ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Fruit and vegetable consumption and risk of COPD: a prospective cohort study of men

Joanna Kaluza,[1,2] Susanna C Larsson,[1] Nicola Orsini,[1] Anders Linden,[3] Alicja Wolk[1]

► http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2016-209608

ABSTRACT

Background Antioxidants present in fruits and vegetables may protect the lung from oxidative damage and prevent COPD.
Aims To determine the association between fruit and vegetable consumption and risk of COPD by smoking status in men.
Methods The population-based prospective Cohort of Swedish Men included 44 335 men, aged 45–79 years, with no history of COPD at baseline. Fruit and vegetable consumption was assessed with a self-administered questionnaire.
Results During a mean follow-up of 13.2 years, 1918 incident cases of COPD were ascertained. A strong inverse association between total fruit and vegetable consumption and COPD was observed in smokers but not in never-smokers (p-interaction=0.02). The age-standardised incidence rate per 100 000 person-years in the lowest quintile (<2 servings/day) of total fruit and vegetable consumption was 1166 in current smokers and 506 in ex-smokers; among those in the highest quintile (≥5.3 servings/day), 546 and 255 per 100 000 person-years, respectively. The multivariable HR of COPD comparing extreme quintiles of total fruit and vegetable consumption was 0.60 (95% CI 0.47 to 0.76, p-trend <0.0001) in current smokers and 0.66 (95% CI 0.51 to 0.85, p-trend=0.001) in ex-smokers. Each one serving per day increment in total fruit and vegetable consumption decreased risk of COPD significantly by 8% (95% CI 4% to 11%) in current smokers and by 4% (95% CI 0% to 7%) in ex-smokers.
Conclusions These results indicate that high consumption of fruits and vegetables is associated with reduced COPD incidence in both current and ex-smokers but not in never-smokers.

INTRODUCTION

COPD is predicted by WHO to become the third cause of death worldwide.[1] Oxidative stress induced by cigarette smoking is now recognised as a major predisposing factor in the pathogeneses of COPD.[2] It was observed that antioxidant capacity in patients with COPD is substantially reduced as a result of cigarette smoking, with oxidative stress persisting long after the cessation of cigarette smoking, due to the continued endogenous production of reactive oxygen species.[3] Thus, it can be hypothesised that high consumption of fruits and vegetables, a rich source of antioxidants, may protect the lung against oxidative damage and prevent COPD.

Key messages

What is the key question?
► Can fruit and vegetable consumption reduce risk of COPD?

What is the bottom line?
► High fruit and vegetable consumption is associated with lower risk of COPD in current and ex-smokers, but not in never-smokers.

Why read on?
► This study, using data from large population-based prospective cohort of men, allowed separate subgroup analyses of smokers and never-smokers due to high number of incident COPD cases. Current and ex-smokers who were consuming ≥5 vs <2 servings per day of fruits and vegetables had 40% and 34% lower COPD risk, respectively.

Recent evidence indicates that diet may play an important role in COPD development. More specifically, a 'prudent' dietary pattern (ie, high consumption of fruits, vegetables, whole grain cereals and fish) in comparison with a 'Western' dietary pattern (ie, high consumption of white bread, processed meat, full-fat dairy products, sugar and chips) has been associated with a lower risk of impaired lung function and COPD.[4–6] In a recently published prospective study, consumption of a healthy diet (high consumption of fruits, vegetables, whole grains, polyunsaturated fatty acids, nuts and long chain omega-3 fats and low consumption of red and processed meat, refined grains and sugar sweetened drinks consumption) was associated with a lower risk of COPD in US men and women.[7]

The potential association between fruit and vegetable consumption specifically and COPD mortality has previously been studied in only one prospective study of a mere 2917 men and 73 COPD-related deaths.[8] In that study, an inverse association between consumption of fruits, but not vegetables, and COPD mortality was observed. Moreover, other observational studies have suggested that fruit consumption was inversely associated with chronic non-specific lung diseases[9] and reduced cough with phlegm.[10]

Given the lack of large prospective studies on fruit and vegetable consumption and incidence of COPD, we examined this association in the Cohort

of Swedish Men (COSM), a population-based prospective study with over 13 years of follow-up. We assessed whether smoking status, the main predictor of COPD, modified the association.

METHODS

Study population

The COSM was established in central Sweden in the late autumn of 1997. All men (n=100 303) born between 1918 and 1952 (45–79 years of age) and residing in Västmanland and Örebro counties received written information about the study and a self-administered questionnaire. Among the 48 850 men who returned a completed questionnaire, we excluded those with missing or incorrect national identification numbers (n=297), those who died prior to baseline (n=55) and those with a previous cancer diagnosis (other than non-melanoma skin cancer) (n=2592). Moreover, men with a history of COPD (International Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Revision (ICD-10) code: J44) (n=281), those with implausible values for total energy intake (>3 SDs from the mean value for log-transformed energy, n=567) and those with missing data on fruit and vegetable consumption (n=723) were excluded. The remaining analytical cohort of 44 335 men was followed from 1 January 1998 through 31 December 2012. Both the original and analytical cohort well represented the Swedish male population in 1997 in terms of age distribution, educational level, prevalence of overweight and obesity, and smoking status compared with the Official Statistic of Sweden[11–13] (see online supplementary table S1).

Assessment of fruit and vegetable consumption and whole diet

Data about food consumption were collected using a 96-item food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) in 1997. Men were asked to indicate how often, on average, they had consumed each type of food during the last year. Participants could choose from eight predefined frequency categories, ranging from never to three or more times per day. We defined the reported times eaten per day as the number of servings. The FFQ included 5 questions about fruit items (apples and pears; fresh and frozen berries; bananas; orange and citrus fruits; and other fruits) and 13 questions about vegetables (lettuce; spinach; cabbage; cauliflower; broccoli and Brussels sprouts; carrot; beetroot; pepper; tomatoes; onion and leek; garlic; green peas; and mixed frozen vegetables). We also combined specific vegetables into the following subgroups: green leafy vegetables (spinach and lettuce), cruciferous vegetables (cabbage, cauliflower, broccoli and Brussels sprouts) and root vegetables (carrots and beetroots).

The FFQ has been validated using four 1-week weighed dietary records every 3 months as the reference method. The Spearman correlation coefficients between estimates obtained from the FFQ and from the average of four 1-week dietary records ranged from 0.4 to 0.7 for individual fruit and vegetable items (Wolk, unpublished data).

