Medicinal thoracoscopy is safe and effective in patients with small or no pleural effusions

Introduction Current BTS guidelines recommend the utilisation of medical thoracoscopy (MT) for cytology negative, exudative pleural effusions. A large pleural cavity is required between the lung and chest wall for safe MT. The presence of little or no pleural fluid, despite there being pleural disease present, makes the procedure technically challenging with a higher potential for complications, bleeding and failure of the procedure.

Objective We compare the safety and efficiency of MT performed in patients with small or absent pleural effusions, with patients with moderate or large effusions.

Methods A retrospective review of case notes, radiology and pathology reports of patients who underwent MT between January 2010 and March 2012 was conducted. All procedures were performed or assisted by a level II thoracoscopist with the aid of live ultrasound scanning (USS). Pleural effusum size was estimated using chest x-ray and bedside USS. A small effusion was defined as blunting of the costophrenic angle only on chest x-ray and less than 100ml fluid estimation on USS. Patients were divided into two groups based on effusion size (absent or small effusion and moderate or large effusion). Data was collected and analysed for minor and major complications and diagnostic yield.

Results 43 MT were performed during the period. 88% of patients were male (n=38). The mean patient age was 70.1 years (SD 8.69). 41.9% patients had absent/small effusions (n=18). There were no major complications documented in either group. The minor complication rate was 8% in the moderate/large effusions group (n=2) and 11% in the absent/small effusions group (n=2). The minor complications noted were trocar trig, wound infection and haematoma. The diagnostic yield was 96% in the moderate/large effusions group (n=24) and 94% in the absent/small effusions group (n=17).

Conclusions Despite its technical challenges, MT can be performed safely and effectively with minimal minor complications and high diagnostic yield in patients with small pleural effusions and even when no fluid is present when performed by thoracoscopists with appropriate experience level.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>FEV1 (%) Predicted</th>
<th>FVC (%) Predicted</th>
<th>TLco (%) Predicted</th>
<th>Kco (%) Predicted</th>
<th>PVO2</th>
<th>AT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PVO2</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>0.721</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT</td>
<td>0.547</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>0.643</td>
<td>0.555</td>
<td>0.877</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion Our findings indicated that analysis of lung function variables cannot reliably predict PVO2 or AT outcome. However, of the variables recorded, TLco was the best marker for predicting a PVO2≥15ml/min/kg (0.721). When the cut-off for TLco was set at 80% predicted it had a sensitivity and 1-specificity of 62% and 24% respectively.

Interestingly, there was a significant correlation between AT and PVO2 (0.894), suggesting that AT can be used as a predictor of PVO2. If the cut-off for AT was set at 11ml/min/kg; the sensitivity was 91.7% and the 1-specificity 37.7%. However if the cut-off was adjusted to 12ml/min/kg; the sensitivity was 77.3% and the 1-specificity was 13.7%.

Conclusions These results suggest that in pre-operative assessment of patients undergoing thoracic surgery, an AT≥12ml/min/kg could be used as an alternative measure if the patient was unable to achieve a PVO2≥15ml/min/kg.
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Introduction Cardiopulmonary Exercise testing (CPET) has become an important tool for perioperative assessment as it may identify patients at risk of postoperative cardiopulmonary complications. Older (1) recommended that an Anaerobic Threshold (AT)<11 or >11ml/min/kg can be used to stratify post-operative treatment in colorectal patients (ITU, HDU or ward). The BTS guidelines (2) recommend that a Peak VO2 (PVO2)<15 or >15ml/min/kg can be used as a risk assessment in thoracic surgical patients. However, CPET can be difficult to carry out. This study was undertaken to determine whether selected lung function values correlated with CPET outcome, so that they could be used as an alternative to AT and PVO2.

Method 500 pre-operative colorectal (388) and oesophageal (112) patients attending the Lung Function Department were analysed. Spirometry and Gas Transfer were performed to assess lung function. CPET was performed on a cycle ergometer to calculate PVO2 and AT.

Results The area under the curve (AUC) of a Receiver Operating Curve (ROC) analysis was carried out on the 500 patients. This compared percent predicted FEV1, FVC, TLco and Kco values to PVO2 and AT.