Role of CT in assessing pleural malignancy prior to thoracoscopy
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ABSTRACT

The definitive diagnosis of pleural malignancy depends upon histological confirmation by pleural biopsy. CT is reported to have a high sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of malignant pleural disease, and is part of the routine diagnostic workup of these patients. The aim of this study was to assess the sensitivity and specificity of CT in detecting pleural malignancy prior to definitive histology obtained via thoracoscopy in a large cohort of patients with suspected malignant pleural disease.

METHODS

Retrospective review of thoracoscopy procedures were carried out between January 2008 and January 2013 at two UK tertiary referral centres: Churchill Hospital, Oxford and Lancashire Teaching Hospitals, Preston. Four hundred and fifty thorascopies were performed to investigate new pleural effusions over the 5-year period. Forty-seven patients did not have CT scans prior to having thoracoscopy or their formal CT report was not available (eg, if the images had been imported from an external source), so were not included. In 33 instances, cases were excluded as either the biopsy was non-diagnostic or no biopsy was performed (ie, thoracoscopy was performed for talc pleurodesis only). Therefore, 370 cases were included in the analysis. One hundred and ninety patients were investigated for a new pleural effusion with evidence of pleural thickening; 136 had new effusion in the context of current or previous extrapulmonary malignancy (primary and metastatic) prior to definitive histology obtained via thoracoscopy in a large cohort of patients with suspected malignant pleural disease.

BACKGROUND

Pleural disease is common, affecting over 300 people per 100 000 of the population each year. The definitive diagnosis of pleural malignancy depends upon histological confirmation by pleural biopsy. Contrast-enhanced thoracic CT is reported to have a high sensitivity and specificity to help differentiate between benign and malignant disease, and is therefore an essential part of the diagnostic workup of these patients. Features of malignant disease on CT scanning are nodular pleural thickening, mediastinal pleural thickening, parietal pleural thickening >1 cm and circumferential pleural thickening. One small study found that these features had sensitivities of 94%, 94%, 88% and 100%, respectively, and sensitivities of 51%, 36%, 56% and 41%. Subsequent studies assessing these criteria for the detection of pleural malignancy reported high sensitivities and specificities.

However, studies were carried out in relatively small cohorts of 40 and 45 patients over a decade ago, and there is, therefore, the need for further data appropriate to current practice.

The aim of this study was to assess the sensitivity and specificity of CT in detecting pleural malignancy (primary and metastatic) prior to definitive histology obtained via thoracoscopy in a large cohort of patients with suspected malignant pleural disease.
Sensitivity and specificity were calculated using the final histological diagnosis as the gold standard by which the CT diagnostic accuracy is tested. CIs were calculated using the Wald method as the sample size was sufficient (370 patients) and the values were not at the extreme ends of probability (ie, neither near 0 nor 1).

**RESULTS**

Of the 370 patients included in the analysis, 261 (70.5%) were men. The mean age was 72.3 years (SD 12.9 years). On histological analysis of the biopsies taken at thoracoscopy, 202 (54.6%) of the 370 patients had malignant pleural disease: 110 (54.5%) of 202 were mesothelioma; 92 (45.5%) were metastatic carcinoma from another primary carcinoma. The remaining 167 (45.4%) of 370 had benign disease: 149 (88.7%) of 167 showed benign chronic inflammation and fibrosis, 10 (6.0%) had pleural TB, 4 (2.4%) had granulomatous disease of other aetiology, 3 (1.8%) had evidence of mesothelial hyperplasia, 1 (0.6%) had fibrosis in keeping with rheumatoid disease and 1 (0.6%) was diagnosed with malakoplakia (a rare inflammatory condition causing a papule, plaque or ulceration; which usually affects the genitourinary tract). Routine practice is that all patients with chronic inflammation on fibrosis on histology are followed up for 2 years as surveillance for evidence of clinical or radiological development of pleural malignancy. During this period, 9 (6.0%) of the 149 patients were subsequently diagnosed with malignancy: 8 were mesothelioma (6 proven on subsequent biopsy; 2 with clinical and radiological progression only) and 1 metastatic adenocarcinoma from lung primary adenocarcinoma. These nine patients were classified as ‘malignant’ for the sensitivity and specificity analysis: therefore, 211 of 370 (57.0%) were malignant and 140 (37.8%) had chronic inflammation only.

**Sensitivity and specificity**

Of the 211 patients with malignant disease, 144 had CT scans prior to thoracoscopy reported as malignant (sensitivity 68.2%, 95% CI 62.0% to 74.5%). Of the 159 patients with benign disease, 124 had CT scans reported as benign (specificity 78.0%, 95% CI 71.5% to 84.4%). The positive predictive value of a ‘malignant’ CT report was 80.4% (74.6% to 86.3%), with a negative predictive value of 64.9% (58.2% to 71.7%).

Of the total cohort, 326 (88.1%) patients originally presented with a new pleural effusion of unexplained aetiology. The sensitivity and specificity in these cases were 69% (95% CI 62% to 76%) and 78% (72% to 83%), respectively. Forty-four (11.9%) patients presented with an effusion in the context of known or previous malignancy; the sensitivity and specificity were 64% (95% CI 47% to 82%) and 75% (53% to 96%), respectively (see table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

The use of CT scanning is firmly established in the diagnostic pathway for investigating pleural effusion. Current guidelines suggest that if analysis of pleural fluid (pH, total protein, lactate dehydrogenase, microbiological culture and cytology) does not reveal a cause, then all undiagnosed exudative effusions should be further investigated with a contrast enhanced CT scan. The radiological report of this scan will influence how, or indeed whether, a patient is further investigated.

This retrospective study is the largest to date assessing the sensitivity and specificity of CT reporting in patients being investigated for suspected pleural malignancy, and especially in the modern age of comprehensive investigation to evaluate pleural effusion based on current guidelines. Previous smaller studies have suggested that certain features on CT scan are characteristic of malignant disease (nodular pleural thickening, mediastinal pleural thickening, parietal pleural thickening >1 cm and circumferential pleural thickening), with modest sensitivity (36%–51%) but good specificity (88%–100%). Data presented in this study suggest that although the sensitivity of a malignant CT report is higher than previously reported (68%), the specificity was significantly lower (78%). This difference may reflect the changing patterns of disease or changes in the use of invasive biopsy techniques.

With a negative predictive value of 63%, approximately one in every three patients with pleural effusion and a CT scan reported no evidence of malignant disease of the pleura will in fact have malignancy. Physicians and radiologists should bear this in mind when discussing cases in clinical practice and at multidisciplinary team meetings. Despite the increasing use of CT scanning, these data suggest that the use of CT alone in determining which patients should have invasive pleural biopsies should be re-evaluated, and further studies to define the diagnostic pathway are now required.
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