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ABSTRACT

Rationale Asthma in pregnancy is associated with respiratory diseases in the offspring.

Objective To investigate if maternal asthma is associated with lung function in early life.

Methods Data on lung function measured at 5–6 weeks of age were combined from two large birth cohorts: the Bern Infant Lung Development (BILD) and the Australian Breathing for Life Trial (BLT) birth cohorts conducted at three study sites (Bern, Switzerland; Newcastle and Sydney, Australia). The main outcome variable was time to reach peak tidal expiratory flow as a percentage of total expiratory time (%TPEF). Bayesian linear hierarchical regression analyses controlling for study site as random effect were performed to estimate the effect of maternal asthma on the main outcome, adjusting for sex, birth order, breastfeeding, weight gain and gestational age. In separate adjusted Bayesian models an interaction between maternal asthma and sex was investigated by including an interaction term.

Measurements and main results All 406 BLT infants were born to mothers with asthma in pregnancy, while 193 of the 213 (91%) BILD infants were born to mothers without asthma. A significant interaction between maternal asthma and male sex was negatively associated with %TPEF (intercept 37.5; estimate −3.5; 95% credible interval −6.8 to −0.1). Comparing the model posterior probabilities provided decisive evidence in favour of an interaction between maternal asthma and male sex (Bayes factor 33.5).

Conclusions Maternal asthma is associated with lower lung function in male babies, which may have lifelong implications on their lung function trajectories and future risk of wheezing and asthma.

INTRODUCTION

Maternal asthma is the most common medical condition in pregnancy, and uncontrolled asthma during pregnancy increases the risk of placental complications, low birth weight, prematurity and asthma development in the offspring. A better understanding of its effect on the offspring’s lung function in early life may provide a physiological mechanism for the well-established associations between maternal asthma and adverse respiratory health outcomes in their offspring. Existing literature on the impact of maternal asthma on infant lung function during the first 6 weeks of life is limited to unselected birth cohorts which included babies born to mothers/families with an asthma incidence of 9%–14%. In the Western Australian Pregnancy Cohort Study, lung function was measured by respiratory inductance plethysmography within the first 48 hours of life. A family history of asthma was associated with significantly lower values of time to reach peak tidal expiratory flow as a ratio of total expiratory time (%TPEF), an integrated output of the entire respiratory system, including airflow limitation and control of breathing.

Lung function in early life predicts future risk of respiratory diseases and tracks throughout life. However, an association between maternal asthma and lung function parameters at 5–6 weeks of age has not been investigated. We combined tidal breathing flow–volume loop (TBFVL) test data from an Australian birth cohort of 6-week-old infants, all born to mothers with asthma in pregnancy (Breathing for Life Trial (BLT)), with data measured at 5–6 weeks of age for the Bern Infant Lung Development (BILD) study and conducted at three study sites (Bern, Switzerland; Newcastle and Sydney, Australia). The main outcome variable was time to reach peak tidal expiratory flow as a percentage of total expiratory time (%TPEF). Bayesian linear hierarchical regression analyses controlling for study site as random effect were performed to estimate the effect of maternal asthma on the main outcome, adjusting for sex, birth order, breastfeeding, weight gain and gestational age. In separate adjusted Bayesian models an interaction between maternal asthma and sex was investigated by including an interaction term.

Measurements and main results All 406 BLT infants were born to mothers with asthma in pregnancy, while 193 of the 213 (91%) BILD infants were born to mothers without asthma. A significant interaction between maternal asthma and male sex was negatively associated with %TPEF (intercept 37.5; estimate −3.5; 95% credible interval −6.8 to −0.1). Comparing the model posterior probabilities provided decisive evidence in favour of an interaction between maternal asthma and male sex (Bayes factor 33.5).

Conclusions Maternal asthma is associated with lower lung function in male babies, which may have lifelong implications on their lung function trajectories and future risk of wheezing and asthma.

Key messages

What is the key question? Do babies born to mothers with asthma have reduced lung function in early life?

What is the bottom line? Male babies born to mothers with asthma have a significantly lower lung function, which may affect their lung function trajectory and risk of developing respiratory disease.

