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ABSTRACT
Pulmonary metastasectomy is a commonly performed operation and is tending to increase as part of a concept of personalised treatment for advanced cancer. There have been no randomised trials; belief in effectiveness of metastasectomy is based on registry data and surgical follow-up studies. These retrospective series are comprised predominantly of solitary or few metastases with primary resection to metastasectomy intervals longer than 2–3 years. Five-year survival rates of 30–50% are recorded, but as case selection is based on favourable prognostic features, an apparent association between metastasectomy and survival cannot be interpreted as causation. Cancers for which lung metastasectomy is used are considered in four pathological groups. In non-seminomatous germ cell tumour, for which chemotherapy is highly effective, excision of residual pulmonary disease guides future treatment and in particular allows an informed decisions as to further chemotherapy. Sarcoma metastasises predominantly to lung and pulmonary metastasectomy for both bone and soft tissues sarcoma is routinely considered as a treatment option but without randomised data. The commonest circumstance for lung and liver metastasectomy is colorectal cancer. Repeated resections and ablations are commonplace but without evidence of effectiveness for either. For melanoma, results are particularly poor, but lung metastases are resected when no other treatment options are available. In this review, the available evidence is considered and the conclusion reached is that in the absence of randomised trials there is uncertainty about effectiveness. A randomised controlled trial, Pulmonary Metastasectomy in Colorectal Cancer (PulMiCC), is in progress and randomised trials in sarcoma seem warranted.

INTRODUCTION
Apart from resection for lung cancer, metastasectomy is the most frequent major operation undertaken in thoracic surgical departments. Metastasectomy represents 15–50% of the workload in European thoracic surgical departments.

The aim of this paper is to
A. review the nature the evidence used in support of pulmonary metastasectomy
B. reach some conclusion as to when, if at all, pulmonary metastasectomy is justified
C. explore the inherent risks of using observational reports as evidence for effectiveness.

Most patients having lung metastasectomy have had a primary cancer treated, and metastases are detected on routine surveillance, usually with whole body CT scans. Some metastases are discovered in the chest clinic in the course of the investigation of symptoms, or as chance X-ray findings, but most referrals for metastasectomy come from oncologists.

Lung metastases are generally asymtomatic. Occasionally metastases infiltrate the thoracic wall causing pain, contain a large volume of necrotic tumour or cause recurrent haemoptysis or retention pneumonia and are then resected for palliation.

Background from the International Registry of Lung Metastasectomy 1997
After the liver, lung is the next most common site of metastases. An important landmark publication is the 1997 report from the International Registry of Lung Metastasectomy (IRLM) of 5206 patients from Europe and North America.1,2

The authors report the data in four groups based on the primary cancer: germ cell tumour (7%), melanoma (6%), sarcoma (42%) and epithelial tumours (44%). There is a consistent finding in the registry and in institutional reports: irrespective of the cancer type, the fewer the metastases and the longer the interval before their appearance, the longer is survival after metastasectomy. In the registry, 46% of patients had a solitary metastasectomy and in 32% the interval since primary resection was more than 3 years. These are consistently favourable features for survival. The 18% with both features had a median survival of 61 months while for those with neither, median survival was 14 months. There was a wide difference in 5-year survival, depending on the type of cancer: 68% for germ cell, 37% for epithelial cancer, 31% for sarcoma and 21% for melanoma.

In current practice, colorectal cancer (CRC) is the commonest epithelial carcinoma for which pulmonary metastasectomy is advocated. For breast and lung cancer, pulmonary metastasectomy is rarely considered. Gynaecological, urological and upper gastrointestinal cancers appear in mixed series. Thyroid and kidney cancers may have isolated and slow growing metastases, but the number of cases is few so that we will not deal with them individually in this review. The general concerns about the nature of the evidence apply.

