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TMEM16A/ANO1 calcium-activated chloride channel as a novel target for the treatment of human respiratory syncytial virus infection
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Human respiratory syncytial virus (HRSV) is a common cause of respiratory tract infections (RTIs) globally and one of the most fatal infectious diseases for infants in developing countries. Of those infected, 25%–40% aged ≤1 year develop severe lower RTIs leading to pneumonia and bronchiolitis, with ~10% requiring hospitalisation. Evidence also suggests that HRSV infection early in life is a major cause of adult asthma. There is no HRSV vaccine, and the only clinically approved treatment is immunoprophylaxis that is expensive and only moderately effective. New anti-HRSV therapeutic strategies are therefore urgently required.

Methods It is now established that viruses require chloride channel functionality to infect cells. Here, we infected human lung epithelial cell lines and ex vivo human lung slices with HRSV in the presence of a defined panel of chloride (Cl−) channel modulators to investigate their role during the HRSV life-cycle.

Results We demonstrate the requirement for TMEM16A, a calcium-activated Cl− channel, for HRSV infection. Time-of-addition assays revealed that the TMEM16A blockers inhibit HRSV at a postentry stage of the virus life-cycle, showing activity as a postexposure prophylaxis. Another important negative-sense RNA respiratory pathogen influenza virus was also inhibited by the TMEM16A-specific inhibitor T16Ainh-A01.

Discussion These findings reveal TMEM16A as an exciting target for future host-directed antiviral therapeutics.

INTRODUCTION

Human respiratory syncytial virus (HRSV) is one of the most significant causes of respiratory tract infections worldwide. The greatest risk factor for HRSV-related disease is age, with 95% of children becoming infected before the age of 2 years and an increased susceptibility in those aged over 65 years. The developing morphology of infant airways increases the severity of symptoms, as their narrower bronchioles are more susceptible to HRSV-induced inflammation. As a result, 25%–40% of infants with lower respiratory tract infections develop bronchiolitis or pneumonia and ~10% require hospitalisation, where they receive supplemental oxygen or intubation to improve ventilation. HRSV causes ~199,000 deaths per year, 99% of which occur in developing countries. The virus also imposes a heavy financial burden on healthcare systems and is an under-recognised cause of morbidity in the elderly. Diagnostic testing is not recommended in routine cases and treatment is supportive. Certain high-risk groups of children that test positive may receive ribavirin, the efficacy of which is questionable. Immunoprophylaxis with palivizumab is also available, but its effectiveness is moderate, and its expense limits its administration. No antiviral drugs to treat HRSV infection are currently available in the clinic.

HRSV is an orthopneumovirus within the family Pneumoviridae, a large and diverse grouping of non-segmented negative-sense single-stranded RNA (−ssRNA) viruses. HRSV particles are pleomorphic, adopting spherical, filamentous and asymmetric forms that vary from 100 to 1000 nm in size. The HRSV genome encodes 10 genes (3′-NS1-NS2-N-P-M-SH-G-F-M2-L-5′) that are tightly encapsidated by the nucleoprotein (N) to form a helical nucleocapsid. Mature virions possess a host-derived lipid envelope containing three structural proteins: the glycoprotein (G), fusion protein (F) and a small hydrophobic protein (SH), which form individual protruding spikes. The matrix protein (M) lies on the internal interface of the envelope and acts as a bridge connecting the structural transmembrane proteins to N bound to the RNA genome. The
binding of RNA with multiple copies of N forms the characteristic ribonucleocapsids, which in turn interact with the phosphoprotein (P) and the large polymerase (L) to form the ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex, the fundamental machinery required for HRSV transcription and replication.3

One strategy to prevent HRSV infection is to target key virus-host interactions that are essential for virus multiplication. Ion channels are emerging as key factors required during virus replicative cycles, examples including the roles for potassium (K+) channels in Bunyamwera virus (BUNV) infection9,10; two-pore calcium (Ca2+) channels in Ebola virus (EBOV) infection11 and chloride (Cl−) channels in chikungunya virus (CHIKV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), BK polyomavirus and Merkel cell polyomavirus infection.12–15 Cl− channels are diverse families of proteins that regulate cell excitability and fluid and osmolyte secretion in lung epithelial cells. Over 40 genes are linked to Cl− conductances which can be categorised into voltage-gated Cl− channels (CIC), ligand-gated Cl− channels, cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR), volume-regulated anion channels and Ca2+-activated Cl− channel (CaCC) families.16

