Respiratory interventional procedures

**M10** 21G VS 22G EBUS-TBNA NEEDLE SAMPLING AND CELL MORPHOLOGY OF THE CORE BIOPSIES AND NEEDLE WASHINGS: IS BIGGER BETTER?

**1**. Lockman, **2**. ARL Medford, **3**. A Jeyabalan, ARL Medford; **4**. Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; **5**. Southmead Hospital, Bristol, United Kingdom; **6**. Glenfield Hospital, Leicester, United Kingdom

**Introduction** EBUS-TBNA has avoided the need for patients to undergo mediastinoscopy and can be done under conscious sedation with minimal complications for mediastinal lymph node sampling for lung cancer. The sample analysis varies depending on local expertise.

**Objective**

1. To compare histology (core biopsy) vs. needle washing cytology in achieving a diagnosis of malignancy.


**Methods** We reviewed all EBUS procedures performed at Glenfield Hospital, UK from 11 June 2008 till 24 April 2013. The results were then filtered to exclude the 1st 10 procedures in view of the learning curve in performing the procedure and EBUS from 1 January 2010-31 December 2010 (period when the EBUS-TBNA needles were changed from 22G to 21G). Only confirmed diagnosis of malignancy from the remainder were analysed for the study.

**Results** 543 EBUS procedures were done in the 2 periods of which 234 yielded a diagnosis of malignancy (69 and 165 respectively). 68% of 22G needle core samples provided cell type morphology vs. 87% using the 21G needle. Needle washing only provided cell typing in 30% and 28%.

The main cell types for the core biopsies were squamous cell carcinoma (19), adenocarcinoma (14) and small cell carcinoma (10) and in the 21G study adenocarcinoma (47), small cell carcinoma (34) and squamous cell carcinoma (28).

**Summary** The results show that switching from 22G to 21G EBUS-TBNA needles yielded a better diagnostic result in this study. Diagnosis based on cell types were also better using the 21G needles. Core biopsies gave better results compared to cytology from needle washings.

Potentially depending on local practices we could consider performing diagnostic sampling analysis on needle core biopsies only and 21G EBUS-TBNA needles gave a better diagnostic yield.

---

**Abstract M10 Table 1.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total EBUS Procedures</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis of malignancy</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both histocyto same cell types (include NSCC)</td>
<td>29(42%)</td>
<td>39(24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needle core histology confirm cell type (exc NSCC)</td>
<td>47(80%)</td>
<td>144(87%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needle core histology with cell type morphology (incl NSCC)</td>
<td>63(91%)</td>
<td>154(93%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needle washing cytology with cell type morphology (exc NSCC)</td>
<td>20(30%)</td>
<td>46(28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needle washing cytology with cell type morphology (incl NSCC)</td>
<td>52(80%)</td>
<td>121(73%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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TBNA histology specimens using both 21G and 22G needles in confirmed primary lung adenocarcinoma.

**Methods** A prospective analysis was performed on 250 consecutive patients undergoing EBUS-TBNA between 2009 and 2013. 21G or 22G needles (Olympus ViziShot, NA-2015X-4021 and NA-2015X-4022) were used by operator discretion. A minimum of 2 passes were carried out per nodal station. Samples were fixed in formalin and prepared for histopathological analysis. The proportion of confirmed primary lung adenocarcinoma samples in which EGFR mutation testing was feasible was determined.

**Results** Primary lung adenocarcinoma was confirmed in 45 patients (18%). EGFR mutation analysis was attempted in 35 of these patients and was possible in 34 (97.1%). EGFR mutation was present in 3 patients (8.8%).

**Conclusions** This single centre study demonstrates both 22G and 21G EBUS-TBNA samples are adequate for EGFR mutation analysis with no clear superiority in contrast to recent data suggesting disease phenotyping may be superior using a 21G needle when analysed by histopathology. We speculate that higher sample usability rates for mutation analysis may have been facilitated by the use of histological specimens however further larger studies are required to confirm this hypothesis.
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**EBUS- ARE TWO NEEDLES BETTER THAN ONE?**
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**Introduction** The introduction of endobronchial ultrasound has allowed visual sampling of nodes compared to the previous blind TBNA techniques. It was widely been used for patients with suspected lung. The purpose of our current study was to evaluate the usefulness of using a 2 needle technique compared to a single needle method in ebus sampling. The primary endpoint was to see the effect on the total number of biopsy passes, time between needle exchange and also total time taken to complete an ebus procedure.

