

## Supplementary methods/results

### Inclusion criteria

- Male or Female aged between 18 and 80 years old
- Suspected asthma diagnosis & prescribed a new ICS

### Exclusion criteria

- Already using inhaled or oral corticosteroid
- Pregnant females
- Other significant respiratory diagnosis

### Study design



ACQ = asthma control questionnaire, ACT = asthma control test, SPT = skin prick test, FE<sub>NO</sub> = Fractional exhaled nitric oxide level, BHR = methacholine challenge, Blood = full blood count (including differential cell count), Sputum = sputum induction and differential cell count

### Study procedures

FE<sub>NO</sub> was measured using a chemiluminescence analyser (NIOX MINO®; Aerocrine™, Tolna, Sweden) prior to any other study procedures at a flow rate of 50ml/s to provide two approved FE<sub>NO</sub> measurements as per ATS guidelines(1). Following FE<sub>NO</sub> measurement subjects were asked to complete the ACQ and ACT questionnaires.

Skin prick tests to a panel of common aeroallergens with normal saline and histamine controls (Alk-Abello™, Berkshire, UK) were performed according to a standard protocol. Participants were requested not to take any antihistamine medications for a minimum of 48 hours prior to the test.

Spirometry was performed using a Vitalograph™ dry wedge bellows spirometer (Vitalograph™ model 2150, Buckinghamshire, England) as per ERS guidelines(2). Airway hyperresponsiveness testing was performed using methacholine as a provocative agent and the tidal breathing method to determine the concentration of methacholine causing a 20% fall in FEV<sub>1</sub> (PC<sub>20</sub>) as per a standard protocol(3). If subjects attended visit 1a bronchodilator reversibility was assessed 15 minutes after administration of 400 micrograms of salbutamol inhaled via a Volumatic® spacer as per ERS guidelines(2).

Sputum induction was performed using a protocol based on that described by Pin et al(4) and processed to obtain a sputum eosinophil count as previously described(5). Blood samples were also taken at each visit for differential cell count.

## **Diagnosis and ICS Response Criteria**

Diagnosis of asthma was defined as one or both of:

- Reversibility of  $\geq 12\%$  and  $\geq 200$  ml of FEV<sub>1</sub> (6, 7)
- Provocative concentration of methacholine (PC<sub>20</sub>) of  $\leq 8$ mg/ml(3)

Response to inhaled steroids was pre-defined as a combination of 2 of any of the objective criteria or 1 objective criterion and 1 subjective criterion from the following predetermined criteria:

Objective:

- Increase in FEV<sub>1</sub>  $\geq 12\%$  (7)
- Increase in PC<sub>20</sub>  $\geq$  one doubling dose(8)
- Decrease in FE<sub>NO</sub> of  $\geq 20\%$  for baseline values  $> 50$  ppb or decrease of  $\geq 10$  ppb for baseline values  $\leq 50$  ppb (1)

Subjective:

- Decrease in ACQ  $\geq 0.5$ (9)
- Increase in ACT  $\geq 3$  points(10)

## Consort Diagram

Enrolment

Assessed for eligibility **n=110**

Excluded **n= 33**

- Not meeting inclusion criteria **n=18**
- Declined to participate **n=15**

Included in study **n=77**

Follow-Up

Lost to follow-up

- After 4 weeks **n=10**  
withdrew consent or failed to respond to multiple attempt to contact
- After 12 weeks **n=17**  
withdrew consent or failed to respond to multiple attempt to contact

Discontinued intervention

- **n=3**  
unable to perform study procedures

Analysed

- For diagnosis of asthma **n=74**
- For ICS response after 4 weeks **n=67**
- For ICS response after 12 weeks **n=60**

## Results

### ROC AUC values for other baseline measures as predictors of ICS response

Baseline value	Response Criteria	ROC AUC
PC <sub>20</sub>	Our defined criteria	0.32
FEV <sub>1</sub>	Our defined criteria	0.58
Blood eosinophil count	Our defined criteria	0.67

### References for online supplement:

1. ATS/ERS recommendations for standardized procedures for the online and offline measurement of exhaled lower respiratory nitric oxide and nasal nitric oxide, 2005. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2005;171(8):912-30.
2. Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, Burgos F, Casaburi R, Coates A, et al. Standardisation of spirometry. *Eur Respir J.* 2005;26(2):319-38.
3. Crapo RO, Casaburi R, Coates AL, Enright PL, Hankinson JL, Irvin CG, et al. Guidelines for methacholine and exercise challenge testing-1999. This official statement of the American Thoracic Society was adopted by the ATS Board of Directors, July 1999. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2000;161(1):309-29.
4. Pin I, Gibson PG, Kolendowicz R, Girgis-Gabardo A, Denburg JA, Hargreave FE, et al. Use of induced sputum cell counts to investigate airway inflammation in asthma. *Thorax.* 1992;47(1):25-9.
5. Pavord ID, Pizzichini MM, Pizzichini E, Hargreave FE. The use of induced sputum to investigate airway inflammation. *Thorax.* 1997;52(6):498-501.
6. Standardization of Spirometry, 1994 Update. American Thoracic Society. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 1995;152(3):1107-36.
7. Pellegrino R, Viegi G, Brusasco V, Crapo RO, Burgos F, Casaburi R, et al. Interpretative strategies for lung function tests. *Eur Respir J.* 2005;26(5):948-68.
8. Reddel HK, Taylor DR, Bateman ED, Boulet L-P, Boushey HA, Busse WW, et al. An Official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society Statement: Asthma Control and Exacerbations. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine.* 2009;180(1):59-99.
9. Juniper EF, Svensson K, Mörk A-C, Ståhl E. Measurement properties and interpretation of three shortened versions of the asthma control questionnaire. *Respiratory Medicine.* 99(5):553-8.
10. Schatz M, Kosinski M, Yarlal AS, Hanlon J, Watson ME, Jhingran P. The minimally important difference of the Asthma Control Test. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2009;124(4):719-23.e1.