Herein, we reveal that a new host factor TMEM16A/ANO1, a calcium-activated Cl− channel, is required during HRSV infection. We propose that targeting TMEM16A Cl− conductances may represent a new and pharmacologically safe therapeutic strategy for this important human pathogen.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture and virus strains

A549 (adenocarcinoma human alveolar basal epithelial cells), HEp-2 (human epithelial cells) and SH-SY5Y (human neuroblastoma cells) were cultured at 37°C, 5% CO2 in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM, Sigma) supplemented with 10% FBS and penicillin/streptomycin (P/S, 100 units/mL and 100 µg/mL, respectively). Wild type HRSV-A2 was obtained from the National Collection of Pathogenic Viruses (NCPV) of Public Health England (PHE). HRSV-GFP was purchased from ViraTree (HRSV-GFP). GFP-PA-labelled influenza A virus (IAV-GFP) was rescued as previously described.13

Plaque assays

HEP-2 monolayers were infected with serially diluted virus and overlaid with 1.6% methylcellulose (Sigma, 1500 cp); DMEM (10% FBS, 1% P/S) prior to incubation at 37°C for 5 days. Cells were washed, fixed in 80% methanol and blocked in 5% milk in phosphate-buffered saline, 0.1% tween 20 detergent (PBS-T). Plaques were detected using goat α-HRSV (Abcam ab20745, 1:200) and HRP-conjugated rabbit α-goat (Sigma A8919, 1:5000) and imaged following incubation with 4-chloro-1-naphthol (4-CN, Pierce, 1:10) and 30% H2O2 (Sigma). CFTR inhibitors: CFTRinh-172 (Sigma-Aldrich, C2992), chloroanol 293B (Sigma-Aldrich, C2615) and glibenclamide (Tocris Biosciences, 0911); CaCC inhibitors: CaCCinh-A01 (Sigma-Aldrich, SML0916), niflumic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, N0630), tafluplumate (Sigma-Aldrich, SML1710) and tannic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, 403040); TMEM16A inhibitors: T16Ainh-A01 (Merck Chemicals, 613551) and MONNA (Sigma-Aldrich, SML0902). Ribavirin (Sigma-Aldrich, R9644) was included as a known HRSV inhibitor.

Cell viability assays

For viability assessments, drug-treated cells were incubated with CellTiter96 AQueous One Solution (Promega) at 37°C for 1 hour. Absorbances were measured at 492 nm on a microplate reader.

Time of addition assays

A549 cells (1×104 cells/well) in 96-well plates were treated with inhibitors prepared at 2× working concentrations in DMEM (2% FBS, 1% P/S) and an equal volume of HRSV-GFP supernatant (MOI 0.1, T=0). For postinfection treatments, drugs were added at 3, 6 or 9 hours postinfection (hpi). HRSV-GFP expression was assessed via IncuCyte analysis at 24 hpi (eg, when added at 9 hpi, the inhibitor contacted the cells for 15 hours prior to the analysis of GFP expression).

Virion treatments

Channel inhibitors were prepared to 2× working concentrations in DMEM (2% FBS, 1% P/S) and directly added to an equal volume of HRSV MOI 0.2 for 45 min at room temperature. The virus/inhibitor mix was then diluted into media (3 mL per well) and added to A549 cells for 24 hours (MOI 0.2; final inhibitor concentration on cells ≥400-fold dilution from active concentration). HRSV gene expression was assessed via western blotting.

