Clinical validity of plasma and urinary desmosine as biomarkers for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
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ABSTRACT
Background Although an increased concentration of degraded elastin products in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) has been reported for many years, its clinical validity and utility remain uncertain due to technical difficulties, small study groups and the unknown relationship between exacerbation and elastin degradation. The objectives of this study were to determine the validity of urinary and blood total desmosine/isodesmosine in patients with COPD and asthma and to evaluate their relationship to exacerbation status and lung function.

Methods Urinary and blood desmosine levels were measured using validated isotopic dilution liquid chromatography—tandem mass spectrometry methods.

Results 390 study participants were recruited from the following groups: healthy volunteers, stable asthma, stable and ‘during an exacerbation’ COPD. Compared with healthy non-smokers, we found increased urinary or blood desmosine levels in patients with COPD, but no differences in patients with asthma or healthy smokers. The elevation of urinary desmosine levels was associated with the exacerbation status in patients with COPD. Approximately 40% of patients with stable and ‘during an exacerbation’ COPD showed elevated blood desmosine levels. Blood desmosine levels were strongly associated with age and were negatively correlated with lung diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide.

Conclusion The results suggest that urinary desmosine levels are raised by exacerbations of COPD whereas blood desmosine levels are elevated in a subgroup of patients with stable COPD and reduced lung diffusing capacity. The authors speculate that a raised blood desmosine level may identify patients with increased elastin degradation suitable for targeted therapy. Future prospective studies are required to investigate this hypothesis.

INTRODUCTION
Pulmonary emphysema, the destruction of elastic tissue in alveolar septae of the lung, is known to affect 12–28% of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)1 and is thought to represent an end result of the proteinase—antiproteinase imbalance associated with aberrant inflammation.2 There is no early diagnostic tool available to stratify at-risk patients with elevated elastin degradation. Such a tool could be critical for the development of intervention strategies to prevent disease progression.

The analysis of turnover of mature elastin may offer promising potential as a surrogate marker for lung destruction and for testing the efficacy of agents with the potential to reduce elastin breakdown. Two isomeric pyridinium-based amino acids, desmosine (DES) and isodesmosine (IDS) resulting from the condensation of four lysine residues between elastin proteins by lysyl-oxidase, represent ideal biomarkers for monitoring elastin turnover because these special cross-links are only found in mature elastin in mammals.3 The release of these two compounds and DES-containing or IDS-containing peptides into body fluids has been used to estimate levels of elastin degradation in diseases such as COPD.4 Despite being one of the most promising biomarkers linking directly to the pathophysiology, the clinical validity and utility of urine and blood total DES/IDS (uDES and bDES) as biomarkers for COPD remains unproven. The major reasons are issues related to analytical validity (including sensitivity, specificity, inaccuracy) of assays, small study groups,4 and the lack of large longitudinal studies to determine the predictive power of uDES and bDES for patients’ clinical outcome.
Analytical specificity has been one of the key problems for methodologies such as immunoassays, capillary electrophoresis, electrokinetic chromatography, and tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) methods are believed to provide the best specificity and sensitivity. However, mass spectrometry is not intrinsically quantitative—often matrix compounds in the complex samples interact with the analytes in the MS ion source resulting in variability that adversely impacts data quality. To address this issue, our laboratory has developed and analytically validated methods for DES/IDS measurement.

Using these validated methods, the primary aim of this study was to examine the clinical validity of uDES and bDES as phenotyping biomarkers for COPD. The secondary goals were to investigate the relationships of uDES and bDES levels to smoking status, disease entity, severity, exacerbations and lung function.

METHODS

Study participants

Volunteers were recruited from the hospital respiratory clinics and from general practices in Glasgow (Glasgow COPD and Asthma Biomarker study; group 1) and Dundee (group 2). Potentially eligible patients were identified by scrutiny of hospital records, from general practice asthma clinic registers, by newspaper advertisements and from computerised general practice records. For group 1, 506 patients were screened for the study and 204 met the required inclusion and exclusion criteria. All study participants gave their written informed consent and the original study was approved by the local ethics committees and Institutional Review Board.