We assessed dietary patterns based on two measurements of diet quality, that is, modified recommended food score (RFS) and non-RFS, which were developed and described previously.[14] Based on dietary guidance, the modified RFS included 19 food items (compared with the original score we have omitted fruit and vegetable food items) that are recommended, that is, seven cereal products, five types of fish and seafood, three different low-fat dairy products, soya bean products, orange/grapefruit juice, nuts/almonds and olive oil. When each recommended food item was consumed at least one to three times per month or more, one point was assigned. A maximum score was 19

points, and higher score meant the better quality of diet. The non-RFS included 16 food items, that is, 3 unprocessed red meat items, 5 processed meat products, 3 high-fat dairy products, white bread, sweets, combined potato chips/popcorn and fried potatoes/French fries, mayonnaise and ice cream. Consumption of any of these non-recommended food items three times per week or more often was assigned one point, and if less often zero points. A maximum score was 16 points and was corresponding to the lowest quality of diet.[14]

Other covariates

The questionnaire also included information about education level, weight, height, smoking status, physical activity and alcohol consumption (type of alcoholic beverages and frequency of consumption). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing the weight (kg) by the square of height (m). Participants reported their level of activity at work, time spent on home/housework, walking/bicycling and exercise as well as sedentary time per day including watching TV/reading and hours of sitting/lying down and sleeping. The time per day spent on each activity was multiplied by the activity's typical energy expenditure and expressed in metabolic equivalents. All individual activities were summed to calculate a metabolic equivalent-hours per day (24 hours) score (MET×hour/day).[15] Men were asked about smoking status, including average number of cigarettes smoked per day at different ages (15–20, 21–30, 31–40, 41–50 and 51–60 years of age and current age), age they started smoking and, if applicable, age they stopped smoking. Pack-years were estimated from the smoking history by multiplying the number of years of smoking by the reported number of cigarettes smoked per day within respective age groups.

Case ascertainment

With the use of the unique personal identification number assigned to each Swedish resident, we identified incident COPD cases that were diagnosed in the cohort through linkage with the Swedish Patient Register (inpatient and outpatient registers) and the Swedish Cause of Death Register. Events of COPD were defined according to the ICD-10 (code J44). In the present study, a COPD case was defined as the first diagnosis of COPD (listed either as the primary diagnosis or at any diagnosis position) in the Swedish Patient Register or in the Cause of Death Register (only the primary position of COPD). A register study conducted in Sweden during 1999–2009 (a time period overlapping with our study) reported that incidence rates were increasing during those years.[16] Furthermore, proportion of the cases diagnosed in primary care increased from 59% to 81% and patients were, an average, 7 years younger (66 vs 73 years old). These observations may indicate some degree of underdiagnosis during early years of follow-up of the COSM cohort.

Statistical analysis

Study participants were followed from 1 January 1998 to the date of the first COPD diagnosis, death or the end of the study follow-up period (31 December 2012), whichever occurred first. Total fruit and vegetable consumption, fruit consumption and vegetable consumption were each categorised into quintiles. Baseline characteristics of participants were standardised to the age distribution of the study population at baseline. Cox proportional hazards models were used to calculate HRs with 95% CIs of COPD. The age-adjusted and smoking-adjusted model included baseline age of participants (years, continuous) and their smoking status combined with pack-years of smoking (never; past <20, 20–39 or ≥40 pack-years; or current <20,

20–39 or ≥40 pack-years). The multivariable HRs were adjusted for the following potential confounders: education (less than high school, high school or university), BMI (<18.5, 18.5–24.9, 25–29.9 or ≥30 kg/m^2), total physical activity (quintiles, MET×hour/day), energy intake (kcal/day, quintiles), alcohol intake (g/day, quintiles), modified RFS (scores, continuous) and non-RFS (scores, continuous). Furthermore, we examined a combined effect of total fruit and vegetable consumption with smoking status by including current smokers, ex-smokers, as well as never-smokers in the same model, where the reference group constituted never-smoking men in the highest quintile of total fruit and vegetable consumption. Missing data on educational level (0.7%), smoking status (1.3%), BMI (4.9%) and total physical activity score (22.7%) were modelled as separate categories. Fruit and vegetable consumption was mutually adjusted through inclusion in the same multivariable model. Also in the multivariable-adjusted analysis of specific type of fruits and vegetables, the individual fruit items and vegetable subgroups were included in the same model and mutually adjusted for each other.

The proportional hazards assumption was assessed by regressing scaled Schoenfeld residuals against survival time. There was no evidence of departure from the assumption. To calculate p values for linear trend, the median values of each quintile category were used as a continuous variable. Using the likelihood ratio test, we tested statistical interaction on the multiplicative scale between fruit and vegetable consumption and smoking status in predicting the risk of COPD. Moreover, we examined the shape of the associations between total fruit and vegetables consumption, fruit consumption and vegetable consumption and risk of COPD using a restricted cubic-spline regression analysis with three knots (at the 10th, 50th and 90th percentile).[17] Finally, we characterised the association between fruit and vegetable intake and occurrence of COPD in terms of disease-free survival time. Percentile differences, in years, were estimated for the fifth survival percentile (ie, difference in years by which 5% of the cohort experienced the event) using Laplace regression.

The statistical analyses were performed using SAS V.9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA) and STATA V.13 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA). All reported p values are two-sided; p values ≤0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

During a mean follow-up of 13.2 years (583 966 person-years, 1998–2012), we identified 1918 incident cases of COPD. The mean age of the participants was 60.2±9.7 years, BMI was 25.8 ±3.3 kg/m^2 and 62.8% of the men were ever-smokers (24.3% current smokers, 38.5% ex-smokers). Men with higher total fruit and vegetable consumption were more likely to have completed a university education and were less likely to be current smokers (table 1). Compared with men in the lowest quintile of total fruit and vegetable consumption, those in the highest quintile had higher energy intake, alcohol consumption, as well as higher modified RFS and non-RFS. The Spearman rank correlation coefficient between fruit and vegetable consumption was 0.41, and the median total fruit and vegetable consumption (expressed in servings per day) differed 4.7-fold between the highest and the lowest quintiles.

We observed strong inverse associations between fruit and vegetable consumption and risk of COPD (table 2). Men in the highest quintile of total fruit and vegetable consumption (≥5.3 servings/day) compared with the lowest quintile (<2 servings/ day) had a 35% (95% CI 24% to 45%) lower risk of COPD. When we investigated fruits and vegetables separately, the risk of COPD incidence was 27% (95% CI 15% to 38%) lower for fruit and 18% (95% CI 3% to 30%) lower for vegetable consumption among men in the highest compared with those in the lowest quintile. We performed a sensitivity analysis using the complete data set (32 363 participants; 1286 cases) and the results did not change substantially; the HRs of COPD for men in the highest versus the lowest quintile were 0.60 (95% CI 0.49 to 0.74) for total fruits and vegetables, 0.72 (95% CI 0.59 to 0.88) for fruits and 0.79 (95% CI 0.64 to 0.97) for vegetables.

