and nintedanib are licensed treatments but are only available at specialised centres. We have previously shown that patients whose local hospital was a prescribing centre (PC) were more likely to be receiving AFDs than those whose local hospital was not a prescribing centre (NPC).1

**Objectives** We set out to test the hypothesis that this indicates differences in ability to travel or to seek specialist care which might be reflected in differences in indices of multiple deprivation (IMD).

**Methods** We obtained a full list of patients who received AFDs since 2013 and obtained their postcodes from hospital databases. We additionally obtained markers of socio-economic status based on the IMD score obtained from government websites. Data were recorded in January 2016 and July 2017 and compared with non-parametric statistics.

**Results** The number of patients per 10 000 population in each postcode area started on AFDs increased from a median (range) of 3.04 (0–15.86) in 2016 to 8.81 (1.16–33.87) in 2017 (p=4x10^{-6}). In both 2016 (p=0.0119) and 2017 (p=0.0089), there were more patients on AFDs per postcode area where the local hospital was a PC compared to a NPC. Looking at the distribution of IMD in 2017, there was a small difference (p=0.057) that did not appear fully explained to the difference in AFD prescriptions between PC and NPC.

**Conclusions** The NHS constitution requires equality in access to therapy regardless of where the patient lives. Although AFD prescriptions have increased significantly between 2016 and 2017, we have again demonstrated inequality of access to AFDs depending on patient location. These differences do not appear fully explained by differences in indices of multiple deprivation.
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**Introduction** Treatment of IPF with pirfenidone slows disease progression as measured by changes in forced vital capacity (FVC), independent of baseline FVC values. In a previous analysis of patients with limited vs more advanced lung function impairment, increases in University of California, San Diego Shortness of Breath Questionnaire (UCSD-SOBQ) scores were more pronounced in patients with Gender Age Physiology index (GAP) stage II/III vs GAP stage I and in patients with baseline FVC <80% vs FVC ≥80%.1 We examined the effect of pirfenidone on UCSD-SOBQ in these subpopulations.

**Methods** 1247 patients in ASCEND (NCT01366209) and CAPACITY (NCT00187716; NCT00287729) were randomised to pirfenidone 2403 mg/d or placebo. Patients were stratified by GAP stage I vs stage II/III and by baseline%–predicted FVC. The effect of pirfenidone on UCSD-SOBQ score was assessed by continuous and categorical changes from baseline over 12 months, and by multiples of the minimal clinically important difference of 5 points for UCSD-SOBQ.

**Results** Pirfenidone-treated patients with GAP stage II/III had higher UCSD-SOBQ scores after 12 months than those with GAP stage I (median increase from baseline: 9.4 vs 5.0); similar Results occurred with placebo (12.5 vs 4.3). GAP stage II/III patients treated with pirfenidone had less increase in median UCSD-SOBQ score at 12 months compared with those receiving placebo (9.4 vs 12.3; median difference –3.5, 95% CI –6.2 to –0.5; p=0.0161) with the curves diverging after 3 months (figure 1). Evaluation of categorical change for patients with GAP stage II/III demonstrated that pirfenidone reduced the proportion of patients with UCSD-SOBQ score increases of ≥15 points (45.6% vs 38.4%; p=0.0449) and ≥20 points (37.7% vs 28.6%; p=0.0089) at 12 months compared with placebo; increases of ≥5 or ≥10 points were similar between treatment groups. Results in patients with% FVC <80% were comparable to GAP stage II/III.

**Conclusions** In patients with IPF with moderate lung function impairment, pirfenidone reduced the progression of breathlessness compared with placebo. Patients receiving pirfenidone showed less change from baseline in UCSD-SOBQ score and a lower proportion of patients had more pronounced increases in UCSD-SOBQ scores at 12 months.
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Introduction

Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) is characterised by a progressive loss of lung function. Intervention with an anti-fibrotic, such as pirfenidone, as early as possible in the disease course may be the most appropriate strategy to preserve lung capacity. In this analysis, data from the pivotal CAPACITY (004/006; NCT00287716/NCT00287729) trials and subsequent RECAP (012; NCT00662038) rollover trial were used to investigate the impact of deferring pirfenidone treatment on annual decline in lung function (forced vital capacity [FVC]) in patients with IPF.

Methods

The annual rate of lung function (FVC; mL) decline was calculated for all treated patients who completed CAPACITY and RECAP. Weeks 0–120 included all patients randomised in CAPACITY to pirfenidone 2,403 mg/day or placebo. Weeks 72–120 included data for the transition period, when patients either continued pirfenidone or switched to pirfenidone in RECAP. After Week 120, only data for patients in RECAP were included. Patients randomised to pirfenidone in CAPACITY were compared with those who received placebo in CAPACITY before initiating pirfenidone in RECAP.

Results

From Week 0 to 120, the annual rate of lung function decline (FVC) was $-142.0 \pm 142.0$ mL (n=345) in patients who received pirfenidone in CAPACITY and $-155.2 \pm 151.9$ mL (n=236) in those who received placebo. During the transition period (Weeks 72–120), these values were $-155.2 \pm 151.9$ mL (n=236) and $-145.3 \pm 140.9$ mL (n=218) in patients who continued and switched to pirfenidone, respectively. In RECAP (≥ Week 120), the annual rate of lung function decline in patients who received pirfenidone in both trials was $-145.3 \pm 140.9$ mL (n=218) in those who switched from placebo to pirfenidone.

Conclusions

These data show that loss of lung function during CAPACITY was not recovered during RECAP. Therefore, failure to initiate pirfenidone treatment in IPF as early as possible may lead to an irreversible loss of lung volume during the period without treatment.
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