

Authors' response to Murray *et al*

We thank Murray *et al* for their interest and complementary comments regarding

the LENT score (pleural fluid lactate dehydrogenase, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance score (PS), neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and tumour type).¹ We are pleased they felt it would be a beneficial addition to multidisciplinary team discussions.

We agree with their observation that the survival differences between cell types may reflect different stages of disease at presentation with malignant pleural effusion (MPE) or the availability of subsequent effective therapies for some of the underlying tumour types. However, when designing the LENT score we chose to use the cell types themselves rather than make assumptions about potential treatment options, as management decisions are often complex and affected by multiple factors, such as other comorbidities.

We agree that the poor survival of patients with lung cancer in this cohort is an important finding and that the survival of this group is often overestimated. All the patients in our study had presented with symptomatic pleural effusion warranting investigation or management and hence the findings are not necessarily generalisable to those patients with lung cancer and an incidental effusion identified on imaging alone, or 'paramalignant' effusions. However, there is recent data to suggest patients with lung cancer and even minimal effusions do have a worse survival to those with no effusion at all.²

The patients with lung cancer in our cohort included those with a new presentation of lung cancer, as well as those where the MPE represented progressive disease. The numbers are small, but an univariable Cox model found no statistically significant difference in survival between progressive disease versus new presentations for UK Cohort 1 (HR 1.06 (95% CI 0.56 to 2.00); n=66). Evaluating patients with lung cancer alone, the area under the receiver operating characteristic curves (AUCs) at 1 month, 3 months and 6 months were higher for the LENT score than ECOG performance score at all time points for UK Cohort 1 (AUC at 1 month 0.62 and 0.55, respectively (p=0.17); AUC at 3 months 0.76 and 0.70, respectively (p=0.09); AUC at 6 months 0.84 and 0.82, respectively (p=0.43) (n=62)). For UK Cohort 2 the LENT score also performed better at all time points and this reached statistical significance for UK Cohort 2 at 3 months and 6 months (AUC at 1 month 0.73 and 0.56, respectively (p=0.23); AUC at 3 months 0.57 and 0.37, respectively (p=0.01); AUC at 6 months 0.65 and 0.55, respectively

(p=0.05) (n=32)). However, these results should be interpreted with caution due to the small number of patients.

We would certainly advocate early palliative care involvement for patients with MPE due to lung cancer, particularly in view of the benefits conferred in a recent randomised controlled trial.³ We hope that the LENT score will be useful, in conjunction with other clinical information to help guide multidisciplinary discussions, but need to stress this study has not addressed whether the LENT score is helpful in making oncological treatment decisions.

Amelia O Clive,^{1,2} Brennan C Kahan,³
Nick A Maskell^{1,2}

¹Academic Respiratory Unit, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

²North Bristol Lung Centre, Southmead Hospital, North Bristol NHS Trust, Bristol, UK

³Pragmatic Clinical Trials Unit, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK

Correspondence to Dr Nick A Maskell, Academic Respiratory Unit, Second Floor, Learning and Research Building, Southmead Hospital, University of Bristol, Bristol BS10 5NB, UK; nick.maskell@bristol.ac.uk.

Contributors AOC, BCK and NAM coauthored this response letter.

Competing interests None.

Ethics approval Local ethics committees in Bristol, Perth and Amsterdam.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.



CrossMark

To cite Clive AO, Kahan BC, Maskell NA. *Thorax* 2014;**69**:1144–1145.

Received 28 August 2014

Accepted 4 September 2014

Published Online First 24 September 2014



► <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-205285>

► <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-206194>

Thorax 2014;**69**:1144–1145.

doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-206247

REFERENCES

- 1 Clive AO, Kahan BC, Hooper CE, *et al*. Predicting survival in malignant pleural effusion: development and validation of the LENT prognostic score. *Thorax* 2014;**69**:1098–104.
- 2 Ryu JS, Ryu HJ, Lee SN, *et al*. Prognostic impact of minimal pleural effusion in non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Onc* 2014;**32**:960–7.
- 3 Temel JS, Greer JA, Muzikansky A, *et al*. Early palliative care in patients with metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. *NEJM* 2010;**363**:733–42.