OLIGOMETASTATIC DISEASE
In 1995,3 it was proposed that a clinical state of oligometastases existed ‘amenable to a curative therapeutic strategy’. The oligometastatic state is generally limited to five or fewer metastases,4 which may be in more than one organ.1 A review of the subject suggests that this is neither a biological entity nor based on a mathematical analysis of metastatic frequency distributions, but rather that five is sufficiently few to be treated by ablative techniques.3
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ROLE OF TUMOUR MARKERS IN THE SELECTION OF PATIENTS

Human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) and α fetoprotein are used in non-seminomatous germ cell tumour (NSGCT) in order to detect persistent or recurrent tumour. An elevated carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) of more than 5 μg/L is characteristic of CRC but may also occur in mesothelioma and a number of other tumours. It is raised in 3%, 25%, 45% and 65% of Dukes’ A, B, C and D, respectively. CEA falls after curative resection, and its subsequent elevation is particularly associated with liver metastases. An elevated CEA is associated with poor outcome after pulmonary metastasectomy for patients with CRC, which has led to the paradoxical situation where CEA elevation may trigger investigations that lead to referral for pulmonary metastasectomy while the same blood test is later a reason to decide against metastasectomy. Investigation and surgery triggered by CEA elevation have been shown to not improve survival.

Very large numbers of tumour marker assays are ordered, many inappropriately, at high cost in themselves, and leading to further investigations that may be of no benefit.

GERM CELL TUMOURS

NSGCT are the commonest cancers in young males. NSGCT is highly responsive to chemotherapy, and 90% can be cured. Of those with stage I disease, 8% develop pulmonary metastases. In the IRLM data, the survival graphs for NSGCT show a marked flattening after 2–3 years corresponding to the high probability of cure. After chemotherapy, there may be residual masses in the lungs, which may contain necrotic tissue or residual malignancy. In one-third of cases where there is malignancy, its histology differs from the primary cancer; surgical resection is thus useful in that it helps to guide further treatment with chemotherapy.

Lung metastasectomy for NSGCT was reviewed as part of the European Society of Thoracic Surgeons (ESTS) Lung Metastasectomy Project. The literature was searched from 1998 to 2009, and reports for analysis were those with 50 or more patients, operated on no earlier than 1980. This provided six studies including 740 patients of average age 27 years. Reported 5-year survival was from 73% to 94% following pulmonary metastasectomy.

Multiple metastases and the finding of residual malignancy were associated with progression and death. Finding necrosis with no active disease defines patients who can be confidently spared chemotherapy. If necrosis only is found on one side, the same was found in the contralateral lung in 19 out of 20 (95%) cases (95%; CI 75.13% to 99.87%). This may spare chemotherapy. If necrosis only is found on one side, the same was found in the contralateral lung in 19 out of 20 (95%) cases (95%; CI 75.13% to 99.87%). This may spare chemotherapy. If necrosis only is found on one side, the same was found in the contralateral lung in 19 out of 20 (95%) cases (95%; CI 75.13% to 99.87%). This may spare chemotherapy. If necrosis only is found on one side, the same was found in the contralateral lung in 19 out of 20 (95%) cases (95%; CI 75.13% to 99.87%). This may spare chemotherapy. If necrosis only is found on one side, the same was found in the contralateral lung in 19 out of 20 (95%) cases (95%; CI 75.13% to 99.87%). This may spare chemotherapy.

Investigation and surgery triggered by CEA elevation have been shown to not improve survival.

Very large numbers of tumour marker assays are ordered, many inappropriately, at high cost in themselves, and leading to further investigations that may be of no benefit.

Germ cell tumours are a group of tumours that arise from the germ cells of the testis or ovary. They are divided into two main categories: germinomas and non-germinomas. Germ cell tumours are highly radiosensitive and chemosensitive, making them highly curable. However, they can metastasize to various organs, including the lungs. The presence of lung metastases in germ cell tumours is associated with a poorer prognosis. The role of tumour markers in the selection of patients for metastasectomy is important to consider.

