Therapeutic advances in non-small cell lung cancer

Eric Vallières, 1 Solange Peters, 2 Paul Van Houtte, 3 Paras Dalal, 4 Eric Lim 5

ABSTRACT
Despite decades of research, therapeutic advances in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) have progressed at a painstaking slow rate with few improvements in standard surgical resection for early stage disease and chemotherapy or radiotherapy for patients with advanced disease. In the past 18 months, however, we seemed to have reached an inflexion point: therapeutic advances that are centred on improvements in the understanding of patient selection, surgery that is undertaken through smaller incisions, identification of candidate mutations accompanied by the development of targeted anticancer treatments with a focus on personalised medicine, improvements to radiotherapy technology, emergence of radiofrequency ablation (RFA), and last but by no means least, the recognition of palliative care as a therapeutic modality in its own right. The contributors to this review are a distinguished international panel of experts who highlight recent advances in each of the major disciplines.

SURGERY
Lesser resection for peripheral lung tumours
For years, lobectomy has been the standard of care in the management of early stage NSCLC. Limited resections have historically been considered suboptimal because of increased risk of local recurrence and are usually reserved for patients with limited cardiopulmonary reserve. Improvements in chest imaging, increased use of CT for diagnostic workup and screening for lung cancer 1 2 have led to the identification of a large number of patients harbouring small peripheral nodules suspicious for NSCLC. As very few peripheral small malignancies (<2 cm) were included in the studies that established lobectomy as the standard of care, 3 there is now a resurgence of interest in re-evaluating the potential role of lesser resection. Although much of the data to date come from Japan, 4 the Cancer and Leukemia Group B (CALGB) 140503 trial comparing lobectomy with sublobar resection for small (<2 cm) peripheral NSCLC is currently under way in North America to address this question. Apart from lesser resection, adjuvant brachytherapy is emerging as a potential therapeutic modality and is currently under investigation by the American College of Surgeons Oncology Group (ACOSOG) Z4032 trial, which is comparing sublobar resection with sublobar resection plus (adjuvant mesh) brachytherapy in high-risk patients with small peripheral NSCLC.

Minimal access surgery
Anatomical lung resections using video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) without rib spreading were first reported 20 years ago and their use in treating early-stage NSCLC seems to have gained momentum. Systematic reviews of randomised and non-randomised studies comparing VATS lobectomy with traditional open surgery concluded that VATS lobectomy is not inferior to thoracotomy lobectomy with less peri-operative morbidity. 5 These cumulative data also suggested a lower rate of reported systemic recurrences and a possible improvement in survival in patients who underwent VATS lung resection. The reasons underlying the observed differences are not obvious. While some advocate lesser systemic immunity disturbances with VATS in the first few days after surgery, 6 it is difficult to comprehend why 48–72 h of minimal perioperative alteration in systemic immunity could have such an impact on a cancer that may have been present years before diagnosis. An alternative explanation for the observed differences in survival may be due to patient selection bias within the published literature, when patients with earlier or more easily resectable disease are preferentially selected for VATS lobectomy within the non-randomised studies. A large data analysis from the Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) registry reported higher complication rates in patients undergoing VATS lobectomy, questioning some of these perceived advantages. 7 There is considerable heterogeneity in the technical conduct of both VATS and open surgery, and it is unfortunate that only two trials have ever compared the approaches head to head in a randomised fashion (combined total of 161 patients). 8 9 Due to strong polarised opinions, a large-scale randomised trial comparing the two approaches is unlikely to ever be initiated. To add to the controversy, lobectomies undertaken with robotic assistance are now being reported as an alternative minimally invasive approach to lung cancer resection. 10

Surgical lymph node staging
The extent of lymph nodes that should be removed when undertaking a lung resection for NSCLC remains a subject of debate, particularly in North America where the standard of mediastinal nodal dissection remains variable. 11 Currently European guidelines recommend a systematic nodal dissection, 12 13 however a large ACOSOG trial comparing complete mediastinal lymphadenectomy with a predefined systematic nodal sampling in patients whose N1 hilar nodes were negative (by frozen section) failed to reveal any survival advantages and very minimal improvements in accuracy of staging with more aggressive nodal mediastinal dissection. 14 It is important to consider that the amount of nodal staging information obtained in the
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control arm of this trial was superior to what many surgeons currently obtain and it is hoped that the results of this trial will not have a negative impact on the quality of the nodal dissection surgeons will be providing in the future, particularly in North America.

