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SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Diagnosis of mediastinal/hilar lymph nodes and peribronchial masses

Conventional transbronchial fine needle aspiration (TBNA)

1. Conventional transbronchial needle aspiration (TBNA) is a safe technique and should be used to sample mediastinal and hilar lymphadenopathy during initial diagnostic bronchoscopy where a pre-procedure CT scan has demonstrated significant adenopathy.

2. Conventional TBNA is a safe technique for sampling hilar and mediastinal lymph nodes in cases of suspected sarcoidosis and may be used in conjunction with endobronchial and transbronchial biopsies.

3. Conventional TBNA is a safe technique for sampling hilar and mediastinal lymph nodes in cases of suspected sarcoidosis and may be used in conjunction with endobronchial and transbronchial biopsies.

Endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial fine needle aspiration (EBUS-TBNA)

1. EBUS-TBNA is a safe and effective technique for the assessment of hilar and mediastinal lymph nodes in cases of confirmed or suspected lung cancer.

2. EBUS-TBNA is a safe and effective technique for sampling hilar and mediastinal lymph nodes in cases of suspected sarcoidosis and may be used in conjunction with endobronchial and transbronchial biopsies.

3. EBUS-TBNA is a safe and effective technique for sampling paratracheal and peribronchial intraparenchymal lung masses.

4. At present there is insufficient evidence to recommend EBUS-TBNA for routine use in the diagnosis of lymphoma.

5. In cases where EBUS-TBNA results are negative for malignancy, a confirmatory surgical biopsy should be performed where appropriate.

Therapeutic procedures for malignant disease

Malignant airway obstruction

1. Endobronchial debulking of tumours

   D In patients with central airway obstruction (CAO) due to intraluminal tumour, endobronchial tumour debulking should be considered.

   ✓ When undertaking endobronchial debulking of tumours, a laryngeal mask or uncuffed endotracheal tube is recommended to achieve airway control.

2. Endobronchial electrocautery or diathermy

   D Endobronchial electrocautery may be considered for use with curative intent in benign disease of the airway including incising web-like stenosis, benign tumours and granulation tissue. It may also be considered for primary treatment of early stage non-invasive lung cancer.

   ✓ When undertaking snare resection, intermittent bursts of electrocautery of not more than 2 s duration should be used while carefully closing the snare until resistance is felt.

   ✓ Avoid an FiO2 of >0.4 when undertaking electrocautery to reduce the risk of airway fire.

3. Argon plasma coagulation (APC)

   D APC may be considered for the debulking of obstructing endobronchial tumour.

   ✓ APC may be considered for tumour debulking in patients without acute critical airway narrowing.

   ✓ APC may be considered for the treatment of haemoptysis in patients with endobronchial abnormalities.

4. Thermal laser

   D In patients with CAO due to intraluminal tumour, relief of obstruction using Nd-YAG laser may be considered.

   ✓ The power setting should be limited to 40 W.

5. Cryotherapy and cryoextraction

   D Cryobiopsy may be considered for diagnostic endobronchial tissue sampling to provide large-volume specimens without crush artefact.

   ✓ Cryoextraction may be considered for tumour debulking in patients with critical airway narrowing.

6. Photodynamic therapy (PDT)

   D PDT may be considered for tumour debulking in patients without critical airway narrowing.

   ✓ The technique should be available for carefully selected patients on a regional basis.

7. Brachytherapy

   C Brachytherapy should not be used first-line in preference to external beam radiotherapy for the palliation of lung cancer.

   D Brachytherapy should be considered for the palliation of haemoptysis or CAO in locally advanced central lung cancer.

Airway support with stents

D The use of self-expanding metallic stents may be considered for the treatment of malignant CAO due to extrinsic disease.

D Self-expanding metallic stents may be used to maintain airway patency following endobronchial debulking techniques.

