Statins as adjunct therapy in COPD: how do we cope after STATCOPE?
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INTRODUCTION
Statins are inhibitors of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase that are widely used to reduce cardiovascular (CVS) disease risk yet have pleiotropic effects in other organs, including the lungs.¹ ² The results of the Simvastatin for the Prevention of Exacerbations in Moderate-to-Severe COPD (STATCOPE) study, that investigated their potential effects on exacerbations of COPD, have been recently published in the New England Journal of Medicine.³ In this Hot off the breath editorial, we review the rationale for the study, discuss its design, main results, strengths and limitations, and speculate on the future of statins in COPD.

RATIONALE: HINTS FROM OBSERVATIONAL STUDIES
Statins reduce the recruitment of neutrophils and macrophages into the lung, alter bronchial remodelling, prevent emphysema and reduce systemic inflammation.¹ ² ⁴ These biological effects appear to have clinical relevance as observational studies in COPD patients report reduced all-cause mortality,⁵–⁸ reduced mortality from acute exacerbations,⁵–¹⁰ reduced frequency of COPD exacerbations,⁸ ¹¹–¹³ and reduced decline of lung function,¹⁴ for those taking statins, as compared to not taking them.

In one small randomised controlled trial (RCT), statin use in COPD patients was associated with a clinically significant increase in exercise tolerance, although this benefit was limited to those in whom baseline C-reactive protein (CRP) was elevated (ie, those with evidence of systemic inflammation).¹⁵ To date, STATCOPE is the only RCT that has assessed the effect of adjunct statin treatment prospectively on acute exacerbations in COPD in a large cohort of patients.³

STATCOPE FINDINGS: THE BASIS OF DISCORDANT RESULTS
STATCOPE was a large, randomised, multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of simvastatin (40 mg/day) in the prevention of COPD exacerbations in patients with moderate-to-severe COPD (N=885).³ Importantly, patients with diabetes or CVS disease (figure 1), those already on statins, or those that required statins based on accepted CVS risk criteria, were excluded. The primary outcome of the study was negative, as simvastatin treatment for between 12 and 36 months was no more effective than placebo in reducing the frequency or severity of COPD exacerbations.³ Moreover, lung function and quality of life were not different between groups.³

So why did observational studies observe a 30–50% reduction in COPD exacerbations with statin therapy,⁸ ¹¹–¹³ whereas STATCOPE found none? These discordant results raise issues about the strengths and weaknesses of observational data (reflecting ‘real-world’ statin use in an uncontrolled setting) over RCT data (reflecting statin use in a highly selected COPD subgroup in a controlled and highly monitored setting). These are discussed in detail below.

OBSERVATIONAL STUDIES: NO EVIDENCE FOR A ‘HEALTHY USER EFFECT’
The first possibility is that statin therapy does not really reduce the frequency of COPD exacerbations, and that the observational study results were confounded by variables not adequately adjusted or matched for. However, a careful review of these observational studies show that lung function, cumulative smoking exposure, Body Mass Index, COPD-related medication use, vaccination use or socioeconomic status were similar in COPD patients prescribed statins versus non-users.⁵–¹³ Hence, a ‘healthy user effect’ seems unlikely to account for the observed effects. By contrast, statin users invariably (albeit not surprisingly) had significantly greater diabetes (26% vs 11%)¹² and CVS disease prevalence, including arterial hypertension (52% vs 34%),¹² heart failure (12% vs 8%),¹¹ coronary artery disease (51% vs 24%).¹⁰ That statin use in these observational studies was consistently associated with better outcomes in COPD patients with more comorbid diseases,¹–³¹² effectively excludes ‘confounding by drug indication’ where outcomes would be worse (not better) in patients with comorbid CVS-related disease.

