Abstract P222 Figure 1 (A) Lesion size by PTX group, (B) Lesion Depth by PTX group, (C) Survival by PTX group and (D) Survival in patients with a peripheral NSCLC

no deaths related to CTGLB (30-day mortality: 100% in all groups).

SPTX were larger than nsPTX ($34(\pm 6)$ mm vs. $19(\pm 6)$ mm, $p = 0.0003$). Other factors associated with sPTX were lesion size and depth (see Figure 1 (a and b)), larger needle gauge ($p < 0.0001$), higher FEV1 ($p = 0.01$) and lower DLco ($p = 0.049$).

Length of stay (LoS) was longer in sPTX ($5.8(\pm 5.9)$ days, $p < 0.0001$) and nsPTX ($1.7(\pm 2.3)$ days, $p < 0.0001$) than No PTX ($0.7(\pm 0.9)$ days), but long-term survival was better (see Figure 1 (c and d)). This survival difference was pronounced in a subgroup of patients with a peripheral non-small cell lung cancer (defined as a lesion depth ≤ 0 mm, $n = 53$).

Conclusions CTGLB was associated with a low rate of sPTX (6%) and no short-term mortality. sPTX was associated with lung function indicative of emphysema and smaller, deeper lesions. The latter association likely explains the apparent survival advantage found in PTX patients but any long-term survival disadvantage seems unlikely. Post-CTLB PTX may be a positive sign in peripheral NSCLC, possibly inferring resectability.

P223 CONSENT FOR MEDICAL THORACOSCOPY: THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH?

SJ Jafri, K Ramsay, PA Beckett, RJ Berg. *Royal Derby Hospital, Derby, UK*

10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-206260.352

Introduction Failure to provide adequate information for valid informed consent may impact negatively on patient satisfaction and trust, and is a common cause of medical litigation. Some professional societies produce standardised consent forms in an attempt to reduce variation in quality of consent. There is no published national guideline standard for consent for medical thoracoscopy. We reviewed the quality of consents for medical thoracoscopy in a unit performing an average of 40 medical thorascopies per year.

Methods Case records of 80 patients who had undergone medical thoracoscopy were retrospectively reviewed. Consent forms were assessed for mention of potential complications, and grade and competency at thoracoscopy of consent-takers. We analysed the consistency between consents taken by the same individuals

at different times, and numbers of patients experiencing complications for which they were not consented.

Results Consent was taken by 19 individuals. Consultant thoracoscopists took 54% of consents; non-thoracoscopist consultants took 15% and trainees 31%. Potential complications consented for were: bleeding (100%), 'infection' (99%), persistent pneumothorax/trapped lung (81%), pain (73%), Empyema (46%), damage to underlying organs (28%), respiratory distress (28%), non-diagnostic procedure (20%), (talc related) fever (16%), cardiac complications (15%) and haemothorax (10%).

Consultant thoracoscopists were significantly more likely than all other consent-takers to consent patients for empyema; 72% vs 16% of consents, $p < 0.001$, and pain; 93% vs 49%, $p < 0.001$.

Consistency with which consent-takers omitted or mentioned complications varied by individual and complication. For example, those individuals who consented at least once for empyema (7/19 consent-takers) did so collectively on 80% of their consents (individual range 25%-100%), whereas those who took consent for damage to underlying organs (10/19 consent-takers) did so on only 35% of their consents (range 5%-100%).

Empyema occurred in 5% of patients, all of whom had been consented for this complication. 38/80 patients (48%) experienced significant pain, of whom 34% were not consented for this.

Conclusion Information provided on thoracoscopy consent forms is inconsistent, both for common minor and serious complications. Even experienced thoracoscopists may fail to clarify significant complications. Introduction of a standardised consent form could reduce variation and consequent potential for patient distress and medico-legal risk.

P224 LYMPH NODE ASSESSMENT IN SURGICAL RESECTION OF NON-SMALL CELL LUNG CANCER (NSCLC): ARE WE HITTING THE TARGET?

AC McKay, H Ewan, G Beattie, AJB Kirk, M Asif. *Thoracic Surgery, Golden Jubilee National Hospital, Glasgow, UK*

10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-206260.353

Introduction Guidelines from the British Thoracic Society (BTS) and the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) recommend that nodal assessment be performed in all patients who have anatomical lung resection for NSCLC.

Nodal status is one of the major determinants of outcome and most multidisciplinary teams now record adequacy of nodal assessment. N1 nodes are removed with the specimen perforce; therefore, a better indicator of surgical performance is the number of N2 nodal stations sampled.

This paper describes a continual audit cycle of lymph node sampling performance data in relation to N2 nodes.

Methods A retrospective analysis of patients who underwent anatomical lung resection for NSCLC in the calendar years 2009, 2010, 2012 and 2013 was undertaken. Lymph node sampling data was taken from pathology reports. The number of different stations sampled, rather than number of individual lymph nodes, was counted. Basic patient demographics were also collected.

After each audit cycle individual results were tracked and presented at open local, regional and national forums.

Results A total of 937 patients were audited after anatomic lung resection for NSCLC during the study periods. Pathology of NSCLC resections were as follows: 52% adenocarcinoma,