Why read on? This analysis included 619 babies from three study sites, 69% of them born to a mother with asthma, and identified an interaction between maternal asthma and male sex as an early determinant of lung function.
from the Bern Infant Lung Development (BILD) cohort, an unselected birth cohort of 5-week-old infants. Our primary aim was to investigate the association between maternal asthma and tPTEF:tE%.

**METHODS**

**Study participants**

In the BLT study, babies were recruited from mothers with mild to moderate asthma in pregnancy who participated in a randomised asthma management intervention during pregnancy. Asthma in pregnancy was defined as self-reported, doctor-diagnosed asthma and current asthma symptoms or inhaled asthma medication use. Maternal asthma was of mild to moderate severity according to the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) guidelines. BLT women who had used oral corticosteroids for more than 14 days in the 3 months prior to study enrolment were excluded. During pregnancy 51 BLT mothers had asthma exacerbations, of whom 28 presented to their general practitioner and 1 woman presented to the hospital for her asthma exacerbation. Of the 51 mothers 18 had oral corticosteroids (OCS) prescribed for severe exacerbations.

If consent was given by parent(s)/guardian(s), infants were prospectively followed up in Newcastle, Sydney and Brisbane, Australia, with measurements including lung function at 6 weeks corrected for gestational age (Newcastle and Sydney only), clinical assessment (all sites) and parent-reported questionnaire at 6 and 12 months of age. Infants at 6 weeks of age were seen between May 2014 and December 2019. The inclusion criterion for infant lung function testing was no apparent major birth defects or perinatal disease that would preclude performing unaged infant lung function. Infants born preterm were included. Written informed parental consent was obtained at enrolment.

Data from the BILD study included babies recruited between 1999 and 2010 in Bern, Switzerland. A history of maternal asthma was defined as self-reported, doctor-diagnosed asthma. In the BILD cohort maternal asthma was also of mild to moderate severity. Nine mothers used inhaled corticosteroids during pregnancy. In contrast to BLT, only babies born at term delivery (≥37 weeks) were included. In accordance with BLT, only babies who did not have apparent major birth defects or perinatal disease that would preclude infant lung function testing at 5 weeks of age were included. Written informed parental consent was obtained at enrolment.

Known and potential predictors of lung function (demographic data, sociodemographic status) were assessed by interviews and by using standardised questionnaires in both the BLT and BILD studies. For further information on similarities and differences between the studies, see also online supplemental table E1.

**Lung function**

Both cohorts had lung function measured using equipment from identical suppliers in unaged infants during behaviourally defined quiet natural sleep. They were performed with the infants lying supine, using an infant mask (sizes 0, 0/1 and 1; Homedica, Huenenberg, Switzerland), according to the European Respiratory Society/American Thoracic Society (ERS/ATS) standards of infant lung function testing. The mask size dead space was corrected during analysis. Flow was measured using an ultrasonic flow metre (Spiroson; Eco Medics, Duernten, Switzerland). Data were included if no apparent volume drift, defined as a change of <3 mL/s over at least 30 breaths, was present. Both cohorts performed infant lung function following similar protocols based on recommended quality criteria differing only with regard to the duration of the tests. In the BILD study, TBFVL was performed for 10 min with the purpose of obtaining 100 good-quality breaths, while in the BLT study TBFVL was performed for 90 s with the aim of obtaining at least 30 good-quality breaths.

Raw data from both cohorts were reanalysed using a protocol designed to preclude between-site differences. The relevant staff member (PDGB) was extensively trained at all study sites (Newcastle, Sydney, Bern) by the investigators before conducting analyses. PDGB performed the site analyses at different dates, and data were not masked with regard to site and maternal asthma. Mean TBFVL measures for the two cohorts combined were calculated using an identical software version (Wbreathe V3.28.0; Ndd Medizintechnik, Zurich, Switzerland) for both studies. Records were accepted if they included ≥30 regular breaths of tidal breathing during quiet sleep. In addition, sighs (defined as a marked increase (at least double) in tidal volume with no other artefacts present) were excluded. Mean tidal breathing parameters of flow, volume and flow–volume loop were then calculated according to the ERS/ATS standards. The outcome parameter reported in the main manuscript is tPTEF:tE%.