OBSERVATIONAL STUDIES AND THE EVIDENCE FOR AN ‘UNHEALTHY NON-USER EFFECT’
A more plausible explanation is that observational studies include a large percentage of COPD patients with co-existing CVS diseases who are ‘non-users’, but would benefit from statin therapy (figure 1). These patients are likely to do very poorly due to one or a combination of undertreated pulmonary inflammation, unrecognised systemic inflammation, or subclinical CVS disease.¹⁶–¹⁸ These comorbid phenotypes of COPD are strongly associated with an increased risk of hospitalisation with ‘acute exacerbations’ and greater mortality.¹⁶–¹⁸ Hence, it is possible that many COPD patients who have not been prescribed statins in observational studies do badly from undertreatment, a hypothesis suggested by the STATCOPE investigators to explain the discordant results.³ Data from the observational studies, which include COPD patients outside hospital outpatient clinics, indicate that about 30–40% of all patients with COPD are prescribed statin therapy.² ³–¹³ If a significant proportion of the remaining 60–70% of COPD patients not prescribed statins would benefit from statin therapy, then the non-use of statins in the observational studies would be associated with poor outcomes and attributed to an ‘unhealthy non-user effect’ rather than a ‘healthy user effect’ (figure 1). Of note, of those COPD patients not taking statins in the observational studies, 11–25% had diabetes, 34–50% had hypertension, 13–24% had coronary heart disease (CHD) and a surprising 41% had cardiovascular disease.¹⁰–¹² This suggests that as much as one half of COPD patients not taking statins, for clinically apparent CVS disease, probably should be based on their cardiovascular risk profiles alone. This estimate concurs with that calculated by STATCOPE investigators (see Protocol).³ If this were true, then the 1.5–2.0-fold greater mortality, which has been consistently reported in statin non-users in observational studies,⁵–⁷ would be entirely expected.

PRIMARY PREVENTION IN COPD: SHOULD WE ADD UNTERTREATMENT TO UNDERDIAGNOSIS?
A plausible explanation for the STATCOPE findings is that statin therapy
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has no effect on reducing ‘acute exacerbations’ in COPD patients where coexisting clinical and subclinical CVS disease has been all but excluded. This is important, as recent studies suggest that the role of cardiovascular comorbidity underlying ‘acute exacerbations’ of COPD, in particular heart failure, may be much greater than previously recognised.19–21 These studies suggest between 30% and 50% of admissions attributed to an acute exacerbation may be due, in part, to ‘cardiac dysfunction’.20 Systemic inflammation has also been linked in prospective studies to congestive heart failure in the absence of clinically obvious coronary artery disease.21 Given the findings of the STATCOPE study, it might be reasonable to conclude that statin therapy is primarily indicated in those patients with COPD where their cardiovascular risk justifies its use according to established Framingham-based guidelines (this might include as much as 60–70% of all COPD patients (see later)). However, such a conclusion makes two potentially incorrect assumptions. First, that COPD is not itself an independent predictor of CVS disease (eg, like diabetes). Yet, there is evidence indicating that reduced FEV₁ independently conferred just as great a risk of CHD as increased serum cholesterol,22 where statins are routinely recommended in the absence of overt coronary disease. Second, that statins do not have a beneficial effect on mortality independent of that attributed to the treatment of CVS diseases in patients with COPD. The observational studies reported so far have consistently found that all-cause mortality in unselected COPD patients is improved by 30–50% with statin therapy compared to those not taking statins.3,23 While this might be attributed solely to reduced CVS deaths,2 in three observational studies, a reduction in ‘respiratory-related’ deaths was also found,3 5 7 such although the accuracy of death certification remains a limitation of these findings. The observation that statin therapy reduces mortality, and specifically respiratory-related mortality, in COPD patients requires confirmation in a RCT.

**SELECTION OF COPD PATIENTS IN STATCOPE: IS STATCOPE GENERALISABLE TO THE WIDER COPD POPULATION?**

STATCOPE excluded patients on statins as well as those who should be on statins based on their ‘cardiovascular risk profiles’.3 This may have removed the very COPD patients who might benefit most from statin therapy. Such an exclusionary approach might therefore leave a largely lower risk, and potentially ‘statin-unresponsive’ group recruited into the STATCOPE study where any benefit might be limited (figure 1). Several features of STATCOPE support such a possibility. It is notable that reduction of low-density lipoprotein in STATCOPE, with 40 mg of simvastatin, was only 23%, and less than the expected 36–40% reduction normally seen with this dose.23 Mortality in the placebo arm of STATCOPE over 3 years was 6%, only half that reported in TORCH over a similar time period.24 Additionally, the frequency of comorbid cardiovascular-related diseases in the STATCOPE participants has not been reported but is presumably very low or non-existent. This brings into question the generalisability of the STATCOPE findings to COPD populations in general, where overt or subclinical cardiovascular disease may collectively affect as much as 75% of all patients.3,13–15 Given participants in STATCOPE were prescreened through medical record data, it is impossible to estimate what proportion of COPD patients were excluded due to their cardiovascular profile alone. Based on observational studies of comorbid disease in COPD,3,13–15 it may have been as much as 30–40% which, in addition to the 30–40% taking statins (as estimated by STATCOPE investigators),3 leaves only 20–30% ‘lower risk’ COPD patients eligible for STATCOPE (figure 1).