**CHEMOTHERAPY**

**Driver mutations in NSCLC**

Chemotherapy remains the cornerstone treatment to improve overall survival of patients with advanced NSCLC. Aside from modestly beneficial new regimen options for patients with non-squamous lung cancers, the efficacy of chemotherapy seems to have reached a plateau. The concept of driver mutations emerged from the description of patients presenting with rapid, dramatic and long-lasting responses to epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI). Since the discovery of EGFR-activating mutations, several further driver mutations have been described in patients with lung adenocarcinoma. These findings have led to new strategy of personalised treatment for distinct subsets of genetically defined NSCLC, depending on the availability of targeted drugs.

**EGFR addicted NSCLC**

The Iressa Pan-Asia Study (IPASS), a large randomised trial of 1217 Asian patients with adenocarcinoma with a history of light or non-smoking, reported superior survival in patients receiving a TKI as first-line treatment compared with a carboplatin–paclitaxel regimen in a retrospectively defined subgroup of patients with EGFR-mutated tumours (mainly a deletion in exon 19 in 54% or L858R point mutation in exon 21 in 45%). In these patients, progression-free survival was dramatically improved in those receiving gefitinib (HR 0.48; 95% CI 0.36 to 0.64; p<0.0001), as was tumour response rate (71% vs 47%) and quality of life. Overall survival was not significantly different between the two treatment groups, probably due to the large number of patients crossing over to the treatment arm (that was allowed on the detection of progression of disease). This study demonstrated the clinical efficacy of EGFR TKIs as first-line treatment of patients who harbour EGFR-sensitive mutations, and also supported the clinical benefit of EGFR TKIs as second-line treatment.

Of interest was the lack of efficacy of gefitinib in patients who did not have a sensitising EGFR mutation as demonstrated in the IPASS study, reinforcing the rationale for chemotherapy as first choice in this subset, a finding that was confirmed with erlotinib in two prospective phase III trials presented at the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) annual meeting in 2010 and 2011 respectively. A second, similarly designed, smaller randomised trial reproduced the IPASS results. In a Caucasian population, the benefit of TKI treatment (either as first or second line) in patients with an EGFR-sensitising mutation was reported in a study of 217 patients (with a mutation prevalence of 17% of adenocarcinomas) with a median progression-free and overall survival of 14 and 27 months respectively.

Since IPASS, three additional trials in Asian populations, differing by their prospective design in selected patients with EGFR mutations confirmed the superiority of first-line gefitinib but also erlotinib. In the latter study, a striking improvement of progression-free survival from 4.6 to 13.1 months (HR 0.16; 95% CI 0.10 to 0.26; p<0.0001) was achieved compared with carboplatin–gemcitabine chemotherapy. A recent meta-analysis demonstrated first-line TKI in patients with an EGFR-sensitising mutation increased progression-free survival and overall response rate of approximately 25% while decreasing the rates of toxicity (mainly neutropenia). The European Erlotinib Versus Chemotherapy (EURTAC) study, the first prospective randomised phase III trial comparing erlotinib with platinum-based chemotherapy in the context of a Caucasian population with exon 19 or 21 EGFR mutations, was presented at ASCO 2011. Similarly, in this patient population with low-rate EGFR-mutation harbouring tumours, a comparable benefit was shown favouring erlotinib over a platinum-based doublet, with a progression-free survival of 9.7 vs 5.2 months (HR 0.37; 95% CI 0.23 to 0.54; p<0.0001), even if reported response rates were lower for erlotinib (58%) and chemotherapy (15%) compared with the Asian population.

**EML4-ALK addicted NSCLC**

Previously described in lymphoma, neuroblastoma and myofibroblastic tumours, activating genetic alterations of anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) have been identified in 2–7% of patients with NSCLC. The aberrant EML4-ALK fusion gene encodes a chimeric protein with a constitutive ALK kinase activity, and crizotinib (originally developed as a MET inhibitor) subsequently demonstrated high affinity and inhibitory capacity for tumours that harbour the activated ALK fusion gene. A phase I/II trial in 82 patients with advanced ALK-positive disease demonstrated a response rate of 57% (disease control rate of 90%), and an estimated probability of 6-month PFS of 72% (median not reached, 63 of 82 patients continuing crizotinib at the time of analysis). A good safety profile was described, with predominantly mild gastrointestinal toxicity. Crizotinib is currently being evaluated against first-line or second-line chemotherapy in phase III trials that are recruiting the (rare) patient population with ALK-rearranged advanced NSCLC.