Western blot analysis

Cells were harvested in lysis buffer (25 mM glycerol phosphate, 20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton, 10% glycerol, 50 mM NaF, 5 mM NaH2PO4, pH 7.4) supplemented with hlate protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Scientific). Lysates were resolved on sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide (SDS-PAGE) gels and transferred to methanol-activated polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore) using a Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer System (Bio-Rad). Membranes were blocked in 10% (w/v) milk in TBS-T and probed with the appropriate primary antibodies (goat α-HRSV (Abcam ab20745, 1:1000); mouse α-GAPDH (Santa Cruz sc47724, 1:1000)) in 5% milk. Membranes were labelled with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (Sigma: goat α-mouse (Sigma A4416, 1:5000); rabbit α-goat (Sigma A8919, 1:5000)) in 5% milk and target proteins were detected using the enhanced chemiluminescence system (Advansta) and developed on CL−Xposure film using an Xograph process. Densitometry was performed using ImageJ.

IncuCyte analysis

An IncuCyte ZOOM instrument (IncuCyte) and accompanying software (2018A) were used to analyse HRSV-GFP fluorescence. Plates were scanned using the 10× objective in both the phase and green channels. The processing definition was set on an individual basis using top-hat background subtraction, threshold (GCU), radius (µM), edge sensitivity and area filter (µm²). The total green object count (1/well) or the average integrated intensity (GCU × µm²) were recorded.
Preparation of precision-cut lung slices

Primary human lung tissue was provided by KRH Klinikum Siloah-Oststadt-Heidehaus (Hanover, Germany) from patients with cancer who underwent lung resection. Samples were provided from ≥3 donors. Human precision-cut lung slices (PCLS) were generated from disease-free tissue as previously described.18 Briefly, lobes were filling with 2% agrose (Sigma-Aldrich) in DMEM/F12 (Gibco) via the bronchi. Solidified tissue was punched into cores of 8 mm diameter and cut into slices of 300–350 µm on a microtome (Krumdieck Tissue Slicer, Alabama Research and Development, Munford, Alabama, USA). PCLS containing airways were microscopically checked for ciliary movement and cultured in 24-well plates in DMEM/F12 supplemented with 1% FBS (10000 U/mL, Gibco) at 37°C with 5% CO₂ overnight.

Ex vivo infection experiments using human PCLS

PCLS were drug-treated for 40 min in DMEM/F12 (1% FBS) and subsequently infected with HRSV (10⁶ pfu/mL) or UV-inactivated virus. Supernatants were collected after 24 hours, and tissues were lysed in 1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS (Lonza). Supernatants and lysates were supplemented with 0.02% Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (P1860, Sigma-Aldrich). For viability assessments, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) release was assayed in the supernatants using Cytotoxicity Detection Kits (Roche). Interferon gamma-induced protein 10 (IP-10) secretion was measured using the Human CXCL10/IP-10 ELISA DuoSet (R&D Systems). Total protein content was measured via BCA assay (Thermo Scientific). Virus protein production was assessed by western blotting.

For immunofluorescent analysis, human airway containing PCLS were inoculated with 10⁷ pfu/mL HRSV for 2 hours and subsequently cultivated for 72 hours. After fixation in 2% paraformaldehyde, tissues were permeabilised with 0.3% Triton X-100 and blocked in 4% donkey serum prior to probing for HRSV (Goat IgG polyclonal to respiratory syncytial virus, Abcam, ab20745; 1:200) and for ARL13B (Cilia; Rabbit IgG polyclonal to ARL13B, Proteintech Group, 17711-1-AP; 1:300) and staining with the appropriate secondary antibodies (Cy3 AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Goat IgG (H+L); Jackson ImmunoResearch, 705-176-147; 1:1000; Cy2 AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L); Jackson ImmunoResearch, 711-225-152; 1:1000). Nuclei were stained with DAPI. Immunofluorescence imaging was performed on a confocal laser scanning microscope (Zeiss, LSM 800).

Statistical analysis

Total green object count (1/well), average integrated intensity (GCU × µm²), western blot densitometry and cell viability data were compared using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) Bonferroni multiple comparison test (Cl− channel inhibitor-treated cells vs solvent-treated controls). Data were analysed using Microsoft Excel (V2013). Assays were verified using the known HRSV inhibitor ribavirin. P values ≤0.05 were considered statistically significant (*) and are shown in supplemental file 3). For PCLS data, statistical analysis was performed using a one-way ANOVA Dunnnett’s multiple comparison test or an unpaired Mann-Whitney one-tailed test using GraphPad Prism (V8.3.1). P values of ≤0.05 were considered significant.

