Background The novel LABA olodaterol has 24-h bronchodilator activity.

Objective To evaluate the symptomatic benefit of olodaterol QD in patients with GOLD 2–4 COPD.

Methods In replicate, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group studies, patients with post-bronchodilator FEV₁ <80% predicted normal and FEV₁/FVC <70% received olodaterol (5 or 10 µg) QD via Respimat®, formoterol (12 µg) BID via Aerolizer® or placebo for 48 weeks (Study A: NCT00793624; Study B: NCT00796653). Patients continued to receive usual care background COPD maintenance therapy, including SAMA, LAMA, ICS and xanthines. In addition to FEV₁-based primary end points, TDI and SGRQ after 24 weeks were identified as co-primary and key secondary symptomatic end points, respectively.

Results 904 (Study A) and 934 (Study B) patients were treated. In the primary analysis using a mixed model for repeated measures (MMRM; combined dataset), there was no significant difference in TDI focal score after 24 weeks for olodaterol or formoterol vs placebo. A post hoc analysis using pattern mixture modelling (PMM) to account for discontinued patients demonstrated statistical significance for olodaterol vs placebo. There were significant improvements in SGRQ total score with olodaterol, but not formoterol, vs placebo after 24 weeks using MMRM and PMM.

Conclusions Lung function improvements with olodaterol QD translated into symptomatic benefit in COPD patients receiving usual care background therapy.

Abstract P230 Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TDI focal score</th>
<th>SGRQ total score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MMRM</td>
<td>PMM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olodaterol 5µg</td>
<td>0.3*</td>
<td>0.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olodaterol 10µg</td>
<td>0.2*</td>
<td>0.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formoterol 12µg</td>
<td>0.2*</td>
<td>0.4*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p=ns; †p<0.05
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THE IMPACT OF INDACATEROL (ONBREZ®) ON THE DAILY LIVES AND HEALTH STATUS OF PATIENTS WITH COPD: INTERIM RESULTS

Introduction The GOLD guidelines recommend that the COPD Assessment Test (CAT)³ can be used in guiding and optimising treatment, however there is little evidence on its use in monitoring treatment. Aim We have conducted a 6-month prospective observational study describing the impact of COPD on daily life, following the initiation of maintenance indacaterol, a once-daily long-acting beta-agonist.

Method Subjects from 39 UK GP practices (April 2012 to May 2013) with a diagnosis of COPD and were newly-prescribed indacaterol for routine COPD management, either as maintenance mono-therapy or add-on therapy to long-acting muscarinic antagonists (LAMA) were recruited. Here we present interim results of completed CAT and a descriptive Daily Life Impact Questionnaire (DLIQ), developed specifically for the study, at treatment initiation and 6–8 weeks. Further assessments will be made at 6 months, when treatment changes in addition to indacaterol will be recorded.

Results One hundred and three subjects (61 males, 42 females), with a mean age of 67 years (range 44–86) and a median baseline FEV₁% predicted of 61% (n = 100; IQR 51–70%) were recruited. Median time from diagnosis to indacaterol initiation was 6 months (IQR 0–37). Of the 86 (83.5%) subjects evaluated for change in CAT score, 65 (76%) had a reduction (i.e. improved health status), 18 (21%) increased and 3 (3%) remained the same (Figure 1); with a mean overall change of -4.1 (SD ± 5.6; p < 0.001). Fifty-nine (69%) subjects had a ≥2 point (clinically significant) reduction in CAT score. Ninety-two patients completed the DLIQ: 42 (46%) patients reported an improved ability to perform activities important to them, which had previously been rated as being challenging (e.g. walking, gardening, housework).

Conclusion The CAT appears responsive to treatment for COPD with indacaterol when assessed in routine practice and the average size of improvement was large. Alongside this mean improvement, nearly half of patients reported the ability to do more activities that previously they had found difficult. These initial results require further confirmation when full results are available at 6 months.
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Abstract P231 Figure 1. The impact of indacaterol (Onbrez) on the daily lives and health status of patients with COPD: interim results.

