Patient outcomes after hospitalisation with COVID-19 and implications for follow-up: results from a prospective UK cohort
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ABSTRACT
The longer-term consequences of SARS-CoV-2 infection are uncertain. Consecutive patients hospitalised with COVID-19 were prospectively recruited to this observational study (n=163). At 8–12 weeks postadmission, survivors were invited to a systematic clinical follow-up. Of 131 participants, 110 attended the follow-up clinic. Most (74%) had persistent symptoms (notably breathlessness and excessive fatigue) and limitations in reported physical ability. However, clinically significant abnormalities in chest radiograph, exercise tests, blood tests and spirometry were less frequent (35%), especially in patients not requiring supplementary oxygen during their acute infection (7%). Results suggest that a holistic approach focusing on rehabilitation and general well-being is paramount.

INTRODUCTION
Limited studies exist on the longer-term outcomes of patients admitted to the hospital due to COVID-19. Although several guidelines have been published,1 2 these are based on extrapolation of complications from other coronavirus infections.3 4 Disease-specific data on the outcomes for survivors of COVID-19 are essential to properly inform guidelines.

We report a prospectively recruited UK cohort of hospitalised patients with COVID-19. Consecutively hospitalised patients were recruited at diagnosis and followed-up at 8–12 weeks with a face-to-face medical review, spirometry, exercise test, blood tests, chest radiograph and assessment of the health-related quality of life (HRQoL).

METHODS
Subjects
Patients were recruited from the Diagnostic and Severity markers of COVID-19 to Enable Rapid triage (DISCOVER) study, a single-centre prospective study (Bristol, UK) recruiting consecutive patients (≥18 years of age) admitted with COVID-19. Ethics approval was via South Yorkshire (REC: 20/YH/0121). The inclusion criteria were a positive PCR result for SARS-CoV-2 or a clinico-radiological diagnosis of COVID-19 disease (see online supplementary material).

Baseline assessment and 28-day follow-up
Baseline demographics, comorbidities and blood test results were extracted from the medical record. At 28 days, survivors were defined as having had severe disease (invasive mechanical ventilation, non-invasive ventilation and/or intensive care admission), moderate disease (supplementary oxygen during admission) or mild disease (no supplementary oxygen or intensive care).

RESULTS
Between 30 March and 3 June 2020, 163 participants with COVID-19 were recruited. Of these, 19 patients died and 13 were inpatients from hospital/nursing home. The remaining 131 were invited for follow-up and 110 attended. Eighteen declined: ongoing symptoms (n=10), being care providers (n=3) and felt unnecessary (n=5). Three were uncontactable (see supplementary material: Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials). Table 1 shows the baseline demographics and clinical outcomes of those who attended follow-up divided by severity of COVID-19 illness (median age 60 years (IQR 46–73); 56% (n=91) male individuals). Patients were followed-up with a median of 83 days (IQR 74–88 days) after hospital admission and 90 days (IQR 80–97 days) after COVID-19 symptom onset.

Symptoms
Although most symptoms were improving, 81 (74%) patients reported at least one ongoing symptom: 39% breathlessness, 39% fatigue and 24% insomnia (see figure 1). Sixteen (59%) patients in the mild COVID-19 group reported ongoing symptoms compared with 49 (75%) and 16 (89%) in the moderate and severe group, respectively (figure 2).
Radiology
Of the 15/110 (14%) patients with abnormal follow-up radiographs (n=10 moderate group, n=5 severe group), 2 had worsened from hospital admission with higher radiographic severity scores (both had known previous interstitial lung disease). Findings seen included consolidation (one patient), reticulation (eight patients), atelectasis (five patients) and pleural effusion (one patient). High-resolution CT (HRCT) scans performed on the basis of the clinical, spirometric or radiological findings (nine patients) showed fibrotic changes in two patients with moderate disease at baseline (other HRCT results: normal (four), minor persistent ground glass changes (two), pleural effusion(one)).

Pulmonary function testing
Eleven patients had restrictive spirometry and 15 had a significant desaturation on the STS test, all within the severe or moderate group (see the online supplementary material).

Health-related quality of life
SF-36 scores demonstrated a reduction in reported health status across all domains compared with age-matched population norms.7 In particular, physical scores were significantly lower in the severe cohort compared with mild/moderate (see the online supplementary material). In contrast, WEMWBS scores were comparable with published population norms,8 with no significant difference between groups.

Blood results
Thirty-five (32%) patients had significantly deranged liver (n=12) or renal (n=9) function recorded during admission. All improved and 32 of 35 results had returned to baseline. Two additional patients had an ongoing lymphopenia and two were with a C-reactive protein level greater than 10 mg/L.

DISCUSSION
Over 130000 people have been admitted to hospital with COVID-19 in the UK alone. As admission rates begin to fall, the potential impact of ‘post-COVID’ syndromes on patients and the health services is becoming apparent. We present a UK cohort study of consecutively recruited patients hospitalised with COVID-19
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**Figure 1** Frequency of symptoms reported at a 12-week follow-up compared with hospital admission.
and systematically assessed after discharge. Our key finding is that nearly three-quarters of patients remain symptomatic at 3 months, while clinical abnormalities were rare in mild disease.

Few studies have reported results from systematic prospective follow-up after hospitalisation. Our findings are in keeping with an Italian cohort of patients asked to recall their admission symptoms and HRQoL at a median of 60 days postdischarge, finding that 87% had at least one ongoing symptom with fatigue (53%) and shortness of breath (43%) predominating.9 Similar findings have been demonstrated in other follow-up studies.10

The British Thoracic Society (BTS) COVID-19 Guidance advises follow-up guidance depending on whether the patient required intensive/higher care versus ward/community care (equivalent to severe vs mild/moderate in this cohort).1 For mild/moderate disease, BTS recommends virtual follow-up with a CXR. This study demonstrated a low likelihood of follow-up CXR abnormalities in patients not requiring oxygen for their acute infection, suggesting that this approach may not be necessary.

The wide range of symptoms and reduced HRQoL seen in this study re-enforces the importance of a holistic approach advocated by the BTS and other guidelines.1 2 All patients in our follow-up clinics were offered a referral to specialist psychological support services.

We recognise potential limitations of this study including the single-centre design and relatively small patient numbers that may limit the generalisability of results. Second, patients residing in a nursing home or hospital inpatients were not followed-up in line with local infection control protocols.

The study demonstrates the persistence of symptoms at 8–12 weeks in the majority of patients, even those admitted with mild disease. There was a reassuring improvement in clinical measures with only a minority having abnormal biochemical, radiological or spirometric tests. These results provide information useful to clinicians caring for survivors of COVID-19 disease. The role(s) of rehabilitation and/or psychological services in the management of such patients warrant research.
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