Longitudinal follow-up of postacute COVID-19 syndrome: DL\textsubscript{CO}, quality-of-life and MRI pulmonary gas-exchange abnormalities
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ABSTRACT
129Xe MRI red blood cell to alveolar tissue plasma ratio (RBC:TP) abnormalities have been observed in ever-hospitalised and never-hospitalised people with postacute COVID-19 syndrome (PACS). But, it is not known if such abnormalities resolve when symptoms and quality-of-life scores improve. We evaluated 21 participants with PACS, 7±4 months (baseline) and 14±4 months (follow-up) postinfection. Significantly improved diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (DL\textsubscript{CO}, Δ=14%\( \text{pred} \); 95%CI=7 to 21, \( p<0.001 \), postexertional dyspnoea (Δ=−0.7; 95%CI=−0.2 to −1.2, \( p=0.019 \)), St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire-score (SGRQ Δ=−6; 95%CI=−1 to −11, \( p=0.044 \)) but not RBC:TP (Δ=0.03; 95%CI=0.01 to 0.05, \( p=0.051 \)) were observed at 14 months. DL\textsubscript{CO} correlated with RBC:TP (\( r=0.60, 95\%\text{CI}=0.22 to 0.82, p=0.004 \)) at 7 months. While DL\textsubscript{CO} and SGRQ measurements improved, these values did not normalise 14 months post-infection. ClinicalTrials.gov NCT04584671.

INTRODUCTION
In patients with postacute COVID-19 syndrome (PACS), fatigue, chest pain, brain fog and dyspnoea are common and contribute to poor quality of life (QoL). Recent studies showed that 79%–30%\textsuperscript{1,2} of people with PACS remain symptomatic 1–6 months postinfection. Unfortunately, the underlying mechanisms and pathologies responsible for PACS are not well understood.

Chest CT measurements of abnormal pulmonary vascular blood distribution\textsuperscript{4} and fibrosis\textsuperscript{5} have been reported in patients following recovery from COVID-19 infection. Hyperpolarised 129Xe MRI has also revealed alveolar gas-transfer abnormalities in PACS,\textsuperscript{6,7} including in never-hospitalised people up to 41 weeks postinfection.\textsuperscript{8} However, longitudinal 129Xe MRI measurements have not been reported and previous studies did not have access to pre-COVID-19 imaging to inform on potential mechanisms linking symptoms and gas-exchange abnormalities.\textsuperscript{7,8} Here, we endeavoured to determine whether 129Xe MRI gas-transfer measurements normalised over time in people with PACS and if such changes occurred in concert with improved QoL and DL\textsubscript{CO} measurements.

METHODS
We obtained written-informed consent from participants 18–80 years of age for this prospective study. Participants with a previous positive PCR COVID-19 test and ongoing symptoms were recruited from a local COVID-19 clinic. Study visits were planned for 3±1 months (baseline) and 13±5 months (follow-up) post-COVID-19+ test. Participants underwent 129Xe ventilation MRI, 129Xe gas-transfer MRI, spirometry, diffusing capacity of the lung (DL\textsubscript{CO}) measurement, fraction of exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) measurement, 6 min walk test (6MWT) and the St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ). The 129Xe MRI red blood cell (RBC) to alveolar tissue plasma (TP) ratio was the primary endpoint. SPSS (SPSS Statistics V27.0, IBM) was used for all statistical analyses. Data were tested for normality using Shapiro-Wilk tests and nonparametric tests were performed for non-normally distributed data. Correlations were evaluated using Pearson (\( r \)) and Spearman (\( \rho \)) correlations. Pearson and Spearman correlations at baseline and follow-up were compared using the Fisher’s z-score. Repeated measures were tested using paired t-tests. Results were considered statistically significant when the probability of making a type I error was <5% (\( p<0.05 \)). Detailed methods are provided in online supplemental file 1. Baseline results were previously reported.\textsuperscript{8}

RESULTS
At baseline, we enrolled 34 participants\textsuperscript{8} and 21 of these (7 female, age=56±15 years) returned for follow-up. For these 21 participants with PACS, the baseline visit occurred 7±4 months post-COVID-19 infection with positive tests occurring during the period March 2020 to April 2021, which was prior to the population-based vaccination initiatives in our local area. The follow-up visit occurred 14±4 months post-COVID-19 infection. Participant demographics are detailed in online supplemental e-Table 1. Nine of these participants were hospitalised due to COVID-19 infection, and one required intubation during a 4-week intensive care unit admission. Five participants were diagnosed with pulmonary embolism (via CT angiogram) during their COVID-19 infectious period. Medications are summarised in online supplemental e-Table 2.

