



OPEN ACCESS

Original research

Night shift work is associated with an increased risk of asthma

Robert J Maidstone,^{1,2} James Turner,³ Celine Vetter,^{4,5} Hassan S Dashti,^{5,6,7} Richa Saxena,^{5,6,7} Frank A J L Scheer,^{8,9,10} Steven A Shea,¹¹ Simon D Kyle,¹² Deborah A Lawlor,^{13,14} Andrew S I Loudon,¹⁵ John F Blaikley,^{16,17} Martin K Rutter,^{15,18} David W Ray,^{2,15,19} Hannah Jane Durrington ^{16,17}

► Additional material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2020-215218>).

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Hannah Jane Durrington, School of Biological Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, UK; hannah.durrington@manchester.ac.uk

Received 7 May 2020

Revised 3 August 2020

Accepted 11 August 2020

Published Online First

16 November 2020

ABSTRACT

Introduction Shift work causes misalignment between internal circadian time and the external light/dark cycle and is associated with metabolic disorders and cancer. Approximately 20% of the working population in industrialised countries work permanent or rotating night shifts, exposing this large population to the risk of circadian misalignment-driven disease. Analysis of the impact of shift work on chronic inflammatory diseases is lacking. We investigated the association between shift work and asthma.

Methods We describe the cross-sectional relationship between shift work and prevalent asthma in >280000 UK Biobank participants, making adjustments for major confounding factors (smoking history, ethnicity, socioeconomic status, physical activity, body mass index). We also investigated chronotype.

Results Compared with day workers, 'permanent' night shift workers had a higher likelihood of moderate-severe asthma (OR 1.23 (95% CI 1.03 to 1.46)). Individuals doing any type of shift work had higher adjusted odds of wheeze/whistling in the chest. Shift workers who never or rarely worked on nights and people working permanent nights had a higher adjusted likelihood of having reduced lung function (FEV₁ <80% predicted). We found an increase in the risk of moderate-severe asthma in individuals with extreme chronotypes (morning, OR 1.55 (95% CI 1.06 to 2.27) or evening, OR 1.31 (95% CI 1.22 to 1.40)).

Conclusions The public health implications of these findings are far-reaching due to the high prevalence and co-occurrence of both asthma and shift work. Future longitudinal follow-up studies are needed to determine if modifying shift work schedules to take into account chronotype might present a public health measure to reduce the risk of developing inflammatory diseases such as asthma.

INTRODUCTION

Most human biological processes are regulated by an internal circadian timing system to optimally prepare physiological functions for anticipated daily environmental and behavioural cycles. Cyclical light/dark environmental cues, mealtimes and physical activity can serve as 'Zeitgebers' for circadian timing. The development of artificial light has allowed extension of the active period of humans into the night, and through the night for

Key messages

What is the key question?

⇒ Shift workers are at increased risk of cardiometabolic disorders and cancers. The mechanism is thought to involve circadian misalignment. In this study, using data from >280 000 individuals in the UK Biobank, we asked whether shift workers were at increased risk of asthma.

What is the bottom line?

⇒ Shift workers, especially those working permanent night shifts, had an increased risk of moderate-severe asthma.

Why read on?

⇒ The public health implications of our findings are potentially far-reaching, since both shift work and asthma are common in the industrialised world. Future longitudinal follow-up studies might determine whether an individual is suited to night shift work based on their chronotype. Currently there are no guidelines for the management of asthma in shift workers. We hope that our study might fuel future discussion in this area.

night shift workers. This imbalance between our internal clock and the environment results in circadian misalignment.¹ Shift work, a notable example of circadian misalignment, is invariably associated with sleep disruption and with increased risk of prevalent chronic diseases including metabolic and cardiovascular diseases²⁻³ and cancer.⁴ There is evidence of causal relationships between circadian misalignment and the development of metabolic and cardiovascular diseases.^{5,6}

Approximately 20% of the working population in industrialised countries work permanent or rotating night shifts,⁷ exposing this large population to the risk of circadian misalignment-driven disease, making this an important area of investigation. Analysis of the impact of shift work on chronic inflammatory diseases is lacking.

Asthma is a very common chronic inflammatory disease of the airways, affecting 339 million people worldwide⁸ and costing the UK public sector £1.1 billion.⁹ Intriguingly, asthma displays marked time



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Maidstone RJ, Turner J, Vetter C, *et al.* *Thorax* 2021;**76**:53–60.



of day variations in symptoms (wheeze and whistling),¹⁰ airway calibre¹¹ and in underpinning inflammatory pathways.¹² The physiological diurnal variation in airway calibre is under direct circadian control, independent of external environmental cues such as light/dark and fasting/feeding.¹³ In asthma it appears that the physiological diurnal variation in airway calibre is amplified, suggesting coupling between the internal body clock and pathogenic processes. This raises the possibility that misalignment between the internal body clock and the environment, such as that induced by night shift work, would impact on asthma risk. Indeed, a correlation between shift work and work-related asthma was found in a study of 544 individuals working in a cabling manufacturing plant¹⁴; however, small numbers and confounding limit generalisability. We therefore investigated the association between shift work and asthma in a much larger dataset from the UK Biobank¹⁵ in which we could also adjust for numerous major confounding factors.