MELANOMA

Lung metastasectomy for melanoma was reviewed as part of the ESTS Lung Metastasectomy Project. Two publications included registry data. There were 6129 patients in 22 years from the John Wayne Cancer Institute (JWCI) in 1971–1993 and 14 057 patients from Duke University 1970–2004, spanning an additional decade. These data allow us to get some numerical perspective on the use of pulmonary metastasectomy in melanoma in the USA. The recorded incidence of at least one pulmonary metastasis was 16% (984/6129) and 12% (1720/14 057) (table 1). Of those with metastases, 11% and 18% had pulmonary metastasectomy, a difference that probably reflects the increased interest in performing metastasectomy during the additional time frame. A subsequent report from JWCI in 45 patients, in whom tumour doubling times had been calculated on plain chest X-rays, showed that shorter doubling times were associated with poorer survival.

Immunotherapy has been proposed to limit microscopic melanoma, leaving macroscopic pulmonary metastases amenable to resection.
The authors of the ESTS review suggested extremely restrictive conditions for metastasectomy in melanoma,19 and the overall summary of the ESTS leaders was that no recommendations could be made on the basis of this evidence.18

COLORECTAL CANCER

There have been about 100 reports of metastasectomy for CRC going back over 50 years but no randomised studies.26 The most comprehensive information on current practice is from a recent Spanish registry report of 543 patients.27 A national registry set up in Spain by Grupo Español de Cirugía Metástasis Pulmonares de Carcinoma Colo-Rectal (GECM-CCR) collected data from 32 Spanish thoracic units on all patients with one or more histologically proven lung metastasis removed by surgery between March 2008 and February 2010. There were 65% men aged 32–88 (mean 65) years. In 55% of patients, there was a solitary metastasis. The median interval between the primary cancer resection and metastasectomy was 28 months and serum CEA was low/normal in the majority. Liver metastases were present in 29% of patients at some point before pulmonary metastasectomy. A comprehensive review of practice since the mid-1960s shows how the case mix has changed relatively little over time.23 The mean age has increased from 60 to 65 years, and the proportion of patients with a solitary metastasis has gone down from 60% to 55%. The proportion of patients who have had a prior liver metastasectomy has however risen markedly.

A recent systematic review and meta-analysis8 has considered outcomes for pulmonary metastasectomy in CRC. Twenty-five studies including a total of 2925 patients are included in this analysis. Overall 5-year survival after complete resection of pulmonary metastases ranged from 27% to 68%. Outlying figures tend to be for smaller series.23 Three prognostic factors were associated with poor survival: (i) a short disease-free interval between primary tumour resection and development of lung metastases (HR 1.59, 95% CI 1.27 to 1.98); (ii) multiple metastases (HR 2.04, 95% CI 1.72 to 2.41); and (iii) elevated pretheracotomy CEA (HR 1.91, 95% CI 1.57 to 2.32). Positive hilar and/or mediastinal lymph nodes (HR 1.65, 95% CI 1.35 to 2.02) were predictive of poorer outcome, whereas a history of resected liver metastases (HR 1.22, 95% CI 0.91 to 1.64) was not significant.8

SHORTCOMINGS OF THE EVIDENCE FOR EFFECTIVENESS OF METASTASECTOMY

The published evidence on pulmonary metastasectomy consists almost entirely of follow-up studies that are inevitably liable to a number of serious biases.28 A limitation in the IRLM data was the absence of a denominator. This weakness is central to much of surgical follow-up: only data on patients who have the completed treatment are retrieved.

The most important limitation is selection bias. Only 2–3% of patients with pulmonary metastasis, that is, 1 in 30–50 patients, have metastasectomy.27 Selected patients have favourable features: solitary or a low number of metastases and long interoperative interval, and in CRCs, non-elevated CEA. These are general prognostic features that will be associated with survival for all treatments and none. There is a common failure in reports of metastasectomy to distinguish between prognostic and predictive characteristics.29 Patients with favourable prognostic factors are likely to survive longer irrespective of treatment.29 Predictive factors are those that discriminate between patients likely and unlikely to benefit from particular treatments.

