines. \* Difference = mean (SE) of [(Active during 3-12 months) – (Active at baseline)] – [(Placebo during 3-12 months) – (Placebo at baseline)]. During the whole study period, systemic corticosteroids for  $\geq 3$  days were administered on  $\geq 1$  occasion to 25/189 (13.2%) patients in active and 12/93 (12.9%) patients in placebo group (P=0.94), and the mean (sd) number of systemic corticosteroid courses administered per patient was 0.17 (0.53) in active and 0.24 (0.83) in placebo group (P=0.50).

**Table S5.** Allergen detection in mattress dust samples

	<b>Active</b>	<b>Placebo</b>	<b>P value</b>	<b>Total</b>
Der p 1	18/87 (21%)	8/45 (18%)	0.69	26/132 (20%)
Der f 1	36/87 (41%)	17/45 (38%)	0.69	53/132 (40%)
Fel d 1	57/87 (66%)	32/45 (71%)	0.52	89/132 (67%)

Data shown are the number (%) of mattress samples with detectable levels of house dust mite (Der p 1, Der f 1) or cat (Fel d 1) major allergens. Mattress dust samples were taken at 3 months from study participant bedrooms at UK and some Swedish sites. Detection limit for all allergens = 50ng/g mattress dust. P values are calculated using chi-squared test.



**FIGURE LEGENDS**

**Fig S4.** Temperature controlled Laminar Airflow Device - the device draws in ambient air, filters and cools it by 0.5-0.8°C, then distributes it to the breathing zone of a recumbent patient.

**Fig S5.** Relationship between mattress dust allergen levels and specific IgE levels to the same allergens in study patients. The data show the relationship between  $\log_{10}$  allergen levels as ng/g of mattress dust in samples taken at 3 months (x axis) and  $\log_{10}$  specific IgE levels in serum samples taken at baseline for dust mite allergens Der p 1 (A), Der f 1 (B) and cat allergen Fel d 1 (C). Among sensitized participants, allergen-specific IgE levels were positively correlated with mattress dust allergen levels for Der p 1 ( $r = 0.57$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ), Der f 1 ( $r = 0.37$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ) and Fel d 1 ( $r = 0.36$ ,  $P = 0.004$ ).

**Fig S6.** Mean  $\pm$  SEM change in AQLQ during treatment in TLA (blue) and Placebo (red) groups. Proportion (%) of participants in TLA and Placebo groups who completed the study and had a significant treatment response at different timepoints during the study is also shown. Significant treatment response was defined as an improvement in AQLQ  $\geq 0.5$  points from the time of randomisation.