D Self-expanding metallic stents can be used to restore or maintain airway patency in conjunction with other treatments such as external beam radiotherapy.
INTRODUCTION

Clinical context and need for a guideline

Interventional bronchoscopy has rapidly evolved in recent years. The field includes the use of more complex diagnostic procedures such as endobronchial ultrasound, the use of bronchoscopic interventions for the relief of central airway obstruction (CAO) due to malignancy and, more recently, the development of therapeutic interventions for non-malignant disease. Many practitioners may feel that this is a highly specialist field and that the techniques are experimental, only for tertiary centres. Perhaps they feel that they do not have the expertise to undertake these procedures. The fact is that some of these techniques such as transbronchial needle aspiration (TBNA) really should be part of every bronchoscopist’s practice. Others such as endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS) are rapidly becoming standard practice and should be available to all patients, and some such as bronchial thermoplasty and airway valves are yet to establish their role in routine practice. There are also a large variety of methods of tumour debulking, and it is clearly not easy for a practitioner outside the field to know what are the indications, contraindications, potential benefits and complications of each technique, or which technique to consider learning. This guideline therefore aims to help all those who undertake flexible bronchoscopy to understand more about this important and rapidly developing area.

In August 2007 the Standards of Care Committee of the British Thoracic Society (BTS) invited the Interventional Pulmonology Specialist Advisory Group of the BTS to produce an evidence-based update of the guideline on bronchoscopy and agreed this should now include interventional bronchoscopy. The Working Party decided to start with this new guideline on interventional bronchoscopy.

Target audience of the guideline

This guideline is aimed primarily at practitioners within the UK but may be of relevance to other healthcare systems. It is intended to inform those who undertake or intend to undertake the procedures within the guideline, and also to inform others as to what may be available for patients under their care and the indications, likely response and complications of such procedures. Not all of the procedures may be available in all areas, including some tumour debulking procedures, but at least one of the tumour debulking modalities should be available in each cancer network.

Scope of the guideline

This guideline was formulated following consultation with stakeholders from the medical and nursing professions, patient groups and healthcare management. Advanced diagnostic and therapeutic procedures in adults using a flexible bronchoscope are included in the guideline.

Topics covered in the guideline

- Transbronchial needle aspiration (TBNA) and endobronchial ultrasound-guided (EBUS) TBNA
- Electrocautery/diathermy
- Argon plasma coagulation (APC)
- Laser
- Cryotherapy
- Cryoextraction
- Photodynamic therapy (PDT)
- Brachytherapy
- Tracheobronchial stents
- Electromagnetic navigation bronchoscopy (ENB)
- Endobronchial valves for emphysema
- Bronchial thermoplasty for asthma

Topics not covered in the guideline

- Rigid bronchoscopy
- Autofluorescence bronchoscopy

Rigid or flexible bronchoscopy for interventional procedures?

This guideline covers interventional procedures performed using flexible bronchoscopy. In many units, however, rigid bronchoscopy alone would be used for such procedures. Most UK respiratory physicians lack training in rigid bronchoscopy, and most
bronchoscopy units outside thoracic surgery centres do not have ready access to rigid bronchoscopy as a back-up for, or as an alternative to, flexible bronchoscopy.

The main advantages of flexible bronchoscopy are:
1. It is widely available
2. The majority of respiratory physicians are trained to use flexible bronchoscopy
3. It does not require a general anaesthetic
4. It provides access to more distal airways and good access to the upper lobe bronchi

The main advantages of rigid bronchoscopy are:
1. A general anaesthetic is more comfortable for the patient
2. It allows control of ventilation and oxygenation during interventional procedures
3. It permits the removal of large volumes of tumour
4. It provides more control in cases of massive haemoptysis
5. It permits silicon stent insertion
6. Obstructing airway lesions can be cored out
7. Large centrally-placed foreign bodies can be removed

Most interventional procedures, however, can be undertaken via flexible or rigid bronchoscopy, including removal of many foreign bodies, metallic stent insertion and tumour debulking with diathermy, argon plasma, cryoextraction and photodynamic therapy (PDT). Although thermal lasers can be used with either scope, most practitioners of this technique prefer to use rigid bronchoscopy. Silicone and Y-stents are inserted via rigid bronchoscopy.

