Proteinase-activated receptors in fibroproliferative lung disease

Ricardo J José, Andrew E Williams, Rachel C Chambers

Centre for Inflammation and Tissue Repair, University College London, London, UK

Correspondence to

Professor Rachel Clare Chambers, Centre for Inflammation and Tissue Repair, Rayne Building, 5 University Street, London WC1E 6JF, UK; r.chambers@ucl.ac.uk

Received 8 October 2013
Accepted 17 October 2013
Published Online First
1 November 2013

ABSTRACT

The coagulation cascade plays a central role in the pathogenesis of fibroproliferative lung diseases such as the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) through multifaceted effects on haemostasis, inflammation and tissue repair. However, targeting the coagulation cascade using traditional anticoagulant approaches has not resulted in improved outcomes for these patients. The cellular effects of the coagulation cascade are mediated via a family of four proteinase-activated receptors (PAR₁₋₄). PARs are G protein-coupled receptors that have a unique method of activation involving proteolytic cleavage. They play key roles in mediating the interplay between coagulation and inflammation and tissue repair and fibrosis. Current evidence suggests a central role for PAR₁ and PAR₂ in influencing these responses, although data from animal models suggest that their contribution is highly dependent on both the nature of the insult and disease status. Nonetheless, these receptors may represent important targets in conditions associated with uncontrolled coagulation signalling responses including IPF, ARDS, asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

INTRODUCTION

The coagulation cascade is activated immediately after tissue injury and, until recently, was classically considered to be an intravascular process that principally serves to plug damaged blood vessels by promoting fibrin deposition and platelet aggregation through the tissue factor (TF) pathway-dependent generation of thrombin. However, it is now recognised that the coagulation cascade—and, in particular, thrombin—also mediates important fibrinogen independent effects through the proteolytic activation of a family of cell surface receptors, the proteinase-activated receptors (PARs). As well as being the main receptor involved in mediating thrombin-induced platelet aggregation, current evidence suggests that PARs play an important role in mediating the interplay between coagulation, inflammatory and fibrotic responses, which are key processes involved in fibroproliferative lung disease.¹

PROTEINASE-ACTIVATED RECEPTORS (PARs)

PARs are seven-transmembrane G-protein coupled receptors with a unique mode of activation involving limited proteolytic cleavage at the extracellular N-terminus and the unmasking of a cryptic tethered ligand. The tethered ligand interacts with the second extracellular loop of the receptor to initiate cell signalling via the recruitment of heterotrimeric G

proteins of the G_{12/13}, G_q and G_{i/z} subfamilies to the fourth intracellular loop of the receptor (figure 1). There are four PARs (PAR₁₋₄), and collectively the proteinases of the coagulation cascade can target all four family members. Thrombin is a major activator of PAR₁, PAR₂ and PAR₃ whereas coagulation factor Xa, on its own or as part of the TF-FVIIa-FXa ternary complex, activates PAR₁ and PAR₂. Other important activators of PAR₁ include activated protein C (APC) and matrix metalloproteinase-1 (MMP-1), whereas trypsin and tryptase activate PAR₂ and trypsin and cathepsin G activate PAR₄. In terms of eliciting downstream signalling responses, PAR₁, PAR₂ and PAR₄ can signal autonomously while PAR₃ is mainly considered to be a co-receptor for PAR₁ and PAR₄.