We hypothesised that, when compared with day workers, shift work—especially involving nights—would be associated with a higher prevalence of asthma.

The primary outcome was risk of asthma in shift workers. Secondary outcome measures included risk of symptoms of asthma and lung function in shift workers, as well as assessment of the effects of lifetime duration of night shift work and night shift frequency on the risk of asthma.

We also investigated whether chronotype is associated with the risk of asthma in shift workers. Chronotype is the phenotypic expression of the internal circadian timing system and can affect how an individual adapts to shift work; earlier chronotypes experience shortened sleep duration and increased sleep disturbance during night shifts, whereas late chronotypes show similar disruption when working early shifts.¹⁶ Matching shift work patterns to chronotype can improve sleep quality and well-being.¹⁷

Lastly, we investigated the intersection between the genetic risk of asthma and shift work exposure. Asthma risk was captured using a genetic risk score (GRS), the sum of genetic variants with weighted effect sizes.¹⁸ If the asthma GRS affects the health impact of shift work exposure, this may provide an employment screening opportunity in the future.

METHODS

The UK Biobank recruited 502 540 participants (5% of those invited) aged 40–69 years who were registered with the National Health Service (NHS) and lived within reasonable travelling distance of 22 assessment centres across the UK between 2007 and 2010.¹⁹ At the baseline visit, participants completed questionnaires on lifestyle, medical history, occupation and work hours. Trained health professionals asked further details about medical conditions, health status and medications. The selection of participants analysed in all comparisons are shown in a STROBE diagram (online supplemental figure 1) and further information can be found in the online supplemental methods.

Analysis of shift work was restricted to participants in paid employment or who were self-employed at baseline ($n=286\,825$, age range 37–72 years)²; we did not exclude any individuals based on other diagnoses. The demographics of this group are shown in table 1. Of these, 83% were day workers and 17% worked shifts, of which 51% included night shifts. Compared with day workers, shift workers were more likely to be male, lived in more deprived neighbourhoods (Townsend area deprivation Index), more likely to live in an urban area and more likely to be smokers. Shift workers drank less alcohol, reported shorter

sleep duration and longer weekly working hours. Night shift workers were more likely evening chronotypes compared with those working days. Compared with day workers, shift workers were more likely to have a diagnosis of gastro-oesophageal reflux, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)/emphysema, higher cholesterol and hypertension. Shift workers were more likely of non-European ancestry and to be in jobs linked to occupational asthma or to jobs that require a medical examination. There were differences between the proportion of workers in each employment category across the work schedules. Night shift workers were more likely to work in the service occupations or as process, plant and machine operatives. In contrast, day workers tended to be in administrative roles and in professional occupations (online supplemental table 1).

Cases of asthma

Cases of asthma were defined by including all participants with self-reported doctor-diagnosed asthma at baseline who were also receiving any asthma medication.²⁰ Using these criteria, we identified 14 238 (5.3%) cases, of which 4783 (1.9%) had moderate-severe asthma (defined as having doctor-diagnosed asthma at baseline and currently taking medication in accordance with steps 3–5 of the British Thoracic Society guidance for the treatment of asthma).²⁰ We excluded from our analyses participants with doctor-diagnosed asthma who did not report taking asthma medication as well as those participants reporting taking asthma medication who did not have doctor-diagnosed asthma ($n=20\,151$). For analysis of moderate-severe asthma, we further excluded those not on medication for moderate-severe asthma (listed in the Methods section, $n=9455$). Initially, we focused on those with moderate-severe asthma, since these individuals were more likely to have active asthma requiring regular disease-modifying treatment, so reducing the risk of misdiagnosis.

Statistical analysis

We fitted a multivariate logistic regression model to the data and used this to estimate adjusted ORs and 95% asymptotic CIs on those ORs.

In model 1 we initially adjust for participant age and sex. We extend this in model 2 to additionally include BMI, ethnicity, chronotype, Townsend Deprivation Index (TDI), days exercised, smoker status and pack-years smoked (supplementary methods), alcohol status (current, previous or never) and alcohol weekly intake, length of working week and whether current job is considered to have an occupational asthma risk or requires a medical examination prior to hiring. These covariates were chosen by consideration of participant characteristics (table 1). Model 3 also included sleep duration in addition to covariates in model 2.