Negative predictive features include (i) an uncontrolled primary cancer, (ii) metastases at other sites, (iii) inability to achieve microscopically clear margins (R1/2) and (iv) mediastinal lymphatic spread. The first two are regarded by surgeons as reasons for exclusion. Surgeons strive to avoid the third and fourth because the metastasectomy fails in its intent to clear cancer.30 In more recent studies, failure to achieve R0 resection is commonly used to exclude patients from published reports.27 31 32

In addition to these known prognostic and predictive features, patients are selected by successive doctors and multidisciplinary teams on numerous unspecified clinical criteria. The data for multivariate analyses are captured and entered at one point in time, whereas clinicians see patients two, three or more times as they undergo a series of tests. Experienced clinicians are able to identify patients likely to not do well: they know how to pick winners. The flat trajectory of indolent disease is readily separated from the clinical decline and radiological progression of patients with more aggressive malignant disease. This highly effective selection process is not captured in database, registry or follow-up studies.

In the absence of formal control groups, clinicians observe that the outcome for patients who have had metastasectomy is better than those with stage IV cancer. But patients having metastasectomy were generally free of evident metastases at the time of primary resection, and their metastases appeared on average 2–3 years later. They should not be compared solely with patient with metastases from the outset but with survival for patients with a similar stage mix at presentation or at primary surgery.31

There is a commonly made implicit assumption that all patients with metastases have a short prognosis. In a small study from over 30 years ago, 12 patients who had met the criteria for pulmonary metastasectomy, but in whom the operation was not carried out, were identified from the respiratory physicians’ clinical records. Of the 12, 3 were still alive 5 years later (25%; 95% CI 5.49% to 57.19%). The point Åberg made was that ‘it has been assumed, implied or claimed that the 5-year survival without operation is nil’.34 That was not the case. Nor is it the case in cancer registry records of large numbers of patients with metastases from sarcoma11 and CRC.23 33 35

Often response to chemotherapy, or non-progression, is required before metastasectomy, or repeat metastasectomy, is advocated. Among such patients it is not possible to separate the effect of any particular intervention, but they appear in

---

Table 1 Data on pulmonary metastases with melanoma, pulmonary metastasectomy and survival rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Melanoma cases</th>
<th>Pulmonary metastases</th>
<th>Pulmonary metastasectomy</th>
<th>Operation rate</th>
<th>5-year survival</th>
<th>10-year survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JWCI20</td>
<td>1971–1993</td>
<td>6129</td>
<td>984 (16%)</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRLM1</td>
<td>1945–1995</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke21</td>
<td>1970–2004</td>
<td>14 057</td>
<td>1720 (12%)</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>Not clear</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IRLM, International Registry of Lung Metastasectomy; JWCI, John Wayne Cancer Institute.
metastasectomy series as if their survival was attributable to that operation. Being selected for surgery is often conditional on non-progression on chemotherapy. The patient needs to be alive to undergo metastasectomy and this may be the reason for the apparent association rather than because survival is a result of the metastasectomy itself. This is one of various manifestations of Immortal Time Bias.36, 37 It is commonly claimed that second and third metastasectomy operations restore control or ‘reset the oncological clock’. The diminishing denominator is overlooked. Patients still alive after a second or third treatment qualify to be in a cohort of patients having multiple treatments as a result of being alive, rather than being alive as result of the treatment.

CONCLUSIONS

In spite of abundant follow-up studies, the evidence for pulmonary metastasectomy is weak. There are no randomised trials and there is an absence of comparative analysis. The practice is driven by the feeling of a need to ‘do something’, most evident in repeated lung resections for sarcoma in the young.39 An association between survival and metastasectomy may be perceived, but lung metastasectomy fails the Bradford Hill tests of causality.40 Given the heterogeneity of the disease and in its rate of progression, and the multiplicity and repetition of treatments, it is not possible to distinguish the signal from the noise.41 There is sufficient uncertainty about the effectiveness of metastasectomy to fully justify RCTs. By far the commonest indication for metastasectomy is in CRC and so the Pulmonary Metastasectomy in Colorectal Cancer (PulMiCC) trial is confined to that disease and is recruiting at present.

Contributors All authors contributed to the manuscript. FF has worked on the systematic reviews and other studies that underpin this work. MM has been involved in the PulMiCC trial. FM is in the steering committee of PulMiCC. We have shared ideas about the material, and all authors have contributed to consecutive drafts and approved the final draft.

Competing interests None.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 3.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/

REFERENCES