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CXCL14 is a candidate biomarker for Hedgehog signalling in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis
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ABSTRACT

Background Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) is associated with aberrant expression of developmental pathways, including Hedgehog (Hh). As Hh signalling contributes to multiple pro-fibrotic processes, Hh inhibition may represent a therapeutic option for IPF. However, no non-invasive biomarkers are available to monitor lung Hh activity.

Methods We assessed gene and protein expression in IPF and control lung biopsies, mouse lung, fibroblasts stimulated in vitro with sonic Hedgehog (SHh), and plasma in IPF patients versus controls, and cancer patients before and after treatment with vismodegib, a Hh inhibitor.

Results Lung tissue from IPF patients exhibited significantly greater expression of Hh-related genes versus controls. The gene most significantly upregulated in both IPF lung biopsies and fibroblasts stimulated in vitro with SHh was CXCL14, which encodes a soluble chemokine whose expression is inhibited in vitro by the addition of vismodegib. CXCL14 expression was induced by SHh overexpression in mouse lung. Circulating CXCL14 protein levels were significantly higher in plasma from IPF patients than controls. In cancer patients, circulating CXCL14 levels were significantly reduced upon vismodegib treatment.

Conclusions CXCL14 is a systemic biomarker that could be used to identify IPF patients with increased Hh pathway activity and monitor the pharmacodynamic effects of Hh antagonist therapy in IPF.

Trial registration number Post-results, NCT00968981.

INTRODUCTION

Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) is a chronic, progressive and lethal fibrotic disease of unclear aetiology leading to irreversible scarring of the lung parenchyma and loss of lung function.1 Genetic predisposition to pulmonary fibrosis implicates type 2 alveolar epithelial cell (AEC2) dysfunction in disease pathogenesis and suggests that increased susceptibility of the alveolar epithelium to accumulated environmental insults promotes an aberrant wound healing response in the underlying mesenchymal cells, resulting in myofibroblast differentiation, proliferation and excessive extracellular matrix (ECM) deposition.2

Multiple molecular pathways are aberrantly expressed in IPF tissue including directly pro-fibrotic mediators such as TGFβ, IL13 and FGF.3 In addition, pathways implicated in embryonic development and patterning such as Wnt and Hedgehog (Hh) are reactivated in IPF and may contribute to fibrogenesis.4–10 Sonic Hedgehog (SHh) is essential for branching morphogenesis in the developing lung,11 overexpression of SHh in mouse embryos results in the accumulation of excessive mesenchymal tissue.12 In healthy adult tissue, there is minimal evidence for Hh activity. Bloemycin-mediated lung injury induces Hh pathway activity and SHh overexpression increases fibrotic collagen deposition in the context of bleomycin injury.13 Hh activity in human lung fibroblasts can promote multiple pro-fibrotic processes, including enhanced sensitivity to TGFβ and PDGF, leading to increased migration, contractility and survival,5–6 while epithelial cell-derived SHh and fibroblast-derived TGFβ can reciprocally induce each other;14 these epithelial–mesenchymal interactions collectively can contribute to pathological fibrosis.

Canonical Hh signalling is a highly regulated process. In the constitutive unliganded ‘off’ state, patched transmembrane receptors (eg, PTC1 and PTC12) inhibit the activity of smoothened (SMO), maintaining its localisation to intracellular endosomes. When a secreted Hh ligand, for example, SHh, binds to PTC1, SMO repression is released and SMO accumulates in the primary cilium,
disrupting constitutive proteolysis of GLI transcription factors and resulting in translocation of intact GLI to the nucleus where it can activate transcription of target genes. Importantly, GLI itself is a transcriptional target of Hh pathway activity, resulting in a positive feedback loop. 10–15

Basal cell carcinoma (BCC) of the skin is the most common form of cancer and is characterised by excessive activity of the Hh pathway. Individuals with Gorlin syndrome carry inactivating mutations in PTCH1, resulting in excessive Hh activity in the skin and multiple aggressive, recurrent BCCs. 16 Vismodegib, a small molecule inhibitor of SMO, reduces the growth and invasiveness of locally advanced and metastatic BCC, and is an approved therapy for that indication. 17

Targeting the Hh pathway may be a potential therapeutic option for IPF. However, molecular, pathological and clinical heterogeneity in IPF patients 18 makes it difficult to monitor molecular responsiveness to treatment and identify those most likely to benefit from therapy without directly sampling lung tissue, which poses risks to patients and is logistically impractical in large multicentre clinical studies. Therefore, we sought to characterise Hh pathway activity in IPF lungs and identify candidate non-invasive biomarkers related to Hh activity that could be used as pharmacodynamic and/or predictive indicators of treatment effects.