**Statistical analysis**

Descriptive statistics and regression analyses were performed using Stata SE V.15 for Windows and R V.4.0 (The R Core Team, Vienna, Austria). Differences between infant characteristics for those born to mothers with and without asthma during pregnancy were assessed using χ² tests (for categorical variables) and independent sample t-tests (for continuous variables). A p value <0.05 was considered significant.

The effect of maternal asthma on infant lung function (tPTEF:tE%), controlling for study sites (Bern, Newcastle, Sydney), was assessed using a Bayesian linear hierarchical regression model. The Bayesian hierarchical model was chosen due to the low number of sites and the ability to choose a flexible prior distribution for the random effect prior distribution. The model included tPTEF:tE% as the outcome variable and adjusted for confounding variables as fixed effects. The confounders included in the final models (sex, gestational age and weight gain from birth to test date, age at test date in days, birth order, exclusive breast feeding) were identified using backward selection out of a larger list of variables (sex, gestational age, weight gain from birth to test date, weight and length at test date, age at test date in days, birth order, delivery mode, maternal tobacco exposure during pregnancy and exclusive breast feeding until the day of test). Study site was modelled as a random effect assuming normal distribution. Between-site SD was modelled with a half-normal prior, and vague prior distributions for all the fixed effect parameters (normal distributions centred on zero with a wide range of 100). We also assessed for the effect of interaction between maternal asthma and infant sex, fitting a model with and without the interaction term and calculating the Bayes factor, representing the ratio of the two posterior model probabilities. A Bayes factor greater than 20 can be interpreted as decisive evidence in favour of the first model where the interaction term was included. All regression models had converged with 25 000 iterations. Samples from the posterior distributions of the regression models were obtained using the No-U-Turn Sampler and the R package BRMS with four chains to enable calculation of the R-hat convergence statistics. Further plots were constructed to explore convergence, including histograms and
scatter plots of the posterior samples of all model parameters. Mean posterior estimates are presented together with 95% credible intervals, R-hat statistics and effective sample sizes.

RESULTS
Between May 2014 and December 2019, 1035 eligible infants were born to mothers participating in BLT at the Newcastle and Sydney study sites where lung functions measurements were performed. Of those infants, consent was obtained for 662 offspring (64%) to participate in the birth cohort follow-up (online supplemental figure E1). Five hundred and ninety infants (89%) had lung function measurements taken at 6 weeks of age, and technically acceptable data were obtained for 406 (69%) babies. The BILD cohort recruited 365 infants antenatally from 1999 to 2010. Of these, 342 (94%) babies had lung function measurements taken at 5 weeks of age, and 213 (62%) technically acceptable measurements were obtained. This provided a combined number of 619 infant lung function tests for analysis in this study. The proportions of infants contributing data across the two cohorts are summarised in online supplemental figures E1 and E2 for BLT and BILD, respectively.

Eight per cent of the BLT babies, all born to mothers with asthma in pregnancy, were premature. Nine per cent of the BILD babies, all delivered at term as per inclusion criteria of this study, were born to mothers with asthma. A comparative analysis between term infants born to mothers with asthma and mothers without asthma (table 1, left two columns) and between infants delivered term and preterm (table 1, right two columns) revealed multiple differences (further variables shown in online supplemental table E2). This included differences in the main outcome variable tPTEF:tE%, with lower values observed in babies born at term to mothers with asthma as compared with those born to mothers without asthma (table 1). tPTEF:tE% also trended towards lower values in male babies as compared with female babies (mean/SD 32.2/10.0 for male babies (n=323) vs 33.5/9.7 for female babies (n=296), p=0.05). Furthermore, significant differences in a number of variables associated with tPTEF:tE% were observed between the three study sites (online supplemental table E3). Therefore, a multivariable Bayesian linear hierarchical regression model was used to correct for study site as random effect in order to estimate the effect of maternal asthma, the infant’s sex and its interaction on tPTEF:tE%. First, a model without including an interaction term between maternal asthma and the infant’s sex (“maternal asthma: male sex”) was performed, followed by including the interaction term (table 2). When comparing the model posterior probabilities of the two models with the Bayes factor, the model with the interaction term was 33.5 times more probable than the model without the interaction, indicating decisive evidence in favour of an interaction. The 95% credible interval of the interaction term excluded zero (table 2), thereby confirming that the interaction between maternal asthma and male infant’s sex predicted a lower tPTEF:tE% even when correcting for study site and adjusting for confounders. Age at test, weight gain and birth order were also associated with tPTEF:tE% (table 2). The results were comparable when only including babies born at term in the Bayesian analysis (table 3), again indicating decisive evidence in favour of an interaction between maternal asthma and the infant’s sex (Bayes factor 37.1).