RESULTS

In an age- and sex-adjusted model, there were higher odds of having moderate-severe asthma in shift workers who never or rarely undertook night shifts (OR 1.13 (95% CI 1.02 to 1.25)) and in those on permanent night shifts (OR 1.23 (95% CI 1.03 to 1.47)) when compared with day workers (figure 1). After further adjusting for smoking status and pack years, alcohol status and intake, ethnicity, social deprivation, physical activity, BMI, chronotype, length of working week, job asthma risk and job medical examination required (Model 2), associations attenuated in shift workers who never or rarely undertook night shifts (OR 1.07 (95% CI 0.97 to 1.18)) and in permanent night shift workers

Table 1 Clinical characteristics by current shift work exposure (n=286 825)

	Current work schedule			
	Day workers	Shift work, but never or rarely night shifts	Irregular shift work including nights	Permanent night shift work
N	236 897	24 560	18 226	7142
Age, years	52.90 (7.13)	52.48 (7.08)	51.08 (6.87)	51.45 (6.91)
Sex (% male)	46.58	47.51	62.43	61.43
BMI, kg/m ²	27.09 (4.65)	27.79 (4.99)	28.21 (4.91)	28.51 (4.88)
Smoker, %				
Never	58.10	53.66	52.82	51.99
Previous	31.91	32.11	30.52	30.03
Current	9.75	13.88	16.19	17.67
Smoking, pack-years	20.07 (16.07)	22.92 (17.49)	24.31 (17.77)	25.70 (18.38)
Daily alcohol intake, %	20.48	16.89	15.98	10.21
Sleep duration (hours)	7.05 (1.03)	6.95 (1.22)	6.85 (1.30)	6.67 (1.52)
Morning chronotype, %	23.33	25.49	22.85	19.24
Evening chronotype, %	8.02	7.87	9.83	16.90
Ethnicity (%)				
White British	88.47	83.30	79.87	80.99
White other	6.45	7.07	7.03	6.01
Mixed	0.65	0.90	0.97	0.87
Asian	1.72	3.58	3.84	3.39
Black	1.40	2.69	4.93	5.47
Chinese	0.34	0.48	0.46	0.67
Other	0.09	0.13	0.10	0.14
Weekly work hours	34.24 (13.19)	34.97 (13.21)	39.29 (14.55)	39.59 (13.73)
Job asthma risk (%)	7.59	7.18	8.11	7.74
Job medical required (%)	2.27	2.52	4.14	3.68
Single occupancy (%)	15.64	18.78	18.71	18.42
Urban area (%)	85.98	89.59	89.33	90.97
Townsend Index	-2.24 (-3.70 to 0.19)	-1.31 (-3.18 to 1.61)	-1.24 (-3.17 to 1.82)	-1.04 (-3.02 to 2.07)
Maternal smoking (%)	26.59	28.88	29.23	30.75
Breastfed as baby (%)	56.12	54.27	54.16	51.51
Birth weight (kg)	3.33 (0.63)	3.31 (0.68)	3.35 (0.67)	3.31 (0.71)
Hypertension (%)	19.75	21.58	21.64	22.81
High cholesterol (%)	7.88	8.55	8.54	9.27
Sleep apnoea (%)	0.28	0.30	0.42	0.27
COPD/emphysema/chronic bronchitis (%)	0.81	1.26	1.27	1.23
Bronchiectasis (%)	0.14	0.12	0.03	0.14
Interstitial lung disease (%)	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.01
Other respiratory problems (%)	0.12	0.17	0.16	0.07
Gastro-oesophageal reflux (%)	3.19	3.65	3.84	4.16

Data are mean (SD), median (IQR) or percentages. Positive values of the Townsend Index indicate high material deprivation, negative values indicate relative affluence. The diagnosis of conditions (hypertension, high cholesterol, sleep apnoea, COPD/emphysema/chronic bronchitis, bronchiectasis, interstitial lung disease, other respiratory problems and gastro-oesophageal reflux) came from participants self-reporting a doctor diagnosis.
BMI, body mass index; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

(OR 1.13 (95% CI 0.94 to 1.35)). Further adjustment for sleep duration had no additional effects on the estimates (Model 3).

A similar pattern of higher odds of asthma was seen when all cases of asthma were considered (see online supplemental table

2). In an age- and sex-adjusted model, we observed higher odds of asthma in shift workers who never or rarely worked night shifts when compared with day workers (OR 1.08 (95% CI 1.02




Figure 1 Adjusted odds of moderate-severe asthma by current shift work exposure ($n=257\ 219$). Forest plot of adjusted ORs with corresponding 95% asymptotic CIs for moderate-severe asthma stratified by current work pattern. Three multivariate logistic regression models were fitted to the data: Model 1 (green circle): age- and sex-adjusted. Model 2 (blue square) covariates: age, sex, smoking status, smoking pack-years, alcohol status, daily alcohol intake, ethnicity, Townsend Deprivation Index, days exercised (walked, moderate and vigorous), body mass index, chronotype, length of working week, job asthma risk and job medical required. Model 3 (yellow triangle): model 2 covariates plus sleep duration.

to 1.15)). This association remained with covariate adjustment (Model 2), OR 1.06 (95% CI 1.00 to 1.12).

Symptoms of asthma

We then analysed the association between shift work and the experience of wheeze or whistling in the chest in the previous year ($n=275\ 843$). When compared with day workers, the age- and sex-adjusted model revealed higher odds for these symptoms in association with all three types of shift work (shift work but never or rarely night shifts, irregular night shifts and permanent nights; [figure 2](#)). These associations with wheeze or whistling were attenuated but remained statistically significant for all types of shift work in Models 2 and 3 (eg, Model 2: shift work, but never or rarely night shifts: OR 1.13 (95% CI 1.09 to 1.17); irregular shift work including nights: 1.12 (95% CI 1.08 to 1.17); and permanent night shift work: 1.15 (95% CI 1.08 to 1.22)).