METHODOLOGY
This guideline is based on the best available evidence. The methodology used to write the guideline adheres strictly to the criteria as set by the AGREE collaboration in the document, which is available online http://www.agreecollaboration.org/1/agreeguide/.

Clinical questions and literature search
Clinical questions were gathered in the PICOT (Patient, Intervention, Control, Outcome and Time) format to define the scope of the guideline and inform the literature search.

Systematic electronic database searches were conducted in order to identify potentially relevant studies for inclusion in the guideline. For each topic area the following databases were searched: Ovid MEDLINE (from 1988) (including MEDLINE In Process), Ovid EMBASE (from 1988), Ovid CINAHL (from 1982) and the Cochrane Library (from 1992) (including the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, the Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, the Health Technology Assessment database and the NHS Economic Evaluation Database).

The searches were first run in January 2008 and updated in September 2010. Searches were saved and run on a monthly basis to identify newly published literature to date. Searches included a combination of indexed terms and free text terms and were limited to English language publications only. The initial search identified 3751 potential papers.

Appraisal of the literature
Appraisal was performed using the criteria stipulated by the AGREE collaboration. Each paper was appraised by a pair of reviewers. One individual (IDR) read the title and abstract of each article retrieved by the literature searches and decided whether the paper was definitely relevant, possibly relevant or not relevant to the project. Criteria formulated for categorising the abstracts into these three groups were:

- Whether the study addressed the clinical question.
- Whether the appropriate study type was used to produce the best evidence to answer the clinical question.
- Abstract was in English.
- Studies where exclusively rigid bronchoscopy was used were not evaluated.
- Abstracts were not rejected on the basis of the journal of publication, country in which the research was performed or published nor the date of publication.

The full paper was obtained for all relevant or possibly relevant abstracts and allocated to the relevant section(s) which were broadly grouped as argon plasma, brachytherapy, cryo-therapy, diathermy, EBUS, TBNA, endobronchial valves, general interventional bronchoscopy, laser, photodynamic therapy, stents, thermoplasty and virtual bronchoscopy with electromagnetic navigation.

The first screening process identified 1022 of the initial 3751 reference abstracts to be definitely or possibly relevant to the guideline. Two guideline reviewers independently reviewed the abstracts to identify papers to be appraised for the guideline. Three hundred and eighty-seven papers were critically appraised. The two leads for each section independently appraised each paper assigned to them using the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) critical appraisal checklists. A web-based guideline development tool (http://www.bronchoscopy-guideline.org) enabled each pair of reviewers to collaborate online. The reliability of the evidence in each individual study was graded using the SIGN critical appraisal check lists and is shown in the evidence tables (+ +, + or —). The body of evidence for each recommendation was summarised into evidence statements and graded using the SIGN grading system (see table 1). Disagreements were resolved by discussion with the section partner.

Considered judgement and grading of evidence
The Guideline Group used the online-derived evidence tables to judge the body of evidence and grade recommendations for this guideline. Evidence tables are shown in online Appendix 4 available online. Where evidence was lacking to answer the formulated clinical questions, expert opinions were obtained for formal consensus statements using the Delphi method. The following were considered in grading of the recommendations:

- The available volume of the body evidence.
- How applicable the obtained evidence was in making recommendations for the defined target audience of this guideline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1++</td>
<td>High quality meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a very low risk of bias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1+</td>
<td>Well conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a low risk of bias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–</td>
<td>Meta-analyses, systematic reviews or RCTs, or RCTs with a high risk of bias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2++</td>
<td>High quality systematic reviews of case-control or cohort studies or High quality case-control or cohort studies with a very low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a high probability that the relationship is causal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2+</td>
<td>Well conducted case-control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–</td>
<td>Case-control or cohort studies with a high risk of confounding, bias or chance and a significant risk that the relationship is not causal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Non-analytic studies, for example, case reports, case series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Expert opinion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RCT, randomised controlled trial.
A randomised controlled trial (RCT) comparing symptom relief and survival in patients with malignant CAO receiving airway intervention in combination with conventional anticancer therapy versus conventional anticancer therapy alone.