DISCUSSION
This study combined data from two large prospective multicentre birth cohorts to investigate the effect of a history of maternal asthma on lung function in early life assessed using TBFVL analysis. A statistical interaction between maternal asthma and infant’s sex was found, suggesting that a history of maternal asthma was associated with a lower tPTEF:tE% in male infants only. Furthermore, age at test, weight gain and birth order were associated with tPTEF:tE%.

As all offspring in Newcastle and Sydney were born to mothers with asthma, the analysis was complicated by collinearity between the study site and the maternal asthma variable. To resolve this, we used Bayesian linear hierarchical regression models that provided decisive evidence for an interaction between maternal asthma history and infant sex when study sites were controlled for as random effects. It is possible that the negative effect of maternal asthma history on tPTEF:tE% diminishes in female babies in the first weeks of pregnancy.

---

Table 1. Baseline characteristics and TBFVL parameters in all BLT and BILD study infants, stratified for term pregnancy and asthma in pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Maternal asthma, term infants (BLT cohort, n=373)*</th>
<th>No maternal asthma, term infants (BILD cohort, n=193)</th>
<th>Maternal asthma, preterm infants (BLT cohort, n=33)*</th>
<th>Maternal asthma, term infants (BILD cohort, n=20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>P value†</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tPTEF:tE%§</td>
<td>32.00 (9.8)</td>
<td>35.00 (10.5)</td>
<td>28.55 (8.4)</td>
<td>34.45 (8.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth order</td>
<td>1.7 (1.0)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.8)</td>
<td>2.299</td>
<td>1.6 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (days)</td>
<td>48.1 (10.8)</td>
<td>35.8 (5.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>65.2 (22.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight gain (kg per day)</td>
<td>0.031 (0.012)</td>
<td>0.028 (0.011)</td>
<td>0.0763</td>
<td>0.030 (0.008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age (years)</td>
<td>30.0 (5.2)</td>
<td>32.5 (4.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>30.2 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>190 (51)</td>
<td>101 (52)</td>
<td>0.7904</td>
<td>19 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusive breast feeding</td>
<td>224 (59)</td>
<td>181 (94)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>17 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco exposure in pregnancy</td>
<td>37 (10)</td>
<td>17 (9)</td>
<td>0.7634</td>
<td>4 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caesarean section</td>
<td>107 (29)</td>
<td>30 (15)</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>26 (81)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BLT infants were all born to mothers with asthma, stratified for term and preterm. BILD infants were term born babies, stratified for no maternal asthma and maternal asthma.

