Continuous versus intermittent inhaled corticosteroid (budesonide) for mild persistent asthma in children—not too much, not too little

Markku Turpeinen, Anna S Pelkonen, Olof Selroos, Kurt Nikander, Tari Haahtela

The clinical efficacy of inhaled corticosteroids (ICSs) in asthma has been demonstrated in long-term intervention studies,^{1, 2} and ICSs are recommended first-line treatment of persistent asthma, even in children.³ The long-term benefits of early intervention with ICS have been well documented in adults with asthma.⁴ In children, early intervention with ICS has not altered the natural history of the disease.¹ We have recently finalised a series of studies related to the Helsinki Early Intervention Childhood Asthma Programme.⁵ The programme highlights the benefits and safety aspects of intermittent treatment with an ICS, budesonide, in comparison with continuous daily ICS and non-steroidal maintenance treatment. In this study, we summarise our experiences.

In the 18-month intervention, we compared two budesonide regimens with a control group treated with a fixed dose of disodium cromoglycate (DSCG). The study evaluated the antiasthmatic efficacy and systemic effects of daily versus as-needed intermittent budesonide in prepubertal children with mild persistent asthma. The efficacy variables were morning peak expiratory flow, FEV₁, the number of asthma exacerbations and asthma-free days. The indicators of systemic effect were standing-height velocity, bone mineral density (BMD), skin thickness, intraocular pressure and the occurrence of lens opacities.

Children aged 5–10 years (n=176) with newly detected asthma were randomised

into three treatment groups: (1) continuous budesonide (400 µg twice daily for 1 month, 200 µg twice daily for months 2–6 and 100 µg twice daily for months 7–18), (2) budesonide, identical treatment to group 1 during months 1–6, then placebo as regular treatment and budesonide intermittently for exacerbations during months 7–18 and (3) DSCG 10 mg three times daily for months 1–18. Exacerbations were treated with budesonide 400 µg twice daily for 2 weeks. If an oral or parenteral corticosteroid was needed, the child was withdrawn from the study.

The use of a relatively high-dose budesonide for the initial 6 months was based on our pilot study showing that treatment with inhaled budesonide rapidly decreases sputum eosinophils along with clinical and functional improvements.⁶

No significant differences were observed between the groups in morning peak expiratory flow at any time point. After 6 months of treatment, improvements in FEV₁ in litres were significantly greater in the two budesonide groups than in the DSCG group. However, from baseline to 18 months, no significant differences in FEV₁ were observed.⁵

For the 18-month study period, 364 asthma exacerbations were recorded in 133 patients (2.07 exacerbations per patient in the study). During the first 6 months of treatment, children receiving budesonide had significantly less exacerbations than the children in the DSCG group. During months 7–18, the continuous budesonide group (ie, children on low-dose budesonide) had significantly fewer exacerbations (0.97 per patient) than the intermittent ICS group (1.69 per patient, see figure 1A).⁵

At 6 months, the mean number of symptom-free days increased more in the two budesonide groups than in the DSCG

group. During months 7–18, the symptom-free days did not differ between the continuous budesonide group and the intermittent group.⁵

From baseline to 6 months, the mean standing-height velocity in the two budesonide groups was 2 cm/year slower than in the DSCG group (figure 1B). From 7 to 18 months, height velocity increased in both budesonide groups. The mean height velocity was greater in the intermittent treatment group than in the continuous budesonide group (6.2 vs 5.6 cm; p=0.016), suggesting catch-up of the initial loss in standing height. After 18 months of treatment, children receiving DSCG had grown on average 1.0 cm more than children in the continuous budesonide group and 0.6 cm more than children in the intermittent budesonide group.

