A toddler with a cough and wheeze refractory to treatment
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TOMMY LWIN (MEDICAL STUDENT)
A 20-month-old girl weighing 12 kg presented with 2 weeks of worsening dry cough and wheeze. Aside from respiratory symptoms she was otherwise well, without fever or weight loss. There was no history of foreign body inhalation or choking. She had no significant personal or family medical history, and her immunisations were up to date. Prior to admission she had been treated for 1 week for a community-acquired pneumonia with oral amoxicillin and roxithromycin, as well as for a first presentation asthma exacerbation with oral prednisolone (2 mg/kg) and inhaled salbutamol, three hourly. Despite these interventions no clinical improvement had been noted. On examination, her respiratory rate and oxygen saturations were normal. She had mild respiratory distress, a loud biphasic wheeze and a prolonged expiratory phase. A chest X-ray (CXR) demonstrated hyperinflation of the right lung (figure 1A). Given the suspicion of a foreign body obstructing a right-sided airway, a rigid bronchoscopy was performed which showed an isolated submucosal lesion in the right main bronchus causing airway narrowing (figure 1B). To further characterise the lesion, a CT of the chest was performed, which demonstrated a subcarinal mass (figure 1C), causing significant extrinsic compression of the distal right main bronchus and bronchus intermedius. There was no intraluminal perforation of the mass.

SHIVANTHAN SHANTHIKUMAR (RESPIRATORY FELLOW) AND JO HARRISON (RESPIRATORY CONSULTANT)
The most likely differential diagnoses for a subcarinal mass in this patient include a neoplastic lesion (lymphoma, or germ cell tumour), an infectious mass (tuberculous (TB) or non-TB mycobacterium (lymphoma, or germ cell tumour), an infectious, interstitial lung disease, lung cancer and post-transplant rejection. Its use in the paediatric population is more limited; however, Zhang et al recently reported a series of 12 patients who had cryotherapy to remove a foreign body. In 2 of the 12 cases, cryotherapy damaged the airway mucosa causing asymptomatic partial obstruction of the airway.

Transbronchial biopsies performed via the operating channel of a bronchoscope without image guidance have also been used in the diagnosis of paediatric subcarinal masses with a series of 28 paediatric patients demonstrating that it is a safe procedure. When compared with EBUS TBNA, a limitation of this methodology is the lack of image guidance, although an advantage is that it can be performed via a smaller bronchoscope.

After a multidisciplinary discussion including an adult respiratory physician specialising in EBUS, a paediatric general surgeon and an anatomical pathologist, it was decided that although EBUS TBNA would be feasible, a needle aspirate might not provide a sample sufficient for diagnosis if the mass was due to a lymphoma such as Hodgkin’s lymphoma which has a non-uniform population of tumour cells, and hence may be missed on an aspirate. Hence, the patient was referred for a thoracoscopic biopsy.
While planning for a biopsy, other investigations were performed to help identify the cause of the mass. A full blood examination including blood film showed no signs of inflammation or malignancy. Tumour markers (α fetoprotein and β hCG) to investigate a germ cell tumour were normal. A bronchial lavage showed no acid-fast bacilli on Ziehl-Neelsen stain, no malignant cells, and mycobacterial cultures were negative. To further investigate TB, a tuberculin skin test (TST) was performed and was positive at 20 mm of induration. An Interferon Gamma Release Assay (QuantiFERON-TB Gold) (IGRA) for TB was negative, and early morning gastric aspirates were not done.

JOE CRAMERI (PAEDIATRIC SURGEON)

Thoracoscopy revealed a large lymph node wrapped around the vagus nerve and azygous vein with no discernible tissue plane. It was not suitable for excision. An incisional biopsy was sent for frozen section analysis which suggested a mycobacterial infection. A biopsy of nodal tissue was obtained, and pus was aspirated from the node and sent for analysis.

SS, JH AND CW CHOW (ANATOMICAL PATHOLOGIST)

Given the strongly positive TST and the frozen section findings, the likely cause of the patient’s subcarinal mass is a mycobacterial infection. A TST of 20 mm raises a high suspicion of TB; however, NTM infection can also cause a positive TST. The lymph node biopsy showed many granulomas with focal stellate necrosis and a thin layer of caseation surrounded by regularly palisaded epithelioid cells with occasional Langhans giant cells (see figure 1D). These features are strongly suggestive of a mycobacterial infection, although the exact nature of the infective organism could not be determined with certainty. In order