*Maternal asthma, term infants (BLT) n=373; and maternal asthma, preterm infants (BLT) n=33. 1 BLT infant did not have perinatal outcomes available.
†Comparing maternal asthma, term infants from BLT cohort versus no maternal asthma and term infants from BILD cohort. P values <0.05 in bold.
‡Comparing maternal asthma, term infants from BLT cohort versus maternal asthma and term infants from BILD cohort. P values <0.05 in bold.
§tPTEF:tE%—percentage time to peak tidal expiratory flow divided by total expiratory time.
BILD, Bem Infant Lung Development; BLT, Breathing for Life Trial; TBFVL, tidal breathing flow-volume loop.
life but lags behind in male infants. Currently ongoing follow-up studies of our cohorts will be able to test this hypothesis. The trend towards lower tPTEF:tE% in male infants reported in this study (p=0.05) has been described earlier. The concept of sexual dimorphism with differences in lung development and growth trajectories between male and female babies is supported by ample epidemiological and experimental data, including risk differences for neonatal lung disease, and effects of androgens on surfactant production, airway morphogenesis, and lung transcriptome data and its regulation. These data propose that lung development in male fetuses may lag behind that of female fetuses in the late stages of gestation. Our study is in accordance with these concepts, however identifies a greater susceptibility of male babies to the negative effects conferred by maternal asthma on infant lung function outcomes. Sexual dimorphism in lung development and trajectories may be the underpinning mechanism for, or the consequence of, sex differences in susceptibility to adverse effects.

Better lung function in male babies is clinically relevant as it is associated with less wheezing in infancy and asthma in childhood, and less severe viral lower respiratory tract infections. Furthermore, lung function trajectories may be established in early life and persist throughout childhood and beyond. Male sex has been shown to be an independent risk factor for a reduced tPTEF:tE and reduced respiratory system compliance, with a reduced mean tPTEF:tE after birth being related to history of asthma in the first 10 years of life. Specifically, Halldin et al reported a mean tPTEF:tE of 0.32 for the whole infant population (n=614), which is comparable with our results that are expressed as a percentage. Four hundred and ninety participants had no history of asthma at 10 years of age and the mean tPTEF:tE after birth was 0.322 (95% CI 0.312 to 0.332), and 124 children had a history of asthma and the mean tPTEF:tE was 0.298 (95% CI 0.278 to 0.317) after birth. The reduction in mean tPTEF:tE of approximately 10% in babies who develop asthma at 10 years of age is comparable with the magnitude of reduction in mean tPTEF:tE% observed in male infants born to mothers with asthma in our study. Bisgaard et al found that approximately 40% of the lung function deficit observed in children with asthma at 7 years of age was already present at birth. Thus, the reduction in tPTEF:tE% observed in our study may be clinically relevant. Our data suggest that the risk conferred by maternal asthma for the later development of asthma in boys, but not girls, could be modulated by the association between maternal asthma and reduced lung function in early life. Thus, while the association between maternal asthma and persistent wheezing may be independent of the child’s sex, effects of maternal asthma on lung function predisposing to persistent wheezing may only be relevant in boys.

### Table 2
Predictors of tPTEF:tE% in a Bayesian linear hierarchical regression model in a combined data set of all BLT and BILD study infants (born term and preterm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Estimate error</th>
<th>95% credible interval</th>
<th>R-hat</th>
<th>Bulk ESS</th>
<th>Tail ESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>37.49</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>32.39 to 42.21</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>10871</td>
<td>7632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal asthma</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>−2.94 to 4.66</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>8236</td>
<td>3675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>−1.94 to 3.62</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>16964</td>
<td>23355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal asthma: male sex</td>
<td>−3.48</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>−6.81 to −0.11</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>19033</td>
<td>24182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fSecond-born*</td>
<td>−1.95</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>−3.79 to −0.09</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>15363</td>
<td>9755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third-born or later*</td>
<td>−1.90</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>−4.08 to 0.31</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>17101</td>
<td>17230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (days)</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>−0.28 to −0.11</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>20661</td>
<td>23030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusive breast feeding</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>−0.42 to 3.27</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>16140</td>
<td>15582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight gain (kg)</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.34 to 5.04</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>21785</td>
<td>19355</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bayes factor=33.54.

*First-born is reference.

BILD, Bern Infant Lung Development; BLT, Breathing for Life Trial; ESS, effective sample size; tPTEF:tE%, time to reach peak tidal expiratory flow as a percentage of total expiratory time.

