PULMONARY PUZZLES

A rapid change in a patient’s chest radiograph appearances
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CLINICAL PRESENTATION
A 72-year-old man with a history of rheumatoid arthritis (on multiple immunosuppressants: leflunomide, etanercept and hydroxychloroquine) was admitted with headache and fever. He had no other symptoms or relevant medical history. Clinical examination demonstrated coarse crepitations throughout the right upper zone. Chest radiograph revealed consolidation in the right upper zone, peripherally (figure 1A).

The patient’s immunosuppressive agents were stopped and he was treated with intravenous benzylpenicillin, clarithromycin and a single dose of gentamicin. After several days of treatment, he improved clinically. Unfortunately 7 days into the admission, he awoke feeling dyspnoeic. He coughed and experienced right-sided pleuritic pain, which resolved following an episode of haemoptysis (a few millilitres only). Clinical examination demonstrated decreased air entry in the right lower zone. A repeat chest radiograph appeared to demonstrate complete resolution of the right upper zone consolidation and new right lower zone consolidation with loss of clarity of the right hemidiaphragm and the right heart border (figure 1B).

The change in the radiographic appearances was so drastic and unexpected; a repeat chest radiograph was ordered to ensure the correct patient had been imaged. The findings were confirmed. CT of the chest was, therefore, requested (figure 2).

QUESTION
What is the diagnosis?

Figure 1 (A) Admission chest radiograph. (B) Repeat chest radiograph following episode of pleuritic chest pain and haemoptysis.
ANSWER

The CT demonstrated reversal of the right-sided oblique fissure and an abnormal anterior course of the bronchus intermedius, with rotation of the pulmonary vessels. The right lower lobe pulmonary artery was pulled in an anterior course alongside the bronchus intermedius. There was extensive right-sided consolidation with an associated pleural effusion. Appearances indicated 180-degree torsion of the right lung (figure 2). The patient was urgently referred to the regional cardiothoracic centre and underwent right upper and lower lobectomies. He was discharged home.

Pulmonary torsion is a rare but life-threatening event, defined as parenchymal rotation on the bronchovascular pedicle. This can affect a single lobe or, less frequently, an entire lung. Torsion most commonly affects the right middle lobe after right upper lobectomy but can follow other thoracic surgical procedures or chest trauma. It very rarely occurs spontaneously.

Pulmonary torsion may compromise airways, arterial supply and venous drainage, risking haemorrhagic infarction and gangrenous necrosis of the affected lung. In lobar torsion, the torted lobe may expand rapidly due to haemorrhage and infarction. It constitutes a surgical emergency, requiring urgent exploratory thoracotomy for detorsion or lobectomy.

Unfortunately, diagnosis is often delayed. Possible radiographic features include hilar displacement, rapid lung opacification, bronchial cut-off, bronchial distortion or, as described here, sudden positional change in an opacified lobe. CT is the investigation of choice.

Risk factors for pulmonary torsion include absence of the inferior pulmonary ligament. Lobar torsion post-thoracic surgery may be caused by a complete interlobular fissure lacking parenchymal bridging between adjoining lobes. Such cases may be induced by pathology such as pleural effusion, pneumothorax and lobar atelectasis. Mortality is 12%–16%.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to the patient in question for his kind permission to use his case as a teaching point, to the excellent radiology department at Cheltenham General Hospital and to the Bristol Royal Infirmary for their specialist input.

Contributors JH planned and carried out the main draft of this work and performed the literature review. He is the main guarantor for its content. CMcB obtained patient consent, proof-read the manuscript, provided further references and was heavily involved in the initial editing process. GMcG proof-read the manuscript, provided the images and reported their content. ZS proof-read the manuscript and offered alterations. He was also the clinical lead on this case and was responsible for the positive outcome in this patient.

Competing interests None.

Patient consent Obtained.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

REFERENCES