Participants were recruited from the following groups:

- Stable COPD: age range 40–80 years and duration of COPD ≥6 months; symptoms of cough and breathlessness, history of smoking over 10 pack years; forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1)/forced vital capacity (FVC) post bronchodilator <70%; FEV1 50%–80% predicted with reversibility to 2.5 mg salbutamol of <200 ml; exacerbation free for 4 weeks and no chest infection for 2 weeks.
- COPD during an exacerbation: age range 40–80 years and duration of COPD ≥6 months; symptoms of cough and breathlessness, current or past history of smoking >10 pack years; all participants were hospitalised because of the exacerbation.
- Stable asthma: age range 18–75 years and duration of asthma ≥6 months; symptoms of episodic wheezing chest tightness and/or dyspnoea (see online supplementary information for further details); on stable medication for 4 weeks and no exacerbation for 4 weeks or chest infection for 2 weeks; definition of smokers, ≥10 pack years and currently smoking at least give cigarettes per day.
- Healthy controls (smokers and non-smokers): age range 18–80 years; no known respiratory disease or recent (in last 2 weeks) chest infection; normal spirometry (FEV1/FVC >80%; FEV1 > 80% predicted); no chronic respiratory symptoms; no known exposure to passive smoke in the 4 h prior to performing the tests in healthy non-smokers based on history and further examination by serum cotinine level (median (IQR: Q1–Q3)=0.9 (0.3–1.1) ng/ml).

Sample collection

The majority of participants (group 1) had spot urine, blood and sputum samples collected during two visits to establish the stability of uDES and bDES levels in each group. The remaining participants (group 2) had spot urine and sputum or blood samples collected (see online supplementary information for further details).

uDES, bDES and sputum matrix metalloproteinase-9 activity assays

uDES and urinary creatinine assays were carried out using validated isotope dilution LC-MS/MS methods. Similarly bDES concentration was analysed using a modified assay with 10 times the lower limit of quantification. The uDES levels were expressed as uDES concentration normalised to urinary creatinine concentration according to previous reports. The matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) activity kit was purchased from R&D systems (Abingdon, UK) and has been validated for use in sputum supernatant. The assay performance can be found in supplementary online table E1.

Lung function measurements

Spirometry was performed to American Thoracic Society guidelines. Transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide (TLCO%) was measured using the body box technique (Zan500 Body Plethysmography, nSpire Health Ltd, Hertford, UK) and corrected for haemoglobin and carboxy haemoglobin in patients with stable asthma and COPD.

Data analysis

Peak area under the curve for DES/IDS and D3-DES, calibration curve, SD, coefficient of variation (CV) and % bias were generated and calculated by LCquan (ThermoFisher, Hemel Hempstead, UK). Data normality was examined using the Shapiro-Wilk test to determine the use of parametric or non-parametric tests. Two sample t test, Mann–Whitney U test, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA and Spearman correlation were performed using Prism 5.04 or SPSS V.17.0 as appropriate.

RESULTS

Clinical characteristics of study groups

We investigated uDES and bDES levels in a total of 390 participants in two study groups: group 1 comprised five subgroups, including 26 healthy non-smokers, 20 healthy smokers, 53 stable asthma non-smokers, 56 stable asthma smokers, and 55 patients with stable COPD (table 1); group 2 comprised two subgroups including 81 healthy volunteers and 105 patients with COPD at the time of an exacerbation (table 2). In the asthma and stable COPD groups, patients with different severities (ie, mild, moderate and severe) were equally distributed so that the relationship between DES levels and increasing disease severity can be investigated. The average age of patients with COPD in group 1 and group 2 (urine and sputum) was significantly older compared with the other groups (p<0.001, table 1 and 2). There was a higher percentage of men in the healthy volunteers in the blood samples of group 2.