Figure 1 summarises baseline and follow-up clinical, QoL and imaging measurements in tabular format and spaghetti plots for DL\textsubscript{CO}, SGRQ and 129Xe MRI measurements. There were no significant differences in baseline characteristics (\( p>0.05 \)) between participants who did and did not return for follow-up. Baseline medial values are summarised in online supplemental e-Table 2. Baseline 6MWT, peak VO\textsubscript{2} and SGRQ values were significantly improved at follow-up (\( p<0.05 \)).

129Xe MRI gas-transfer measurements were normalised over time in participants with PACS (\( p<0.05 \)) (Figure 1). DL\textsubscript{CO} correlated with RBC:TP (\( r=0.60, 95\%\text{CI}=0.22 to 0.82, p=0.004 \)) at 7 months. While DL\textsubscript{CO} and SGRQ measurements improved, these values did not normalise 14 months post-infection.
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**Table 1** Clinical, quality of life and imaging measurements at baseline (7±4 months since PCR test) and follow-up (14±4 months since PCR test). (A) shows tabulated baseline and follow-up measurements. (B) provides spaghetti plots for DL\textsubscript{CO}, SGRQ score, \textsuperscript{129}Xe MRI RBC:TP and RBC:gas measurements at baseline and follow-up. Differences were analysed for significance using paired t-tests. \(n=20\). 6MWD, 6 min walk distance; DL\textsubscript{CO}, diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide; FEV\textsubscript{1}, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; \%pred, per cent of predicted value; FVC, forced vital capacity; FeNO, fractional exhaled nitric oxide; mBDS, modified Borg Dyspnoea Scale; RBC, red blood cell; SGRQ, St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire; TP, tissue plasma.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline (n=21)</th>
<th>Follow-up (n=21)</th>
<th>Difference [95% CI]</th>
<th>Significance (p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Days Since +</td>
<td>198 (131)</td>
<td>420 (120)</td>
<td>222 [199,245]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV\textsubscript{1}, %\textsubscript{pred}</td>
<td>92 (22)</td>
<td>92 (22)</td>
<td>-0.4 [-2.8,2]</td>
<td>.729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC %\textsubscript{pred}</td>
<td>91 (21)</td>
<td>93 (27)</td>
<td>3 [-5.2,11.2]</td>
<td>.555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC</td>
<td>78 (11)</td>
<td>78 (13)</td>
<td>-0.4 [-4.4,3.6]</td>
<td>.888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeNO</td>
<td>24 (16)*</td>
<td>21 (15)*</td>
<td>-3 [-6,-1]</td>
<td>.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL\textsubscript{CO} %\textsubscript{pred}</td>
<td>81 (20)*</td>
<td>96 (23)*</td>
<td>14 [7,21]</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Quality-of-Life**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline (n=21)</th>
<th>Follow-up (n=21)</th>
<th>Difference [95% CI]</th>
<th>Significance (p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SGRQ</td>
<td>33 (20)</td>
<td>27 (21)</td>
<td>-6 [-11,-1]</td>
<td>.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom</td>
<td>42 (23)</td>
<td>31 (26)</td>
<td>-11 [-20,-2]</td>
<td>.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6MWD m</td>
<td>409 (75)</td>
<td>431 (88)</td>
<td>22 [0.44]</td>
<td>.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mBDS post-exertion</td>
<td>2.0 (1.5)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.8)</td>
<td>-0.7 [-1.0,-0.4]</td>
<td>.019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**129Xe MRI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline (n=21)</th>
<th>Follow-up (n=21)</th>
<th>Difference [95% CI]</th>
<th>Significance (p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VDP</td>
<td>5 (9)*</td>
<td>4 (9)*</td>
<td>-0.3 [-1.2,0.6]</td>
<td>.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBC:TP</td>
<td>0.32 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.03 [0.01,0.05]</td>
<td>.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP:gas</td>
<td>1.11 (0.28)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.26)</td>
<td>0.02 [-0.06,0.05]</td>
<td>.659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBC:gas</td>
<td>0.35 (0.14)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.16)</td>
<td>0.05 [0.01,0.09]</td>
<td>.055</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2** shows representative three-dimensional \textsuperscript{129}Xe MRI RBC maps coregistered with the corresponding segmented CT vessel tree for a single 31-year-old male participant at baseline and follow-up. \textsuperscript{129}Xe MRI RBC map focal defects were obvious in the left and right lower lobes at baseline (shown in the insets) and this was coincident with an SGRQ total score of 23, post-exertional breathlessness score of 3 and RBC:TP ratio of 0.37. At baseline, two participants desaturated (\(\Delta\text{SpO}_2=-9\%,-7\%\)) following the 6MWT while at follow-up, no participants desaturated.

follow-up, shown in the right panel, the RBC defects visually improved and this was coincident with clinically relevant improvements in SGRQ total score of 5, postexertional breathlessness score of 1 and improved RBC:TP ratio (0.54). Online supplemental e-Figure 1 shows multiple slices of ventilation and two dimensional raw RBC component of the dissolved phase images at both baseline and follow-up for additional participants.