RESULTS

HRSV infection assays

For drug assays, we used a commercially available recombinant HRSV A2 variant (HRSV-GFP) that expresses eGFP from an independent transcriptional unit located in the promoter-proximal position. In A549 cells infected with HRSV-GFP, GFP was quantifiable ≥9 hpi (figure 1A) as assessed via live-cell IncuCyte analysis, consistent with the time course of WT-HRSV A2 gene expression previously reported in this cell line.19 HRSV-GFP-infected cells showed HRSV antigen positivity when stained with α-HRSV antibodies (figure 1B). Titres of the HRSV-GFP virus were comparable with those of the parental WT-HRSV A2 strain and produced an identical plaque morphology (figure 1C). HRSV-GFP infection was also inhibited by ribavirin, a known HRSV inhibitor (figure 1D), evidenced by the significant loss of HRSV-GFP fluorescence versus no-drug cells. These data confirmed the suitability of the HRSV-GFP system as a rapid and quantifiable method to identify inhibitory compounds against HRSV.
pretreated with broad-spectrum Cl− channel blockers including DIDS, NPPB and R+IAA-94 for their effects on HRSV (figure 2A–C, black bars). The addition of DIDS (10–50 µM) decreased HRSV-GFP expression in a concentration-dependent manner versus solvent controls (DMSO and H2O). GAPDH was used as a loading control. DIDS, 4,4’-diisothiocyanostilbene-2,2’-disulfonic acid; NPPB, 5-nitro-2-(3-phenylpropylamino) benzoic acid.

Blocking Cl− channels inhibits HRSV

Cl− channels are key regulators of transepithelial Cl− transport and fluid secretion in lung cells.16 To investigate their role during HRSV infection, we first assessed the effects of well-characterised broad-spectrum Cl− channel blockers including DIDS, NPPB and R+IAA-94 for their effects on HRSV (figure 2A–C, black bars). The addition of DIDS (10–50 µM) decreased HRSV-GFP expression in a concentration-dependent manner versus no-drug controls (62%–86% inhibition, figure 2A). A similar effect was observed following treatment with NPPB (40–100 µM; 43%–95% inhibition, figure 2B). In contrast, R+IAA-94 (5–25 µM), a more specific blocker of CLIC channels, had no effect on HRSV-GFP expression (figure 2C). At all concentrations assessed, the compounds were non-toxic as confirmed by MTS assays (figure 2A–C, grey bars). For confirmation of these data, WT-HRSV gene expression was assessed through western blotting of cell lysates for HRSV-G, a loss of which was observed in cells treated with ribavirin, DIDS and NPPB, while R+IAA-94 had no effect (figure 2E). These data were further confirmed in SH-SYSY cells, a human neuronal cell-line permissive to HRSV20 (figure 2F). Taken together, these data suggest that HRSV infection is dependent on the function of a DIDS/NPPB-sensitive, R+IAA-94-insensitive Cl− channel to efficiently infect cells.

Inhibiting CFTR does not influence HRSV infection

The primary target for HRSV infection is the respiratory tract, in which the functions of CFTR are well-characterised.21 We therefore investigated the effects of specific CFTR inhibitors on HRSV infection using CFTR(inh)-172, chromanol 293B and glibenclamide. Treatment with each of the CFTR inhibitors had no effect on HRSV-GFP expression compared with no-drug controls (figure 3A–D). Given that these drugs failed to recapitulate the effects of either DIDS or NPPB, we reasoned that HRSV does not require CFTR activity during its infectious life-cycle.