uDES levels in patients with stable asthma, stable and ‘during an exacerbation’ COPD and healthy volunteers

In group 1, there was no significant difference in uDES levels between smokers and non-smokers in both healthy volunteers and patients with asthma (figure 1A and table 1). In addition, we did not observe a difference between healthy volunteers and patients with asthma (figure 1A and table 1). uDES levels were not associated with serum cotinine levels in healthy volunteers (r=0.02, Spearman correlation).
### Table 1: Demographic and desmosine data for group 1 consisting of healthy volunteers and patients with stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and asthma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample type</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th></th>
<th>Asthma: smokers</th>
<th>Blood: urine and sputum</th>
<th>Asthma: non-smokers</th>
<th>Blood: urine and sputum</th>
<th>Stable COPD</th>
<th>Blood: urine and sputum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of participants</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status (smokers/ex-smokers/non-smokers)</td>
<td>0/0/26</td>
<td>0/20/0</td>
<td>0/0/26</td>
<td>0/0/26</td>
<td>0/0/26</td>
<td>0/0/26</td>
<td>0/0/26</td>
<td>0/0/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>51±13</td>
<td>52±9</td>
<td>46±12</td>
<td>47±10</td>
<td>65±7*</td>
<td>47±10</td>
<td>65±7*</td>
<td>47±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLCO%COHb</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>86±11</td>
<td>77±18‡</td>
<td>60±15‡</td>
<td>77±18‡</td>
<td>60±15‡</td>
<td>77±18‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bDES (ng/ml)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.19–0.24)</td>
<td>0.23 (0.19–0.26)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.19–0.25)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.19–0.25)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.24–0.35)*</td>
<td>0.21 (0.19–0.25)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.19–0.25)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.24–0.35)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are shown as median (IQR) or mean ± SD based on whether data were normally distributed. Kruskal–Wallis analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunn’s method for pairwise multiple comparison or one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s method was used.

* p<0.001, versus other four groups.
† p<0.05, versus healthy non-smokers and smokers.
‡ p<0.001 versus non-smokers and smokers with asthma.
§ p<0.001, versus non-smokers with asthma and patients with COPD.

** p<0.001, versus non-smokers and smokers with asthma.

*p<0.01 versus non-smokers with asthma.

**p<0.05 versus other four groups.

### Table 2: Demographic and desmosine data for group 2 consisting of healthy volunteers and patients with an exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample type</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th></th>
<th>Blood</th>
<th>Patients with 'during an exacerbation' COPD</th>
<th>Blood</th>
<th>Patients with 'during an exacerbation' COPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urine and sputum</td>
<td>Healthy volunteers (HV2a)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Patients with 'during an exacerbation' COPD</td>
<td></td>
<td>Patients with 'during an exacerbation' COPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of participants</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>50*</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>102*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M/F)</td>
<td>24/38</td>
<td>24/26</td>
<td>18/1</td>
<td>43/59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status (smokers/ex-smokers/non-smokers/unknown)</td>
<td>13/41/8/0</td>
<td>31/21/5/2</td>
<td>10/0/9/0</td>
<td>55/33/0/14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>22 (21–45)</td>
<td>69 (60–74)†</td>
<td>68 (65–73)</td>
<td>72 (66–79)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>25±4</td>
<td>26±7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>26±7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (% predicted)</td>
<td>103±13</td>
<td>39±16†</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>47±18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uDES (ng/mg creatinine)</td>
<td>8 (6–10)</td>
<td>16 (14–22)†</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bDES (ng/ml)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.17 (0.12–0.23)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.21–0.37)†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that healthy volunteers recruited for urine and sputum analysis (HV2a) were different from those for blood analysis (HV2b).

*p<0.001, versus healthy volunteers, Mann–Whitney test.

**p<0.01, versus healthy volunteers, Mann–Whitney test.

Note that healthy volunteers recruited for urine and sputum analysis (HV2a) were different from those for blood analysis (HV2b).