P232
ONCE-DAILY CO-ADMINISTRATION OF GLYCOPYRRONIUM AND INDACATEROL VIA BREEZHALER® DEVEICE IMPROVES LUNG FUNCTION AND SYMPTOMS IN PATIENTS WITH COPD VERSUS INDACATEROL ALONE: THE GLOW6 STUDY

Introduction The GOLD guidelines recommend that the COPD Assessment Test (CAT)³ can be used in guiding and optimising treatment, however there is little evidence on its use in monitoring treatment. Aim We have conducted a 6-month prospective observational study describing the impact of COPD on daily life, following the initiation of maintenance indacaterol, a once-daily long-acting beta-agonist.

Method Subjects from 39 UK GP practices (April 2012 to May 2013) with a diagnosis of COPD and were newly-prescribed indacaterol for routine COPD management, either as maintenance mono-therapy or add-on therapy to long-acting muscarinic antagonists (LAMA) were recruited. Here we present interim results of completed CAT and a descriptive Daily Life Impact Questionnaire (DLIQ), developed specifically for the study, at treatment initiation and 6–8 weeks. Further assessments will be made at 6 months, when treatment changes in addition to indacaterol will be recorded.

Results One hundred and three subjects (61 males, 42 females), with a mean age of 67 years (range 44–86) and a median baseline FEV₁% predicted of 61% (n = 100; IQR 51–70%) were recruited. Median time from diagnosis to indacaterol initiation was 6 months (IQR 0–37). Of the 86 (83.5%) subjects evaluated for change in CAT score, 65 (76%) had a reduction (i.e. improved health status), 18 (21%) increased and 3 (3%) remained the same (Figure 1); with a mean overall change of -4.1 (SD ± 5.6; p < 0.001). Fifty-nine (69%) subjects had a ≥2 point (clinically significant) reduction in CAT score. Ninety-two patients completed the DLIQ: 42 (46%) patients reported an improved ability to perform activities important to them, which had previously been rated as being challenging (e.g. walking, gardening, housework).

Conclusion The CAT appears responsive to treatment for COPD with indacaterol when assessed in routine practice and the average size of improvement was large. Alongside this mean improvement, nearly half of patients reported the ability to do more activities that previously they had found difficult. These initial results require further confirmation when full results are available at 6 months.
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Abstract P231 Figure 1. The impact of indacaterol (Onbrez) on the daily lives and health status of patients with COPD: interim results.
Introduction To benefit symptomatic patients with moderate-to-severe COPD not managed by single bronchodilator, COPD management strategies recommend combining bronchodilators with different mechanisms. We compared, once-daily dual bronchodilation by co-administration of the long-acting muscarinic antagonist (LAMA) glycopyrronium 50µg (GLY) and the long-acting β₂-agonist (LABA) indacaterol 150µg (IND), with IND 150 µg monotherapy.

Methods In this randomised, multicentre, placebo-controlled, double-blind, parallel-group study, patients with moderate-to-severe COPD were administered with GLY + IND or IND + placebo (1:1; all delivered via Breezhaler® device) for 12 weeks. We assessed lung function, dyspnoea (via the transition dyspnoea index (TDI)), patient-reported symptoms, and safety and tolerability over 12 weeks.

Results Of the 449 patients randomised (GLY + IND [n = 226]; IND [n = 223]), 94.0% completed the study. At Week12, GLY + IND demonstrated a statistically significant improvement in mean trough forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) versus IND (least squares mean treatment difference [Td]: 64 mL; p < 0.001). Significantly greater improvements in FEV₁ area under curve from 30 min to 4h (FEV₁AUC₃–₄h) and trough forced vital capacity were observed with GLY + IND vs IND on Day1 (Td: 105 mL, 112 mL, respectively) and at Week12 (Td: 111 mL, 93 mL, respectively), all p < 0.01. GLY + IND demonstrated significant improvements in inspiratory capacity versus IND at most timepoints on Day1 and Week12 (Td: 59 to 159 mL). GLY + IND significantly improved TDI total score versus IND (Td: 0.49, p = 0.037) and a higher proportion of patients on GLY + IND achieved a clinically meaningful improvement (≥1 point) versus IND at Week12 (odds ratio 1.97 favouring GLY + IND; p = 0.004). GLY + IND was also associated with significantly greater improvements in mean daytime respiratory symptom score and the percentage of days being able to perform usual daily activities vs IND over 12 weeks of treatment (Td: -0.1 and 6.2, respectively; both p < 0.05). The overall incidence of adverse events (AEs) and serious AEs (SAEs) was comparable for the GLY + IND and IND groups (AEs: 37.6% vs 34.1%; SAEs: 2.2% vs 2.3%, respectively).