### Table 3
Predictors of tPTEF:tE% in a Bayesian linear hierarchical regression model in a combined data set of BLT and BILD study infants born at term (preterm babies excluded)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Estimate error</th>
<th>95% credible interval</th>
<th>R-hat</th>
<th>Bulk ESS</th>
<th>Tail ESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>37.32</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>31.55 to 42.51</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5789</td>
<td>7576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal asthma</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>−2.92 to 4.68</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>7449</td>
<td>9193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>−2.00 to 3.35</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>10823</td>
<td>13833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal asthma: male sex</td>
<td>−3.64</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>−7.06 to −0.24</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>8629</td>
<td>13490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second-born*</td>
<td>−1.80</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>−3.70 to 0.14</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>9005</td>
<td>2827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third-born or later*</td>
<td>−1.98</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>−4.25 to 0.27</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>12096</td>
<td>4590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (days)</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>−0.31 to −0.09</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>14515</td>
<td>14057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusive breast feeding</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>−0.63 to 3.24</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>9189</td>
<td>5330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight gain (kg)</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.70 to 5.56</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>13732</td>
<td>21417</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bayes factor=37.12.

*First-born is reference.

BILD, Bern Infant Lung Development; BLT, Breathing for Life Trial; ESS, effective sample size; tPTEF:tE%, tidal expiratory flow to expiratory time ratio.
An unexpected result of this study was that we did not find any association between self-reported tobacco exposure during pregnancy and TFVFL parameters. However, Stick and coworkers found, based on infants’ urinary cotinine levels, that approximately 6% of infants of self-reported non-smoking mothers were likely to have had substantial exposure to tobacco smoke in utero. Their mean tPFET:E% was lower when compared with infants with low urinary cotinine. Thus, our data re-emphasise the need to objectively quantify intrauterine tobacco smoke exposure to accurately estimate its detrimental effects on lung function and respiratory health in early life. None of the other variables shown in online supplemental table E2 to be differently distributed between the BLT and BILD cohort were significantly associated with tPFET:E%, including caesarean section which was previously associated with lung function outcomes in some but not all cohorts.

The main strengths of this analysis included a large sample size with a high proportion of infants born to mothers with asthma in pregnancy. This enabled the stratification of analysis by the infant’s sex to identify significant lung function predictors and test for statistical interactions. This analysis used comparable TFVFL methodology across study sites for testing (online supplemental table E1) and a stringent approach towards reanalysis of all lung function raw data. Finally, Bayesian linear hierarchical regression analyses allowed controlling for study site as random effect.

One of the limitations of this study was that the BLT cohort did not include infants born to mothers without asthma. However, as the BILD cohort included infants born to mothers with and without asthma, the effect of maternal asthma could be estimated independently from a study site effect. Another possible limitation of our analysis is that we have not correlated lung function outcomes with respiratory symptoms in the first year of life. This is currently under way in the BLT cohort, but those infants are all born to mothers with asthma. The long-term effect of the interaction between maternal asthma and male infant sex on lung function trajectory and respiratory outcomes will be an important topic for future projects.

Additionally, the proportion of BILD mothers with an asthma history who had asthma symptoms or medication in pregnancy is unknown. As asthma may improve in one-third of pregnant women, this bias may have increased a type 2 error. Finally, the biological mechanisms that underpin the effect of maternal asthma on infant lung function remain elusive. Recent experimental studies have revealed a role of maternal interleukin-5 (IL-5) release in the early origins of bronchoconstriction. Fetal eosinophils induced by maternal IL-5 promoted airway sensory innervation and epithelial nerve density, resulting in increased vagal reflex-induced bronchoconstriction in the fetal lungs. This also potentiates airways hyperactivity to allergen exposure. It is possible that uncontrolled eosinophilic asthma in pregnancy may be associated with an increased risk of developing bronchoconstriction in the offspring that is acquired in utero.

Together, our data identified maternal asthma history as a risk factor for impaired lung function in early life in male infants. Considering that lung function follows trajectories and impaired lung function is associated with increased disease risk, our data suggest that further longitudinal follow-up data should be analysed to determine those risks. Furthermore, fetal sex and maternal asthma history should be considered as potential confounders when analysing infant lung function data. Lastly the mechanisms that underpin the association of fetal sex and maternal asthma with lung function at 6 weeks of age should be explored. This finding may inform future preventative strategies aiming at optimising fetal lung development.
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