40.5% as high risk, compared to 79.5% and 84.9% using the SIRS criteria and CURB65 respectively.

Conclusions All three scoring systems can stratify according to risk of 30 day mortality, though none of them are particularly accurate. qSOFA has poor sensitivity, and may underestimate severity and risk of 30 day mortality in CAP. New assessment tools to accurately identify CAP patients at increased risk of poor outcomes are urgently required.

Abstract S8 Table 1 Predictive characteristics of three severity scoring systems for community acquired pneumonia. Ability of the scoring systems to predict 30 day mortality was assessed using cut-offs of ≥ 2 for all three scores

Score	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	NPV (%)	PPV (%)	Negative LR	Positive LR
CURB65	84.9	40	91.5	25.9	0.38	1.42
SIRS	79.5	32.5	87.3	21.4	0.63	1.18
qSOFA	40.5	79.5	84.3	32.9	0.75	1.98

NPV – negative predictive value, PPV – positive predictive value, LR – Likelihood ratio

S9 INVASION VERSUS OVERGROWTH: UNDERSTANDING WHY RESPIRATORY PATHOGENS COLONISE THE MOUTH PRIOR TO DEVELOPMENT OF PNEUMONIA

¹VC Ewan, ¹W Reid, ¹M Shirley, ²W Wade, ¹SP Rushton, ¹AJ Simpson. ¹Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK; ²Queen Mary University of London, London, UK

10.1136/thoraxjnl-2017-210983.15

Introduction The presence of respiratory pathogens on oral surfaces is a risk factor for pneumonia. Understanding why non-oral respiratory pathogens appear is crucial in planning interventions to manipulate the oral microbiota to prevent pneumonia. We sought to understand whether respiratory pathogens were associated with reduction in oral bacterial diversity (invasion hypothesis) or no change in diversity (overgrowth hypothesis).

Methods We analysed extracted DNA from 167 throat samples from 53 hospitalised older patients with hip fracture using next generation sequencing (Lib-L chemistry, mothur). Occurrence of respiratory tract infection (RTI, clinician-initiated antibiotic for chest infection) within 3 months of discharge was noted via case notes and telephone call to General Practitioner. We used linear mixed effect modelling in R (nlme package) to investigate the association between relative abundance of respiratory pathogens and species richness, with patient as the random effect. We used correspondence analysis (CA) to analyse beta-diversity (vegan package).

Results Respiratory pathogens (*Haemophilus influenzae*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, Enterobacteriaceae) were present in 38/167 samples (23%). Higher relative abundances of respiratory pathogens were not significantly associated with sample diversity ($t=-1.400575$, $p=0.1641$). Moreover, mixed effect models demonstrated no increase in relative abundances of respiratory pathogens over time in individual patients whilst in hospital ($t=-0.206605$, $p=0.8367$). While RTI was associated

with higher relative abundances of respiratory pathogens ($t=1.9502718$, $p=0.0567$), there was no association between RTI and oropharyngeal species richness ($t=-0.361164$, $p=0.7195$).

Conclusion There was no association between detection of respiratory pathogens and oropharyngeal species diversity. These Results support the overgrowth, rather than the invasion, hypothesis, and larger studies to explore frequency of oral clearance in conjunction with the oral microbiota are warranted. In addition, the lack of change over time in relative abundances of respiratory pathogens suggests that the exposure to the hospital environment is not a major driver in the appearance of these organisms.

S10 SUPPRESSION OF MACROPHAGE INFLAMMATORY RESPONSES TO STREPTOCOCCUS PNEUMONIAE BY REGULATORY T CELLS

G Szylar, J Brown. University College London, London, UK

10.1136/thoraxjnl-2017-210983.16

Background The highly inflammatory immune response to *Streptococcus pneumoniae* infection can result in complications such as sepsis and Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. Macrophages are an important source of the inflammatory cytokines that activate epithelial and endothelial cells, resulting in a loss of barrier integrity. Regulatory T cells (Tregs) are a population of anti-inflammatory cells that modulate macrophage activity and are protective against invasive pneumococcal disease in mice.^{1,2}

Aims To characterise the *in vitro* effects of Tregs on the macrophage inflammatory response to *S. pneumoniae* and to observe Treg recruitment to the site of intradermal injection of UV-killed *S. pneumoniae* in a human model.

Results Preliminary data suggest that co-culture of human monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs) with CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127⁻ Tregs reduced MDM TNF α production by at least 45% (One-way ANOVA $p<0.01$) and IL-6 production by at least 52% (One-way ANOVA $p<0.01$) 72 hours after initial infection with *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4 strain (MOI of 2, ratio of 1 Treg to 3 MDMs). Separation of Tregs from the MDMs during co-culture using transwell inserts prevented the suppressive effects of the Tregs. Using a novel human model of *S. pneumoniae* challenge involving intradermal injection of UV-killed *S. pneumoniae* into the forearm of healthy volunteers, we demonstrated that Tregs accumulated at the site of injection within 48 hours, increasing from undetectable Treg population at 4 hours to constituting approximately 33% of CD4 cells by 48 hours.