To examine an influence of a potential underascertainment during early years of follow-up, we have calculated HRs stratified by time of diagnosis in 5-year periods (see online supplementary table S2). The observed results suggest that during the first years of follow-up COPD could be

Table 1 Age-standardised characteristics of the Cohort of Swedish Men (n=44 335)

	Quintiles (Q) of total fruit and vegetable consumption (servings/day)				
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5
Total fruit and vegetable consumption, servings/day (median)	<2.0 (1.4)	2.0–2.9 (2.5)	3.0–3.9 (3.4)	4.0–5.2 (4.5)	≥5.3 (6.6)
n	8828	8846	8931	8837	8893
Age, mean (SD)	61.2 (9.9)	60.1 (9.6)	59.5 (9.7)	59.7 (9.6)	60.2 (9.6)
University education, %	9.2	13.3	17.0	19.1	23.2
BMI, mean (SD)	26.1 (3.6)	25.9 (3.3)	25.7 (3.2)	25.7 (3.2)	25.6 (3.3)
Total physical activity (MET×hour/day), mean (SD)	41.5 (5.2)	41.5 (4.9)	41.5 (4.9)	41.5 (4.8)	41.7 (4.8)
Smoking status					
Current smokers, %	34.8	25.7	22.2	20.6	18.5
Ex-smokers, %	34.7	39.0	39.7	38.9	40.2
Never-smokers, %	28.6	33.9	36.8	39.4	40.4
Alcohol consumption (g/day), mean (SD)	9.0 (10.9)	10.1 (9.9)	10.6 (10.1)	11.1 (10.0)	11.3 (10.7)
Energy intake (kcal/day), mean (SD)	2361 (790)	2547 (756)	2653 (782)	2755 (760)	3032 (901)
Modified RFS (scores), mean (SD)	8.0 (2.9)	9.8 (2.8)	10.5 (2.9)	11.1 (2.9)	11.4 (3.0)
Non-RFS (scores), mean (SD)	3.7 (1.4)	3.9 (1.4)	4.0 (1.5)	4.1 (1.5)	4.2 (1.8)

Age-standardised to the age distribution of the study population at baseline.
BMI, body mass index; MET, metabolic equivalent of energy expenditure (kcal/kg×hour); RFS, recommended food score.

Table 2 HRs (95% CIs) of COPD by quintiles of fruit and vegetables consumption in 44 335 Swedish men, 1998–2012

	Quintiles (Q), servings/day					
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	p for trend
Total fruits and vegetables (median)	<2.0 (1.4)	2.0–2.9 (2.5)	3.0–3.9 (3.4)	4.0–5.2 (4.5)	≥ 5.3 (6.6)	
No. of cases	614	418	348	296	242	
Person-years	110 745	116 687	119 154	118 657	118 722	
Age-adjusted SIR per 100 000	565	390	335	290	224	
Age-adjusted HR	1.00	0.68 (0.60–0.78)	0.58 (0.51–0.66)	0.49 (0.43–0.56)	0.39 (0.33–0.45)	<0.0001
Age-adjusted and smoking-adjusted HR	1.00	0.79 (0.69–0.89)	0.75 (0.66–0.85)	0.66 (0.57–0.75)	0.55 (0.47–0.64)	<0.0001
Multivariable HR*	1.00	0.86 (0.76–0.98)	0.84 (0.74–0.97)	0.76 (0.65–0.88)	0.65 (0.55–0.76)	<0.0001
Fruit consumption (median)	< 0.5 (0.3)	0.5–0.7 (0.6)	0.8–1.1 (1.0)	1.2–1.8 (1.5)	≥1.9 (2.5)	
No. of cases	536	417	344	338	283	
Person-years	103 166	124 777	117 483	119 185	119 355	
Age-adjusted SIR per 100 000	580	402	328	303	237	
Age-adjusted HR	1.00	0.67 (0.59–0.76)	0.55 (0.48–0.62)	0.50 (0.44–0.58)	0.39 (0.34–0.46)	<0.0001
Age-adjusted and smoking-adjusted HR	1.00	0.81 (0.71–0.92)	0.74 (0.65–0.85)	0.75 (0.66–0.87)	0.61 (0.53–0.71)	<0.0001
Multivariable HR*†	1.00	0.90 (0.79–1.03)	0.85 (0.73–0.97)	0.88 (0.76–1.02)	0.73 (0.62–0.85)	0.0002
Vegetable consumption (median)	<1.2 (0.8)	1.2–1.8 (1.6)	1.9–2.5 (2.2)	2.6–3.5 (3.0)	≥ 3.6 (4.6)	
No. of cases	578	418	368	299	255	
Person-years	110 402	116 846	118 041	119 978	118 698	
Age-adjusted SIR per 100 000	508	387	354	290	251	
Age-adjusted HR	1.00	0.76 (0.67–0.86)	0.69 (0.60–0.78)	0.56 (0.49–0.65)	0.48 (0.42–0.56)	<0.0001
Age-adjusted and smoking-adjusted HR	1.00	0.83 (0.73–0.94)	0.82 (0.72–0.93)	0.70 (0.61–0.80)	0.62 (0.53–0.71)	<0.0001
Multivariable HR*†	1.00	0.95 (0.83–1.08)	0.98 (0.85–1.13)	0.89 (0.77–1.04)	0.82 (0.70–0.97)	0.02

*Adjusted for age (years, continuous), education (less than high school, high school or university), body mass index (<18.5, 18.5–24.9, 25–29.9 or ≥30 kg/m^2), total physical activity (MET×hour/day, quintiles), smoking status and pack-years of smoking (never; past <20, 20–39 or ≥40 pack-years; or current <20, 20–39 or ≥40 pack-years), intake of energy (kcal/day, quintiles), alcohol consumption (g/day, quintiles) and modified recommended food score (scores, continuous) and non-recommended food score (scores, continuous).
†Fruits and vegetables were included in the same multivariable model.
MET, metabolic equivalent of energy expenditure (kcal/kg×hour); SIR, standardised incidence rate.

underdiagnosed. To address a possible impact of underascertainment of COPD on observed risk estimates, we have excluded the first 5 years of follow-up; the HR of COPD incidence in the highest versus the lowest quintile was 0.61 (95% CI 0.50 to 0.74) for total fruit and vegetables, 0.71 (95% CI 0.59 to 0.86) for fruit and 0.78 (95% CI 0.64 to 0.95) for vegetable consumption.

