## Supplementary Table II. T<sub>2</sub> sample baseline characteristics by trial allocation

				Intervention (n=1553) <sup>‡</sup> n (%) or mean (SD)	Control (n=1302) <sup>‡</sup> n (%) or mean (SD)	Test statistic (p value)	
611	Liverpool			735 (47)	601 (46)	p=0.53	
Site	Cambridge			818 (53)	701 (54)		
Age (years)				67.77 (3.98)	67.82 (3.98)	p=0.77	
	Male			1189 (77)	977 (75)	p=0.34	
Gender	Female			364 (23)	325 (25)		
	Up to GCSE/O level			509 (44)	431 (44)	p=0.77	
Education <sup>¶</sup>	Beyond GCSE/O level			640 (56)	556 (56)		
Ethnicity	White			1536 (99)	1289 (99)	۸	
	Non-white			11 (1)	10 (1)		
Marital group	Married /cohabiting			1171 (76)	1003 (77)	p=0.37	
	Not married/cohabiting			377 (24)	298 (23)		
IMD	Quintile 1 (most deprived)			378 (24)	290 (22)	p=0.63	
	Quintile 2			186 (12)	152 (12)		
	Quintile 3			287 (19)	236 (18)		
	Quintile 4			286 (18)	259 (20)		
	Quintile 5 (least deprived)			416 (27)	365 (28)		
	Curren		it smoker	568 (37)	441 (34)	p= 0.13	
Smoking status	E	x-sm	oker	984 (63)	861 (66)	•	
	Never		smoker	1 (<1)	0 (0)	۸	
Evnerience of lu	ng cancer l'	cancer (T <sub>a</sub> )	No	916 (59)	767 (59)	p=0.98	
Experience of lung cancer (T <sub>0</sub> ) Yes			Yes	634 (41)	532 (41)	μ-υ.36	
Cancer distress (T0) <sup>+</sup>				2.16 (.28)	2.15 (.28)	p=0.22	
				8.69	8.58		
Anxiety (T <sub>0</sub> ) <sup>+</sup>				1.52 (.71)	1.50 (.71)	p=0.46	
				3.56	3.47		
Depression (T₀) <sup>+</sup>				1.26 (.67)	1.25 (.66)	p=0.48	
				2.54	2.47		
Decision satisfaction (T₀) Not very satisfied Very satisfied				932 (60)	736 (57)	p=0.07	
			/ satisfied	618 (40)	561 (43)	F 5.5.	

<sup>‡</sup> Ns vary in each cell due to missing data. Percentages were calculated based on available data.

A substantial amount of data were missing or uninformative for education.

<sup>^</sup> Data were excluded from analysis due to limited variation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup> Log<sub>n</sub> scores in normal text and original scale scores in italics (analyses used log<sub>n</sub> scores).