**RADIOTHERAPY**

**Dose escalation**

Recently, a new advance in radiotherapy is the introduction of the fourth dimension—time, both during the delivery of the radiation (session) and during the entire course. Within 4–6 weeks of treatment as the tumour responds, the field of radiation decreases reducing the amount of normal tissue that is irradiated, with an option to reduce toxicity or increase the total dose. Guckenberger et al (in a series of 13 patients) reported continuous tumour regression of 1.2% per day, and this allowed the dose to the tumour to escalate from 66 to 73 Gy. Recently, the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer has published recommendations for planning and delivery of high-dose and high-precision radiotherapy. To date, several phase II trials have reported the feasibility of such an approach, and the question now is whether patients will benefit from dose escalation. This is currently being addressed by a Radiation Therapy Oncology Group phase III trial that is also seeking to evaluate the role of cetuximab as a concurrent chemoradiotherapy regimen following reports of favourable tolerability in phase II trials.

**Sterotactic body radiotherapy**

Stereotactic radiotherapy (SBRT) for early lung cancers is becoming an attractive alternative for patients with inoperable cancer (such as older patients or patients referred for palliative radiotherapy) because of reports of a high local control rate. In a population-based analysis of patients over 75 years, Palma et al reported an increase in the use of radiotherapy between...
Concurrent and sequential radiotherapy

Several trials have tried to answer the question of the best combined modalities: a concurrent or a sequential chemoradiotherapy. A recent meta-analysis of seven trials has reported superior outcomes of a concurrent approach with an absolute 5.7% survival benefit at 3 years (from 18.1% to 23.8%). This was largely attributed to better loco-regional control balanced against an increase in grade 3 or more acute oesophagitis (from 4% to 18%).

Radiotherapy and chemotherapy

The question of adding additional cycles of chemotherapy before or after a concurrent chemoradiotherapy approach is still not answered. Only few data are available, mainly from small phase II trials showing no major differences except less haematological toxicity and lower dose reduction for patients treated with induction chemotherapy.

The role of a chemotherapy agent as maintenance therapy has also been questioned. Pemetrexed may be an interesting drug both during the concurrent and maintenance phase due to a low toxicity profile; however, the results of randomised trials are awaited. The different targeted agents have only been used within phase II trials and the few phase III trials did not shown any benefit. AE-941, shark cartilage extract with antiangiogenic properties, was added to chemoradiotherapy and did not improve the survival of patients with stage III disease.

Therefore, these agents should not be used outside a clinical trial setting.

Prophylactic cranial irradiation

Prophylactic cranial irradiation was introduced in the management of small cell lung cancer and proposed for NSCLC because of the high number of brain relapses. A recent phase III trial of patients with stage III disease without evidence of progression after loco-regional treatment (surgery or radiotherapy) was closed prematurely after 356 patients out of the 1058 targeted patients because the incidence of brain metastases reduced from 18% to 7.7% after 1 year, although there was no evidence of survival benefit.

RADIOFREQUENCY ABLATION

RFA has been used since the early 1990s to successfully treat tumours of the kidney, breast, bone, liver and adrenal glands.

The procedure is currently performed under CT guidance by interventional radiologists or thoracic surgeons, with the majority of cases being performed under conscious sedation.

Post-procedure follow-up has traditionally been undertaken using serial CT scans and more recently with positron emission tomography/CT to detect incomplete therapy and early recurrence.

There have been several case series describing the results of RFA in the management of primary and secondary lung cancers. In 2007, Simon et al reviewed 75 cases of previous untreated stage I NSCLC and reported overall survival of 78%, 57% and 27% at 1, 2 and 5 years respectively, which compared favourably with previous studies using external beam radiotherapy in similar stage tumours.

Further encouraging findings were reported by Lencioni and co-workers in 38 patients treated with RFA (13 with medically inoperable stage I NSCLC). In their study, the overall survival in patients with NSCLC was 70% and 48% at 1 and 2 years respectively, with cancer-specific survival of 92% and 73% at 1 and 2 years.\textsuperscript{48} Subgroup analysis revealed 2-year overall survival of 75% and 2-year cancer-specific survival of 92% in patients with inoperable stage I NSCLC. Most recently, Hiraki et al reported their findings in 50 patients with inoperable stage I NSCLC, with a median survival of 67 months. The overall, cancer-specific and disease-free survivals were 94%, 100% and 82% at 1 year, 86%, 93% and 64% at 2 years, and 74%, 80% and 58% at 3 years respectively, but local progression was observed in 16 (31%) of the 52 tumours. The substantial difference between overall and cancer-specific survival suggests that most patients (with medically inoperable disease) die from co-morbidities rather than cancer progression.