Findings by smoking status
We investigated whether the association between fruit and vegetable consumption and risk of COPD varied by cigarette smoking status. Indeed, there was a statistically significant interaction for smoking status (never-smokers vs ever-smokers, p for interaction=0.02). Therefore, we presented results stratified by smoking status.

Among current smokers (n=10 780 participants, 995 cases of COPD) and ex-smokers (n=17 072 participants, 747 cases of COPD), the age-standardised incidence rate (SIR) of COPD was lower among those who consumed ≥5.3 servings/day of total fruits and vegetables (546 and 255 per 100 000 person-years, respectively) than among those who consumed <2.0 servings/day (1166 and 506 per 100 000 person-years, respectively). Current and ex-smokers in the highest quintile of total fruit and vegetable consumption had a 40% (95% CI 24% to 53%) and 34% (95% CI 15% to 49%), respectively, decreased risk of COPD compared with those in the lowest quintile (table 3). The HRs for extreme quintiles of fruit consumption in relation to COPD incidence were similar in current (0.74, 95% CI 0.58 to 0.93) and ex-smokers (0.73, 95% CI 0.57 to 0.94), whereas vegetable consumption was significantly inversely associated with COPD risk only in current smokers (0.69, 95% CI 0.54 to

0.87), but not in ex-smokers (0.92, 95% CI 0.71 to 1.20). Moreover, we investigated whether intake of fruits at various levels of vegetable intake and vice versa would have a different effect on COPD incidence (see online supplementary table S3). We found no evidence of interaction between fruit and vegetable consumption in current smokers (p value for interaction=0.94) or in ex-smokers (p value for interaction=0.13).

We investigated the shape of the association between total fruit and vegetable consumption and COPD risk by performing a restricted cubic spline analysis among current and ex-smokers. The risk of COPD was decreasing with increasing consumption of total fruits and vegetables per day (figure 1). Each one serving per day increment in total fruit and vegetable consumption was associated with 8% (95% CI 4% to 11%) decreased risk of COPD in current smokers and with 4% (95% CI 0% to 7%) in ex-smokers. For fruit consumption (figure 2), the risk of COPD was non-significantly decreasing for each one serving increment in consumption (HR 0.95, 95% CI 0.88 to 1.03 in current smokers and 0.95, 95% CI 0.87 to 1.03 in ex-smokers). Vegetable consumption was inversely associated with the risk of COPD only in current smokers; each one serving increase in vegetable consumption was associated with a 9% (HR 0.91, 95% CI 0.86 to 0.95) reduction in COPD risk (figure 3). There was no indication of non-linearity in the observed associations (all p non-linearity >0.11, figures 1–3).

We also investigated whether specific fruits and vegetables were associated with risk of COPD in smokers (table 4). In this analysis, we combined current and ex-smokers in one group to increase statistical power of the analysis. Consumption of apples or pears, green leafy vegetables and peppers was inversely associated with COPD; for each daily serving increment in the

Table 3 HRs (95% CIs) of COPD by quintiles of fruit and vegetable consumption by smoking status in Swedish men, 1998–2012

	Quintiles (Q)					
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	p for trend
Total fruit and vegetable consumption, servings/day (median)						
	<2.0 (1.4)	2.0–2.9 (2.5)	3.0–3.9 (3.4)	4.0–5.2 (4.5)	≥5.3 (6.6)	
Ever-smokers						
No. of cases/no. of men	577/6115	375/5720	316/5537	267/5257	207/5223	
Age-adjusted SIR per 100 000	803	559	508	450	336	
Multivariable HR†	1.00	0.83 (0.73–0.95)	0.83 (0.72–0.96)	0.75 (0.64–0.88)	0.62 (0.52–0.73)	<0.0001
Current smokers						
No. of cases/no. of men	376/3031	219/2273	163/2003	139/1829	98/1644	
Age-adjusted SIR per 100 000	1 166	884	765	715	546	
Multivariable HR†	1.00	0.83 (0.70–0.99)	0.80 (0.66–0.97)	0.73 (0.59–0.90)	0.60 (0.47–0.76)	<0.0001
Ex-smokers						
No. of cases/no. of men	201/3084	156/3447	153/3534	128/3428	109/3579	
Age-adjusted SIR per 100 000	506	374	380	326	255	
Multivariable HR†	1.00	0.84 (0.68–1.04)	0.89 (0.71–1.10)	0.78 (0.62–0.99)	0.66 (0.51–0.85)	0.001
Never-smokers						
No. of cases/no. of men	60/ 5537		30/3281	25/3479	32/3596	
Age-adjusted SIR per 100 000	84		77	63	70	
Multivariable HR	1.00		1.05 (0.67–1.65)	0.82 (0.51–1.34)	1.03 (0.64–1.64)	0.78
Fruit consumption, servings/day (median)						
	<0.5 (0.3)	0.5–0.7 (0.6)	0.8–1.1 (1.0)	1.2–1.8 (1.5)	≥ 1.9 (2.5)	
Ever-smokers						
No. of cases/no. of men	508/5866	374/6166	318/5496	298/5211	244/5113	
Age-adjusted SIR per 100 000	789	564	506	472	372	
Multivariable HR*†	1.00	0.87 (0.76–1.00)	0.87 (0.75–1.01)	0.88 (0.76–1.03)	0.73 (0.62–0.86)	0.001
Current smokers						
No. of cases/no. of men	336/2909	212/2517	182/2030	149/1689	116/1635	
Age-adjusted SIR per 100 000	1 133	854	820	805	582	
Multivariable HR*†	1.00	0.88 (0.73–1.04)	0.93 (0.77–1.12)	0.93 (0.76–1.14)	0.74 (0.58–0.93)	0.03
Ex-smokers						
No. of cases/no. of men	172/2957	162/3649	136/3466	149/3522	128/3478	
Age-adjusted SIR per 100 000	503	398	341	335	282	
Multivariable HR*†	1.00	0.87 (0.70–1.08)	0.81 (0.64–1.02)	0.84 (0.67–1.07)	0.73 (0.57–0.94)	0.02
Never-smokers						
No. of cases/no. of men	54/5140		23/3238	36/3647	34/3868	
Age-adjusted SIR per 100 000	86		60	78	66	
Multivariable HR*	1.00		0.69 (0.42–1.14)	0.90 (0.58–1.40)	0.73 (0.45–1.16)	0.35
Vegetable consumption, servings/day (median)						
	< 1.2 (0.8)	1.2–1.8 (1.6)	1.9–2.5 (2.2)	2.6–3.5 (3.0)	≥ 3.6 (4.6)	
Ever-smokers						
No. of cases/no. of men	539/5873	385/5686	326/5475	268/5409	224/5409	
Age-adjusted SIR per 100 000	748	577	525	439	373	
Multivariable HR*†	1.00	0.93 (0.81–1.07)	0.93 (0.80–1.08)	0.86 (0.73–1.01)	0.78 (0.65–0.93)	0.004
Current smokers						
No. of cases/no. of men	354/2790	211/2250	174/2016	146/1928	110/1796	
Age-adjusted SIR per 100 000	1 136	864	810	713	585	
Multivariable HR*†	1.00	0.83 (0.69–0.99)	0.83 (0.68–1.01)	0.81 (0.65–1.00)	0.69 (0.54–0.87)	0.003
Ex-smokers						
No. of cases/no. of men	185/3083	174/3436	152/3459	122/3481	114/3613	
Age-adjusted SIR per 100 000	447	415	379	307	286	
Multivariable HR*†	1.00	1.11 (0.89–1.38)	1.11 (0.88–1.39)	0.94 (0.73–1.21)	0.92 (0.71–1.20)	0.26
Never-smokers						
No. of cases/no. of men	54/5856		37/3282	29/3406	27/3349	
Age-adjusted SIR per 100 000	69		94	75	67	
Multivariable HR*	1.00		1.64 (1.06–2.54)	1.39 (0.86–2.26)	1.33 (0.79–2.24)	0.29