**STATCOPE AND EFFECT OF SIMVASTATIN: WHAT’S HAPPENING TO SYSTEMIC INFLAMMATION?**

A further possibility underlying the STATCOPE findings is that any benefit from statin therapy in reducing COPD exacerbations may be primarily confined to those patients with coexisting systemic inflammation.3 Recent results suggest between 40% and 70% of patients with...
stable COPD have elevation of at least one marker of systemic inflammation. Further, two published RCTs on exercise tolerance in COPD, and one observational study on mortality, suggest that the statin-derived benefits in COPD are almost exclusively observed in those with systemic inflammation arbitrarily defined as a CRP greater than 3 mg/L. A secondary (still unpublished but much awaited) analysis of STATCOPE will look at the effects of simvastatin on patients with systemic inflammation (see online supplementary materials from Criner et al.). However, if the exclusion criteria of STATCOPE effectively removed those with elevated cardiovascular risk (figure 1), it is possible (or even likely) that many patients with systemic inflammation had been excluded too. If so, underpowering from small sample size may become an issue, particularly since studies in the cardiovascular literature have shown that the effect of 40 mg of simvastatin on reducing systemic inflammation is related to the baseline CRP value: null in those with CRP<1 mg/L (in whom CRP actually goes up), 16% in those with CRP values 1–3 mg/L, and 32% in those with CRP>3 mg/L.

STATCOPE AND THE QUESTION OF STUDY DESIGN

Another possible explanation for the discordant findings between the observational studies and STATCOPE is that other relevant differences between the COPD populations under consideration may have diminished any beneficial effect of statins in the latter (figure 1). First, in STATCOPE, nearly 73% of COPD patients were taking inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) compared to a mean of 7% (range 4–77%) in the observational studies. Furthermore, in STATCOPE, COPD patients were contacted during the study on a monthly basis with telephone calls or clinic visits, where drug compliance was closely monitored. Given that ICS may reduce pulmonary and systemic inflammation if taken by the majority of STATCOPE participants, it is possible that little additional benefit for COPD exacerbations was gained by adding simvastatin in this closely monitored cohort. Second, according to the STATCOPE protocol, it appears that any patients who met exclusion criteria based on the risk-based eligibility for statin therapy during follow-up, may be prescribed simvastatin and continue in the study on an intention-to-treat basis. What proportion of patients originally randomised to placebo, received statins during follow-up as per the safety-based treatment cross-over, is not stated in the STATCOPE publication. If it was large, a dilutional effect on outcome may have resulted. Finally, in most other aspects, the STATCOPE COPD patients were representative of moderate to severe COPD, although supplementary oxygen use (significantly greater at >40%) and duration of statin therapy (significantly shorter with 56% <2 years) were also substantially different to that reported in the observational studies.

LIFE AFTER STATCOPE WITH STATINS ‘DOWN BUT NOT OUT’: WHERE TO FROM HERE?

So what should readers of Thorax make of the findings? There is no argument that statin therapy is indicated in patients with COPD who have clinically overt CHD (secondary prevention) or those at high risk of CVS diseases (primary prevention). Based on the results of the JUPITER trial, the latter might include those clinically stable COPD patients with elevated systemic inflammation (CRP>3 mg/L). At this time, there is no RCT evidence to support statin use in reducing acute exacerbations of COPD. The secondary analysis of STATCOPE based on systemic inflammatory biomarkers may help clarify the usefulness of simvastatin in this setting, although exclusion of those patients at high risk of CVS disease and systemic inflammation may dilute a potentially beneficial effect. Given that limited RCT data found improvement with exercise tolerance and quality of life measures in those with the systemic inflammatory phenotype, and that observational data suggests that significant reductions in mortality remains a potential benefit, more RCTs are urgently needed to better examine the potential benefits of statins as adjunct therapy in COPD.

Contributors All authors contributed to the writing of this article and approve this final version.

Competing interests None.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

To cite Young RP, Hopkins RJ, Agusti A. Thorax 2014;69:891–894.
Received 12 June 2014
Accepted 19 June 2014
Published Online First 11 July 2014
doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-205814

REFERENCES

15 Lee FM, Lin MS-S, Chang N-C. Usefulness of C-reactive protein and interleukin-6 as predictors of outcomes in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease receiving pravastatin. Am J Cardiol 2008;101:530–5.

Young RP, et al. Thorax October 2014 Vol 69 No 10

893