The height velocity in asthmatic children is influenced by normal developmental factors such as seasonal variation, delay in growth spurt, disease severity and the use of corticosteroids.^{7–8} A meta-analysis of children receiving oral or ICS therapy revealed a significant tendency for corticosteroid therapy to reduce final height.⁹ To minimise confounding factors, our study dealt with prepubertal children treated with ICS but with no oral or parenteral steroids. Interruption of regular maintenance ICS treatment may be necessary to reduce the suppressive effect of ICS on bone. This mechanism may also explain the finding in a long-term study with ICS in children, who achieved normal adult height but later than healthy subjects.¹⁰ Interestingly, in our 18-month study, the decline in height velocity was measurable, although the true adherence to treatment (recorded with a pneumotachograph) was reduced to levels around 50–60% during the study period.¹¹

BMD increased in all treatment groups. However, at the end of the study, the regular use of budesonide had resulted in a significantly smaller increment in BMD than DSCG, calculated as grams per square centimetre and Z score (figure 1D). The increase in BMD (g/cm²) in the group receiving intermittent budesonide after the first 6 months was not different from the other two groups. When corrected for growth data, the differences in BMD data disappeared. The correlation between height and BMD suggests that following children's height might afford a good enough estimation of ICS effects on BMD.¹²

In adults, asthma treatment with high doses of ICSs has resulted in dermal thinning. In our study, initial 6-month

Department of Allergy, Skin and Allergy Hospital, Helsinki University Central Hospital, Helsinki, Finland

Correspondence to Professor Tari Haahtela, Department of Allergy, Skin and Allergy Hospital, Helsinki University Hospital, Meilahdentie 2, FIN-00250 Helsinki, Finland; tari.haahtela@hus.fi

Figure 1 Major findings of the Helsinki Early Intervention Childhood Asthma Study. (A) The median time to the first exacerbation was significantly longer for both the continuous budesonide and the budesonide/placebo groups compared with the DSCG group ($p < 0.001$ for both). After 6 months (vertical line), the median time to the next exacerbation was still significant between the continuous budesonide and DSCG groups ($p = 0.03$).⁵ (B) Mean changes in standing height (SDS). For months 1–6, both budesonide groups versus DSCG group ($p < 0.001$); for months 7–18, continuous budesonide versus budesonide/placebo group ($p = 0.016$).⁵ (C) Changes in skin thickness (μm) of the right forearm. At 6 months, the difference between the budesonide groups combined and the DSCG group was significant ($p = 0.004$).¹⁶ (D) The changes in lumbar BMD during 18 months as g/cm^2 . A larger increment in BMD was found in the DSCG than in the continuous budesonide group ($p = 0.021$). The increment was intermediate in the budesonide/placebo group.¹⁵

budesonide treatment resulted in a greater reduction in skin thickness in the forearms compared with DSCG (figure 1C). At month 18, the intergroup differences were no longer significant. Thus, daily doses of 400 to 800 μg budesonide in prepubertal children may cause minor dermal thinning. The changes were reversible during continuous low-dose or periodic treatment.¹³ In adults, long-term treatment with glucocorticoids may result in posterior subcapsular cataracts and glaucoma. In the present study, the children underwent ophthalmologic examinations with lens photography and measurements of intraocular pressure before and after treatment. No case of cataract was found. Mean intraocular pressure in the two budesonide groups did not differ from that in healthy children or children treated with DSCG. With standard ICS doses, ophthalmologic examinations are not necessary except in the case of risk factors for glaucoma or elevated intraocular pressure.¹⁴

Adults without maintenance treatment for mild asthma who were instructed to

initiate corticosteroid therapy according to a symptom-based action plan did not have poorer clinical or functional outcome over a year compared with those who did use regular treatment with either inhaled budesonide or oral zafirlukast.¹⁵ Furthermore, in adults with mild asthma, the symptom-driven use of inhaled beclomethasone and salbutamol in a single inhaler was as effective as regular use of inhaled beclomethasone and was associated with a lower 6-month cumulative dose of the ICS.¹⁶

In our study, lung function or asthma-free days were not different between children on regular or intermittent budesonide treatment. The maintenance low-dose budesonide afforded, however, a better control of asthma exacerbations but caused more systemic effects. Our results have been recently supported by a 44-week, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in patients with mild persistent asthma aged 5–18 years.¹⁷ The authors suggest that ICS used as rescue together with salbutamol shows

benefits over rescue β -2-agonist alone and avoids the growth effects associated with use of daily ICSs.¹⁷ The result was in line with a previous 6-month study in adults where ICS together with formoterol was more beneficial than formoterol alone in patients with intermittent asthma and signs of airway inflammation.¹⁸