Multivariable HR adjusted for age (years, continuous), education (less than high school, high school or university), body mass index (<18.5, 18.5–24.9, 25–29.9 or ≥30 kg/m^2), total physical activity (MET×hour/day, quintiles), intake of energy (kcal/day, quintiles), alcohol consumption (g/day, quintiles) and modified recommended food score (scores, continuous) and non-recommended food score (scores, continuous).
*Fruits and vegetables were included in the same multivariable model.
†Additionally adjusted for pack-years of smoking (<20, 20–39 or ≥40 pack-years).
MET, metabolic equivalent of energy expenditure (kcal/kg×hour); SIR, standardised incidence rate.

Figure 1 Multivariable-adjusted HR (solid line) of COPD incidence as a function of total fruit and vegetable consumption among current smokers (p for non-linearity=0.28) and ex-smokers (p for non-linearity=0.11). The long dashed lines represent 95% CIs. The distribution of total fruit and vegetable consumption is presented at the bottom of the figure as a histogram.

Figure 2 Multivariable-adjusted HR (solid line) of COPD incidence as a function of fruit consumption among current smokers (p for non-linearity=0.16) and ex-smokers (p for non-linearity=0.15). The long dashed lines represent 95% CIs. The distribution of fruit consumption is presented at the bottom of the figure as a histogram.

Figure 3 Multivariable-adjusted HR (solid line) of COPD incidence as a function of vegetable consumption among current smokers (p for non-linearity=0.67) and ex-smokers (p for non-linearity=0.92). The long dashed lines represent 95% CIs. The distribution of vegetable consumption is presented at the bottom of the figure as a histogram.

intake of these foods, the risk of COPD decreased by 23% (95% CI 9% to 34%), 32% (95% CI 13% to 47%) and 39% (95% CI 13% to 57%), respectively. Consumption of berries, bananas, citrus fruits, cruciferous vegetables, root vegetables,

tomatoes, onions, garlic and green peas was not significantly associated with COPD.

To examine the association of combined effect of total fruit and vegetable consumption with smoking status, we conducted

Table 4 HRs (95% CIs) of COPD by categories of subgroups of fruit and vegetables consumption in 27 852 ever-smokers, 1998–2012

	Categories			p for trend
	Fruit consumption			
Apples or pears, servings/day (median)	0 (0)	0.1–0.9 (0.2)	≥ 1.0 (1.0)	
No. of cases/no. of men	130/1424	1250/20 866	203/4174	
Multivariable HR*	1.00	0.88 (0.72–1.08)	0.70 (0.54–0.90)	0.003
Berries, servings/day (median)	0 (0)	0.1–0.9 (0.1)	≥ 1.0 (1.0)	
No. of cases/no. of men	193/2 845	1 207/21 049	34/1 653	
Multivariable HR*	1.00	1.13 (0.95–1.34)	0.85 (0.58–1.25)	0.08
Bananas, servings/day (median)	0 (0)	0.1–0.9 (0.5)	≥1.0 (1.0)	
No. of cases/no. of men	135/1735	1343/22 357	134/2435	
Multivariable HR*	1.00	1.01 (0.84–1.23)	1.14 (0.88–1.48)	0.63
Citrus fruits, servings/day (median)	0 (0)	0.1–0.9 (0.1)	≥1.0 (1.0)	
No. of cases/no. of men	235/2881	1 113/20 460	100/1477	
Multivariable HR*	1.00	0.92 (0.78–1.08)	1.02 (0.79–1.33)	0.22
Vegetable consumption				
Green leafy vegetables, servings/day (median)†	<0.2 (0.1)	0.2–0.9 (0.4)	≥1.0 (1.1)	
No. of cases/no. of men	884/10 264	755/14 746	103 / 2 842	
Multivariable HR*	1.00	0.86 (0.77–0.97)	0.62 (0.49–0.79)	0.0001
Cruciferous vegetables, servings/day (median)‡	<0.2 (0.1)	0.2–0.9 (0.3)	≥1.0 (1.2)	
No. of cases/no. of men	829/10 454	836/15 832	77/1566	
Multivariable HR*	1.00	1.05 (0.94–1.18)	1.32 (1.01–1.72)	0.19
Root vegetables, servings/day (median)§	<0.2 (0.1)	0.2–0.9 (0.4)	≥1.0 (1.1)	
No. of cases/no. of men	643/9658	936/15 698	163/2496	
Multivariable HR*	1.00	0.96 (0.86–1.07)	0.96 (0.79–1.16)	0.89
Peppers, servings/day (median)	0 (0)	0.1–0.9 (0.2)	≥1.0 (1.0)	
No. of cases/no. of men	360/3975	1039/19 675	29/964	
Multivariable HR*	1.00	1.00 (0.87–1.15)	0.63 (0.42–0.96)	0.02
Tomatoes, servings/day (median)	0 (0)	0.1–0.9 (0.2)	≥1.0 (1.0)	
No. of cases/no. of men	174/2098	1191/20 493	162/3349	
Multivariable HR*	1.00	0.86 (0.72–1.01)	0.96 (0.76–1.22)	0.77
Onions, servings/day (median)	0 (0)	0.1–0.9 (0.5)	≥1.0 (1.0)	
No. of cases/no. of men	79/1002	1 426/23 797	62/1256	
Multivariable HR*	1.00	1.07 (0.84–1.36)	0.98 (0.68–1.43)	0.93
Garlic, servings/day (median)	0 (0)	0.1–0.9 (0.1)	≥1.0 (1.0)	
No. of cases/no. of men	560/6959	804/16 574	36/670	
Multivariable HR*	1.00	0.93 (0.82–1.05)	0.91 (0.64–1.31)	0.79
Green peas, servings/day (median)	0 (0)	0.1–0.9 (0.1)	≥1.0 (1.0)	
No. of cases/no. of men	212/2903	1 285/22 357	19/355	
Multivariable HR*	1.00	0.96 (0.82–1.13)	1.11 (0.66–1.88)	0.27

