function reports. Those choosing reference values must be aware of implications for patients.
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**COMPARISON OF PHYSIOLOGICAL VERSUS MATHEMATICAL METHODS FOR QUALITY CONTROL IN MBW NORMALISED PHASE III ANALYSIS**

1M Arigliani, 2N Verger, 3E Raywood, 3J Duncan, 4A Bush, 5P Aurora on behalf of the London Cystic Fibrosis Collaboration. 1Department of Clinical and Experimental Medical Sciences, Unit of Paediatrics, University Hospital of Udine, Udine, Italy; 2Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France; 3Respiratory, Critical Care and Anaesthesia Section, IIIP, UCL Institute of Child Health, London, UK; 4The National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College, London, UK; 5Department of Respiratory Medicine, Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children, London, UK

**Background**
Breathing pattern cannot be controlled in small children, so multiple breath washout SnIII analysis has to exclude inadequate volume breaths.

**Aim**
To compare an existing mathematical breath exclusion algorithm with a physiological method.

**Methods**
School age children with CF (30) and controls (30) performed SF6MBW with mass spectrometer, with uncontrolled tidal breathing. Two different breath exclusion methods were compared, with exclusion based on:
1) Expired tidal volume (VT) deviating by >25% of the median VT
2) VT < 3 Langley dead space volume or 90% bigger than the median VT

Runs with >33% excluded breaths were removed. Volume corrected Scond was calculated from subjects with 3 valid runs.

**Results**
Far fewer subjects were excluded by the physiological Langley method, than by the mathematical method (Table). The mean and SD for Scond was identical by both methods, implying that the mathematical algorithm excludes valid data.

**Conclusion**
A physiological approach to data cleaning prior to SnIII analysis allows retention of data that would be inappropriately excluded mathematically.
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Results All subjects flew without the use of oxygen, and no adverse events were recorded in-flight. Air travel caused significant desaturation (p < 0.001) (mean pre flight SpO2 95 +/− 1%; mean in-flight SpO2 90 +/− 3%). The HCT caused mean desaturation (p ≤ 0.001) that was comparable to that of air travel (90 +/− 3%). The pre flight FEV1 and in-flight SpO2 showed weak correlation (r = 0.41 p = 0.125). The HCT showed the strongest correlation with the lower SpO2 value measured from both outward and inward flights (r = 0.92 p < 0.001).

Conclusions Significant in-flight desaturation can be expected in passengers with CF. The HCT results compare favourably with air travel data and may be considered the best widely available laboratory test to predict in-flight hypoxaemia in adults with CF.

P251 DOES FRACTIONAL EXHALE NITRIC OXIDE AND METHACHOLINE CHALLENGE TEST HELP IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF AIRWAYS DISEASE?

IJ Cliff, M Hepple, MB Allen. Royal Stoke University Hospital, Stoke-on-Trent, UK

Introduction With proposed NICE changes to the diagnosis of asthma,1 which recommend the use of fractional exhale nitric oxide (FeNO) at a positive value of ≥35 ppb and a change in direct challenge test (Methacholine) from 16 to 8 mg/ml as a cut off for positive responses, we have reviewed our data to assess the impact of these changes.

Methods A retrospective review of results from 2009 to 2016 where methacholine challenge tests (MCT) and FeNO were performed simultaneously in patients with normal spirometry in the assessment of airways disease.

Results Results were available on 145 patients and are tabulated below for both the cut off for MCH of 16 mg/ml (current) and the new cut off value of 8 mg/ml.

Conclusions Altering the threshold for MCT produces 10 less positive results (7%) reducing the clinical assumption of airways disease. Likewise, 17 had a positive FeNO and a negative challenge test irrespective of the MCT threshold. However, adopting this change reduced the number of negative FeNO and positive MCT from 32 to 22.

In our population, patients referred for a diagnosis of airways disease with normal spirometry showed a large number of patients were both negative for FeNO and MCT and changing the MCT threshold does not significantly impact our group.

Abstract P251 Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FeNO +ve</th>
<th>FeNO −ve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC20 +ve (≤16 ml/mg)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC20 –ve (≥16 mg/ml)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Chi-Square = 0.000, DF = 1, P = Value = 0.989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC20 +ve (≥8 ml/mg)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC20 –ve (≥8 mg/ml)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Chi-Square = 0.806, DF = 1, P = Value = 0.369</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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P252 ACCURATE MEASUREMENT OF LUNG FUNCTION IN THE WORKPLACE AND POTENTIAL EFFECTS OF UNDERESTIMATION


Introduction Accurate workplace spirometry measurement is key to giving workers the best clinical assessment of their respiratory health. We were interested in the underestimation of spirometry that occurs if best practice is not adhered to and the significance of this on assessment of health at work.

Methods 667 stone, brick and foundry workers (with varying spirometry experience), carried out lung function testing as part of a larger cross sectional workplace study. Each performed a minimum of 3 forced expirations. Testing continued until each worker had met the ATS/ERS guidance. The final FEV1 and FVC recorded was the maximum value attained from 3 technically acceptable blows, and that the two highest FEV1 and FVC values were within 150 mls. Using the final FEV1 and FVC for each worker, it was then possible to calculate the underestimate of both measures, had only the first blow, or the maximum of the first two blows, been used for interpretation.

Results 613 of the 669 (91.6%) attained the ATS/ERS criteria based on FEV1. Analysis of the first actual blow, regardless of technical quality, showed an FEV1 mean underestimate of 250 mls (median = 80 mls, IQR = 210 mls). If only the first technically acceptable blow had been carried out, the FEV1 would have been underestimated by a mean of 114 mls (60 mls, 150 mls). If only two technically acceptable blows had been carried out, and the maximum of these used, the FEV1 would have been underestimated by a mean of 36 mls (0 mls, 50 mls). Similarly, the FVC would have been underestimated by a mean of 131 mls (75 mls, 180 mls) if only the first technically acceptable blow had been used for interpretation. If only two technically acceptable blows were carried out, the FVC would have been underestimated by a mean of 43 mls (0 mls, 50 mls).

Conclusion Non adherence to ATS/ERS lung function testing guidance at work can cause the FEV1 and FVC to be underestimated by clinically significant amounts.

P253 COULD APPLICATION OF SIMPLE DIAGNOSTIC ALGORITHM AID ONWARD REFERRAL FOR OPTIMISATION OF PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS IN PATIENTS BEING CONSIDERED FOR MAJOR SURGERY?

1. Hornsby, P Higgins, A Baker, E Black, D Anderson. 1Queen Elizabeth University Hospital, Glasgow, UK; 2Victoria Infirmary, Glasgow, UK

Introduction Cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) is used to identify physiological limitation to exercise and aid diagnosis of cardiorespiratory, psychological and muscle disorders.1 It is also frequently used to stratify operative risk thereby aiding decision making in patients considered for major surgical procedures.2
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