Reduced lung function

We also examined the association between shift work status and reduced lung function assessed as the proportion of participants with a forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV_1) that was $<80\%$ of the predicted value based on height and age ($n=88\ 950$). In age- and sex-adjusted models there were higher odds of participants having an $FEV_1 <80\%$ predicted in all shift work groups compared with day workers ([table 2](#)). After multivariable adjustment, these associations attenuated towards the null with higher odds remaining for shift workers who never or rarely worked night shifts and for those working permanent nights (eg, Model 2: shift work, but never or rarely night shifts: OR 1.13 (95% CI




Figure 2 Adjusted odds of experiencing wheeze or whistling in the chest in the last year by current shift work exposure ($n=280\ 998$). Forest plot of adjusted ORs with corresponding 95% asymptotic CIs for experiencing wheeze or whistling in the chest in the last year stratified by current work pattern. Three multivariate logistic regression models were fitted to the data: Model 1 (green circle): age- and sex-adjusted. Model 2 (blue square) covariates: age, sex, smoking status, smoking pack-years, alcohol status, daily alcohol intake, ethnicity, Townsend Deprivation Index, days exercised (walked, moderate and vigorous), body mass index, chronotype, length of working week, job asthma risk and job medical required. Model 3 (yellow triangle): Model 2 covariates plus sleep duration.

1.06 to 1.21); permanent night shift work: OR 1.13 (95% CI 1.01 to 1.27) compared with day workers).

Lifetime duration of night shift work

Next we used data on 105 588 participants who provided lifetime work history data. When compared with those reporting no history of shift work, the highest odds for moderate-severe asthma was seen in participants reporting <5 years of shift work (OR 1.35 (95% CI 1.08 to 1.67)) and the lowest odds when performing ≥ 10 years of shift work (OR 1.20 (95% CI 1.06 to 1.36)) ([figure 3A](#)). After adjusting for covariates in Models 2 and 3 relationships were attenuated to the null. No strong statistical evidence of a trend was found when treating lifetime duration of shift work as a continuous variable in any model.

Average lifetime night shift frequency

Using the same historical lifetime work data, we analysed the prior frequency of night shift work in relation to the prevalence of moderate-severe asthma ($n=105\ 588$; [figure 3B](#)). In age- and sex-adjusted models, when compared with participants reporting no shift work, there were higher odds of moderate-severe asthma in people reporting prior higher frequencies of night shift work (5–10 night shifts/month): OR 1.18 (95% CI 1.01 to 1.37) and also ≥ 10 night shifts/month: OR 1.35 (95% CI 1.15 to 1.58), but not the lower frequency of shift work (<5 night shifts/month): OR 1.14 (95% CI 0.95 to 1.37). However, these associations attenuated to the null on covariate adjustment (Models 2 and 3). There was no strong statistical evidence of

Table 2 Adjusted odds (95% CI) of having a critical FEV₁ predicted percentage (<80%) by current shift work exposure (n=89 157)

	Current work schedule			
	Day workers	Shift work, but never or rarely night shifts	Irregular shift work including nights	Permanent night shift work
Total cases (% of total sample size)	9381 (12.73%)	1183 (15.84%)	849 (15.07%)	397 (16.99%)
Total sample size	73 719	7469	5632	2337
Model 1: Age- and sex-adjusted OR (95% CI)	1 (referent)	1.31 (1.23 to 1.40)	1.27 (1.18 to 1.37)	1.44 (1.29 to 1.61)
Model 2: Multivariable adjusted OR (95% CI)	1 (referent)	1.19 (1.08 to 1.32)	1.08 (0.96 to 1.21)	1.20 (1.03 to 1.41)
Model 3: Model 2 covariates+sleep duration OR (95% CI)	1 (referent)	1.19 (1.08 to 1.32)	1.08 (0.97 to 1.21)	1.21 (1.04 to 1.42)

The predicted FEV₁ was estimated based on height and age. Model 2 covariates: age, sex, smoking status, smoking pack-years, alcohol status, daily alcohol intake, ethnicity, Townsend Deprivation Index, days exercised (walked, moderate and vigorous), body mass index, chronotype, length of working week, job asthma risk and job medical required. Model 3 data are adjusted for Model 2 covariates plus sleep duration. FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 s.

average lifetime frequency of night shift work as a continuous variable associating with asthma in any model.

Chronotype

We analysed the likelihood of asthma by chronotype in all UK Biobank participants (n=403 242; [table 3](#)). People reporting either extreme chronotype (definitely a morning person or definitely an evening person) had higher odds of having any asthma compared with those describing themselves as intermediate chronotypes. After adjustment for covariates, the ORs for asthma in those reporting being definitely a morning person were 1.05 (95% CI 1.02 to 1.08) and 1.18 (95% CI 1.13 to 1.24) for those reporting being definitely an evening person (Model 3).