METHODS
Detailed methods can be found in the online supplement.

Patient samples
All tissues, plasma samples and clinical data for IPF were obtained as described previously. 19 SHH4610g was a multicentre, two-stage, open-label phase Ib study designed to describe the pharmacokinetics (PK) of vismodegib (GDC-0449, a small molecule SMO inhibitor) in 63 safety-eligible patients with advanced solid tumours that were refractory to treatment or for whom no standard therapy existed. 20

Cell culture and gene expression
Human colon fibroblasts (CCD-18Co) were cultured per ATCC recommendations and treated in medium with recombinant human SHH protein and/or vismodegib. Gene expression was analysed using qPCR assays.

Adenovirus mouse model
C57BL/6J mice (Jackson Labs) were infected with recombinant, replication-deficient adenovirus (Vector Biolabs) encoding murine SHH (Ad-mSHH) or luciferase (Ad-Luc) by intratracheal (i.t.) administration or vehicle control. At 7 and 14 days after treatment, gene expression was analysed using qPCR assays. All animal experiments were approved by the Genentech Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Measurement of plasma protein biomarkers
CXCL14 and CCL18 levels in EDTA-anticoagulated plasma were determined using CXCL14 and CCL18 DuoSet assays from R&D Systems following manufacturer protocols with matrix optimisation for human plasma.

Immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridisation
Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded lung tissue sections from IPF patients, obtained at the time of lung transplantation, and control lung tissue from unused donor lungs were examined by immunohistochemistry (IHC) for localisation of CXCL14 protein and non-isotopic RNA in situ hybridisation (ISH).

Statistical analyses
Statistical analyses were performed in R. Relationships between gene expression and plasma protein concentration across patient groups were tested by the Wilcoxon rank-sum method. Mouse lung gene expression was analysed by Student’s t-test with Welch’s correction for unequal SDs. Plasma biomarker changes over time were analysed by Student’s paired t-test. Each time-point was compared to baseline, with adjustment for multiple testing by the permutation method. A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. p Value correction for testing of multiple hypotheses in gene expression analyses was performed by applying the Benjamini-Hochberg method as implemented in the R package limma.

RESULTS
Gene expression patterns in IPF implicate Hh pathway activity
We have previously reported the assessment of gene expression in lung biopsies from patients with IPF (N=40) and control unused donor lung tissue (N=8) using qPCR 19 and genome-wide microarrays. 21 In addition to evidence for activity of profibrotic signalling pathways such as IL13 19 and consistent with other published reports, 3 6 we observed transcriptional evidence for activity of the Hh signalling pathway. In the genome-wide analysis, Hh pathway transcription factors GLI1 (4.3-fold) and GLI2 (threefold) and the transmembrane activating protein SMO (2.6-fold) were among the significantly differentially upregulated transcripts in IPF as compared to control. To confirm the expression of these transcripts and explore other Hh pathway genes, we performed qPCR on the same biopsy samples. We observed slightly decreased expression of SHH and PTCH1 in IPF relative to control and significantly elevated expression of GLI1, GLI2, SMO and PTCH2 in IPF relative to control (figure 1). Taken together, these data suggest activity of the Hh signalling pathway in IPF lung tissue.