In conclusion, intermittent treatment with ICS is an attractive alternative for treatment of children with mild persistent asthma. In most asthmatic children, the disease is mild.¹⁹ Most of these children are well controlled with proactive use of ICS at times of exacerbations after 6 months of regular ICS treatment. A prerequisite for successful intermittent treatment is that patients and parents understand and participate in a guided self-management programme.²⁰ Children with frequent exacerbations, as judged by a follow-up of 12 months, should have regular maintenance therapy. The benefits of daily therapy in these children outweigh any potential adverse effects and risks associated with poorly controlled asthma.²¹

Our results were obtained with budesonide, and efficacy/safety profiles with different ICS treatments are drug and dose dependent. The meta-analysis of Sharek and Bergman,²² 10 years ago, claimed that fluticasone slowed the growth less down than beclomethasone or budesonide. With increasing doses of fluticasone, the systemic effects may, however, seem quite significant.²³ Ciclesonide seems to have little effect on growth.²⁴ Nevertheless, our results accord the clinical experience. Most school-aged asthma children cope with intermittent ICS therapy, which also is favoured by parents and especially by those with corticosteroid fears.

Funding Helsinki University Hospital Research Grant (grant number: TYH2008302). Funder role: Academic support.

Competing interests None.

Patient consent Obtained.

Ethics approval Ethics approval was provided by Helsinki University Hospital Ethical Committee.

Contributors All authors have accepted to submit the paper to Thorax.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Published Online First 28 October 2011

Thorax 2012;67:100–102.

doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2011-200246

REFERENCES

1. **Childhood Asthma Management Program (CAMP) Research Group.** Long-term effects of budesonide or nedocromil in children with asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2000;**343**:1054–63.
2. **Pauwels RA,** Pedersen S, Busse WW, *et al.* Early intervention with budesonide in mild persistent asthma: a randomized, double-blind trial. *Lancet* 2003;**361**:1071–6.
3. **Global Initiative for Asthma.** *Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention*. 2009. <http://www.ginasthma.com> (accessed 21 Feb 2011).
4. **Haahela T,** Tamminen K, Kava T, *et al.* Thirteen-year follow-up of early intervention with an inhaled corticosteroid in patients with asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2009;**124**:1180–5.
5. **Turpeinen M,** Nikander K, Pelkonen A, *et al.* Daily versus as-needed inhaled corticosteroid for mild persistent asthma. The Helsinki Early Intervention Childhood Asthma Study. *Arch Dis Child* 2008;**93**:654–9.
6. **Ryttilä P,** Pelkonen AS, Metso T, *et al.* Induced sputum in children with newly diagnosed mild asthma; the effect of 6 months of treatment with budesonide or disodium cromoglycate. *Allergy* 2004;**59**:839–44.
7. **Balfour-Lynn L.** Growth and childhood asthma. *Arch Dis Child* 1986;**61**:1049–55.
8. **Price JF.** Inhaled corticosteroids: clinical relevance of safety measures. *Pediatr Pulmonol Suppl* 1997;**15**:40–5.
9. **Allen DB,** Mullen M, Mullen B. A meta-analysis of the effect of oral and inhaled corticosteroids on growth. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1994;**93**:967–76.
10. **Agertoft L,** Pedersen S. Effect of long-term treatment with inhaled budesonide on adult height in children with asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2000;**343**:1064–9.
11. **Nikander K,** Turpeinen M, Pelkonen AS, *et al.* True adherence with the Turbuhaler in young children with asthma. *Arch Dis Child* 2011;**96**:168–73.
12. **Turpeinen M,** Pelkonen AS, Nikander K, *et al.* Bone mineral density in children treated with daily or periodical inhaled budesonide. The Helsinki Early Intervention Childhood Asthma (HEICA) study. *Pediatr Res* 2010;**68**:169–73.
13. **Turpeinen M,** Raitio H, Pelkonen AS, *et al.* Skin thickness in children treated with daily or periodical inhaled budesonide for mild persistent asthma. The Helsinki Early Intervention Childhood Asthma (HEICA) study. *Pediatr Res* 2010;**67**:221–5.
14. **Pelkonen A,** Kari O, Selroos O, *et al.* Ophthalmologic findings in children with asthma receiving inhaled budesonide. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2008;**122**:832–4.
15. **Boushey HA,** Sorkness CA, King TS, *et al.* Daily versus as-needed corticosteroids for mild persistent asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2005;**352**:1519–28.
16. **Papi A,** Canonica GW, Maestrelli P, *et al.* Rescue use of beclomethasone and albuterol in a single inhaler for mild asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2007;**356**:2040–52.
17. **Martinez FD,** Chinchilli VM, Morgan WJ, *et al.* Use of beclomethasone dipropionate as rescue treatment for children with mild persistent asthma (TREXA): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2011;**377**:650–7.
18. **Haahela T,** Tamminen K, Malmberg LP, *et al.* Formoterol as needed with or without budesonide in patients with intermittent asthma and raised NO levels in exhaled air: a SOMA study. *Eur Respir J* 2006;**28**:748–55.
19. **Bisgaard H,** Szefer SJ. Understanding mild persistent asthma in children: the next frontier. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2005;**115**:708–13.
20. **Naspitz CK,** Cropp GJ. Recommendations for treatment of intermittent mild persistent asthma in children and adolescents. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2009;**44**:205–8.
21. **Allen DB.** Inhaled steroids for children. Effects on growth, bone, and adrenal function. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am* 2005;**34**:555–64.
22. **Sharek PJ,** Bergman DA. The effect of inhaled steroids on the linear growth of children with asthma: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 2000;**106**:E8.
23. **Todd G.** High-dose inhaled fluticasone, adrenal crisis and a fatal accident inquiry. *Arch Dis Child* 2007;**92**:372–3.
24. **Skoner DP,** Maspero J, Banerji D. Assessment of the long-term safety of inhaled ciclesonide on growth in children with asthma. *Pediatrics* 2008;**121**:e1–14.

Continuous versus intermittent inhaled corticosteroids for mild persistent asthma in children: not too much, not too little

Francine M Ducharme^{1,2}

The goal of asthma treatment is to prevent exacerbations, achieve daily

asthma control and prevent adverse effects with a minimum of medication. In preschoolers, children and adolescents with mild persistent asthma, the most effective therapy remains daily use of low-dose inhaled corticosteroids.¹ Why then consider intermittent therapy over maintenance inhaled corticosteroids?

The intermittent approach is attractive to patients and families for a variety of reasons, including fear of corticosteroid

side effects,² the erroneous concept that no symptoms equate to no disease³ and ease of compliance with medications administered for symptoms rather than on a daily basis. Indeed, pharmacy records clearly show that most children with asthma infrequently renew their prescriptions for controller medications, suggesting that they may not understand, perceive or agree with the need for daily therapy, despite ongoing healthcare resources utilisation and excess use of rescue β_2 -agonist.⁴

This practice is also endorsed by physicians who recommend an asthma controller at the onset of an exacerbation for a short period.^{4–5} In vogue since the 1990s without, until recently, any supporting evidence, the practice of prescribing intermittent therapy over continuous therapy may have stemmed from: (1) the uncertain benefit of daily inhaled corticosteroids in patients in

¹Department of Pediatrics, University of Montreal, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; ²Research Centre, CHU Sainte-Justine, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Correspondence to Dr Francine M Ducharme, Professor, Department of Pediatrics, Associate Director of Clinical Research, Research Centre, CHU Sainte-Justine, 3175 Côte Ste-Catherine, Room 7939, Montreal, Quebec, H3T 1C5, Canada; francine.m.ducharme@umontreal.ca