When we assessed the likelihood of moderate-severe asthma in relation to chronotype (n=388 739), age- and sex-adjusted models showed higher odds for moderate-severe asthma for people with either extreme chronotype compared with people with intermediate chronotypes (see online supplemental table 3). Results remained significant for both Model 2 and 3 (eg, Model 3: OR 1.15 (95% CI 1.07 to 1.23) for evening chronotype; and OR 1.08 (95% CI 1.03 to 1.13) for definitely a morning person).

Finally, in relation to chronotype, we found no strong evidence of associations between shift work pattern and the likelihood of moderate-severe asthma when we restricted our analysis to individuals who reported being definitely a morning chronotype (n=58 566), definitely an evening person (n=20 332) or being an intermediate chronotype (n=145 600) (see online supplemental table 4). There was no statistical evidence of an interaction between chronotype and shift work in association with asthma ($p_{\text{interaction}}=0.73$).

Asthma Genetic Risk Score (GRS)

We examined whether genetic susceptibility for asthma modified the relationship between shift work and the likelihood of asthma. In those of European ancestry in the UK Biobank cohort, we first showed that a higher genetic risk for asthma was associated with a higher odds of moderate-severe asthma (Model 3: per risk allele OR 1.14 (95% CI 1.13 to 1.15), $p_{\text{trend}}<0.01$, n=305 812) and for risk of any asthma (Model 3: per risk allele OR 1.13 (95% CI 1.12 to 1.13), $p_{\text{trend}}<0.01$, n=294 924). To investigate this effect further we split the GRS into quartiles and calculated odds of any asthma (online supplemental table 5) and moderate-severe




Figure 3 Adjusted odds of moderate-severe asthma by lifetime duration of shift work including nights (A) and by average monthly frequency of shifts that included night shifts (B) (n=107 930). Forest plot of adjusted ORs with corresponding 95% asymptotic CIs for moderate-severe asthma stratified by lifetime duration of shift work including nights (A) and by average monthly frequency of shifts that included nights (B). Three multivariate logistic regression models were fitted to the data: Model 1 (green circle): age- and sex-adjusted. Model 2 (blue square) covariates: age, sex, smoking status, smoking pack-years, alcohol status, daily alcohol intake, ethnicity, Townsend Deprivation Index, days exercised (walked, moderate and vigorous), body mass index, chronotype, length of working week, job asthma risk and job medical required. Model 3 (yellow triangle): model 2 covariates plus sleep duration.

Table 3 Adjusted odds (95% CI) of any asthma by chronotype (n=413 040)

	Chronotype		
	Intermediate chronotype	Definitely a morning person	Definitely an evening person
Total cases (% of total sample size)	15 010 (5.68%)	6786 (6.06%)	2596 (7.06%)
Total sample size	264 279	112 007	36 754
Model 1: Age- and sex-adjusted OR (95% CI)	1 (referent)	1.07 (1.04 to 1.10)	1.27 (1.22 to 1.33)
Model 2: Multivariable adjusted OR (95% CI)	1 (referent)	1.12 (1.04 to 1.22)	1.16 (1.05 to 1.29)
Model 3: Model 2 covariates+sleep duration OR (95% CI)	1 (referent)	1.12 (1.03 to 1.21)	1.16 (1.04 to 1.28)

Model 2 covariates: age, sex, smoking status, smoking pack-years, alcohol status, daily alcohol intake, ethnicity, Townsend Deprivation Index, days exercised (walked, moderate and vigorous), body mass index, length of working week, job asthma risk and job medical required. Model 3 data are adjusted for Model 2 covariates plus sleep duration.

asthma (online supplemental table 6) on these quartiles. Using the quartiles of GRS for moderate-severe asthma, we found that the asthma GRS did not have a statistically significant interaction on the relationships between odds for moderate-severe asthma and current shift work schedule ($p < 0.44$). Odds were higher for moderate-severe asthma in shift workers (who never or rarely worked night shifts) in the second GRS quartile (OR 1.34 (95% CI 1.03 to 1.73)) (online supplemental table 7).

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), emphysema and chronic bronchitis

Our definition of asthma may have included participants who had a concurrent doctor diagnosis of COPD, emphysema or chronic bronchitis since some medications are used to treat all conditions. There is no way of determining which condition is predominant among UK Biobank participants, therefore we re-analysed the cohort after excluding all cases of concurrent doctor-diagnosed COPD, emphysema and chronic bronchitis. A total of 1731 participants were removed from the any asthma group and 1516 participants from the moderate-severe asthma group. Our results were similar to our previous findings: for moderate-severe asthma we found, in an age- and sex-adjusted model, there was a higher odds of having moderate-severe asthma in day shift workers who never or rarely undertook night shifts (OR 1.12 (95% CI 1.01 to 1.24)) when compared with day workers (see online supplemental table 8). After adjusting for additional covariates (Model 2 and 3), these associations attenuated to the null. In an age- and sex-adjusted model, we observed a higher likelihood of asthma in shift workers who never or rarely worked night shifts when compared with day workers (OR 1.07 (95% CI 1.01 to 1.14)). However, this association attenuated to the null after adjusting for additional covariates (Model 2) (see online supplemental table 9).