An RCT comparing EBUS with surgical staging of the mediastinum in lung cancer.

An RCT comparing EBUS-TBNA with standard bronchoscopic techniques for the diagnosis of sarcoidosis.

An RCT comparing outcomes including quality of life of treatment by debulking for CAO followed by conventional anticancer treatment with anticancer treatment alone.

Defining the population or phenotype of disease that benefits from the bronchoscopic lung volume reduction techniques available.

Further RCTs on the techniques available for bronchoscopic lung volume reduction with improved patient selection.

Longer-term evaluation of safety and efficacy of bronchial thermoplasty.

Recommended standards of care based on the recommendations in this guideline can be found on the BTS website at: http://www.brit-thoracic.org.uk/.

Training

It is clear from a survey of respiratory trainees in the UK that, by the final year of training, few consider themselves to be competent to undertake interventional diagnostic or therapeutic procedures; 36% felt competent to undertake simple TBNA but only 2.8% felt competent to undertake EBUS-TBNA or endobronchial diathermy. To be competent in any specialised technique it is useful to have attended a course and undertaken the procedure on a model, but it is also necessary to have received experience in a centre undertaking the procedure and also to have hands-on experience. Evidence on training requirements is sparse.

There are published recommendations for training by the American College of Chest Physicians and also in the ERS/ATS statement on interventional pulmonology. The Guideline Group has decided not to quote specific numbers of procedures required to be performed before an individual is deemed competent as these numbers are usually arbitrary. Individuals have different learning curves and hence focus should be towards monitoring an individual’s performance and outcomes. Standards for particular procedures need to be determined and agreed. These can then be used for outcome-based assessment of competency.

### DIAGNOSIS OF MEDIASTINAL/HILAR LYMPH NODES AND PERIBRONCHIAL MASSES

#### Conventional TBNA

**Principles**

Transbronchial lymph node sampling can be used both for diagnosis and for staging of lung cancer and can be performed at initial diagnostic bronchoscopy.

TBNA entails inserting a fine (usually 19–22 gauge) needle through the wall of the airway into a lymph node in order to obtain a specimen for cytological, histological or microbiological analysis. The technique can also be used to sample parenchymal lung masses that lie adjacent to the trachea or major airways. The procedure can be performed with or without the use of ultrasound guidance.

**Technique**

In conventional TBNA a needle is passed through the working channel of a standard bronchoscope to puncture the airway wall at the site of the target lymph node or lung mass, whose
position is determined from study of a pre-procedure CT. Virtual bronchoscopy using CT reconstructions has recently been described and can be used to help identify suitable positions for TBNA. Lymph nodes stations that can be accessed in this way are 2R, 2L, 3P, 4R, 4L, 7, 10R, 10L, 11R and 11L (figure 1).

Ultrasound guidance may be used to locate lymph nodes for TBNA. In early descriptions a radial ultrasound miniprobe introduced through the working channel of a conventional bronchoscope was used to locate lymph nodes. The miniprobe was then removed and a TBNA needle was introduced to puncture the node at the predetermined position. This technique did not allow for real-time ultrasound visualisation of the TBNA. More recently, real-time EBUS-TBNA has been described which involves use of a convex array linear ultrasound bronchoscope. The use of real-time ultrasound imaging during TBNA allows specific nodes to be targeted and permits accurate biopsy of multiple lymph node stations when necessary for staging. Lymph nodes as small as 4 mm in the short axis have been sampled.

The technique of TBNA is simple. Diagnostic yield is dependent upon operator experience, the position and the size of the lymph node. The use of rapid on-site cytopathology improves yield. A guide on how to perform TBNA is available in Appendix 2.

Indications
TBNA is mainly used to sample hilar and mediastinal lymph nodes. It can also be used to sample paratracheal and peribronchial lung masses.