In COPD groups, patients with stable COPD excreted a ∼37% higher level of uDES compared with non-smokers with asthma (p<0.01, group 1) but no difference was found between the stable COPD group and healthy non-smokers or smokers (table 1). Similar results were found at a subsequent visit (see online supplementary table E2). Patients with ‘during an exacerbation’ COPD, however, had significantly higher uDES levels compared with other subgroups (p<0.001, figure 1A and table 2). No significant difference was found between non-smokers, current smokers and ex-smokers in the ‘during an exacerbation’ COPD group (p=0.11; see online supplementary table E5). There was no correlation between uDES levels and age, gender or body mass index (data not shown). These results suggest that the elevation of uDES levels only occurs in COPD at the time of an exacerbation, whereas during clinical stable disease, the uDES levels remain normal.

bDES levels in patients with stable asthma, stable and exacerbated COPD and healthy volunteers

Similar to the findings on uDES, the bDES levels were no different among healthy smokers and non-smokers, smokers with asthma and non-smokers with asthma in group 1 (table 1 and figure 1B). bDES levels were not significantly correlated with serum cotinine levels in healthy volunteers (r=0.01, Spearman correlation). Interestingly, in contrast to little or no change in uDES levels, the bDES levels in these patients with stable COPD were significantly elevated compared with healthy non-smokers and smokers (p<0.001, table 2 and figure 1B). The bDES levels between patients with stable and ‘during an exacerbation’ COPD were similar. Despite the distinct distribution of these two measurements according to the patient’s exacerbation status, the levels of uDES and bDES were found to be positively correlated in both stable (r=0.55, p<0.001, Spearman's test).
Figure 1  Urinary and blood desmosine (uDES and bDES) levels in patients with stable asthma, stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), ‘during an exacerbation’ COPD and healthy volunteers. uDES (A) and bDES levels (B) were analysed in a total of 390 participants from six subgroups including healthy non-smokers, healthy smokers, non-smokers with stable asthma, smokers with stable asthma, patients with stable COPD and patients with ‘during an exacerbation’ COPD. **p < 0.001, patients with ‘during an exacerbation’ COPD versus healthy volunteers. #p < 0.001, patients with stable COPD versus healthy non-smokers, healthy smokers, non-smokers with asthma or smokers with asthma. The boundary of the box indicates the 25th and 75th percentile and the line within the box marks the median. Whiskers indicate the 90th and 10th percentiles. Note that healthy volunteers in group 2 recruited for uDES analysis were different from those for bDES analysis (table 1). (C) The relationship between uDES and bDES in healthy non-smokers, smokers, patients with stable COPD (from group 1) and patients with ‘during an exacerbation’ COPD (from group 2). The correlation coefficient and p values expressed as r, p for Spearman correlation were 0.53, < 0.001; 0.18, 0.33; 0.50, < 0.001; and 0.38, 0.01 for healthy non-smokers, healthy smokers, patients with stable COPD, and patients with ‘during an exacerbation’ COPD, respectively.

The association among uDES levels, proteinuria and lung inflammation in patients with ‘during an exacerbation’ COPD

We postulated that the cause of higher urinary excretion of degraded elastin products in patients with ‘during an exacerbation’ COPD is due to compromised renal capillary endothelial permeability. Among factors occurring during COPD exacerbations, acute inflammation is known to affect renal capillary endothelial permeability leading to proteinuria or albuminuria. To investigate this possibility, we measured MMP-9 activity, a parameter known to be associated with acute airway inflammation, in the sputum samples taken from the same patients during the same visit. As expected, a much higher (on average 10-fold) sputum MMP-9 activity was observed in patients with ‘during an exacerbation’ COPD compared with healthy volunteers in group 2 (p < 0.001, figure 2A) while patients with stable COPD only showed a moderate 36% increase compared with healthy volunteers in group 1 (p = 0.05, figure 2A). The increased sputum MMP-9 activity correlated with the uDES concentration (figure 2B). The results support the hypothesis that many patients with ‘during an exacerbation’ COPD had an acute inflammatory response. In line with this hypothesis, urinary protein concentration in these patients was also found to be significantly higher (p < 0.001, compared with healthy volunteers and patients with stable COPD, figure 2C), whereas there were no differences among the stable COPD and other subgroups in group 1 (p = 0.7–1.0). In addition, we found that urinary protein concentration in all healthy non-smokers) on at least one visit. Among these patients, 17 of 48 (35%) appeared to express elevated levels of bDES on both visits despite the intra-subject variability being significantly increased (see online supplementary figure E1). A similar observation was found in the ‘during an exacerbation’ COPD group in which 46 of 102 (45%) had values above the cut-off.