DISCUSSION

This study shows that, compared with day workers: (a) people working permanent nights had higher adjusted odds of moderate-severe asthma; (b) people doing any type of shift work had higher adjusted odds of wheeze or whistling in the chest; (c) shift workers who never or rarely worked on nights and people working permanent nights had a higher adjusted likelihood of having reduced lung function ($FEV_1 < 80\%$ predicted). We analysed data from more than 280 000 UK Biobank participants, 17% of whom were shift workers, which is similar to the reported prevalence of shift work in other industrialised countries.⁷

Rotational shift work disrupts the entrainment of endogenous circadian rhythms to external cues in the environment, resulting in circadian misalignment.²¹ Shift workers, especially those working night shifts, sleep at an inappropriate circadian

phase, causing circadian misalignment between their sleep/wake behaviour and endogenous circadian processes. Mouse models of shift work have shown that it is the phase misalignment between the internal clockwork and behaviour that drives many of the resulting pathologies.²² To date, the association between asthma and circadian misalignment has not been investigated. We discover that night shift work associates with an increased risk of asthma.

As the UK Biobank data are drawn from a cross-sectional observational study, no causal inference is possible. However, it is plausible that circadian misalignment leads to asthma development. To investigate this we looked at people with extreme chronotypes (morning/evening preferences) who experience a degree of circadian misalignment in the absence of shift work exposure. We found that extreme chronotypes were significantly more likely to have asthma even after multivariable adjustments. The majority of individuals in our analysis were day workers ($n = 236\,897$), therefore the higher likelihood of asthma in evening types may represent circadian misalignment caused through conforming to early day shift working hours.²³ In support of our findings, previous smaller studies have shown that evening or intermediate chronotypes associate with asthma.²⁴ Our analysis of chronotype included data from 413 040 individuals including 9604 people with moderate-severe asthma. When we analysed chronotype in the context of type of shift work we found no associations, possibly due to reduced power to detect an association because of low numbers. We would expect there to have been a higher odds of asthma in morning chronotype shift workers, since morning chronotypes find it particularly difficult to adjust to working night shifts²⁵ and display the highest levels of circadian misalignment.

We found that the likelihood for moderate-severe asthma was higher in individuals working permanent night shifts than in those working irregular shift work patterns, including nights. One might assume irregular night shifts lead to more circadian misalignment than permanent night shifts; however, only a small minority (<3%) of permanent night shift workers appear to adequately adjust their endogenous circadian timing to night work, as assessed by circadian rhythmicity of melatonin.²⁶

We found a cumulative increase in the odds for moderate-severe asthma in shift workers working more frequent nights; however, this association was attenuated to the null after covariate adjustments and there was no strong statistical evidence when shift work frequency was treated as a continuous variable. We found higher odds of moderate-severe asthma in individuals who had worked night shifts for <5 years and in those who had worked for ≥ 10 years compared with day workers. The point estimates for the ORs suggested that this association might be stronger in individuals working night shifts for <5 years compared with those who had worked for

≥10 years. We postulate that this might represent the healthy worker effect, where individuals stop working night shifts once their health declines.²⁷ However, these analyses need to be repeated in larger studies.

We devised a GRS for asthma derived from genome-wide association study (GWAS) signals²⁰ and sought evidence that genetic susceptibility for asthma may modify the risk of shift work exposure. However, the emerging data were inconclusive, with associations being apparent in the second quarter of the GRS distribution and not consistent with stronger associations at higher genetic liability as we might have expected. Such an intersection between genetic risk of asthma and response to shift work exposure would require replication in a larger cohort.

Our study has several strengths; it involves a large cohort of >280 000 individuals from across the UK, with detailed medical history, current employment information, lifestyle information and demographic details, all of which were collected in a uniform manner. Of these >160 000 also had genetic data available. In addition, >100 000 individuals from the original cohort also provided a detailed employment history.

The study also has some limitations. First, UK Biobank participation rates were low at ~5%, which may have introduced selection bias towards more healthy individuals.²⁸ In fact, the overall prevalence of asthma in all participants studied here was ~5% (also ~5% in the shift worker cohort alone) compared with ~10% within the general population of the UK.²⁹ The UK Biobank provides no data on younger people and only limited data on ethnic minorities. The sample sizes were small for the morning and evening chronotype analyses, which resulted in low power. There was a reduction in sleep duration reported by night shift workers; this would be a potential confounder and so we took self-reported sleep duration into account in Model 3. In fact, we found that Model 3 did not significantly alter the results from Model 2. There was attenuation of association between shift work and asthma after adjusting for Model 2 covariates. This observation is consistent with the idea that higher levels of smoking and obesity in shift workers (both asthma risk factors) could partially mediate the association between shift work and asthma. Furthermore, we determined differences in job type between day workers and shift workers and it is possible that these differences could account for some of our findings. To mitigate this risk, we took into account participants in jobs that might lead to occupational asthma or that required a medical assessment (selecting against asthma).

CONCLUSION

This study shows that there is an increased likelihood moderate-severe asthma in shift workers on permanent nights. There are no specific national clinical guidelines for how to manage asthma in shift workers (or, indeed, when to measure lung function in night shift workers); however, modifying shift work schedules to take into account chronotype might present a public health measure to reduce the risk of developing inflammatory diseases such as asthma.