Complications
Conventional (non-ultrasound-guided) TBNA is safe with few reported complications. In a meta-analysis by Holty et al the pooled complication rate was 0.3%. The complications most commonly reported are pneumomediastinum and pneumothorax, minor and self-limiting bleeding and puncture of adjacent structures.

Evidence
The majority of papers on conventional TBNA are case series. One meta-analysis by Holty et al has been reported. The reported sensitivities for this approach vary considerably. In their analysis Holty et al divided studies according to whether or not they had surgically confirmed all TBNA results and enrolled at least 10 patients with and without mediastinal metastasis. In six studies that met these entry criteria, pooled sensitivity and specificity for diagnosis of malignancy were 39% (95% CI 17% to 61%) and 99% (95% CI 96% to 100%), respectively. However, the prevalence of mediastinal metastases in these studies was only 54%. Analysis of another group of studies in the review by Holty et al in which the prevalence of mediastinal metastases was 81% had a pooled sensitivity for the detection of malignancy of 78% (95% CI 71% to 84%). A similar figure was reported by Deterbeck et al. In their review of the literature they reported a pooled TBNA sensitivity of 78% and specificity of 99%. The prevalence of disease in this pooled analysis was 75%.

Two studies have demonstrated that 5–7 aspirates per node are required before a plateau in diagnostic accuracy is achieved. The success rate is highest in lymph nodes >20 mm in the short axis and in the station 4R and 7 lymph node locations (figure 1).

Rapid on-site cytology may improve the success rate and reduce the number of needle aspirates and the number of lymph node stations sampled.

The role of TBNA in the diagnosis of sarcoidosis has been reported. Considering TBNA alone, the diagnostic yield in stage I disease (61–82%) was higher than in stage II (42–75%). However, most operators use TBNA in this setting in conjunction with endobronchial and transbronchial biopsies and diagnostic yields of around 90% are achievable in stage I and II disease.

Evidence statement
- Conventional TBNA is a safe technique to sample mediastinal and hilar lymphadenopathy at initial diagnostic bronchoscopy in cases of either suspected malignant involvement or sarcoidosis. (Evidence level 2++)

Recommendations
- Conventional TBNA is a safe technique and should be used to sample mediastinal and hilar lymphadenopathy at initial diagnostic bronchoscopy where a pre-procedure CT scan has demonstrated adenopathy. (Grade B)
- Conventional TBNA is a safe technique for sampling hilar and mediastinal lymph nodes in cases of suspected sarcoidosis and may be used in conjunction with endobronchial and transbronchial biopsies. (Grade B)

Good practice points
- Depending upon the clinical setting, a non-diagnostic conventional TBNA result may warrant further investigation. Real-time EBUS-TBNA or surgical lymph node sampling should be considered. (√)

Figure 1  Lymph node stations accessible by transbronchial fine needle aspiration (TBNA) are shown in red. Precise localisation of lymph nodes for TBNA may be assisted by real-time endobronchial ultrasound guidance. Nodes that cannot be sampled by TBNA are shown in dark grey. The dotted lines indicate anatomical borders that are important for identification of the different lymph node stations using endobronchial ultrasound. Station 3a/p nodes are not indicated on this image. Stations 5 and 6 nodes may be seen during endobronchial ultrasound but sampling is usually not possible due to the interposition of the great vessels.

Figure reproduced from Tournoy et al with permission of the publishers.
Endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration (EBUS-TBNA)

EBUS-TBNA provides images of the mediastinal structures immediately surrounding the tracheobronchial tree.

Indications

EBUS-TBNA is mainly used to sample hilar and mediastinal lymph nodes. It can also be used to sample paratracheal and peribronchial lung masses.