Blocking CaCCs inhibits HRSV infection

Several specific CaCC inhibitors are available, including CaCCinh-A01, niflumic acid, talnifluat and tannic acid22–24 (figure 4A). When A549 cells were infected in the presence of each of these compounds, a significant, concentration-dependent reduction in HRSV-GFP infection was observed at non-cytotoxic concentrations (figure 4B–F). A similar concentration-dependent loss of HRSV-G expression was observed in cells infected with WT-HRSV in the presence of each modulator, as assessed by western blotting (figure 4G–J). These data implicated a requirement for CaCC activity during HRSV infection.
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TMEM16A is the CaCC required during HRSV infection

Within the two CaCC subfamilies (anoctamins and bestrophins), TMEM16A (anoctamin 1) is expressed in lung epithelial cells.23 We used recently identified selective antagonists of TMEM16A; T16Ainh-A01 and MONNA,24 25 to assess their requirement during HRSV infection. Of note, we selected a pharmacological approach to target the Cl− functionality of TMEM16A, since gene-silencing approaches ablate the scaffolding and scramblase functions of this channel, leading to detrimental effects on cell growth.26 Cells were infected with HRSV-GFP in the presence of T16Ainh-A01 or MONNA (5–30 µM) and HRSV gene expression was assessed at 24 hpi. Figure 5A–C shows a significant reduction in HRSV-GFP expression in the presence of T16Ainh-A01 and MONNA that was confirmed by western blot analysis of WT-HRSV-treated cells (figure 5D). No cytotoxicity

Figure 4 CaCC blockers inhibit HRSV infection. (A) Targets of the broad-spectrum CaCC inhibitors CaCCinh-A01, niflumic acid, talniflumate and tannic acid assessed in the study. (B–E) HRSV-GFP expression in A549 cells pretreated with CaCCinh-A01 (5–40 µM), niflumic acid (10–40 µM), talniflumate (10–40 µM) and tannic acid (5–20 µM) (GFP count per well—black bars) compared with solvent (DMSO or H2O) treated cells. Cell viability was determined by MTS assays (grey bars). Treatments were performed in duplicate and represent the average of four independent repeats (n=4), ±SE. Values are normalised to solvent-treated controls. Statistical significance is represented by asterisk (*) where p<0.05. (F) Representative Incucyte images showing the decrease in HRSV-GFP expression in cells pretreated with increasing concentrations of CaCCinh-A01 (left to right). (G–J) Western blot analysis showing wild type HRSV-G expression as a marker of HRSV infection in treated cells. GAPDH was probed as a loading control. CaCC, Ca2+-activated Cl− channel; HRSV, human respiratory syncytial virus.

TMEM16A is the CaCC required during HRSV infection

Within the two CaCC subfamilies (anoctamins and bestrophins), TMEM16A (anoctamin 1) is expressed in lung epithelial cells.23 24 We used recently identified selective antagonists of TMEM16A; T16Ainh-A01 and MONNA,24 25 to assess their requirement during HRSV infection. Of note, we selected a pharmacological approach to target the Cl− functionality of TMEM16A, since gene-silencing approaches ablate the scaffolding and scramblase functions of this channel, leading to detrimental effects on cell growth.26 Cells were infected with HRSV-GFP in the presence of T16Ainh-A01 or MONNA (5–30 µM) and HRSV gene expression was assessed at 24 hpi. Figure 5A–C shows a significant reduction in HRSV-GFP expression in the presence of T16Ainh-A01 and MONNA that was confirmed by western blot analysis of WT-HRSV-treated cells (figure 5D). No cytotoxicity
**Figure 6** TMEM16A blockers inhibit HRSV in neuronal cells. (A–C) Analysis of HRSV-GFP infection in SH-SYSY (neuronal) cells pretreated with TMEM16A-specific inhibitors T16Ainh-A01 (10–30 µM) and MONNA (20–40 µM) and ribavirin (10–80 µM). Values are normalised to solvent-treated controls (DMSO or H2O) alongside cell viability assessments via MTS assays (grey bars). Data are the average of three independent repeats (n=3) ±SE. Statistical significance (p<0.05) are represented by an asterisk (*). (D) Representative IncuCyte images of HRSV-GFP expressing SH-SYSY cells following CaCC channel modulation. Scale bars: 300 µm. (E) Western blot analysis of wild type HRSV-G expression in SH-SYSY cells following treatment with T16Ainh-A01 (20 µM), MONNA (20 µM) or solvent (DMSO) control. GAPDH was probed as a loading control. CaCC, Ca2+-activated Cl− channel; HRSV, human respiratory syncytial virus. 