Author affiliations

¹Division of Informatics, Imaging & Data Sciences, School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

²Oxford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

³Medical School, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

⁴Circadian and Sleep Epidemiology Laboratory, Department of Integrative Physiology,

University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA

⁵Program in Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

⁶Center for Genomic Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

⁷Department of Anesthesia, Critical Care and Pain Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

⁸Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

⁹Medical Chronobiology Program, Division of Sleep and Circadian Disorders, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

¹⁰Division of Sleep Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

¹¹Oregon Institute of Occupational Health Sciences, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon, USA

¹²Sleep and Circadian Neuroscience Institute, Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

¹³MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

¹⁴Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

¹⁵Division of Diabetes, Endocrinology & Gastroenterology, School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

¹⁶Division of Infection, Immunity and Respiratory Medicine, School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

¹⁷Wythenshawe Hospital, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK

¹⁸Manchester Diabetes Centre, Central Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, UK

¹⁹NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

Correction notice This article has been corrected since it was published Online First. Some author names were misspelt and missing middle initials.

Twitter Robert J Maidstone @robertmaidstone and Hannah Jane Durrington @h_durrington

Acknowledgements We would like to acknowledge Evan Kontopantelis for discussions on data analysis.

Contributors HJD, RM, DR and MR conceived the study and planned and conducted it. RM, HJD, JT, MR and DR acquired the data. RM, HJD, JT, MR, DR, HD and RS performed the data analysis. HJD, RM, DR, ASL, JB, RS, CV, FS, SS, SK, DL, HD and MR interpreted the data and wrote the manuscript.

Funding RM is funded by a Wellcome Trust Grant (107849/Z/15/Z) and a Medical Research Council grant MR/P023576/1. CV was supported in part by the National Institutes of Health grant R01 DK105072. HD is supported by NIDDK R01DK107859. RS is supported by NIDDK R01DK102696 and R01DK107859 and MGH Research Scholar Fund. FS was supported in part by National Institutes of Health grants R01 DK102696 and R01 DK105072. SS was supported by National Institutes of Health grants R01-HL-125893, R01-HL-142064 and R01-HL-140577 and by the Oregon Institute of Occupational Health Sciences via funds from the State of Oregon (ORS 656.630). SK is supported by a NIHR Oxford Senior Fellowship and the NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre. DL works in a unit that is supported by the University of Bristol ((MC_UU_00011/6); she is a National Institute of Health Research Senior Investigator (NF-0616-10102). ASL is a Wellcome Investigator Wellcome Trust (107849/Z/15/Z). JB is funded by a Medical Research Council Grant (MR/L006499/1). DR is a Wellcome Investigator Wellcome Trust (107849/Z/15/Z) and holds a Medical Research Council Programme grant (MR/P023576/1). HJD is supported by the NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre.

Competing interests FS has received lecture fees from Bayer HealthCare (2016), Sentara HealthCare (2017), Phillips (2017), Vanda Pharmaceuticals (2017) and Pfizer Pharmaceuticals (2018). DL has received research support from Medtronic Ltd and Roche Diagnostics for research unrelated to that presented here. MR has received speaker fees and research support from Novo Nordisk and Roche Diabetes Care for research unrelated to that presented here.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as supplementary information. All data is available on request from the first or corresponding author and the codes used to analyse the data.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability

of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

ORCID iD

Hannah Jane Durrington <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9990-9446>