Complications

In most centres the procedure is performed under moderate sedation. No advantage has been demonstrated by performing the technique under general anaesthesia.\(^\text{13}\) The reported safety profile is excellent. In their meta-analysis, Gu et al reported only two complications in 1299 patients (0.15%).\(^\text{14}\)

Evidence

In 2009, two meta-analyses and one systematic review reported the sensitivity and specificity of EBUS-TBNA. Adams et al and Gu et al reported that the pooled sensitivity for EBUS-TBNA was 88% (95% CI 70% to 94%) and 0.93 (95% CI 0.91 to 0.94), respectively.\(^\text{14} \text{15}\) In their systematic review Varela-Lema et al reported that sensitivity for the diagnosis of malignancy ranged from 83% to 100%.\(^\text{16}\) In all three reports the specificity was 100%, but this figure is artificial as positive TBNA results were not confirmed by surgical resection. It should be noted, however, that many of the published case series upon which the systematic reviews are based come from a relatively small group of investigators and further experience is needed to see if these results can be widely replicated.

Although in case series the reported sensitivity for the detection of malignancy in mediastinal lymph nodes is similar to that of mediastinoscopy,\(^\text{17}\) there are no reported prospective studies comparing the accuracy of EBUS-TBNA and mediastinoscopy for staging of lung cancer.

Tournoy et al reported on the sensitivity of EBUS-TBNA for diagnosis of malignancy from intraparenchymal lung masses.\(^\text{18}\) In this study of 60 patients they reported a sensitivity of 82% (95% CI 69% to 91%). A similar study by Nakajima et al reported a sensitivity of 94% in 35 patients.\(^\text{19}\)

One US-based study has reported the use of EBUS-TBNA in the diagnosis of lymphoma. In a retrospective review of 25 patients who underwent EBUS-TBNA for suspected lymphoma, 10 of 11 patients with a final diagnosis of lymphoma were correctly identified, giving a sensitivity of 90.9% and a specificity of 100%.\(^\text{20}\) Despite these impressive results, the applicability of EBUS-TBNA for the diagnosis of lymphoma in the UK is unclear, given that histopathology is often required for the final diagnosis.

Four studies have assessed the clinical usefulness of EBUS-TBNA in the diagnosis of sarcoidosis. In these case series EBUS-TBNA was diagnostic in 88–93% of patients. However, it should be noted that the pre-test probability of a final diagnosis of sarcoidosis in these series was high.\(^\text{21} \text{24}\) At present there is no evidence to indicate that EBUS-TBNA sampling of lymph nodes is any more or less effective for identifying non-caseating granulomas than transbronchial/endobronchial lung biopsies combined with bronchoalveolar lavage. A clinical trial addressing this issue is currently underway (http://Clinicaltrials.gov/ NCT00872612). At present some operators combine transbronchial biopsies and EBUS-TBNA lymph node sampling during a single procedure.

Evidence statements

- EBUS-guided TBNA has high sensitivity and specificity for identifying malignancy in mediastinal and hilar lymph nodes in patients with lung cancer. (Evidence level 2++)
- EBUS-TBNA has high sensitivity for identifying malignancy when used for sampling paratracheal and peribronchial parenchymal lung masses. (Evidence level 3)
- EBUS-TBNA has a high sensitivity for identifying non-caseating granulomas in hilar and mediastinal lymph nodes in cases of suspected sarcoidosis. (Evidence level 2++)
- The complication rate of TBNA under EBUS guidance is very low, indicating that it is a safe procedure. (Evidence level: 2++)

Recommendations

- EBUS-TBNA is a safe and effective technique for the assessment of hilar and mediastinal lymph nodes in cases of confirmed or suspected lung cancer. (Grade B)
- EBUS-TBNA is a safe and effective technique for sampling paratracheal and peribronchial intraparenchymal lung masses. (Grade D)
- EBUS-TBNA is a safe and effective technique for sampling hilar and mediastinal lymph nodes in cases of suspected sarcoidosis and may be used in conjunction with endobronchial and transbronchial biopsies. (Grade B)
- At present there is insufficient evidence to recommend EBUS-TBNA for routine use in the diagnosis of lymphoma. (Grade D)

Good practice point

- In cases where EBUS-TBNA results are negative for malignancy, a confirmatory surgical biopsy should be performed where appropriate. (√)

THERAPEUTIC PROCEDURES FOR MALIGNANT DISEASE:
MALIGNANT AIRWAY OBSTRUCTION

Endobronchial debulking of tumours

Most new diagnoses of lung cancer are made at an advanced disease stage with 50% of patients having involvement of the central airways either due to endobronchial disease, extrinsic compression or both.\(^\text{13}\) Endotracheal or endobronchial obstruction by malignant disease may lead to cough, breathlessness and obstructive pneumonia.

