Background Pneumonia is a leading cause of hospital admission. With mortality exceeding 18% (BTS CAP Audit 2013) the search for strategies to reduce this continues. Statins are receiving increasing attention for a potential role in improving survival from acute bacterial infections. MHRA guidance recommends that statins should be paused during treatment with a macrolide due to risk of myopathy and rhabdomyolysis.

We undertook a retrospective study to determine the frequency of concurrent statin and macrolide administration in patients diagnosed with pneumonia and whether concurrent use of a statin and macrolide antibiotic to treat pneumonia improved survival compared to stopping statin treatment, and whether concurrent use was safe and tolerable.

165 patient episodes were identified by searching for patients who were coded as having pneumonia and were prescribed a statin and macrolide. Data was collected on statin, dose, severity of pneumonia including intensive care admission, comorbidities, survival, renal and liver function.

Results 62% of the cohort continued a statin throughout pneumonia treatment. In the continued statin group survival to hospital discharge was 79% versus 64% in the group in whom the statin was paused (p = 0.034).

Severity of pneumonia (CURB score) was similar for both groups. Statin users were less likely to be admitted to intensive care (28% vs 46%, p = 0.0219). Charlson comorbidity index score was similar for the statin (6.4, IQR = 5–8) and non-statin (6.1, IQR = 5–8) groups.

There was no increased risk of acute liver, kidney injury or myopathy in the continued statin group.

Conclusion Continued statin use during treatment for pneumonia with a macrolide antibiotic is safe and may improve survival compared to stopping statin use. Current guidance on concurrent use of statins and macrolides should be reviewed.
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Background Invasive fungal disease (IFD) frequently occurs in febrile neutropenic haematology patients (NHP). Until 2012 no biomarkers were available for the diagnosis of IFD in our Trust. The neutropenic fever pathway was modified to include serial serum Aspergillus galactomannan (GM), serum Aspergillus PCR (APCR) from national reference laboratory and Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) GM and APCR.

We compared 299 NHP who were investigated with the original pathway between October 2009 and April 2012 with 307 NHP investigated with the novel pathway between April 2013 and 2015. Primary end point was non-inferiority of novel pathway in terms of 12 month mortality. Secondary outcomes were 30 day mortality, length of treatment, length of stay and confidence of diagnosis based on EORTC/MSG criteria and concordance of the different biomarkers.

Abstract P266 Figure 1 Increased confidence in diagnosis of IFD according to EORTC/MSG criteria with novel pathway
**Method** Prospective patients (2013–15 cohort) were identified from ward lists. Retrospective patients (2009–12 cohort) were identified from haematology patients who had had blood cultures and were co-incidently found to be neutropenic. Medical notes, drug charts, discharge letters and microbiology results were reviewed.

**Results** The 2 cohorts were well matched in terms of haematology diagnosis. The 2009/12 cohort included 561 episodes, with 288 CT chests and 62 bronchoscopies compared to 508 episodes, 46 CT chests and 86 bronchoscopies in 2013/15 group. 12 month mortality was 42% in 2009/12 versus 34% in 2013/15 cohort. 30 day mortality was 11% for both cohorts. There was no significant difference in length of antifungal treatments, although 24% switched to voriconazole following positive biomarkers.

Concordance between serum and BAL GM was 14.8% and APCR was 6.3%. Concordance between serum GM and APCR was 7% and between BAL GM and PCR was 41%.

Confidence in diagnosis of IFD increased with the novel pathway See Figure 1.

**Conclusion** The introduction of a novel NF pathway was found to be non-inferior in terms of 12 month and 30 day mortality. Although there was increased confidence in the diagnosis of IFD, this did not translate to reduced antifungal treatment, although it did influence switching to voriconazole and secondary chemotherapy. Negative serum GM and PCR did not rule out the diagnosis of IFD and BAL biomarkers were more sensitive than serum ones.
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**P268 IS BRONCHIECTASIS SEVERITY INFLUENCED BY AETIOLOGY OR CO-MORBID AIRWAYS DISEASE?**
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**Background** There is increased interest whether aetiology and co-morbid airways disease influence bronchiectasis disease severity.

**Methods** We conducted a retrospective study of 400 patients attending a specialist bronchiectasis clinic in NHS Lothian, Edinburgh, UK between May 2013 and September 2014 and using multivariable models we identified independent risk factors that influenced bronchiectasis disease severity using the Bronchiectasis Severity Index. We adjusted for age, sex, smoking history, aetiology and presence of co-morbid airways disease (asthma and COPD).

**Results** 400 patients were included in this study. The mean age was 66.0 (13.9) years, 253 (63.2%) were female. The majority (77%) had idiopathic (53%) or post infective bronchiectasis (24%). Other aetiologies were: allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis 8%; immune/auto-immune 6%; interstitial lung disease 3%; ciliary defects 3%; and inflammatory bowel disease 3%. Co-existing airways disease was common but not the predominant diagnosis (36% had asthma and 19% COPD).

Independent risk factors for severe bronchiectasis (BSI ≥ 9) were age 70–79 (OR 6.3, p = 0.003), age 80 and above (OR 7.3, p = 0.003) and smoking (OR 1.02, p = 0.002). It was not influenced by presence of airways disease or aetiology.

**Conclusion** In conclusion, neither aetiology nor presence of airways disease was independent risk factors for severe bronchiectasis severity. Age was the strongest independent predictor for severe bronchiectasis severity.