Figure 3A shows weak-to-moderate correlations for \( \text{DL}_{\text{CO}} \) and \[ ^{129}\text{Xe} \text{ MRI RBC:TP} \] \((r=0.60, 95\% \text{ CI}=0.22 \text{ to } 0.82, p=0.004) \) and RBC:Gas \((p=0.48, 95\% \text{ CI}=0.04 \text{ to } 0.76, p=0.029) \) at baseline. Figure 3B shows \( \text{DL}_{\text{CO}} \) correlations at follow-up \((\text{RBC:TP} \ r=0.47, 95\% \text{ CI}=0.04 \text{ to } 0.76, p=0.035; \text{RBC:Gas}, \ p=0.57, 95\% \text{ CI}=0.16 \text{ to } 0.81, p=0.009) \). The correlations for \( \text{DL}_{\text{CO}} \) and RBC:TP at baseline \((r=0.60, p=0.004) \) and follow-up \((r=0.47, p=0.03) \) were not significantly different \((z \text{ score}=0.51, p=0.609) \). Online supplemental e-Figure 2 shows significant correlations for the change in SGRQ at follow-up with the change in \( \text{DL}_{\text{CO}} \) \((r=−0.55, \text{ CI}=−0.14 \text{ to } −0.80, p=0.012) \) and postexertional Borg dyspnoea \((r=0.68, 95\% \text{ CI}=0.35 \text{ to } 0.86, p=0.001) \).

**DISCUSSION**

Previous work revealed the presence of \[ ^{129}\text{Xe} \text{ gas-transfer abnormalities in people with PACS,}^7,8 \) and showed that these abnormalities were related to dyspnoea and exercise limitation.\(^8\)

We examined a small group of 21 participants with PACS to measure SGRQ, \( \text{DL}_{\text{CO}} \) and \[ ^{129}\text{Xe} \text{ MRI gas-exchange measures}, 7 \text{ months after a baseline visit and observed: (1)} \) significant improvements in \( \text{DL}_{\text{CO}}, \text{SGRQ scores and postexertional dyspnoea, (2)} \) persistently abnormal \[ ^{129}\text{Xe} \text{ MRI RBC:TP values}, (healthy volunteer RBC:TP=0.41±0.10) \]^8 and \( (3) \) positive correlation for \( \text{DL}_{\text{CO}} \) with \[ ^{129}\text{Xe} \text{ MRI RBC measurements}, negative correlation for the change in \( \text{DL}_{\text{CO}} \text{ with the change in SGRQ and a positive correlation for the change in } \text{DL}_{\text{CO}} \text{ with postexertional dyspnoea at follow-up. Whether this snapshot in time, 14±2 months postinfection reflects a slow, ongoing recovery or permanent impairment, remains to be ascertained.}^8 \)

Previous work\(^4\) detected a significant correlation between \( \text{DL}_{\text{CO}} \) and \[ ^{129}\text{Xe} \text{ RBC:TP in PACS and here, we observed that this correlation persisted over time. This suggested that } ^{129}\text{Xe RBC:TP detected abnormal alveolar gas-exchange that remained abnormal in people with PACS, long after the infection had resolved. We also observed a correlation between postexertional dyspnoea and } \text{DL}_{\text{CO}} \text{ on SGRQ-score, underscoring the impact...}^4\)
of dyspnoea and gas-exchange improvements on QoL improvements in PACS.

Together, these data suggest gas-exchange abnormalities at least partially resolved during a period of 7 months (and 14 months postinfection). While we do not know the precise cause of abnormal RBC:TP in these participants, a recently published study that evaluated postmortem COVID-19 lungs described vasculo pathologies including vascular congestion, perivascular inflammation, thromboemboli and infarcts unique to COVID-19 that could explain ongoing pulmonary vascular abnormalities. 16

To our knowledge, this is the first longitudinal 129Xe gas-transfer MRI study of PACS. This study was limited by small sample size. 129Xe spectroscopy had not previously been performed at our site and this study was, therefore, not powered for spectroscopy measurements. The sample size was also limited by incomplete retention of the original cohort of study participants. Retention difficulties stemmed from a number of reasons including the fact that fully recovered participants were less inclined to return for follow-up visit during the COVID-19 pandemic and because of institutional requirements for fully vaccinated participants at follow-up. We also note that measurements were not available in these participants prior to infection and this makes it difficult to distinguish abnormalities due to PACS or other sources. In particular, seven patients had pre-existing asthma and one had pre-existing COPD, which may have impacted gas-exchange measurements.

We measured improved SGRQ, DLco, and postexercise dyspnoea 14 months as compared with 7 months postinfection. Taken together, these findings provide hypothesis-generating insights, which may help target future research on the mechanisms of gas-exchange abnormalities in people with PACS.
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