Intra-subject variability of uDES and bDES levels

Intra-subject variability in uDES and bDES levels between the two visits over a period of 6–8 weeks was assessed using CV and Bland Altman plot for each participant. The intra-subject variability of bDES was relatively smaller (average CV = 6–12%) compared with uDES (41–50%) in healthy volunteers and patients with COPD (see online supplementary figure E1). The Bland-Altman plots suggest that the bDES levels were stable between visits and that the limits of agreement may be different (in absolute terms) between the groups.

Association of bDES with clinical variables

The potential correlations of bDES with clinical variables (age, gender, smoking pack years, blood cotinine levels, FEV1, correlation) and ‘during an exacerbation’ COPD (r = 0.38, p = 0.01) (figure 1C). These results suggest that elevated bDES levels are specific to COPD and occur when patients are clinically stable or at the time of an exacerbation.

Increased bDES levels, however, were not observed in all patients with COPD (figure 1). Twenty-two of 52 (42%) patients with stable COPD showed an elevated bDES concentration based on a nominal cut-off of 0.30 ng/ml (defined by the upper limit of a 95% prediction interval for desmosine values in all healthy non-smokers) on at least one visit. Among these patients, 17 of 48 (35%) appeared to express elevated levels of bDES on both visits despite the intra-subject variability being significantly increased (see online supplementary figure E1).
The relationship of age and blood desmosine (bDES) levels in healthy non-smokers, healthy smokers, patients with stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and patients with ‘during an exacerbation’ COPD. The correlation coefficients for healthy volunteers, patients with stable COPD and patients with ‘during an exacerbation’ COPD were 0.42, 0.54 and 0.48, respectively (Spearman correlation). The p values were all <0.001. Note that there was a steeper gradient and higher ‘patient-to-patient’ variation in patients with stable COPD and ‘during an exacerbation’ COPD compared with healthy volunteers.
lungs in the stable COPD group points to a potential link between this blood biomarker and lung pathophysiology; in contrast to bDES, uDES levels were associated with patients’ exacerbation status or with proteinuria which we speculate might be caused by acute inflammation during the course of an exacerbation.

The interest in using DES/IDS as a surrogate endpoint in clinical trials for COPD has recently been revived after the previously unsuccessful attempts to use uDES in the determination of therapeutic response (or efficacy) following drug treatments in patients with COPD and α1-antitrypsin deficiency. The growing interest is mainly due to the technical feasibility of measuring plasma and sputum DES/IDS levels, which requires assays of high sensitivity and specificity. In particular, Ma and colleagues’ recent study showed that the long-acting anti-muscarinic bronchodilator tiotropium bromide reduced the DES/IDS levels in sputum and blood but not (total) uDES levels in patients with COPD over a 2-month period. Despite there being a potential bias in the LC-MS/MS method used in that study (as no internal standard was used), the results support the view that bDES (and perhaps sputum DES) is a better surrogate endpoint biomarker than uDES. In those earlier studies, where uDES levels were measured to test drug efficacy in patients with clinically stable COPD, it is possible that the negative results observed were simply a combined result of the ‘wrong’ matrix (urine) and methods used. Without compromised renal capillary endothelial permeability, changes of elastin degradation over time may not be detectable in urine.