Endobronchial therapy may result in improvement of symptoms and quality of life. There are a number of treatment options available for relieving such symptoms including external beam radiotherapy. However, endobronchial debulking of tumours using rigid and flexible bronchoscopy is commonly used in some centres, especially when such techniques are readily available. There is a need to know the frequency of use of the various debulking techniques, and for more studies to compare the response to other forms of symptom palliation including external beam radiotherapy.

Rigid bronchoscopic procedures under general anaesthesia combine the ability to maintain adequate ventilation, to remove large volume tumour and safely control large volume haemorrhage, but the widespread availability of, and increasing experience in, flexible bronchoscopy has led to an increasing use of flexible bronchoscopic procedures for debulking.

Available procedures for debulking endobronchial tumours via flexible bronchoscope include:

1. Electrocautery/diathermy
2. Argon plasma coagulation (APC)
3. Thermal laser
4. Cryotherapy
When undertaking endobronchial debulking of tumours, in patients with CAO due to intraluminal tumour, endocoagulation waveforms. Devices used via the bronchoscope use a blend of cut and resected tissue. The initial energy setting (around 20 W) should be considered since this allows rapid and repeated deployment of a balloon bronchial blocker. An example of a malignant airway obstruction flow diagram is shown in Appendix 3.

**Recommendation**

- In patients with CAO due to intraluminal tumour, endobronchial tumour debulking should be considered. (Grade D)

**Good practice point**

- When undertaking endobronchial debulking of tumours, a laryngeal mask or uncuffed endotracheal tube is recommended to achieve airway control. (4)

**Electrocautery or diathermy**

**Principles**

Electrocautery (also known as diathermy) uses high-frequency electric current to cause heating which leads to coagulation at lower temperatures or tissue vaporisation at higher temperatures. The current is delivered endobronchially via a probe, snare or needle knife. The degree of tissue destruction depends on the output not exceeding 30 W for punch biopsy and snare resection, 20 W for probe, and 10 W for needle knife. The passage of an uncuffed endotracheal tube over the bronchoscope reduces the risk of current leakage causing burns. Use in patients with pacemakers should be avoided if possible but, if use is unavoidable, guidelines for reducing risk are available from the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA). It is recommended that the skin surface overlying a metallic joint prosthesis be avoided when placing return electrodes for electrocautery.

**Evidence**

The evidence for palliation of airway obstruction from both primary and metastatic lung tumour comes from case series alone. The results are consistent, with successful outcomes in 39/56 patients, 35/32 patients and 35/37 patients. A safety study undertaken by Horinouchi et al concluded that electrocautery is a safe and reliable procedure when used according to strict guidelines, which include a power output not exceeding 30 W for punch biopsy and snare resection, 20 W for probe, and 10 W for needle knife. To prevent airway fire the authors allowed supplemental oxygen only when electrical current was not being applied.

**Evidence statements**

- Endobronchial electrocautery has an acceptable safety profile in experienced hands provided appropriate device settings are employed. (Evidence level 3)
- Endobronchial electrocautery is effective for endobronchial tumour debulking, with or without critical airway narrowing. (Evidence level 3)
- Endobronchial electrocautery is effective in the treatment of early stage lung cancer. (Evidence level 3)
- Endobronchial electrocautery is effective in the treatment of benign tumours and stenoses of the airways. (Evidence level 3)