was observed at the inhibitory concentrations of each compound (figure 5A,B, grey bars). Importantly, we confirmed that the effects of T16Ainh-A01 and MONNA were cell mediated as the direct treatment of HRSV virions with T16Ainh-A01 and MONNA in the absence of cell treatment had no effect on HRSV infection (figure 5E). The inhibition of HRSV by these compounds was also independent of the MOI (figure 5F). We further confirmed that the requirement for TMEM16A was conserved during HRSV infection of SH-SYSY cells, in which T16Ainh-A01 (10–30 µM) and MONNA (20–40 µM) inhibited HRSV-GFP in a concentration-dependent manner (figure 6A,B,D). At the highest, non-toxic concentrations assessed, HRSV-GFP expression was reduced by 87% and 88%, respectively. Ribavirin treatment led to a similar reduction in HRSV-GFP gene expression in this cell line (figure 6C,D). These data were confirmed by western blot analysis in which WT-HRSV-G expression was reduced following drug treatments (figure 6E) confirming the requirement for TMEM16A during HRSV infection.

**Figure 7** CaCC inhibitors influence HRSV at a postentry stage of infection. (A–H) Time of addition assays in which A549 cells were infected with HRSV-GFP and treated with inhibitors at 0, 3, 6 or 9 hours postinfection (hpi). HRSV-GFP expression was assessed at 24 hpi. Ribavirin (40 µM) was included as positive control. NH4Cl (10 µM) was added to assess the effects of endosomal pH on virus infection. Inhibitor concentrations were as follows: NPPB (80 µM), CaCC inhibitors (tannic acid, 15 µM; CaCCinh-A01, 40 µM; talnifluate, 30 µM) and TMEM16A-specific inhibitors (T16ainh-A01, 30 µM; MONNA, 40 µM). Number of HRSV-GFP-expressing cells (GFP count per well) was normalised to solvent-treated cells (n=3) ±SE. Statistical significance (p<0.05) are represented by an asterisk (*). CaCC, Ca2+-activated Cl− channel; HRSV, human respiratory syncytial virus; NPPB, 5-nitro-2-(3-phenylpropylamino) benzoic acid.

**TMEM16A is required during a postentry stage of HRSV infection**

We next determined the HRSV life-cycle stage requiring TMEM16A functionality. HRSV-GFP gene expression can be detected at 9 hpi (figure 1A), suggesting at this timepoint that the virus had penetrated cells and already established viral replication complexes.19 27 The ability of a compound to inhibit HRSV when added 9 hpi would therefore be suggestive of activity at a postentry stage. In the experiments that followed, cells were infected with HRSV-GFP, each channel modulator was added at defined timepoints postinfection, and HRSV-GFP expression was analysed at 24 hpi. As expected, the addition of ribavirin at 0–6 hpi inhibited HRSV-GFP expression, consistent with its ability to inhibit RNA chain elongation and viral mRNA capping after cell entry (figure 7A). Consistent with previous studies,28 the inhibition of endosomal acidification through the treatment of cells with ammonium chloride (NH4Cl) failed to impact HRSV-GFP (figure 7B). Treatment with tannic acid showed a large reduction when added prior to HRSV-GFP infection (0 hpi) but showed reduced antiviral efficacy when added ≥3 hpi (figure 7C). This effect was consistent with the ability of this compound to prevent virus–receptor interactions as reported for HCV,29 HIV30 and norovirus,31 in addition to its effects...
on TMEM16A. Importantly, NPPB, CaCCinh-A01 and talnifluolate (figure 7D–F), and the TMEM16A-specific inhibitors T16Ainh-A01 and MONNA (figure 7G,H) maintained their ability to inhibit HRSV when added up to 9 hpi. These data highlight TMEM16A modulators as inhibitors of the postentry stages of HRSV infection, thus showing promise as a postentry prophylaxis.