CXCL14 is expressed at elevated levels in IPF lungs and is inducible by SHh in vitro and in vivo
Previous studies of vismodegib assessed GLI1 expression in skin biopsies and hair follicles as a pharmacodynamic biomarker of Hh pathway activity in the skin. 22 As GLI1 is a transcription factor primarily expressed in mesenchymal cells in lung tissue, its expression would be difficult to assess non-invasively in IPF patients. To identify candidate biomarkers of Hh activity in IPF lung, we looked for genes encoding soluble secreted proteins by comparing transcriptome-wide expression patterns of genes encoding secreted proteins in CCD-18Co human colon myofibroblast cells stimulated in vitro with SHH 23 and IPF versus control lungs. 24 25 The most significantly differentially expressed gene common to both datasets was CXCL14, which encodes a soluble chemokine. CXCL14 had a mean expression level 16.8-fold higher in IPF than in control lung tissue and 7.3-fold higher in SHH-stimulated versus control CCD-18Co cells. Other genes encoding secreted proteins that were differentially expressed in both datasets included VCAN, IGF87 and SLIT1; however, VCAN and IGF87 were only modestly upregulated to an average of twofold in IPF versus control, and SLIT1 was downregulated in IPF (table 1). We confirmed differential CXCL14 expression by qPCR in IPF lung tissue (figure 2A) and in CCD-18Co cells upon SHH stimulation (figure 2B). SHH-mediated CXCL14 induction in CCD-18Co cells was...
inhibited by vismodegib treatment (Figure 2C). Taken together, these data show that CXCL14 expression is elevated in IPF lungs; CXCL14 is a Hh-inducible gene whose expression can be inhibited by vismodegib in fibroblasts.

**CXCL14 expression is induced by lung overexpression of SHh in vivo**

To determine whether Hh activity can regulate CXCL14 expression in vivo, we monitored gene expression in the lungs of wild-type mice following intratracheal infection with a replication-deficient adenoviral vector engineered to express murine SHh (Ad-mSHh). Controls for these studies included adenovirus expressing luciferase (Ad-Luc) to account for non-specific effects of infection and vehicle alone without virus (PBS). At 7 and 14 days after adenovirus infection, mice infected with Ad-mSHh expressed significantly higher levels of SHH, GLI1, PTCH1, PTCH2 and CXCL14 compared to those infected with Ad-Luc or treated with PBS (Figure 3). Increased SHH expression demonstrates sufficient viral infection and sustained Hh ligand expression; increases in GLI1, PTCH1 and PTCH2 show that the adenovirus-mediated SHH expression leads to Hh pathway activation and target gene transcription; and induction of CXCL14 shows that CXCL14 is a transcriptional target of SHh activity in vivo in lung tissue.

**Localisation of CXCL14 protein and gene expression to fibrotic regions of IPF lung**

Lung tissue from IPF patients exhibits substantial spatial heterogeneity, with areas of normal-appearing lung parenchyma interspersed with myofibroblastic foci and dense scar tissue containing honeycomb change typical of a usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP) pattern. To characterise the spatial distribution of CXCL14 protein and CXCL14 gene expression, respectively, in IPF, we performed IHC and ISH on explanted lung tissue from IPF patients (N=40) and control unused donor lung tissue (N=8). Wide lines denote median values and whiskers denote IQRs. p Values are Wilcoxon rank-sum.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affymetrix probe ID</th>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>Log2 FC SHh vs control</th>
<th>Adjusted p value</th>
<th>Log2FC IPF vs control</th>
<th>Adjusted p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>218002_s_at</td>
<td>CXCL14</td>
<td>chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 14</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215646_s_at</td>
<td>VCAN</td>
<td>versican</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213652_at</td>
<td>PCSK5</td>
<td>preprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211312_s_at</td>
<td>WISP1</td>
<td>WNT1 inducible signalling protein 1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210519_s_at</td>
<td>VEGFA</td>
<td>vascular endothelial growth factor A</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>222719_s_at</td>
<td>PDGFc</td>
<td>platelet derived growth factor C</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210683_at</td>
<td>NRTN</td>
<td>neurturin</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210150_s_at</td>
<td>LAMAS</td>
<td>laminin, α 5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230130_at</td>
<td>SLIT2</td>
<td>slits homolog 2 (Drosophila)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213910_at</td>
<td>IGBP7</td>
<td>insulin-like growth factor binding protein 7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213131_at</td>
<td>OLFM1</td>
<td>olfactomedin 1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Probes mapping to genes predicted to encode soluble secreted proteins that were upregulated by more than twofold in CCD-18Co fibroblasts treated for 72 hours with SHh as described previously. Differential expression defined as: FC ≥ |2| and Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p value <0.05 in IPF (N=40) versus control (N=8) lungs. FC, fold change; IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis; SHh, sonic hedgehog.
CXCL14 protein levels are elevated in plasma from IPF and cancer patients; vismodegib has pharmacodynamic effects on plasma CXCL14 levels in cancer patients