REFERENCES

- Smolensky MH, Hermida RC, Reinberg A, *et al*. Circadian disruption: new clinical perspective of disease pathology and basis for chronotherapeutic intervention. *Chronobiol Int* 2016;33:1101–19.
- Vetter C, Dashti HS, Lane JM, *et al*. Night shift work, genetic risk, and type 2 diabetes in the UK Biobank. *Diabetes Care* 2018;41:762–9.
- Jankowiak S, Backé E, Liebers F, *et al*. Current and cumulative night shift work and subclinical atherosclerosis: results of the Gutenberg Health Study. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 2016;89:1169–82.
- Wegrzyn LR, Tamimi RM, Rosner BA, *et al*. Rotating night-shift work and the risk of breast cancer in the Nurses' Health Studies. *Am J Epidemiol* 2017;186:532–40.
- Mason IC, Qian J, Adler GK, *et al*. Impact of circadian disruption on glucose metabolism: implications for type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2020;63:462–72.
- Chellappa SL, Vujovic N, Williams JS, *et al*. Impact of circadian disruption on cardiovascular function and disease. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* 2019;30:767–79.
- Haus E, Smolensky M. Biological clocks and shift work: circadian dysregulation and potential long-term effects. *Cancer Causes Control* 2006;17:489–500.
- The Global Asthma Report 2018. Auckland, New Zealand: Global Asthma Network 2018.
- Mukherjee M, Stoddart A, Gupta RP, *et al*. The epidemiology, healthcare and societal burden and costs of asthma in the UK and its member nations: analyses of standalone and linked national databases. *BMC Med* 2016;14:113.
- Turner-Warwick M. Nocturnal asthma: a study in general practice. *J R Coll Gen Pract* 1989;39:239–43.
- Sutherland ER. Nocturnal asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2005;116:1179–86.
- Durrington HJ, Gioan-Tavernier GO, Maidstone RJ, *et al*. Time of day affects eosinophil biomarkers in asthma: implications for diagnosis and treatment. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2018;198:1578–81.
- Spengler CM, Shea SA. Endogenous circadian rhythm of pulmonary function in healthy humans. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;162:1038–46.
- Attarchi M, Dehghan F, Yazdanparast T, *et al*. Occupational asthma in a cable manufacturing company. *Iran Red Crescent Med J* 2014;16:e9105.
- Palmer LJ. UK Biobank: bank on it. *Lancet* 2007;369:1980–2.
- Juda M, Vetter C, Roenneberg T. Chronotype modulates sleep duration, sleep quality, and social jet lag in shift-workers. *J Biol Rhythms* 2013;28:141–51.
- Vetter C, Fischer D, Matera JL, *et al*. Aligning shift times with chronotype improves sleep and reduces circadian disruption. *Curr Biol* 2015;25:907–11.
- Vicente CT, Revez JA, Ferreira MAR. Lessons from ten years of genome-wide association studies of asthma. *Clin Transl Immunology* 2017;6:e165.
- Allen NE, Sudlow C, Peakman T, *et al*. UK Biobank data: come and get it. *Sci Transl Med* 2014;6:224ed4.
- Shrine N, Portelli MA, John C, *et al*. Moderate-To-Severe asthma in individuals of European ancestry: a genome-wide association study. *Lancet Respir Med* 2019;7:20–34.
- Sletten TL, Cappuccio FP, Davidson AJ, *et al*. Health consequences of circadian disruption. *Sleep* 2020;43:pii: zsz194.
- West AC, Smith L, Ray DW, *et al*. Misalignment with the external light environment drives metabolic and cardiac dysfunction. *Nat Commun* 2017;8:417.
- Abbott SM, Reid KJ, Zee PB. Circadian disorders of the sleep-wake cycle. In: Kryger M, Roth T, Dement WC, eds. *Principles and practice of sleep medicine. 6th edition*. Philadelphia: Elsevier, 2016: 414–23.
- Haldrup P, Debnath S, Maity SG, *et al*. Association between asthma and allergic diseases and circadian preference (chronotype) of the adolescents. *ERJ* 2019;54:PA2783.
- Gamble KL, Motsinger-Reif AA, Hida A, *et al*. Shift work in nurses: contribution of phenotypes and genotypes to adaptation. *PLoS One* 2011;6:e18395.
- Folkard S. Do permanent night workers show circadian adjustment? A review based on the endogenous melatonin rhythm. *Chronobiol Int* 2008;25:215–24.
- Knutsson A, Åkerstedt T. The healthy-worker effect: self-selection among Swedish shift workers. *Work Stress* 1992;6:163–7.
- Fry A, Littlejohns TJ, Sudlow C, *et al*. Comparison of sociodemographic and health-related characteristics of UK Biobank participants with those of the general population. *Am J Epidemiol* 2017;186:1026–34.
- Asthma UK. Stop asthma attacks. cure asthma. Available: www.asthma.org.uk

Correction: *Night shift work is associated with an increased risk of asthma*

Maidstone RJ, Turner J, Vetter C, et al. Night shift work is associated with an increased risk of asthma. *Thorax* 2021;76:53-60.

The authors regrettably note that smoking pack years was recorded in the UK Biobank dataset as not available for 'never smokers', which resulted in 'missing data' being recorded in the statistical programme 'R' for 'never smokers'. Ultimately, this led to 'never smokers' being inadvertently excluded from the analysis. Model 1 (adjusted for age and sex) was unaffected, but Models 2 and 3 (supposedly adjusted for smoking) did not include 'never smokers'.

The following differences were identified:

1. The authors no longer find an association between permanent night shift workers and all asthma in Models 2 and 3, in contrast to findings in the original manuscript.
2. The chronotype re-analysis generated similar findings in all asthma as reported in the original manuscript, but for moderate-severe asthma the association with both extreme chronotypes remained statistically significant in both Models 2 and 3, whereas in the original manuscript the association with evening chronotype attenuated to the null in Models 2 and 3. When the authors assessed the likelihood of moderate-severe asthma in individuals with a particular chronotype by shift work pattern the re-analysis shows no strong evidence of associations between shift work pattern and the likelihood of moderate-severe asthma in any particular chronotype. However, in the original manuscript the authors had shown that in participants who were definitely morning chronotypes, there were higher odds of moderate/severe asthma in those shift workers working irregular shifts, including nights.
3. After excluding cases of COPD, emphysema and chronic bronchitis the authors still found an association between both moderate-severe asthma and all asthma and shift workers who never or rarely undertook night shifts in Model 1. However, the association between permanent night shift workers and asthma/moderate-severe asthma (which was only found in Models 2 and 3 originally) was no longer significant.

The online article has been updated.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

Thorax 2023;78:e4. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2020-215218corr1