Our study suggests that approximately 40% of patients with COPD regardless of exacerbation status have elevated bDES levels based on a cut-off of 0.30 ng/ml. We speculate that these patients may have increased elastin degradation based on the positive association with diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide. DES-containing and IDS-containing peptides have been shown to be rapidly accumulated in kidney and slowly released to urine in animal models. As we did not find signs of compromised renal function, for example, increased urinary protein/creatinine ratio in patients with stable COPD, we do not believe it was the cause of increased bDES levels. Targeting such a subgroup may have clinical significance in disease progression or the selection of treatment strategy, especially for the compounds targeting proteases and inflammation. The site of origin of abnormal elastin degradation in those 40% of patients with COPD remains to be investigated. Patients with COPD also have comorbidities such as cardiovascular disease, which may potentially affect bDES levels as mature elastin is highly expressed in blood vessels. To be able to use bDES as a surrogate endpoint and prognostic biomarker, further large longitudinal studies are needed to demonstrate the relationship between its levels and long-term clinical outcomes, particularly in the decline of lung function and development of emphysema.

It is unexpected and interesting that bDES levels in patients with COPD during an exacerbation did not differ from those of patients with stable COPD despite the fact that many of these patients had increased airway inflammation as suggested by the elevated sputum MMP-9 activity. One potential explanation is that an increased filtration rate to the urine during an exacerbation helps the clearance of degraded elastin products in the blood. Consequently, the bDES levels observed in patients with COPD during an exacerbation could be underestimated. The sum of blood and urinary desmosine levels (multiplied by the volume) may be a useful index to estimate total elastin breakdown.

Urinary DES and bDES concentrations in patients with chronic asthma, including a subgroup who were smokers, were not elevated, which is in keeping with the finding that most patients with asthma do not develop emphysema. Whether exacerbations of asthma, particularly in those who smoke, increase uDES and bDES concentrations was not investigated in this study.

We recognise that there were limitations in this study, such as its cross-sectional nature, limited visit numbers and confounding factors, for example, corticosteroid and/or antibiotic treatment during admission in the ‘during an exacerbation’ COPD group. Further investigation in a longitudinal cohort is required with baseline measurements and then during and after an exacerbation.

In summary, this study has demonstrated that the elevation of uDES or bDES levels was only significant in patients with COPD, but not in those with asthma or healthy smokers. The elevation of uDES level, however, was only observed during an exacerbation of COPD but not in stable COPD. We found that blood desmosine levels are elevated in a subgroup of approximately 40% of patients with stable COPD. We speculate that a raised blood desmosine level may identify patients with increased elastin degradation suitable for targeted therapy. Future prospective studies are required to investigate this hypothesis.
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**Figure 4** The relationship between blood desmosine (bDES) and the diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide (transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide corrected for haemoglobin and carboxy haemoglobin (TLCO % predicted COHb)) was negatively associated with bDES levels in patients with stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (r = −0.31, p = 0.02, partial correlation (Spearman) controlled for age). In the non-smokers and smokers with asthma, the negative association was not significant (p = 0.21 and 0.55).
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METHODS

Study subjects

Potentially eligible patients were identified by scrutiny of hospital records, from general practice asthma clinic registers, by advertisements in local papers and from computerised general practice records.

Stable asthma: Objective confirmation by methacholine airway hyper responsiveness (airway hyperactivity determined by a $\geq 20\%$ drop in FEV$_1$ at a methacholine dose of $\leq 8$ mg/mL) or where this was not safe (FEV$_1 < 60\%$) by evidence of airflow variability and a $\geq 12\%$ and 200 mL increase in FEV$_1$ following nebulised salbutamol 2.5 mg.