With regard to lung injury and inflammatory responses, most attention has focused on PAR₁ and PAR₂. These receptors are expressed on alveolar macrophages, fibroblasts, pulmonary epithelium and pulmonary endothelium. Furthermore, cells recruited to the lungs during inflammatory and tissue repair processes such as neutrophils, monocytes and platelets also express PAR₁₋₄. Activation of PARs has multiple effects depending on cell type and the nature and concentration of the proteinases within the tissue microenvironment. This is most evident in the vasculature where PAR₁ is highly disruptive to barrier function following activation by high thrombin concentrations or by MMP-1. In this context, PAR₁ signalling promotes endothelial cell shape changes and cytoskeleton reorganisation. Conversely, activation of PAR₁ at low thrombin concentration or APC leads to anti-inflammatory responses and protection of endothelial barrier integrity.² However, in the context of lung injury and sepsis, thrombin responses will likely predominate over APC responses as thrombin has a much higher affinity for PAR₁. Moreover, the role of PAR₁ during these responses has also been reported to be temporally regulated, and PAR₁ has been shown to switch from being barrier disruptive to being barrier protective during the progression of experimental endotoxaemia.³ In addition to influencing endothelial barrier function, PAR₁ promotes the release of potent proinflammatory mediators and chemokines including interleukin (IL)-1, IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, tumour necrosis factor α (TNF α) and CC chemokine ligand (CCL)2, and further influences inflammatory cell trafficking by increasing the expression of adhesion molecules such as E- and P-selectin and ICAM-1 on the endothelial surface.¹ Several of these mediators (eg, IL-6, TNF α) can further induce TF expression, which leads to further generation of thrombin at local sites of inflammation and thereby

To cite: José RJ, Williams AE, Chambers RC. *Thorax* 2014;**69**:190–192.

Figure 1 Coagulation–inflammation cross-talk. (1) Tissue injury and inflammation lead to activation of the tissue factor (TF)-dependent extrinsic coagulation pathway and the generation of thrombin that converts fibrinogen to fibrin. (2) Thrombin cleaves the N-terminus of the proteinase-activated receptor (PAR₁) to unmask a tethered ligand (3) that can initiate signalling via interaction with the second extracellular loop (4). This leads to differential signalling via the recruitment of heterotrimeric G-proteins and downstream cellular responses leading to increased vascular permeability (5), inflammation (6), fibroblast proliferation and myofibroblast differentiation (7) and cell apoptosis (8).

induces a positive feedback that maintains the interplay between coagulation and inflammation. PAR₁ influences tissue repair and fibrogenic responses by stimulating endothelial cell and fibroblast proliferation and by promoting fibroblast to myofibroblast differentiation.¹ As is the case for PAR₁, PAR₂ is highly expressed by the vascular endothelium where it can mediate both vasoconstriction and barrier protective effects. In terms of regulating inflammatory responses, PAR₂ is both pro- and anti-inflammatory and has been most closely linked to inflammatory airway disease. PAR₄ has also been demonstrated to play a role in mediating neutrophilic inflammation in an animal model of sepsis.

PAR signalling in acute respiratory distress syndrome

Levels of TF, D-dimer and thrombin are elevated in the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid of patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), indicating active ongoing activation of coagulation pathways.⁴ ARDS is also associated with intra-alveolar fibrosis which, in most cases, resolves completely; however, the degree of initial fibrosis has been shown to be a key predictor of outcome. The inflammatory and coagulation responses are considered to be protective to the host, particularly in the context of infection, but excessive coagulation and inflammation can lead to augmented tissue injury with disruption of the alveolar-endothelial capillary barrier and the accumulation of protein-rich fluid in the alveolar spaces. In addition, excessive deposition of intra-alveolar fibrin and protein-rich hyaline membranes impair gas exchange and contributes to hypoxaemia. Whereas current evidence suggests a key role for PAR₁ in experimental models of ARDS,⁵ PAR₂ does not appear to play a critical role in promoting lung injury in animal models of intratracheal *Escherichia coli*, bleomycin or acid injury.

In contrast, PAR₁ signalling influences several key features of ARDS including neutrophil recruitment, alveolar leak and fibrosis in lipopolysaccharide and bleomycin-induced lung injury.^{5, 6} In terms of alveolar leak, this is likely mediated as a result of direct effects of PAR₁ leading to disruption of alveolar barrier function, but also as a result of the release of neutrophil chemokines which, in turn, influence neutrophil recruitment and consequent bystander tissue injury. The role of PAR₁ in promoting fibrotic responses will be discussed further in the context of pulmonary fibrosis, although fibrotic effects elicited by PAR₁ are also pertinent to ARDS. Even though traditional systemic anti-coagulant therapeutic approaches have been unsuccessful in translating animal findings to human ARDS, there is little doubt that intra-alveolar coagulation plays a major pathogenic role in this disease context. Whether targeting PAR₁ would offer an alternative strategy to interfere with excessive coagulation signalling responses in ARDS remains to be tested. Current data suggest that the nature of the insult, disease status and the timing of presentation are likely to be critical determinants of a successful PAR₁ targeted approach.