Subgroup analysis revealed that tumour size is an important determinant of effective ablation of NSCLC and extent of ablation may be an independent risk factor for survival. Simon et al reported improved local tumour progression-free rates for tumours <5 cm in diameter,\textsuperscript{45} and similar findings have been echoed by a number of other authors. Most recently, Beland et al reviewed 79 patients with NSCLC and reported 57% of cases free from recurrence at a mean follow-up of 17 months. Recurrences tended to be local to the ablated tumour site and more frequently in larger tumours (>4 cm diameter) and higher stage disease.\textsuperscript{51} Huang et al also reported significantly increased local progression in tumours of diameter >4 cm in a review of 273 patients with NSCLC treated by RFA.\textsuperscript{32} Furthermore, a recent study comparing the efficacy of RFA and percutaneous cryotherapy in patients with NSCLC found that complete ablation was more frequently seen in tumours <3 cm (76.2% <3 cm and 28.3% >3 cm). The median survival was reported at 34.6 months in the complete ablation group compared with 14.4 months in the partial ablation group.\textsuperscript{53}

The complications rate and safety parameters of RFA in the treatment of NSCLC have been reported to be similar to percutaneous lung biopsy.\textsuperscript{57} A systematic review reported an overall procedure-related morbidity rate of 35.7%, mostly due to pneumothoraces (28%) or pleural effusions (15.4%). Pneumothoraces in general are self-limiting, with only 11% of RFA procedures requiring a chest drain insertion. The hospital stay ranged from 1 to 6 days.\textsuperscript{56} Of particular importance in the patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, longitudinal follow-up studies have reported no significant drop in lung function after RFA for NSCLC.\textsuperscript{32} 56

More recently, studies have compared outcomes for patients with stage I NSCLC undergoing percutaneous RFA with surgery. Kim et al reviewed the outcomes of eight patients with inoperable stage I NSCLC treated with RFA versus 14 patients who were surgically treated. They reported higher local recurrence
the RFA group but equivalent frequency of the development of distant metastatic disease with no difference in overall survival between the two groups. Zemlyak and co-workers reported the findings of their study of 64 patients with stage I NSCLC who were medically inoperable treated with either sublobar resection, percutaneous RFA or percutaneous cryotherapy (based on surgical preference) with similar outcomes of overall survival: 87.1% (surgery), 87.5% (RFA) and 77% (cryotherapy), with cancer-specific survival of 90.6% (surgery), 87.5% (RFA) and 90.2% (cryotherapy), but noted a (non-significant) trend towards higher recurrence in the RFA group and longer cancer-free survival in the surgical group. These studies suggest that despite the potential increased frequency of local recurrence in patients treated with RFA compared with those undergoing surgery, this does not have a significant detriment on overall survival.

RFA has been used in the treatment of primary lung tumours for over a decade and has been shown to be a safe and effective treatment in medically inoperable primary non-small cell lung tumours. Outcome data suggest it has survival benefit comparable to surgical resection in selected patients. With advances in radiotherapy and minimally access surgery, the time is ripe for randomised trials to ascertain the true position of this technique in the management of lung cancer.

PALLIATIVE CARE

Evidence demonstrating that palliative care interventions are significantly and objectively able to improve the quality of life of patients with specific advanced cancers remains scarce. Patients with NSCLC are prone to receive chemotherapy or radiotherapy related symptoms. In 2010, Temel and colleagues evaluated the impact of introducing palliative care early after diagnosis on patient quality of life and mood by randomising 151 ambulatory patients with NSCLC to standard oncological care with or without integrated palliative care on a minimal once monthly visit basis. In line with published data, quality of life and mood were assessed at baseline and at 12 weeks with the use of the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy—Lung (FACT-L) scale and the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, and both were significantly improved by early palliative care. Interestingly, fewer patients in the early palliative care group compared with the standard group received aggressive treatment (33% vs 54%, p = 0.05). Despite this, the median survival was longer among patients receiving early palliative care (11.6 vs 8.9 months, p = 0.02).

This trial demonstrates the value of initiating palliative care early in the course of treatment for advanced NSCLC. However, survival benefit must be interpreted with caution because the trial was not originally designed to evaluate this outcome, and it was not clear how much time was devoted to patients by the healthcare providers nor was there a detailed description of palliative care interventions utilised. An increase in time spent with patients with advanced NSCLC and improvement of symptoms by palliative care including depression, reduced hospitalisation and withholding ineffective chemotherapy treatments are all factors that can potentially improve patient survival and lower healthcare costs and should be studied in their own right.
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