Sample collection

The majority of individuals (Cohort 1) had spot urine, blood and sputum samples collected during two visits in order to establish the stability of uDES and bDES levels in each group. The period between the two visits was between 6-8 weeks and samples were collected when the subjects was stable. The remaining participants (Cohort 2) were hospitalised because of the exacerbation of COPD. Spirometry was performed in the majority of participants in both cohorts whilst only subjects in Cohort 1 had gas transfer factor for carbon monoxide (TLCO%) measured. Urine was collected in a polystyrene urine collection bottle (ThermoFisher), aliquoted and frozen at -80°C until analysis. Plasma samples were collected in K$_2$-EDTA tubes (BD Biosciences). Serum samples were collected in plain tubes (BD Biosciences). Sputum samples were collected and processed using the previous published methods (1).
uDES, bDES and sputum MMP-9 activity assays

A summary of assay performance for uDES, bDES and sputum MMP-9 activity assays is shown in On-line Supplementary Table E1. For uDES and bDES assays, the selection of deuterium-5 isotope as an internal standard in the uDES and bDES assays was based on minimal interference signals of D5-DES transitions from the matrix.
RESULTS

Clinical characteristics of study cohorts

FEV1 (% predicted) in patients with stable COPD were lowest (62 ± 20%) compared to other groups in Group 1 (Table 1). Asthmatic non-smokers and smokers also had a significant lower FEV1 than healthy non-smokers and smokers (p<0.05, Table 1). There was no difference in FEV1 between healthy non-smokers and smokers, or between asthmatic smokers and asthmatic non-smokers in Group 1. In Group 2, “during an exacerbation” COPD patients had significantly lower FEV1 than healthy volunteers (p<0.001). In terms of TLCO%COHb, stable COPD patients had the lowest values followed by asthmatic smokers and asthmatic non-smokers (Table 1).

uDES and bDES levels in patients with stable asthma, stable and “during an exacerbation” COPD and healthy volunteers.

Similar uDES and bDES results were found in the samples collected from a subsequent visit (On-line Supplementary Table E2). We did not observe a significant difference between healthy non-smokers, smokers and ex-smokers (On-line Supplementary Table E2). There was a significant negative correlation between uDES levels and FEV1 in healthy non-smokers but not in healthy smokers (On-line Supplementary Figure E2). The uDES levels were not associated with disease severity (i.e. FEV1% predicted) in these two COPD groups although an increased variability in very severe COPD patients (FEV1 < 30% predicted) was noticeable (On-line Supplementary Figure E2A). We did not observe a correlation between FEV1 and bDES levels in each group (On-line Supplementary Figure E2B).
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Table E1. Summary of performance for uDES, bDES and sputum MMP9 (sMMP9) activity assays

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Items</th>
<th>bDES assay</th>
<th>uDES assay</th>
<th>sMMP9 activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low limit of quantification</td>
<td>0.12 ng/mL</td>
<td>1 ng/mL</td>
<td>0.25 ng/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High limit of quantification</td>
<td>160.0 ng/mL</td>
<td>480 ng/mL</td>
<td>16 ng/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraday assay imprecision for QCs (%CV)</td>
<td>0 to 12 %</td>
<td>5 to 16 %</td>
<td>6-10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interday assay imprecision for QCs (%CV)</td>
<td>3 to 7%</td>
<td>2 to 5%</td>
<td>5-9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraday assay accuracy for QCs (%Bias)</td>
<td>-7 to 8%</td>
<td>-11 to 0%</td>
<td>-4 to 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interday assay accuracy for QCs (%Bias)</td>
<td>-4 to 3%</td>
<td>-1 to 0%</td>
<td>-4 to 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilution linearity</td>
<td>Up to 4 folds</td>
<td>Up to 8 folds</td>
<td>Up to 4 folds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPE recovery</td>
<td>130% to 133%</td>
<td>110% to 112%</td>
<td>101% to 110%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample stability</td>
<td>RT or 4°C for 20h; up to 3 freeze/thaw cycles</td>
<td>RT or 4°C for 20h; up to 3 freeze/thaw cycles</td>
<td>4°C for 24h, RT for 2h, recommend assay of sputum samples after a single F/T cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference range (healthy volunteers, n=10-18)*</td>
<td>0.14-0.24 ng/mL</td>
<td>2-19 ng/mg creatinine</td>
<td>13-751 ng/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference range (COPD patients, n=10-18)*</td>
<td>0.15-0.75 ng/mL</td>
<td>12-77 ng/mg creatinine</td>
<td>20-1553 ng/mL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The reference ranges were performed in small number of subjects randomly selected from Cohort 2 as a part of assay validation process. The results related to uDES assay have been published in a technical report (18).
Table E2. uDES and bDES levels in patients with stable asthma and COPD as well as healthy volunteers on a subsequent visit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthy non-smokers</th>
<th>Healthy smokers</th>
<th>Asthma non-smokers</th>
<th>Asthma smokers</th>
<th>Stable COPD patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>uDES (ng/mg creatinine)</strong></td>
<td>8 (7-10)</td>
<td>11 (7-15)</td>
<td>7 (5-11)</td>
<td>8 (7-12)</td>
<td>11* (7-17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>bDES (ng/mL)</strong></td>
<td>0.21 (0.19-0.25)</td>
<td>0.23 (0.19-0.27)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.19-0.24)</td>
<td>0.23 (0.20-0.25)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.25-0.34)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p<0.01, vs. asthma non-smokers