PAR signalling in pulmonary fibrosis

Coagulation signalling via PAR₁ has been strongly implicated in the pathogenesis of pulmonary fibrosis in the context of both idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) and systemic sclerosis.¹ There is compelling evidence that the intra-alveolar haemostatic balance is procoagulant in these patient groups. More recently we have reported that several zymogens of the extrinsic coagulation cascade (including FX) are locally produced and activated in IPF fibrotic foci.⁷ Moreover, PAR₁ is also highly expressed on

macrophages, fibroblasts and the hyperplastic epithelium associated with overlying fibrotic foci with IPF.⁸ Studies involving primary human lung fibroblasts revealed that PAR₁ exerts potent fibrogenic effects, including promoting PDGF-mediated fibroblast proliferation and increased collagen synthesis. Furthermore, in animal models of fibrotic lung injury we have shown that bleomycin-induced inflammatory cell recruitment and lung collagen deposition are reduced in PAR₁ knockout mice.⁵ We and others have also shown that PAR₁ signalling leads to integrin $\alpha\beta_5$ - and $\alpha\beta_6$ -dependent activation of transforming growth factor- β_1 (TGF- β_1) on fibroblasts and epithelial cells, respectively.^{7,9} TGF- β_1 is considered to be a key fibrotic mediator in multiple fibrotic conditions by promoting myofibroblast differentiation and extracellular matrix deposition. Finally, in terms of other PARs and fibrogenic responses, current evidence also suggests a potential role for PAR₂ in fibrogenic lung disease as it is overexpressed in the lungs of patients with IPF and mediates fibroblast proliferation in vitro.¹⁰

It is also worth commenting on the disappointing results of the ACE-IPF trial, a placebo-controlled randomised trial of warfarin in IPF which was halted early due to excess risk of mortality in the warfarin treatment group.¹¹ It is very important to appreciate that warfarin inhibits the production of all vitamin K-dependent coagulation factors with multifaceted consequences on haemostasis and subsequent tissue injury responses. The specific inhibition of PAR₁ would therefore potentially offer an opportunity to selectively interfere with deleterious coagulation signalling while preserving the essential role of the coagulation cascade in promoting haemostasis. This might be critically important in the context of IPF where there is ongoing chronic microinjury.

PAR signalling in asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) are also associated with airway remodelling and activation of the coagulation cascade, with evidence of increased levels of TF and thrombin in airway secretions from these patients. Both PAR₁ and PAR₂ are expressed on airway smooth muscle, and thrombin activation of PAR₁ leads to the constriction of human bronchial rings in vitro and mucin secretion from primary human bronchial epithelial cells. In a murine model of neutrophilic inflammation induced by N-formylmethionyl-leucyl-phenylalanine (FMLP), PAR₁ signalling promoted goblet cell metaplasia and excessive mucus production, both of which are hallmark features of asthma and COPD. Furthermore, PAR₁ activation by thrombin has been shown to promote airway remodelling by inducing the expression of TGF- β_1 in ovalbumin-allergic rats.

PAR₂ activation by non-coagulation proteinases such as Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus proteinase 1, 3 and 9 released from the house dust mite have been strongly implicated in animal models of airway hyper-responsiveness in the context of asthma. The absence of PAR₂ is associated with attenuation of ovalbumin-airway hyper-responsiveness whereas overexpression of PAR₂ results in exaggerated airway hyper-responsiveness.¹²

It is also worth commenting that PAR₁ signalling responses in the vasculature may be linked to increased cardiovascular-related mortality in patients with COPD. PAR₁ is upregulated in arteriosclerotic lesions and PAR₁ antagonists reduce the risk of cardiovascular-related death and ischaemic events in patients with arteriosclerosis.¹³ Future studies to evaluate PAR₁ antagonists as a potential adjuvant therapy in this setting may therefore

be warranted. However, caution will need to be applied to patients on dual antiplatelet therapy as systemic PAR₁ antagonists also target platelet aggregation and may therefore increase the risk of intracranial bleeding.¹³ The local delivery of such agents directly to the lung may reduce the risk of systemic side effects but, to the best of our knowledge, there are currently no inhalable PAR₁ antagonists in development.