**Method** 20 patients with mediastinal and hilar lymphadenopathy or suspected lung cancer in our institution were included in this prospective study. EBUS-TBNA was performed in all cases. 10 procedures were used using a 2 needle technique and 10 procedures were performed with single needle. Two trained bronchoscopists with 2 trained nurses performing the needle exchange and on site cytopathologist were present at the bronchoscopy giving an instant preliminary diagnosis.

Equal numbers of procedures were performed by each of the operators.

**Results** EBUS-TBNA was successfully performed in all 20 patients recruited. In the single needle technique the average number biopsy passes performed was 3.8 per ebus with an average needle changeover delay of 2 minutes 21 seconds and an average ebus time of 27 minutes. The two needle technique showed a greater number biopsy passes of 4.4 per ebus with a significantly reduced changeover needle time delay of 18 seconds per changeover and a reduction in overall ebus time to 21 minutes per procedure. All the procedures were uneventful without complications. All sample were labelled adequate by the histocytopathologist.

**Abstract M12 Table 1. Results.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2 needle technique</th>
<th>Single technique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average number of biopsy passes per ebus 4.4(10)</td>
<td>3.8 (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needle changeover delay (minutes) 18 seconds</td>
<td>2 minutes 21 secs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ebus time (minutes) 21 minutes</td>
<td>27 minutes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequacy 100.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusions** Although the numbers performed in the study are small, there is enough evidence from our data to show a significant benefit in a 2 needle technique with a greater number of biopsy passes performed, reduced delay in needle changeover time and reduction in ebus procedure time. This is both beneficial to the patient with a reduced procedure time but also with a potential cost benefit if more procedures can be performed safely in a shorter time period.
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**OUTPATIENT ULTRASOUND-GUIDED FINE-NEEDLE ASPIRATION OF SUPRACLAVICULAR LYMPH NODES, PERFORMED BY CHEST PHYSICIANS FOR DIAGNOSIS AND STAGING OF LUNG CANCER**
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**Introduction and Objectives** Supraclavicular fossa (SCF) lymph node metastases are detectable in almost half of lung cancer patients where mediastinal lymphadenopathy is present (1). They represent N3 disease, not amenable to radical treatment. Ultrasound guided fine needle aspiration cytology (US-FNAC) is a sensitive test in this setting. We explored the accuracy of outpatient US-FNAC of SCF nodes performed by respiratory physicians.

**Methods** Outpatients with suspected lung cancer were selected for US-guided FNAC of SCF lymph nodes if they had one or more of:

1. Enlarged SCF lymph nodes on CT scanning
2. Palpable supraclavicular lymph node nodes
3. Visible non-enlarged SCF lymph nodes on CT with associated mediastinal lymphadenopathy

After informed consent, the SCF was scanned with the patient semi-recumbent, using a Sonosite US with 13.6MHz linear probe, by MGS, or RA supervised by MGS, a level-2 non-radiologist US practitioner. Real-time US-FNAC was performed using a 21G or 19G needle and the capillary aspiration technique. Three passes were made and cores were put into a cytology fixative (Cytolyt).

**Results** 14 patients (male = 8, median age 67.5 years) underwent US-FNAC. The median short-axis diameter of the target node was 11.5 mm (range 5–25 mm). A positive malignant diagnosis was obtained in 11/14 patients (78.6%), (adenocarcinoma n = 6, small cell lung cancer n = 4, non-small cell lung cancer n = 1), and all four sub-centimetre nodes gave positive results. There were two false-negatives (14.3%) on an intention-to-diagnose basis, in one of whom no specimen could be obtained. One sample was non-diagnostic. All patients found the procedure easy to tolerate and there were no complications.