**TMEM16A inhibits HRSV-induced IP-10 secretion in primary human lung tissue**

TMEM16A is highly expressed in goblet cells, but it is also expressed to lower levels in the ciliated epithelium, where its silencing with short-interfering RNAs is known to block CaCC activity.32 This confirms that TMEM16A in ciliated epithelial cells (the major site of HRSV infection in the lungs) is the key mediator of Ca2+-dependent Cl− transport. We therefore investigated the effects of TMEM16A modulation on HRSV infection in human PCLS as more physiological models of virus infection. The PCLS system has been shown to support influenza A and rhinovirus (RV) infection in previous studies.33–35

In lung slices, actively replicating HRSV induces IP-10, whereas ultraviolet (UV)-inactivated virus is unable to increase IP-10 levels.33 We therefore investigated IP-10 as a surrogate marker for successful HRSV infection. Consistent with previous findings, we observed a significant increase in IP-10 secretion in PCLS infected with HRSV compared with UV-inactivated controls (figure 8A). Images of a PCLS airways infected with HRSV also showed specific HRSV staining and infection which co-localised with ciliated cells (figure 8B). These data validated the use of PCLS to further investigate the antiviral effects of TMEM16A inhibitors.

We found that the treatment of PCLS with T16Ainh-A01 significantly reduced the HRSV-mediated release of IP-10 (figure 8C).36 The addition of CaCCinh-A01 led to a similar decrease in IP-10 in 2/3 donors (online supplemental figure 1Ai), yet these values did not reach statistical significance, most likely due to the lower specificity of this drug for TMEM16A. Both compounds showed no negative effects on PCLS integrity, confirmed through LDH release assays (≤30% vs Triton-lysed controls; figure 8D and online supplemental figure 1). T16Ainh-A01 treatment also led to a modest reduction in HRSV-G expression in the PCLS at 20 µM (figure 8E, representative blot) further confirmed through the assessment of HRSV-nucleoprotein (N) expression that similarly decreased following treatment with T16Ainh-A01 (20 µM). These data confirmed that T16Ainh-A01 inhibits HRSV processes, particularly HRSV-mediated IP-10 release in more physiological models of HRSV infection. Given the known proinflammatory effects of IP-10,37 these data further reveal TMEM16A modulation as a potential target to subvert HRSV-mediated lung damage.

**CaCCs may be required for other respiratory viruses**

We finally investigated the sensitivity of IAV to TMEM16A modulation. Cells were pretreated with CaCCinh-A01, TMEM16A-specific T16Ainh-A01 and MONNA, or ribavirin, and infected for 24 hours with IAV-GFP. We found that CaCCinh-A01 led to a small but significant decrease in IAV-GFP expression (figure 9A), while MONNA had minimal effects (figure 9B). Interestingly, T16Ainh-A01 treatment potently inhibited IAV-GFP expression (65% inhibition at 30 µM) compared with solvent controls (figure 9C,E). Ribavirin also showed activity against IAV (figure 9D,E). This may infer that IAV-GFP also depends on CaCCs, although those with a different pharmacological profile to HRSV.

**DISCUSSION**

The CaCC TMEM16A (anoctamin 1) and CFTR are the two major secretory anion channels in airway epithelia.38 TMEM16A is upregulated during airway inflammation and asthma and has been proposed as a drug target to compensate for abrogated CFTR function in patients with cystic fibrosis (CF).23 38 In this study, we showed that the targeted inhibition of TMEM16A, but not CFTR, is of potential therapeutic benefit to HRSV sufferers.
through its ability to reduce viral gene expression and virus-induced IP-10 release when administered following HRSV infection. We therefore highlight TMEM16A inhibitors as a novel postexposure prophylaxis for HRSV, which may be conserved for other important respiratory virus infections.