CONCLUSION

Modulating pathways involved in coagulation and fibrinolysis results in complex effects on haemostasis, inflammation and tissue repair, and anticoagulant treatment strategies may increase the risk of bleeding and/or potentially compromise host defence. To date, anticoagulant treatment strategies have not been successful in improving outcomes of patients with pulmonary fibrosis or ARDS. Targeting the cross-talk between coagulation and inflammatory and profibrotic pathways by antagonists or antibodies directed at either PAR₁ (or possibly PAR₂) may in the future lead to improved outcomes. Several PAR₁ small molecule antagonists are currently at an advanced stage of clinical development in the setting of cardiovascular disease and may offer promise for future evaluation in lung fibrosis and ARDS.

Contributors RJJ, AEW and RCC all contributed to drafting and editing the manuscript.

Funding Funding was provided by the Medical Research Council (G020026) and Wellcome Trust (097216).

Competing interests None.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

REFERENCES

- Chambers RC, Scotton CJ. Coagulation cascade proteinases in lung injury and fibrosis. *Proc Am Thorac Soc* 2012;9:96–101.
- Feistritzer C, Riewald M. Endothelial barrier protection by activated protein C through PAR1-dependent sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor-1 crossactivation. *Blood* 2005;105:3178–84.
- Kaneider NC, Leger AJ, Agarwal A, et al. "Role reversal" for the receptor PAR1 in sepsis-induced vascular damage. *Nat Immunol* 2007;8:1303–12.
- Günther A, Mosavi P, Heinemann S, et al. Alveolar fibrin formation caused by enhanced procoagulant and depressed fibrinolytic capacities in severe pneumonia. Comparison with the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;161:454–62.
- Howell DCJ, Johns RH, Lasky JA, et al. Absence of proteinase-activated receptor-1 signalling affords protection from bleomycin-induced lung inflammation and fibrosis. *Am J Pathol* 2005;166:1353–65.
- Mercer PF, Williams AE, Scotton CJ, et al. Proteinase-activated receptor-1, CCL2 and CCL7 regulate acute neutrophilic lung inflammation. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* Published Online First: 23 Aug 2013.
- Scotton CJ, Krupiczkoj MA, Königshoff M, et al. Increased local expression of coagulation factor X contributes to the fibrotic response in human and murine lung injury. *J Clin Invest* 2009;119:2550–63.
- Mercer PF, Johns RH, Scotton CJ, et al. Pulmonary epithelium is a prominent source of proteinase-activated receptor-1-inducible CCL2 in pulmonary fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009;179:414–25.
- Jenkins RG, Su X, Su G, et al. Ligand of protease-activated receptor 1 enhances alpha(v)beta6 integrin-dependent TGF-beta activation and promotes acute lung injury. *J Clin Invest* 2006;116:1606–14.
- Wygrecka M, Kwapiszewska G, Jablonska E, et al. Role of protease-activated receptor-2 in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011;183:1703–14.
- Noth I, Anstrom KJ, Calvert SB, et al. A placebo-controlled randomized trial of warfarin in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2012;186:88–95.
- Schmidlin F, Amadesi S, Dabbagh K, et al. Protease-activated receptor 2 mediates eosinophil infiltration and hyperreactivity in allergic inflammation of the airway. *J Immunol* 2002;169:5315–21.
- Morrow DA, Braunwald E, Bonacci MP, et al. Vorapaxar in the secondary prevention of atherothrombotic events. *N Engl J Med* 2012;366:1404–13.