To explore the potential of CXCL14 protein as a systemic biomarker, we measured CXCL14 levels in plasma from IPF (N=80) and control (N=10) subjects using an immunoassay. Like many chemokines, CXCL14 interacts with heparin, which could lead to assay interference in serum or heparinised plasma, and so we optimised the CXCL14 assay for EDTA-anticoagulated plasma. Plasma CXCL14 levels were significantly higher in IPF patients relative to control subjects, with approximately 70% of IPF patients exhibiting levels greater than the upper limit observed in a set of healthy controls assessed simultaneously (figure 5A). We next sought to determine whether Hh pathway inhibition with vismodegib exerted pharmacodynamic effects on circulating CXCL14 levels. As clinical samples from vismodegib-treated IPF patients were not currently available, we used plasma samples collected from solid tumour patients enrolled in a phase 1B dose-scheduling study of vismodegib. At baseline, the cancer patients in the vismodegib study (N=51) had elevated plasma CXCL14 levels relative to a separate cohort of healthy controls (N=18), exhibiting a similar distribution to that observed in IPF patients (figure 5B). Prior analyses suggested that weekly dosing with vismodegib in that study after an 11-dose daily run-in period did not provide adequate systemic coverage to mediate biological effects, and so we restricted pharmacodynamic analyses to patients in the daily (QD) or three times weekly (TIW) arms of the study. As approximately two thirds of cancer patients exhibited baseline elevation of plasma CXCL14 levels above the upper limit observed in a different set of control subjects assessed simultaneously (0.85 ng/mL), we hypothesised that any pharmacodynamic effects of vismodegib treatment might only be detectable in those patients. Consistent with that hypothesis, in patients with elevated baseline levels of plasma CXCL14 (greater than 0.85 ng/mL, N=15), there was a significant decrease in plasma CXCL14 levels upon vismodegib treatment, with median decreases of 22% and 48% after 28 and 49 days of treatment, respectively (figure 5C). Four days after cessation of treatment, there appeared to be a slight rebound in plasma CXCL14 levels, with a median decrease of 15% compared to baseline at day 57. We did not observe any significant pharmacodynamic effect of vismodegib treatment on patients with baseline CXCL14 levels in the ‘normal’ range (less than 0.85 ng/mL, N=8; figure 5D). To assess whether this change over time reflected reversion to the mean or other unrelated effects, we assessed plasma levels of CCL18, a chemokine not known to be regulated by Hh signalling, and did not observe any pharmacodynamic effects of vismodegib treatment on plasma CCL18 levels despite systemically elevated levels in cancer patients in the study relative to controls (see online supplementary figure S3). Taken together, these data show that blood levels of CXCL14 protein are significantly elevated in IPF patients compared to controls, and in solid tumour patients with elevated baseline levels of CXCL14, there was a specific and significant decrease in systemic CXCL14 upon Hh pathway inhibition with vismodegib treatment.

DISCUSSION

Although Hh signalling is critical for embryonic lung development, the pathway is largely dormant in healthy adult lung tissue. Reactivation of the Hh signalling pathway is a feature of fibrotic lung tissue in IPF. Hh signalling can contribute to fibrogenesis, including cross-talk with other pathways active in IPF such as TGFβ, FGF, PDGF and IL13, resulting in increased myofibroblast differentiation, ECM production, motility and survival. Therefore, pharmacologic modulation of the Hh pathway may offer therapeutic benefit to patients with IPF. However, there is uncertainty as to whether Hh pathway activity in IPF is ‘canonical’ and subject to Hh pathway inhibitors such as vismodegib, and IPF pathogenesis is heterogeneous across patients. Lacking an established means to assess the activity of the Hh pathway in lung tissue non-
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Invasively, we sought to identify a peripheral biomarker reflecting Hh activity that might have clinical utility in IPF. Here, we show through a complementary series of in vitro and in vivo experiments that: (1) CXCL14 gene and protein expression levels are dramatically elevated in IPF lung tissue; (2) CXCL14 is the most highly upregulated gene encoding a secreted protein in SHh-treated fibroblasts; (3) ectopic expression of SHh in mouse lung induces CXCL14 expression; (4) peripheral blood concentrations of CXCL14 protein are significantly elevated in both IPF and solid tumour patients; and (5) vismodegib treatment results in pharmacodynamic modulation of CXCL14 levels in cancer patients. Therefore, we propose CXCL14 as a candidate blood biomarker for Hh in IPF.

