Abstract P157 Figure 1 Seasonal analysis of exacerbations in subjects defined by baseline blood eosinophil counts. Unadjusted exacerbation rates are plotted as a function of the month normalised by hemisphere. The month for corresponding hemispheric season are annotated in plot margins. Analyses for subjects with baseline blood eosinophils $< 300/\mu\text{L}$ or $\geq 300/\mu\text{L}$ are plotted on left and right panels respectively.

year in August to 1.43/year in September). The 95% confidence intervals for the per-month lebrikizumab treatment effect overlapped with zero in eosinophil-low patients for all calendar months. The maximum per-month treatment effects for eosinophil-high patients were observed during the autumn and spring months (62.7 [10.1, 84.5]% for September and 65.1 [8.6, 86.7]% for May). The minimum per-month treatment effects were observed in the summer months (11.3 [−148.7, 68.4]% for July and 6.6 [−166.0, 67.2]% for August).

Conclusions We conclude that seasonal spikes in exacerbations may be primarily dependent on Type 2 inflammatory processes. The molecular pathways underlying asthma exacerbations are heterogeneous and therapeutic strategies targeting Type 2 biology alone may have the greatest efficacy in limiting seasonal spikes in exacerbation rates. Overall, these data highlight that a significant proportion of asthma exacerbations may be independent of seasonal influences and/or Type 2 biology and that increased therapeutic efficacy may require targeting multiple distinct pathways in asthma.

P158 FLUTICASONE FUROATE (FF)/VILANTEROL (VI) ONCE DAILY IMPROVES NIGHT-TIME AWAKENINGS IN ASTHMA

¹N Barnes, ¹L Yates, ¹MR Gibbs, ²R Forth. ¹GlaxoSmithKline Respiratory Global Franchise, London, UK; ²PAREXEL International, Research Triangle Park, USA

10.1136/thoraxjnl-2016-209333.301

Introduction and objectives FF/VI, the first once daily inhaled corticosteroid/long-acting β_2 -agonist combination available for the treatment of asthma, has demonstrated a sustained 24 hour

improvement in lung function and improvement in symptom-free 24 hour periods.

Methods Post-hoc analyses of diary card data from three Phase III studies were performed to examine whether there was an improvement in night-time awakening during the studies for those patients treated with the addition of vilanterol to fluticasone furoate. The diary card scale used is described below. Changes in night-time awakenings over the duration of the studies were analysed for percentage of patients with $\geq 50\%$ symptom-free nights, including the time taken for 50% of patients to achieve 7 nights without symptoms.

Night-time Symptom Score:

0 = No symptoms during the night

1 = Symptoms causing me to wake once (or wake early)

2 = Symptoms causing me to wake twice or more (including waking early)

3 = Symptoms causing me to be awake for most of the night

4 = Symptoms so severe that I did not sleep at all

To be counted as symptom-free during the night the patient needed to record a score of 0.

Results The percentage of patients with $\geq 50\%$ symptom-free nights was generally higher in patients treated with FF/VI compared to either FF or FP alone (Table below). The time (in days) for 50% of patients to achieve 7 nights without symptoms was achieved sooner with patients treated with FF/VI compared to FF alone (Table).

Conclusions In general, night-time awakenings improved over time in asthma patients with FF/VI and improved faster with FF/VI compared with FF or placebo.

Abstract P158 Table 1 Treatment comparisons for % patients with $\geq 50\%$ symptom free nights over the study duration

HZA106827 (Weeks 1–12)	Placebo (n = 203)	FF 100 (n = 204)	FF/VI 100 (n = 201)
REF			
% of patients	35%	46%	59%
Odds Ratio (95% CI)		FF vs PbO: 2.29 (1.41, 3.73)	FF/VI vs PbO: 4.66 (2.84, 7.66)
Odds Ratio (95% CI)			FF/VI vs FF 100: 2.04 (1.29, 3.22)
Time (days) when 50% of patients achieved 7 nights without symptoms	Did not occur during study	70	44
HZA106863 (Weeks 1–12)	FF 100 (n = 346)	FF/VI 100 (n = 345)	FF/VI 200 (n = 345)
REF			
% of patients	42%	43%	48%
Odds Ratio (95% CI)		FF/VI 100 vs FF 100: 1.33 (0.93, 1.91)	FF/VI 200 vs FF/VI 100: 1.23 (0.87, 1.74)
Time (days) when 50% of patients achieved 7 nights without symptoms	86	64	48
HZA106829 (Weeks 1–24)	FF 200 (n = 193)	FF/VI 200 (n = 197)	FP 500 (n = 195)
REF			
% of patients	41%	51%	46%
Odds Ratio (95% CI)		FF/VI 200 vs FF 200: 1.59 (0.99, 2.55)	
Odds Ratio (95% CI)		FF/VI 200 vs FP 500: 1.09 (0.69, 1.74)	
Time (days) when 50% of patients achieved 7 nights without symptoms	111	72	84