*Adjusted for age (years, continuous), education (less than high school, high school or university), body mass index (<18.5, 18.5–24.9, 25–29.9 or ≥30 kg/m^2), total physical activity (MET×hour/day, quintiles), pack-years of smoking (<20, 20–39 or ≥40 pack-years), intake of energy (kcal/day, quintiles), alcohol consumption (g/day, quintiles), modified recommended food score (scores, continuous) and non-recommended food score (scores, continuous), and mutually adjusted for each other.
†Including lettuce and spinach.
‡Including cabbage, cauliflower, broccoli and Brussels sprouts.
§Including carrot and beetroot.
MET, metabolic equivalent of energy expenditure (kcal/kg×hour).

an analysis including current, ex-smokers, as well as never-smokers in the same model (figure 4). Compared with never-smokers with high (≥5.3 servings/day) total fruit and vegetable consumption (the reference group), current and ex-smokers with low consumption (<2 servings/day) had a 13.5-fold and sixfold higher risk of COPD, and those with high consumption had a 7.5-fold and a 3.6-fold higher risk, respectively.

To address time aspect in terms of COPD-free survival time related to total fruit and vegetable consumption, we have performed Laplace regression (see online supplementary figure S1). Compared with current and ex-smokers with consumption of 0 servings/day of fruits and vegetables the first 5% of COPD events among those who consumed 5 servings/day occurred around 1.6 and 1.25 years later, respectively, while among those who consumed 8 servings/day about 2.0 and 1.75 years later, respectively.

We did not observe statistically significant associations between fruit and vegetable consumption and COPD risk among never-smokers.

DISCUSSION

In this population-based prospective cohort of men, we observed that consumption of fruits and vegetables was inversely associated with COPD incidence. High total fruit and vegetable consumption (≥5.3 servings/day) as compared with low consumption (<2 servings/day) was associated with reduced risk of COPD by 40% in current smokers and by 34% in ex-smokers. No association was observed in never-smokers. The

	Current smokers	Ex-smokers	Never smokers
▬	13.5 (9.3-19.5)	6.02 (4.12-8.81)	0.78 (0.46-1.34)
X	11.4 (7.8-16.6)	4.82 (3.28-7.07)	1.20 (0.74-1.93)
●	10.7 (7.3- 15.6)	5.00 (3.41-7.34)	0.99 (0.60-1.63)
◆	9.7 (6.6-14.3)	4.38 (2.97-6.46)	0.80 (0.48-1.35)
■	7.5 (5.0-11.2)	3.63 (2.44-5.38)	**1.00 (REF)**

Figure 4 HRs (95% CIs) of COPD by quintiles of total fruit and vegetable consumption and smoking status (p for interaction=0.02). HRs were adjusted for age, education, body mass index, physical activity, intake of energy, alcohol consumption and modified recommended food score and non-recommended food score. REF, reference.

risk of COPD in smokers with total fruit and vegetable consumption <2 servings/day was 13.5-fold increased in current and sixfold in ex-smokers compared with never-smokers with high consumption; in those with ≥5.3 servings/day, it was increased by 7.5-fold (current smokers) and by 3.6-fold (ex-smokers).

Findings from this first prospective study on total fruit and vegetable consumption and COPD incidence are in line with a 3-year intervention in patients with COPD showing improved lung function after the increased consumption[18] and also with cross-sectional studies of lung functions (better values of FEV_1 and FVC[19] and FEV[20] among people with higher fruit and vegetable consumption).

Our findings for fruits only are in agreement with the previous small cohort study on COPD mortality (the highest vs lowest tertile HR 0.49, 95 CI 0.35 to 0.96)[8] and with majority of cross-sectional studies on COPD.[21] The inverse association with vegetables in the present study was observed previously only in minority of cross-sectional studies on COPD,[21] but not in the prospective study of COPD mortality.[8] The observed inverse association with apple/pears consumption is in agreement with a case–control study of COPD.[22] Furthermore, Butland et al[23] reported that higher apple consumption was associated with better lung function in a cross-sectional analysis, but not in a longitudinal analysis. The observed inverse associations in our study with peppers and with green leafy vegetables, but not with other specific vegetables and fruits, may be due to rare intake or lack of variation in consumption of the latter.

Our results are also in line with findings of prior reports on healthy diet and COPD, which used the Alternate Healthy Eating Index-2010 (AHEI-2010, where 1/3 of the score is based on fruit and vegetable consumption)[7] and dietary patterns (where 1/2 of a prudent pattern is based on fruit and vegetable consumption)[4 5] to assess the quality of diet. People with the highest AHEI-2010 compared with those with the lowest had a 33% lower risk of COPD,[7] and men and women in the highest quintile of the prudent pattern compared with those in the lowest quintile had a 50% and 33%, respectively, lower COPD incidence.[4 5]

It is known that the pathogenesis of COPD may involve oxidative stress and inflammation.[2 24] Potent proinflammatory compounds trigger local tissue remodelling that can impair lung function over long periods of time.[2 24] There is evidence that these processes are intense among smokers.[25–27] There are also data indicating that the impaired antioxidant status correlates with increased severity of COPD.[28 29] In particular, higher plasma lipid peroxidation has been associated with higher risk for progression in patients with COPD, while higher catalase and erythrocyte glutathione activities were associated with decreased risk of progression of this disease.[29] Some studies, but not all,[30] have found significant associations of high fruit and vegetable consumption with reduced levels of oxidative stress[31] and inflammation parameters[32] as well as with increased levels of antioxidant defence.[31] It has been shown that intake of fruits and vegetables rich in vitamin C, β-carotene, flavonoids and other antioxidants is inversely correlated not only with oxidative

stress parameters (urinary 8-iso prostaglandin F2α and F2-isoprostane) but also with inflammatory biomarkers (such as C reactive protein, interleukin-6, tumour necrosis factor-α and 15-keto-dihydro-PGF2α metabolite).[32] It has been observed that vitamin C, β-carotene and vitamin E intake correlate positively with lung function.[33–35] The reason why the inverse association of fruit and vegetable consumption with COPD was restricted to current and ex-smokers could plausibly be due to higher oxidative stress in these groups and continued endogenous production of reactive oxygen species even after smoking cessation. Among never-smokers, the pathogenic mechanisms leading to COPD may be different from those in smokers and ex-smokers; these may relate more to genetic predisposition and environmental exposures triggering lower oxidative stress and inflammation than does tobacco smoke.