As IPF is a complex and heterogeneous disease with challenging clinical outcomes to assess, biomarkers may help with the design and interpretation of interventional studies of therapeutic candidates. CXCL14 may be used as a pharmacodynamic biomarker to assess the extent of Hh pathway inhibition mediated by SMO inhibitors. It may also serve as a predictive biomarker that identifies IPF patients with high Hh pathway activity and hence most likely to exhibit a clinical response to treatment. Although lung CXCL14 expression is universally elevated and CXCL14 plasma levels are continuously distributed in IPF patients, there are precedents for enriching for benefit in clinical studies of molecularly targeted therapies in various indications by selecting patients using median levels of continuously distributed biomarkers. If CXCL14 proves to be a specific biomarker of Hh pathway activity in IPF, changes in CXCL14 levels upon inhibition of other molecular pathways known to be active in IPF (eg, TGFβ, IL13, PDGF, FGF, etc) may indicate the degree of cross-talk between those pathways and Hh signalling.

While other studies have reported conflicting findings with respect to the expression levels of some of the components of the Hh signalling pathway in IPF biopsies, elevated expression and/or nuclear localisation of GLI1 and GLI2 are generally held to be the defining characteristics of Hh pathway activity in vivo and are consistent across the published studies and our findings. The differential expression of SHH and SMO may reflect differences in cellularity in the bulk biopsy samples in IPF rather than true transcriptional regulation due to signalling as IPF samples are likely to have increased ratios of fibroblasts to epithelial cells.

There is some question as to whether targeting SMO will inhibit the Hh pathway activity observed in IPF. In vitro data from lung fibroblasts have suggested that TGFβ can induce GLI1 activity independently of Hh ligand and SMO signalling. Preclinical animal models have shown that GLI-expressing mesenchymal cells are increased during bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis and that GANT61, a small molecule that inhibits GLI transcriptional activity downstream of SMO, has greater anti-fibrotic activity than vismodegib, which operates at the level of SMO. Although vismodegib dosing may have been suboptimal in that study (40 mg/kg intraperitoneally every other day as reported versus Ad-Luc at 7 or 14 days by Student’s t-test).

Figure 3  Adenovirus-mediated sonic hedgehog (SHH) overexpression in mouse lungs leads to transcriptional evidence of Hh pathway activity and elevated CXCL14 expression. Mice (N=6 per group) received intratracheal PBS or 1×10^7 pfu of replication-deficient adenovirus encoding luciferase as a control (Ad-Luc) or murine SHH (Ad-mSHH). At 7 or 14 days after treatment, qPCR was used to assess lung expression of SHH (A), GLI1 (B), GLI2 (C), PTCH1 (D), PTCH2 (E) and CXCL14 (F). Horizontal lines depict group mean values; p values are shown for Ad mSHH versus Ad-Luc at 7 or 14 days.
Figure 4  Localisation of CXCL14 protein and gene expression in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) lung tissue. Immunohistochemistry (A–D) or in situ hybridisation (E–H) was performed for CXCL14 protein and mRNA expression, respectively. Representative images shown from observation of six separate IPF and three control biopsies, as indicated. Images on right represent boxed regions from images on left. In panels A and E, arrowheads indicate pleural surfaces; ‘p’ denotes normal-appearing parenchyma, ‘f’ denotes regions of dense fibrosis, and ‘b’ denotes bronchiolization.