**Figure 1** (A) Chest X-ray at presentation showing hyperinflation of right lung. (B) Image from rigid bronchoscopy showing occlusion of right main bronchus by submucosal lesion. (C) Initial CT scan showing subcarinal mass compressing the right main bronchus. (D) Lymph node biopsy demonstrating necrosis with nuclear debris surrounded by a thin layer of caseation and epithelioid cells with occasional Langhans giant cells. (E) Repeat CT scan showing reduction in size of mass with ongoing right main bronchus compression.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Scope size (channel size)</th>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Patients (youngest patient)</th>
<th>Adequate material</th>
<th>Diagnostic sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gilbert et al</td>
<td>EBUS TBNA</td>
<td>6.9 mm</td>
<td>LMA</td>
<td>21 (18 months, 10 kg)</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhooria et al</td>
<td>EBUS TBNA or EUS-B-FNA</td>
<td>6.9 mm</td>
<td>LMA</td>
<td>67 (3 years)</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>56.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al</td>
<td>Cryotherapy*</td>
<td>4.8 mm (2.2 mm) or 4.0 mm (2.0 mm)</td>
<td>Mouth or LMA</td>
<td>12 (10 months)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goussard et al</td>
<td>Transbronchial biopsy</td>
<td>4.0 mm (2.0 mm)</td>
<td>LMA</td>
<td>28 (9 months)</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No biopsy samples taken.
EBUS TBNA, endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration; EUS-B-FNA, transoesophageal biopsy.
to determine whether it is a TB or non-TB infection, the tissue sample was sent for culture and PCR testing for both TB and NTM. As there was some delay until the results of culture and PCR were available, consideration was given to empiric TB treatment. A delay in obtaining these results is not unique to our institution, and in this situation some guidelines recommend TB treatment. However, as the patient remained systemically well, had a negative IGRA and there was no history of contact with TB infection, a decision was made not to start treatment. Another potential tool for discriminating between NTM and TB infection would be the use of dual skin testing with a traditional TST and a Mycobacterium avium sensitiin; however, the authors are unaware of a Mycobacterium avium sensitiin that is licensed for clinical use in humans and widely commercially available, and it is not possible at our hospital.

**TL**
The results of mycobacterial culture were negative; however, using PCR, mycobacterial DNA was detected, and following Sanger sequencing the DNA was found to originate from *Mycobacterium avium*. Treatment with azithromycin (10 mg/kg once time per day), Rifampicin (10 mg/kg once time per day) and ethambutol (15 mg/kg daily) was commenced. A repeat CXR prior to starting treatment was normal. A screen for immune deficiencies (FBE, lymphocyte subsets, immunoglobulins, tetanus and pneumococcal antibodies) was also normal, although testing for an interferon-γ or IL-12 deficiency was not performed.

**SS AND JH**
Intrathoracic disease is an extremely uncommon presentation of NTM, with cervical lymphadenitis being the most common site of infection. There are multiple case reports of NTM causing a similar presentation to our patient, and based on these reports we would expect our patient to have a good outcome. Nolt et al identified 43 cases of reported intrathoracic NTM disease between 1930 and 2003. In this group, 40% of patients had a similar presentation to our patient with respiratory symptoms in isolation, while the remainder also had constitutional symptoms including fever, weight loss and night sweats. Of note, the average TST measurement was 7 mm. In the group of patients with isolated respiratory symptoms, the median duration of treatment was 6 months, although the details of treatment were not described. Only 3/43 patients suffered relapse of their disease. Fergie et al reviewed eight cases of intrathoracic NTM infection, seven of which were in immunocompetent patients and one patient with acquired hypogammoglobulinaemia. In the seven immunocompetent patients, all were cured, after they received a variety of treatments involving two to three antibiotics used for 2–14 months. Some cases also had either partial or complete surgical resection. Aside from one case, the patients in both series were thought to be immunocompetent, although it is unclear how thoroughly they were assessed for immune deficiency, and hence it is still reasonable to investigate patients with this unusual presentation. Of note, the median age of presentation in both case series was 24 months, which is similar age to that of our patient.

Based on the described cases and guidelines for treatment of NTM disease, a prolonged course of antibiotics will be needed. A repeat CT scan (figure 1D) 7 months into treatment showed significant reduction in the size of the subcarinal nodal mass; however, there was ongoing right main bronchus compression. Clinically she had noisy breathing on exertion but no exercise limitation or systemic symptoms. Twelve months of treatment will be completed with repeat imaging at that stage to assess treatment response.

**CONCLUSION**
This case of intrathoracic NTM disease presenting with wheeze unresponsive to asthma treatment highlights some important lessons for health professionals looking after paediatric patients:
1. Wheeze that does not respond to bronchodilator therapy warrants further investigation for airway obstruction.
2. Based on experience in adult patients, new techniques for obtaining biopsies via a flexible bronchoscopy are being used in paediatric patients, and when planning a procedure, clinicians should always try and choose the least invasive method of attaining an adequate sample. At this stage, there is no clear ‘gold standard’ method, and the choice will be based on patient factors (age, size), pathology factors (mass location, mass size and potential diagnoses) and clinician factors (personal experience, equipment available, support from colleagues such as adult respiratory physicians). It is important to note that these novel modalities may not result in a diagnostic sample, and patients may need to proceed to an open biopsy.
3. This case highlights that some patients with NTM infections can have strongly positive TST result. Therefore, in TST-positive cases, without risk factors for TB, who are clinically stable, withholding TB treatment while awaiting microbiological confirmation of the causative organism can be considered.
4. Intrathoracic NTM cases have been reported and are thought to occur in mainly immunocompetent patients who generally have good outcomes with prolonged courses of combination antibiotic therapy.
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