Strengths of the current study include the population-based and prospective design. Large number of incident COPD cases allowed separate analyses in current smokers, ex-smokers and never-smokers. Detailed information on diet and the available data on potential risk factors for COPD also allowed extensive adjustment for confounding.

Our study has some limitations as in all observational studies. Estimates of fruit and vegetable consumption and other factors were based on self-reports assessed at baseline only and the exposures could also be changed during the follow-up, potentially leading to misclassification. However, the FFQ used in this study had a relatively high validity. Moreover, consumption of fruits and vegetables in the studied cohort was relatively stable between 1997 and 2009 (increased only by 0.7 serving/week).[36] Nevertheless, any misclassification of fruit and vegetable consumption, because of the prospective design, would be non-differential and most likely have attenuated rather than exaggerated the true associations. Unmeasured or residual confounding cannot be completely ruled out, although we have adjusted for major potential confounders.

Given that COPD as an entity was launched during the 1970s[37] and that the awareness among healthcare professionals continued to evolve during the 1990s and later,[16] we cannot rule out underascertainment of COPD in the early years of follow-up. Underascertainment of cases may lead to attenuation of risk estimates.[38] Indeed, we observed that risk estimates for the first years of follow-up were attenuated in comparison to the later period. However, by excluding the first 5 years of follow-up, we found that the associations remained similar. In general, it is possible that men who are more health conscious may also be more likely to seek medical care. Nevertheless, because of the healthcare system in Sweden, all inhabitants have the same affordable access to medical care; however, in certain socioeconomic or educational groups with less healthcare-seeking behaviour, an overestimation of the association between fruit and vegetable consumption and COPD incidence may have occurred. Moreover, we cannot rule out that some patients classified as having COPD had obtained the diagnosis without the correct spirometry assessment, even though this investigation is formally required for a clinical diagnosis of COPD. Ideally, new studies in this research area should include this type of verifying spirometry that would presumably further strengthen the validity of the case definition.

In conclusion, in this prospective cohort study of men, high fruit and vegetable consumption was inversely associated with COPD incidence among smokers, but not among non-smokers. The present findings confirm the strong impact of cigarette smoking on the development of COPD and also indicate that diet rich in fruit and vegetables may have an important role in prevention of COPD. Nevertheless, non-smoking and smoking cessation remain the main public health message to prevent development of COPD.

REFERENCES

1 WHO. Cause-specific mortality. Estimates for 2000-2012. Health statistics and information systems. http://www.who.int/healthinfo/global_burden_disease/estimates/en/index1.html
2 Kirkham PA, Barnes PJ. Oxidative stress in COPD. *Chest* 2013;144:266–73.
3 Louhelainen N, Rytilä P, Haahtela T, *et al*. Persistence of oxidant and protease burden in the airways after smoking cessation. *BMC Pulm Med* 2009;9:25.
4 Varraso R, Fung TT, Hu FB, *et al*. Prospective study of dietary patterns and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease among US men. *Thorax* 2007;62:786–91.
5 Varraso R, Fung TT, Barr RG, *et al*. Prospective study of dietary patterns and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease among US women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2007;86:488–95.
6 Shaheen SO, Jameson KA, Syddall HE, *et al*. The relationship of dietary patterns with adult lung function and COPD. *Eur Respir J* 2010;36:277–84.
7 Varraso R, Chiuve SE, Fung TT, *et al*. Alternate Healthy Eating Index 2010 and risk of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease among US women and men: Prospective study. *BMJ* 2015;350:h286.
8 Walda IC, Tabak C, Smit HA, *et al*. Diet and 20-year chronic obstructive pulmonary disease mortality in middle-aged men from three European countries. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2002;56:638–43.
9 Miedema I, Feskens EJM, Heederik D, *et al*. Dietary determinants of long-term incidence of chronic nonspecific lung diseases. *Am J Epidemiol* 1993;138:37–45.
10 Butler LM, Koh WP, Lee HP, *et al*. Dietary fiber and reduced cough with phlegm: a cohort study in Singapore. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2004;170:279–87.
11 Official Statistics of Sweden. *Statistisk årsbok 1999, Statistical Yearbook of Sweden 1999*. Vol 85. Örebro; Statistics Sweden, 1999.
12 Official Statistics of Sweden: Health and Medical Care. *Statistisk årsbok 2010*. Statistical Yearbook of Sweden, 2010.
13 Johansson SE, Qvist J. Fetma en tickande bomb också i Sverige? (Obesity—a ticking bomb even in Sweden?) *Välfärdsbulletinen* 1998;4:14–16.
14 Kaluza J, Håkansson N, Brzozowska A, *et al*. Diet quality and mortality: a population-based prospective study of men. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2009;63:451–7.
15 Norman A, Bellocco R, Bergström A, *et al*. Validity and reproducibility of self-reported total physical activity--differences by relative weight. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2001;25:682–8.
16 Stallberg B, Janson C, Johansson G, *et al*. Management, morbidity and mortality of COPD during an 11-year period: an observational retrospective epidemiological register study in Sweden (PATHOS). *Prim Care Respir J* 2014;23:38–45.
17 Harrell FE Jr., Lee KL, Pollock BG. Regression models in clinical studies: determining relationships between predictors and response. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1988;80:1198–202.
18 Keranis E, Makris D, Rodopoulou P, *et al*. Impact of dietary shift to higher-antioxidant foods in COPD: a randomised trial. *Eur Respir J* 2010;36:774–80.
19 Okubo H, Shaheen SO, Ntani G, *et al*. Processed meat consumption and lung function: Modification by antioxidants and smoking. *Eur Respir J* 2014;43:972–82.
20 Tabak C, Smit HA, Rasanen L, *et al*. Dietary factors and pulmonary function: a cross sectional study in middle aged men from three European countries. *Thorax* 1999;54:1021–6.
21 Boeing H, Bechthold A, Bub A, *et al*. Critical review: vegetables and fruit in the prevention of chronic diseases. *Eur J Nutr* 2012;51:637–63.
22 Watson L, Margetts B, Howarth P, *et al*. The association between diet and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in subjects selected from general practice. *Eur Respir J* 2002;20:313–18.
23 Butland BK, Fehily AM, Elwood PC. Diet, lung function, and lung function decline in a cohort of 2512 middle age men. *Thorax* 2000;55:102–8.