Conclusion Preliminary data suggest that Tregs modulate the MDM inflammatory response to *S. pneumoniae* in a contact-dependent manner, and track to the site of intradermal injection of the UV-killed bacteria in human volunteers.

REFERENCES

1. Tiemessen MM, Jagger AL, Evans HG, van Herwijnen MJ, John S, Taams LS. CD4⁺CD25⁺FoxP3⁺ regulatory T cells induce alternative activation of human monocytes/macrophages. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2007;104(49):19446–51.
2. Neill DR, Fernandes VE, Wisby L, Haynes AR, Ferreira DM, Laher A, Strickland N, Gordon SB, Denny P, Kadioglu A, Andrew PW. T regulatory cells control susceptibility to invasive pneumococcal pneumonia in mice. *PLoS Pathog* 2012;8(4):e1002660.

Lung cancer screening has arrived

S11 IDENTIFICATION AND ATTENDANCE OF A HIGH-RISK COHORT IN A LUNG CANCER SCREENING DEMONSTRATION PILOT

¹M Ruparel, ¹SL Quaife, ¹JL Dickson, ²A Bhowmik, ³MN Taylor, ³A Ahmed, ³PJ Shaw, ²S Burke, ²MJ Soo, ⁴A Devaraj, ³N Navani, ⁵SW Duffy, ⁶DR Baldwin, ¹J Waller, ¹SM Janes. ¹University College London, London, UK; ²Homerton University Hospital, London, UK; ³University College London Hospital, London, UK; ⁴Royal Brompton Hospital, London, UK; ⁵Wolfson Institute of Preventive Medicine, Barts and The London School of Medicine and Dentistry, London, UK; ⁶David Evans Research Centre, Nottingham University Hospitals, Nottingham, UK

10.1136/thoraxjnl-2017-210983.17

Introduction Lung Cancer screening by Low-Dose CT (LDCT) has been shown to reduce mortality, though exactly how best to implement this is unclear. Uptake to screening trials has generally been low, particularly in those at highest risk of lung cancer. The Lung Screen Uptake Trial is a UK based dual centre LDCT randomised controlled screening trial of a modified invitation strategy versus a standard approach in a population with high levels of socioeconomic deprivation.

Methods Patients were identified as 'high-risk' primarily by age and smoking history on a pre-designed EMIS-Web search and subsequently invited on behalf of their general practitioner (GP) to a 'lung health check' appointment. Those attending were offered enrolment into the study and a LDCT if they met the required threshold of lung cancer risk. This abstract focuses on the mode of recruitment via general practice.

Results Potentially eligible participants were recruited from 16 GP surgeries serving a population of 1 55 034. Of these, 8.7% were in the required age range of 60–75% and 98.9% of those had smoking status recorded. A mean of 32.2% (SD 3.8) of those aged 60–75 had been recorded as a current smoker in the preceding 15 years. A total of 1997 patients, who had been recorded as current smokers within the past 5 years were invited. Uptake to the study was 50.3% (n=1005) of all those invited. 765 underwent a LDCT examination

(figure 1). In 10.3% of patients the smoking history was confirmed to be too light for CT screening, despite GP records suggesting otherwise.

Conclusions Smoking status was found to be very well recorded in primary care records, providing a feasible method for initial selection of those eligible for screening. However we also showed the importance of confirmation of smoking history, something that might be done prior to invitation in screening programmes. This study observed a high rate of attendance when compared to previous LDCT screening trials. The explanation for this observed difference is likely to be multifactorial, though one key factor, unique to this study, is that the invitation to participate came from patients' own GP.

S12 THE LIVERPOOL HEALTHY LUNG PROJECT (LHLP) – SEEKING OUT LUNG DISEASE

¹MJ Ledson, ²S Grundy, ³R Arvanitis, ³M Timoney, ³E Gaynor, ⁴J Field. ¹Liverpool Lung Cancer Unit, Liverpool, UK; ²Aintree University Hospital, Liverpool, UK; ³Liverpool CCG, Liverpool, UK; ⁴University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

10.1136/thoraxjnl-2017-210983.18

Introduction Liverpool has high respiratory morbidity with double the national lung cancer incidence, particularly in lower socioeconomic groups. To tackle this health inequality Liverpool CCG with the primary and secondary care sectors, Public Health and Liverpool University commenced a 3 year LHLP. The project cost £600 k in the first year. It was adopted by the national ACE program, and we report the first year results.

Methods Firstly, a series of coordinated focused public engagement events are arranged in areas with a high lung cancer incidence, aiming to promote positive messages around lung health, and address the fear and fatalism surrounding lung cancer, called 'Healthy Lung Events' Secondly, from GP records all aged 58–70 with COPD, who smoke, or have asbestos exposure are invited to a face to face lung health check by a respiratory nurse who promotes positive lifestyle messages and calculates a 5 year personal lung cancer risk

Abstract S11 Figure 1 Flow chart illustrating the numbers of individuals invited, recruited to the study and undergoing LDCT screening.