Figure 5  Plasma CXCL14 protein levels are elevated in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) and cancer patients, and decrease in response to vismodegib treatment. (A) Plasma CXCL14 levels in healthy control (N=10) and IPF patients (N=80). (B) Plasma CXCL14 levels in a separate group of healthy control (N=18) and cancer patients enrolled in the SHH4610g study at baseline (N=51). (C) Plasma CXCL14 levels in ‘CXCL14-high’ cancer patients (N=15) over time after vismodegib treatment; patients with baseline levels >0.85 ng/mL shown. (D) As in (C) for ‘CXCL14-low’ cancer patients (baseline levels <0.85 ng/mL; N=8). (A and B) Wide lines denote median values and whiskers denote IQRs; p values are Wilcoxon rank-sum. (C and D) Dashed lines connect matched longitudinal samples from individual subjects; p values are versus baseline levels at each timepoint by Student’s t-test with multiple testing adjustment by permutation.
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exacerbate fibrotic responses. The uncertainty associated with preclinical IPF models emphasizes that in interventional clinical studies of SMO antagonists such as vismodegib in human IPF, it will be important to determine whether the intervention inhibits the Hh pathway activity observed in IPF lungs to assess clinical efficacy (or lack thereof). A non-invasive biomarker of Hh pathway activity in IPF will therefore be of great value to interpret outcome data.

CXCL14 (also known as BRAK) is a chemokine of the C-X-C family. Although its in vivo cellular receptor(s) have yet to be definitively identified, CXCL14 has been reported to exhibit chemotactic activity towards various inflammatory mononuclear cells, or to act as a natural inhibitor of CXCL12–CXCR4 interactions. CXCL14-deficient mice are viable and fertile with no obvious immune defects, however they appear to be protected in obesity-induced insulin resistance models. In preclinical hepatic fibrosis models, CXCL14 expression is elevated in response to carbon tetrachloride-induced liver injury, bile duct ligation and schistosome infection; multiple hepatic fibrosis models are Hh dependent. Elevated CXCL14 expression has been reported in association with multiple tumour types, and it has been reported as an autocrine growth factor for fibroblasts and as being involved in prostate tumour growth. In an experimental system analogous to the one we used here, CXCL14 was found to be highly induced by Shh in a prostatic mesenchymal cell line and its expression co-localised with Shh pathway components in embryonic prostate tissue. In lung epithelium, CXCL14 expression has been reported to be slightly elevated in healthy smokers, with substantially greater expression in patients with COPD, and lung adenocarcinomas. High CXCL14 expression in tumour samples was associated with decreased survival in lung cancer patients. CXCL14 levels are increased in pancreatic cancer tissues and are associated with tumour invasiveness; the strong association of Hh pathway activity with pancreatic cancer, will be of interest to determine whether CXCL14 expression is regulated by Hh signalling in this context.

Our study has several limitations. We cannot definitively conclude that the excess plasma CXCL14 levels in IPF patients are derived from lung tissue. However, given that solid tumour patients exhibited elevated plasma CXCL14 levels and systemic Hh pathway antagonism with vismodegib significantly reduced circulating CXCL14 levels, we infer that the disease process in the lungs of IPF patients contributes sufficient excess CXCL14 to the systemic pool to account for the overall increase in plasma CXCL14 in IPF. While the Hh pathway activity in solid tumours is susceptible to SMO antagonism, it remains to be determined whether the same is true of IPF, thus the pharmacodynamic effect of vismodegib on CXCL14 in cancer may not translate to IPF. The cellular provenance of CXCL14 is unclear: while Hh ligands are conventionally thought to derive from epithelial cells and act on mesenchymal cells, CXCL14 protein and gene expression appear to localise to epithelial regions (figure 4). However, there is evidence for nuclear GLI1 and GLI2 localisation in both epithelium and fibroblasts in IPF, while other studies have shown Hh pathway activation in both epithelium and mesenchymal cells in lung adenocarcinoma, BCC and taste bud papillae, all dependent on epithelial-mesenchymal interactions.

In conclusion, reactivation of the developmental Hh pathway is hypothesised to be a pathogenic mechanism in IPF. We have shown evidence for elevated activity of the Hh pathway in human IPF lung tissue. Using a series of complementary in vitro and in vivo experiments, we have identified the chemokine CXCL14 as a candidate peripheral biomarker of Hh pathway activity that may have clinical utility in interventional studies of Hh pathway modulators and other candidate therapeutics in IPF.
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