24   Domej W, Oettl K, Renner W. Oxidative stress and free radicals in COPD—implications and relevance for treatment. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2014;9:1207–24.

25   Bloomer RJ. Decreased blood antioxidant capacity and increased lipid peroxidation in young cigarette smokers compared to nonsmokers: impact of dietary intake. *Nutr J* 2007;6:39.

26   Chin YW, Chuang HY, Huang MC, *et al*. Comparison of plasma antioxidant levels and related metabolic parameters between smokers and non-smokers. *Kaohsiung J Med Sci* 2009;25:423–9.

27   Andersson A, Bossios A, Malmhäll C, *et al*. Effects of tobacco smoke on IL-16 in CD8+cells from human airways and blood: a key role for oxygen free radicals? *AJP-Lung Cell Mol Physiol* 2011;300:L43–55.

28   Ahmad A, Shameem M, Husain Q. Altered oxidant-antioxidant levels in the disease prognosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2013;17:1104–9.

29   Arja C, Surapaneni KM, Raya P, *et al*. Oxidative stress and antioxidant enzyme activity in South Indian male smokers with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respirology* 2013;18:1069–75.

30   Baldrick FR, Elborn JS, Woodside JV, *et al*. Effect of fruit and vegetable intake on oxidative stress and inflammation in COPD: a randomised controlled trial. *Eur Respir J* 2012;39:1377–84.

31   Rink SM, Mendola P, Mumford SL, *et al*. Self-report of fruit and vegetable intake that meets the 5 a day recommendation is associated with reduced levels of oxidative stress biomarkers and increased levels of antioxidant defense in premenopausal women. *J Acad Nutr Diet* 2013;113:776–85.

32   Holt EM, Steffen LM, Moran A, *et al*. Fruit and vegetable consumption and its relation to markers of inflammation and oxidative stress in adolescents. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2009;109:414–21.

33   Schwartz J, Weiss ST. Relationship between dietary vitamin C intake and pulmonary function in the First National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES I). *Am J Clin Nutr* 1994;59:110–14.

34   Schwartz J, Weiss ST. Dietary factors and their relation to respiratory symptoms. The Second National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Am J Epidemiol*.1990;132:67–76.

35   Hu G, Cassano PA. Antioxidant nutrients and pulmonary function: The Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III). *Am J Epidemiol* 2000;151:975–81.

36   Olofsson C, Discacciati A, Åkesson A, *et al*. Changes in fruit, vegetable and juice consumption after the diagnosis of type 2 diabetes: a prospective study in men. *Br J Nutr*. Published Online First: 13 Jul 2016. doi:10.1017/S0007114516002257

37   Cohen BH, Ball WC Jr, Brashears S, *et al*. Risk factors in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). *Am J Epidemiol* 1977;105:223–32.

38   Rothman KJ. *Epidemiology: an introduction*. Oxford University Press, 2012.

THORAX

Doctors identify potential 'bagpipe lung' hazard for wind instrument players

Moist interiors may foster growth of fungi/moulds linked to inflammatory lung disease

Doctors writing in the journal **Thorax** have warned musicians who play wind instruments of a potential hazard they have dubbed 'bagpipe lung.'

The warning comes after a man died of the chronic inflammatory lung condition hypersensitivity pneumonitis—thought to have been caused by regularly breathing in mould and fungi lurking inside the moist interior of a set of bagpipes.

Hypersensitivity pneumonitis is triggered by the immune system's response to an inhaled environmental antigen and can progress to disabling or fatal lung disease. It is often associated with occupational exposure to birds, particularly pigeons. But in a significant proportion of cases, it's not always clear what has triggered it.

The doctors describe the case of a 61 year old man who in 2014 had had a dry cough and progressive breathlessness, despite treatment with immunosuppressant drugs, for seven years. His condition had worsened to the point that he couldn't walk more than 20 metres, and was finding it hard to breathe, prompting admission to hospital.

He had been diagnosed with hypersensitivity pneumonitis in 2009, although the cause had not been identified: he was not a pigeon fancier, nor did his house harbour mould or show signs of water damage. And he had never smoked.

But he played the bagpipes daily as a hobby, and didn't take them with him on a three month trip to Australia in 2011, during which time his symptoms rapidly improved.

This prompted samples to be taken for testing from several areas inside the bagpipes, including the bag, the neck, and the chanter reed protector.

The samples grew various different fungi, including *Paecilomyces variotti, Fusarium oxysporum*, *Penicillium* species, *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa, Trichosporon mucoides* and *Exophiala dermatitidis.*

Despite treatment, the man died: a post mortem examination revealed extensive lung damage consistent with acute respiratory distress syndrome and tissue fibrosis (scarring).

This is an isolated case, and the cause of the man's condition was not definitively proved.But there have been other reported cases of hypersensitivity pneumonitis, arising in trombone and saxophone players, say the doctors.

"This is the first case report identifying fungal exposure, from a bagpipe player, as a potential trigger for the development of [hypersensitivity pneumonitis]," they write.

"The clinical history of daily bagpipe playing, coupled with marked symptomatic improvement when this exposure was removed, and the identification of multiple potential precipitating antigens isolated from the bagpipes, make this the likely cause," they conclude.

They warn that any type of wind instrument could be contaminated with yeasts and moulds, making players susceptible to the risk of hypersensitivity pneumonitis.

Although there isn't any guidance on the optimal hygiene regimen, cleaning instruments immediately after use and allowing them to drip dry could theoretically curb the risk of microbe growth, they suggest.

But both doctors and musicians need to be aware of this potential hazard and the importance of good instrument hygiene, they conclude.

Author contact:
Dr Jenny King, University Hospital South Manchester NHS Foundation Trust, Wythenshawe Hospital, Manchester, UK.
Tel: + 44 (0) 7900 691 061
Email: j.king@doctors.org.uk