Conclusion In patients with moderate-to-severe COPD, compared with indacaterol monotherapy, once-daily co-administration of glycopyrronium with indacaterol delivered via Breezhaler® device provided superior improvements lung function and early post-dose bronchodilation (from Day1), dyspnoea, symptoms and activities, without adversely affecting safety and tolerability.

P234 QVA149 ONCE DAILY IMPROVES LUNG FUNCTION, DYSPNOEA AND HEALTH STATUS INDEPENDENT OF PRIOR MEDICATIONS AND DISEASE SEVERITY: THE SHINE STUDY
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Introduction QVA149 is a novel, inhaled, once-daily, fixed-dose combination of the long-acting β₂-agonist (LABA) indacaterol and the long-acting muscarinic antagonist (LAMA) glycopyrronium (NVA237) in development for the maintenance treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). The SHINE study compared the effects of QVA149 110/50 µg, indacaterol 150 µg, glycopyrronium 50 µg, tiotropium 18 µg and placebo in moderate-to-severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). The GLOW5 study compared the efficacy and safety of glycopyrronium with blinded tiotropium.

Methods In this multicentre, 12-week, blinded study, patients ≥40 years with moderate-to-severe COPD (post-bronchodilator FEV₁ ≥ 30% and < 80% of the predicted normal, post-bronchodilator FEV₁/FVC < 0.70) and a smoking history of ≥10 pack-years were randomised to glycopyrronium 50 µg (via Breezhaler® device) or tiotropium 18 µg (via HandiHaler® device). The primary objective was to demonstrate non-inferiority of glycopyrronium versus tiotropium for trough FEV₁ at Week12 (non-inferiority margin: -50 mL). Other endpoints included FEV₁ area under the curve from 0 to 4 hours (AUC₀₋₄h) on Day1, Transition Dyspnoea Index (TDI), St George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ), rescue medication use, exacerbation rate, safety and tolerability.

Results Of the 657 patients randomised, (glycopyrronium [n = 327]; tiotropium [n = 330]; mean age: 63.5 years, mean post-bronchodilator FEV₁: 53.5% predicted), 95.9% completed the study. Glycopyrronium demonstrated non-inferiority to tiotropium for trough FEV₁ at Week12 (Least Squares Mean [LSM] = 1.41L for both the groups; 95% confidence interval [CI]: -0.032, 0.031L). Glycopyrronium had a rapid onset of bronchodilation in the morning as demonstrated by a higher FEV₁ AUC₀–₄h on Day 1 compared to tiotropium (LSM treatment difference [Td] = 58mL; p < 0.001). At Week12, TDI total score (Td = -0.188; p = 0.385), SGRQ total score (Td = 0.65; p = 0.488) and percentage of days with no rescue medication use (Td = -1.3; p = 0.528) were comparable between the groups. No significant treatment difference was observed with respect to rate of moderate/severe COPD exacerbations per year (glycopyrronium 0.38 versus tiotropium 0.35 [rate ratio = 1.10, 95% CI: 0.62, 1.93]; p = 0.754). Overall, the incidence of adverse events was similar in the glycopyrronium (40.4%) and tiotropium (40.6%) groups.

Conclusion Glycopyrronium and blinded tiotropium showed similar improvements in lung function, dyspnoea, health status, exacerbation rate and rescue medication use, with a similar safety and tolerability profile. Onset of bronchodilation with glycopyrronium was significantly more rapid following the first dose.
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