$ p<0.01$ vs. healthy smokers; $p<0.001$, vs. the other 3 groups

Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA with Dunn's method for pairwise multiple comparisons.

Data are shown as median (IQR)

Note: See Table 1 for the demographics.
Table E3. uDES and bDES levels for healthy non-smokers, current smokers and ex-smokers in Group 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample type</th>
<th>Urine</th>
<th>Blood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sub-group</td>
<td>Healthy volunteer-non-smokers</td>
<td>Healthy volunteer-current smokers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>22 (20-35)</td>
<td>24 (20-47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>3/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>25 ± 4</td>
<td>26 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁[% predicted]</td>
<td>105 (95-111)</td>
<td>91 (87-100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uDES (ng/mg creatinine) or bDES (ng/mL)</td>
<td>7 (5-10)</td>
<td>9 (7-12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.a.: Not available

Note that data are expressed as means ± SD where data are normally distributed and as median (IQR) otherwise.
Figure E1. Assessing intra-subject variability of uDES and bDES levels in healthy non-smokers, healthy smokers and stable COPD patients.

A. Bland-Altman plots of uDES levels between two visits in healthy non-smokers, healthy smokers and stable COPD patients. The intra-subject variability (expressed as coefficient of variation(CV)) of uDES levels was 47 ± 35% (range = 1-108%), 41 ± 27% (range = 0-84%) and 50 ± 34% (range = 0-117%) for healthy non-smokers, healthy smokers and COPD patients.
B. Bland-Altman plots of bDES levels between two visits in healthy non-smokers, healthy smokers and stable COPD patients. The intra-subject variability of bDES levels was 6 ± 4% (range = 1-16%), 12 ± 11% (range = 0-43%) and 10 ± 11% (range=0-53%) for healthy non-smokers, healthy smokers and COPD patients. Data were expressed as mean ± S.D.

Figure E2. Correlation of uDES or bDES levels to FEV\textsubscript{1} in patients with stable and “during an exacerbation” COPD and healthy volunteers.

A. The correlation coefficients and p values for FEV\textsubscript{1}(%predicted) vs. uDES expressed as (r, p) were (-0.48, 0.003), (-0.01, 0.94), (-0.14, 0.19) and (-0.27, 0.10) for healthy non-smokers, healthy smokers, stable and “during an exacerbation” COPD patients,
respectively (Spearman correlation). The only significance was found in healthy non-smokers.

B. The correlation coefficient and p values for FEV1(%predicted) vs. bDES were (0.14, 0.36), (-0.12, 0.48), (-0.10, 0.32) and (0.16, 0.13) for healthy non-smokers, healthy smokers, stable and “during an exacerbation” COPD patients, respectively (Spearman correlation).