Study                      | TE  | Odds Ratio | OR   | 95%–CI | Weight |
---|---|---|---|---|---|
**Male < 50% of participants**
Peng Y                      | −0.07 | 0.94 | [0.17; 5.05] | 4.3% |
Yang G                      | −0.31 | 0.73 | [0.40; 1.36] | 9.1% |
Zeng Z                      | 0.90  | 2.46 | [0.16; 38.70] | 2.1% |
**Random effects model**
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.0766$, $p = 0.69$
|   |   | 0.83 | [0.40; 1.70] | 15.5% |

**Male >= 50% of participants**
Ashraf M                    | 0.53  | 1.70 | [0.10; 27.71] | 2.1% |
Bean D                      | −1.24 | 0.29 | [0.21; 0.40] | 10.5% |
Feng Y                      | −1.78 | 0.17 | [0.13; 0.21] | 10.8% |
Feng Z                      | −0.89 | 0.41 | [0.09; 1.97] | 4.7% |
Li J                        | 0.10  | 1.11 | [0.67; 1.84] | 9.7% |
Mancia G                    | 0.57  | 1.77 | [1.31; 2.40] | 10.6% |
Meng J                      | −1.10 | 0.33 | [0.18; 0.61] | 9.2% |
Rentsch C                   | 0.51  | 1.66 | [0.61; 4.49] | 7.1% |
Reynolds H                  | −0.01 | 0.99 | [0.82; 1.21] | 10.9% |
Yan H                       | −0.26 | 0.77 | [0.41; 1.45] | 9.1% |
**Random effects model**
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 96\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.5754$, $p < 0.01$
|   |   | 0.65 | [0.38; 1.10] | 84.5% |

**Random effects model**
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 95\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.4665$, $p < 0.01$
Residual heterogeneity: $I^2 = 95\%$, $p < 0.01$
|   |   | 0.68 | [0.44; 1.07] | 100.0% |