Markers of inflammation: data from the MOSAIC randomised trial of CPAP for minimally symptomatic OSA

ABSTRACT The Multi-centre Obstructive Sleep Apnoea Interventional Cardiovascular (MOSAIC) trial compared 6 months of CPAP therapy, versus no CPAP, in 391 patients with minimally symptomatic obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA). We now report some exploratory outcomes, markers of systemic inflammation (interleukin 6 (IL-6), IL-10, C reactive protein, tumour necrosis factor). We found no consistent changes (all p values <0.13).

Trial registration number: ISRCTN 34164388.

INTRODUCTION Intermittent hypoxia may generate free oxygen radicals and oxidative stress, act as a trigger of atherogenic processes and proinflammatory cytokines, and in turn damage the vascular endothelium with chronic low grade inflammation, ultimately leading to atheroma formation and vascular events. There are very few randomised controlled treatment trials in patients with OSA, and a recent meta-analysis relied exclusively on uncontrolled trials.1 The MOSAIC randomised controlled trial looked at the effects of 6 months CPAP in 391 patients with minimally symptomatic OSA. We have now analysed stored plasma for markers of systemic inflammation, from MOSAIC patients with sufficient samples remaining, recruited at the Oxford, Reading and Taunton centres (303 patients).

METHODS Details of the MOSAIC study have been published previously.2 The online repository for this current research letter contains details of the main entry criteria, statistical analysis and analyses performed on the blood samples: interleukin 6 (IL-6), IL-10 (anti-inflammatory), highly sensitive C reactive protein (CRP) and tumour necrosis factor. Patients with CRP values over 8 mg/L, at baseline or 6 months, were discarded from each analysis as they were assumed to represent significant intercurrent infection. As in the primary outcomes paper, the analysis was on an intention-to-treat basis, but with secondary analyses to explore the effect of CPAP compliance, as this was low overall (median 2.39, IQR 0.36 to 4.59, hour: min/night).

RESULTS Patient characteristics, pre and post levels of oxygen desaturation index (ODI), and compliance data are in the original paper.2 Table 1 shows the results of the blood assays. There were no consistent changes in any of the outcomes, with all p values >0.13, and no differences were seen when comparing high and low CPAP compliers (online data supplement). Sensitivity analyses using all subjects, regardless of whether the CRP was >8 mg/l or not, were no different (data not shown).

DISCUSSION We have shown no changes in a limited set of inflammatory markers following 6 months of CPAP therapy versus untreated controls, in patients with mild-to-moderate OSA from the MOSAIC trial. This is despite there being a clear improvement in sleepiness2 and an improvement in endothelial function (albeit in a subset of patients).1 Low CPAP compliance is unlikely to be the explanation for these negative findings, given that there was no suggestion of an effect even in the higher CPAP compliers (≥4 h/night). Our results are in agreement with our earlier 1 month randomised controlled trial in a more severe group of patients with OSA.4 It is also in agreement with a recently published randomised controlled trial which showed no effect of 24 weeks CPAP on highly sensitive CRP in obese patients with moderate-to-severe OSA, despite a small fall in systolic blood pressure.5 The small effect on CRP, IL-6 and tumour necrosis factor seen in some uncontrolled trials is likely to be the result of regression to the mean.6 Reductions in catecholamine levels seem a more likely explanation for the improved endothelial function.
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Table 1 Baseline, 6 month, mean change and treatment effect data for all outcomes (excluding patients with CRP values >8 at baseline or follow-up)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Standard care</th>
<th>CPAP</th>
<th></th>
<th>Standard care</th>
<th>CPAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Mean change (SD)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly sensitive CRP (mg/L)</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>1.56 (0.84–3.48)</td>
<td>1.66 (0.87–3.44)</td>
<td>+0.03 (1.78)</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 6 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0.48 (0.19–0.92)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.26–1.09)</td>
<td>+0.14 (0.61)</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 10 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0.59 (0.33–1.02)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.39–1.21)</td>
<td>+0.11 (1.22)</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour necrosis factor (pg/mL)</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>1.56 (0.84–3.48)</td>
<td>1.66 (0.87–3.44)</td>
<td>+0.03 (1.78)</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Baseline and 6 month values are summarised by median (25th–75th centiles). CRP, C reactive protein.
Data sharing statement All data in this article are freely available on request.

▸ Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-205958).

Received 27 June 2014
Revised 15 August 2014
Accepted 18 August 2014
Published Online First 2 September 2014
doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-205958

REFERENCES
Correction


The results for tumour necrosis factor (TNF) as shown in Table 1 are incorrect and in fact correspond to the results for CRP. The correct results for TNF are shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Standard Care</th>
<th>CPAP</th>
<th>Treatment effect (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean change (SD)</td>
<td>Mean change (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N Baseline 6 Months</td>
<td>N Baseline 6 Months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly sensitive CRP (mg/L)</td>
<td>113 1.56 (0.84–3.48) 1.66 (0.87–3.44) 0.03 (1.78)</td>
<td>125 1.86 (0.84–3.32) 1.49 (0.82–2.75) −0.19 (1.56)</td>
<td>−0.20 (−0.59, 0.18)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 6 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>111 0.48 (0.19–0.92) 0.58 (0.26–1.09) 0.14 (0.61)</td>
<td>125 0.44 (0.24–0.79) 0.52 (0.22–0.96) 0.11 (0.45)</td>
<td>−0.04 (−0.17, 0.10)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 10 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>111 0.59 (0.33–1.02) 0.70 (0.39–1.21) 0.11 (1.22)</td>
<td>125 0.61 (0.29–1.01) 0.60 (0.33–1.04) 0.48 (2.50)</td>
<td>0.35 (−0.12, 0.83)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour necrosis factor (pg/mL)</td>
<td>111 0.96 (0.45–1.35) 1.07 (0.48–1.48) 0.10 (0.40)</td>
<td>125 1.01 (0.45–1.35) 1.17 (0.61–1.56) 0.15 (0.39)</td>
<td>0.05 (−0.05, 0.15)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Baseline, 6 month, mean change and treatment effect data for all outcomes (excluding patients with CRP values >8 at baseline or follow-up)

The results for tumour necrosis factor (TNF) as shown in Table 1 are incorrect and in fact correspond to the results for CRP. The correct results for TNF are shown below: