UTILITY OF AN AUTO-TITRATING PROTOCOL FOR THE SETUP OF NOCTURNAL NON-INVASIVE VENTILATION
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Background: The prevalence of conditions requiring nocturnal breathing support is increasing. Evidence is accumulating that early delivery of optimised nocturnal non-invasive ventilation (nNIV) improves acceptability and longer term NIV usage. The AVAPS-AE (adaptive volume assured pressure support with auto-EPAP) mode of the Respironics A40 ventilator offers prospects of fully auto-titrated NIV. After obtaining initial experience, we adopted use of this ventilator mode for nocturnal hypoventilation (excluding those with neuromuscular disorders), with aim of improving service efficiency and patient outcomes.

Methods: Patients with nocturnal hypoventilation disorders (majority obesity-related) attend our tertiary inpatient breathing support service. In early 2014 we established a protocol for auto-NIV setup which consists of first night sleep study with morning capillary blood gases (+ancillary cardiorespiratory investigations if indicated), second night on AVAPS-AE mode NIV and then transition on third night to fixed ST mode bilevel NIV based on the A40 ventilator report, supported by appropriate improvement and then stability in sleep quality, daytime symptoms, ventilator integration, overnight transcutaneous and morning blood gas measurements.

Results: Between March 2014 and June 2016 103 patients received AVAPS-AE mode ventilation for initial setup or re-titration of nNIV. The majority of patients tolerated auto-NIV setup protocol well, and were discharged on ‘fixed’ NIV using a less expensive generator with the A40 derived settings, and did not subsequently require ventilator adjustments. Auto-NIV derived settings typically indicated higher backup rates and unpredictable IPAP/EPAP requirements compared with previous experience. 21 patients continue on AVAPS-AE mode NIV long based on high pressure support or labile ventilation requirements with suboptimal clinical parameters on fixed NIV. Mean length of stay for breathing support assessment has been reduced by >1 day since the adoption of auto-NIV setup protocol, and clinic follow-up has also been rationalised.

Conclusion: Auto-NIV setup protocol achieves significant service efficiencies. This patient cohort will continue to be studied to judge other clinical benefits, but prospective clinical trials with AVAPS-AE or similar ventilator modes for acute NIV and elective outpatient nNIV are justified.
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LUNG CANCER SURGICAL SURVIVAL AND VOLUME IN ENGLAND

1D West, 2P Beckett, 3A Khakwani, 4H Hubbard, 5R Dickinson, 6I Woolhouse. 1Society of Cardiothoracic Surgeons, London, UK; 2Royal College of Physicians, London, UK; 3University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

Introduction: The National Lung Cancer Audit has collected data for over 10 years demonstrating gradually rising resection rates in the UK. The Clinical (formerly Consultant) Outcomes Programme (COP) is an NHS England initiative, managed by HQIP, using national audit data to publish quality measures at the level of individual consultants. The lung cancer COP focusses on activity at individual surgeon level, and on survival at unit level. The first lung cancer COP in 2014 demonstrated overall 30 and 90-day survival of 97.8% and 95.5%.

Methods: Data submitted to the NLCA for patients having curative-intent surgery who underwent surgery in 2013 was sent to the clinical lead at each surgical unit for validation and addition of responsible surgeon GMC number, with the option to add surgical cases if they were not included in the supplied dataset. Date of death was derived by a link to the Office of National Statistics. Units reporting unadjusted survival proportions more than three standard errors outside the national mean (“alarm” level) at 30 or 90 days were identified as statistical outliers.

Results: All of the 28 surgical units in England participated in the audit, submitting a total of 4892 cases. Median annual unit activity was 156 resections (IQR 99–221, range 39–481). Median annual activity for individual surgeons was 39 (IQR 20–52, range 1–152). Overall 30-day survival was 98% and 90-day survival was 96%. There were no units with statistical outliers at the alarm level at 30-days and 90-days (see Figure).

Conclusion: Volume of activity varies widely by unit and individual surgeon. Survival after lung cancer surgery is very high, is improving, and is not statistically significantly different across the surgical units in England. This suggests that lung cancer teams may still be risk averse when considering surgical treatment of their patients. Reasons why patients die between 30 and 90 days is worthy of further investigation. Case-mix adjustment will be needed to allow robust comparisons between units.