TMEM16A is unique among Cl\(^{-}\) channels as it comprises a dual function scramblase\(^{39}\) that is frequently amplified in human cancers.\(^{60}\) As TMEM16A silencing approaches are detrimental to cancer cell growth,\(^{26}\) our cell culture studies were limited to pharmacological inhibition of the Cl\(^{-}\) functional component of the channel, which was not detrimental to cell health,\(^{26}\) validated in both cell lines and human PCLS. Indeed, and as previously reported, TMEM16A silencing using siRNA in our hands led to a loss of cell proliferation in A549 cells (data not shown), confirming that a reduction of TMEM16A protein but not functionality induces growth defects. An additional benefit of the pharmacological approach was that time-of-addition assays could be performed, revealing that TMEM16A-specific inhibitors maintained their inhibitory effects on HRSV when added 9 hpi, the time when viral gene expression and viral assembly/release would have already been initiated.\(^{19}\) This suggested that HRSV requires TMEM16A function for postentry stages of the virus life-cycle.

An unanswered question remains as to why HRSV is influenced by TMEM16A Cl\(^{-}\) channel functionality. TMEM16A is essential for the activation of CFTR,\(^{33}\) however, CFTR blockers had no effect on HRSV infection, suggesting a role independent of this relationship. Similarly, the lack of NH\(_4\)Cl sensitivity during any stage of the HRSV life-cycle suggested no direct role of Cl\(^{-}\) as a counter-ion for H\(^{+}\) through TMEM16A.\(^{41}\) A finding of note was that HRSV-induced IP-10 release could be inhibited by TMEM16A blockers in PCLS. Given that proinflammatory cytokine secretion is suppressed by TMEM16A activity in human cystic fibrosis bronchial epithelia,\(^{42}\) this suggests that the specific effects of TMEM16A modulation on this inflammatory cytokine are likely mediated through the perturbation of HRSV-mediated processes, including virus replication and/or assembly and release. However, direct IP-10 modulation by TMEM16A may represent a limitation of this assay, which requires assessment in future studies. The organisation, composition and functions of replication factories induced by RNA viruses are generally accessed to require the recruitment of a range of host cell factors.\(^{43}\) Anion channels are important in a range of these viral replicase systems; CHIKV is dependent on CLIC1 that interacts with NSP3, a known component of the replicase complex;\(^{44}\) the voltage-dependent anion channel 1 directly interacts with VP1 and VP3 of infectious bursal disease virus, a member of the Birnaviridae to stabilise RNP formation;\(^{45}\) and CLIC-1, CIC-5 and CIC-7 silencing impede HCV replication.\(^{46}\) Of interest, inclusion body-associated granules (IBAGs) are key to HRSV RNA synthesis and contain viral mRNA and the viral protein M2-1, but exclude viral genomes and all necessary components required for transcription and replication (N, P and L).\(^{27}\) IBAGs are related to aggresomes, the sites for the accumulation of dead-end protein products in response to ER stress responses.\(^{45}\) Interestingly, aggresomes are known to be induced by TMEM16A activity.\(^{50}\) Further studies will dissect any role of TMEM16A in these HRSV-induced processes.

In summary, and for the first time, we implicate host cell Cl\(^{-}\)-conductances through TMEM16A as a key cellular process that is required during HRSV replication. The challenge is now to define the specific HRSV functionality that requires TMEM16A and regulates IP-10 release, and to assess the effectiveness of TMEM16A modulators as in vivo anti-HRSV drugs.

**Figure 9** Influenza A virus (IAV) may be dependent on CaCC modulation. (A–D) Analysis of IAV-GFP infection in A549 cells pretreated with broad CaCC inhibitor (CaCCinh-A01, 5–40 μM), TMEM16A-specific inhibitors (MONNA, 5–30 μM; T16Ainh-A01, 5–30 μM) and replication inhibitor (ribavirin, 10–80 μM). Number of GFP-expressing cells (GFP count per well) normalised to solvent-treated controls. Data are the average of three independent repeats (n=3)±SEM. *P value ≤0.05. (E) Representative IncuCyte images of IAV-GFP expression in A549 cells treated with low and high concentrations of the inhibitors (CaCCinh-A01, 5 and 40 μM; T16Ainh-A01, 5 and 30 μM; MONNA 5 and 30 μM; ribavirin 10 and 80 μM). Scale bars: 300 μm. CaCC, Ca\(^{2+}\)-activated Cl\(^{-}\) channel.