P159

THERAPEUTIC BENEFIT OF MEPOLIZUMAB IN THE SCOTTISH MEDICINES CONSORTIUM (SMC) RESTRICTED SUB-POPULATION – A POST-HOC META-ANALYSIS OF PHASE IIB/III TRIALS

¹RA Mehta, ²CEA Hartmann, ²NB Gunsoy, ¹FC Albers. ¹GlaxoSmithKline, Research Triangle Park, USA; ²GlaxoSmithKline, Uxbridge, UK

10.1136/thoraxjnl-2016-209333.302

Rationale The Scottish Medicines Consortium provides advice to NHS boards and Area Drug and Therapeutics Committees across Scotland about the status of newly licensed medicines. In June 2016 positive advice was issued for mepolizumab, an anti-IL-5 mAb licensed for adult severe refractory eosinophilic asthma, for use in a restricted sub-population: patients who have eosinophils of ≥ 150 cells per microlitre ($0.15 \times 10^9/L$) at initiation of treatment and had ≥ 4 asthma exacerbations in the preceding year or are receiving maintenance treatment with oral corticosteroids

(mOCS). Here we present the results for this sub-population comparing the effect of mepolizumab with placebo in this subgroup.

Methods Three randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled studies of mepolizumab in severe eosinophilic asthma were identified, which used the licensed 100 mg SC dose or the corresponding 75 mg IV dose of mepolizumab. For analysis purposes, these two treatment arms were combined. A post-hoc meta-analysis assessing the key outcome measures in the three phase IIB/III trials (DREAM [NCT01000506] and MENSA [NCT01691521]) with a sensitivity analysis including SIRIUS [NCT01691508]) were run for the sub-population using individual patient data.

Results The post-hoc meta-analysis included 197 patients from DREAM and MENSA and 251 when including the SIRIUS trial. The mean age was 51.2 and 51.3 years of which 62% and 64% were female, respectively. A 59% (95% CI: 0.31, 0.55; $p < 0.001$) reduction in clinically significant exacerbations was seen in the meta-analysis of DREAM and MENSA (50% [95% CI: 0.40, 0.64, $p < 0.001$] sensitivity analysis with SIRIUS). The ACQ score showed an improvement of -0.56 (95% CI: $-0.79, -0.33$; $p < 0.001$) and -0.58 (95% CI: $-0.79, -0.38$; $p < 0.001$, sensitivity analysis with SIRIUS). The SGRQ was only used in MENSA and SIRIUS and showed an improvement in total score of -8.0 ($-12.0, -3.9$, $p < 0.001$).

Conclusion Mepolizumab treatment was effective in SMC advice population (adult severe refractory eosinophilic asthma patients with a blood eosinophil count of ≥ 150 cells/ μL at initiation of treatment, and ≥ 4 exacerbations in the previous year or dependency on mOCS). The use of a post-hoc meta-analysis is a helpful approach to increase our understanding of mepolizumab's treatment effect in the SMC restricted sub-population.

Funding GSK (NCT01000506, NCT01691521, NCT01691508).

P160

USE OF OMALIZUMAB IN FUNGAL ALLERGIC ASTHMA

¹HV Patel, ²B Kane, ²P Foden, ²LJ Holmes, ²GOG Tavemier, ¹TB Morris, ²DM Ryan, ²RM Niven. ¹University of Manchester, Manchester, UK; ²University Hospital of South Manchester, Manchester, UK

10.1136/thoraxjnl-2016-209333.303

Background The monoclonal anti-IgE agent Omalizumab holds an established place in the management of severe allergic asthma patients (GINA Step 5). Fungal allergic asthma possesses added complexity as fungi are ubiquitous in our environment and are capable of not only triggering asthma, but may grow, colonise and infect host tissue. Current treatment approaches include: Allergen avoidance, mucus reduction, control of bacterial infection, control of inflammation, reducing fungal burden and recently blockade of allergy using Omalizumab.

Aims/purpose Investigate the response to Omalizumab in severe asthma patients who are sensitised to fungal allergens compared to those who are non-fungal allergic. Current literature describes the use of Omalizumab in fungal allergic airways disease, though published data takes the form of case reports/series with limited total population.

Methods Retrospective cohort study of severe asthma patients treated with Omalizumab ($n = 168$). Patients were grouped into fungal or non-fungal allergy status, followed by a comparison of the change in a variety of clinical and physiological outcomes at 16 weeks and 52 weeks from baseline between these two groups. The change in Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ) between baseline and 16 weeks was utilised as the primary outcome. Groups will be compared using an unpaired t-test or Chi-