**Recommendations**

- Endobronchial electrocautery may be considered for use with curative intent in benign disease of the airway including incising web-like stenosis, benign tumours and granulation tissue. It may also be considered for primary treatment of early stage non-invasive lung cancer. (Grade D)
Endobronchial electrocautery may be considered for palliation of malignant CAO, with or without critical airway narrowing. (Grade D)

**Good practice points**
- When undertaking snare resection, intermittent bursts of electrocautery of not more than 2 s duration should be used while carefully closing the snare against resistance. (Q)
- Avoid an FiO₂ of >0.4 when undertaking electrocautery to reduce the risk of airway fire. (Q)

**Argon plasma coagulation (APC)**

**Principles**
APC is a non-contact mode of electrocautery that can be delivered using flexible bronchoscopy. It causes desiccation and coagulation of exophytic endobronchial tumours, and can provide rapid haemostasis when used to treat haemoptysis arising from visible endobronchial lesions.39–43

**Technique**
The technique requires a suitable high-frequency current generator, a source of argon, a return electrode and a flexible delivery catheter containing a monopolar treatment electrode. The treatment catheter is made from a Teflon tube, typically of 1.5 mm or 2.3 mm in diameter, which contains a thin wire. The wire conducts the high-frequency current to the tip of the catheter where it ends in a tungsten electrode. The computer-controlled high-frequency generator sends high-voltage high-frequency current to the electrode, while argon is delivered along the catheter at a flow rate of 0.3–2.0 l/min. Argon plasma is produced at the tip and emerges from the catheter as a 'spray' of coagulating current, which seeks the path of least electrical resistance to the return electrode through the patient. It is recommended that the skin surface overlying a metallic joint prosthesis be avoided when placing return electrodes for APC. As the current passes through the bronchial mucosa, resistance within the tissue leads to heating, coagulation and desiccation. Increasing electrical resistance in the coagulated tissue in turn limits current flow and therefore the depth of coagulation, which is about 2 mm after a 2 s application. This self-limiting effect of APC is a useful feature for endobronchial application, reducing the risk of bronchial perforation.

**Indications**
APC is principally used for:
- The treatment of haemoptysis caused by lesions within the central airways.
- Debunking of exophytic endobronchial tumours, both benign and malignant.
- Debunking of granulation tissue arising as a complication of tracheobronchial stent insertion.

The published studies of APC do not permit the identification of patients most likely, on clinical or radiological grounds, to benefit from the procedure.

**Contraindications**
In common with other ablation procedures performed using flexible bronchoscopy, APC should not normally be used for the treatment of lesions causing significant tracheal obstruction unless facilities are immediately available for securing the airway in the event of complications. Such lesions can more safely be treated by flexible bronchoscopy under general anaesthesia with endotracheal intubation or by rigid bronchoscopy. As with laser or electrocautery, APC is contraindicated where there is a requirement for a FiO₂ of >0.4 because of the theoretical risk of endobronchial fire, although this complication has not been described in the literature. Most modern implantable cardiac pacemakers or defibrillators are compatible with diathermy/APC, but advice should be sought from the patient’s cardiologist prior to the procedure.

**Complications**
No procedure-related complications were described in the studies of Morice et al, Crosta et al and Okada et al.39 41 42 One patient died within 48 h of the procedure but this was ascribed to neutropenic sepsis. In the study by Reichle et al, 5/864 patients (1.4%) developed bronchial or tracheal perforations, all of which resolved on treatment.40 Three patients developed temporary post-procedural neurological complications and two patients died (myocardial infarction, hypovolaemic shock). The neurological and cardiac complications in these five patients may have been caused by intracardiac gas embolism. This has also been described by Reddy et al44 who reported three cases of intracardiac gas embolism (two fatal) occurring after APC over a period of 3 years, an estimated incidence of 1.3–2%. All were treated using rigid bronchoscopy and it is not clear whether gas embolism arose as a complication of APC or of jet ventilation. The overall incidence of significant complications appears therefore to be approximately 2%.

**Evidence**
The use of APC to treat CAO or haemoptysis using flexible bronchoscopy was examined in four retrospective case series involving 123 patients.39 41–43 In a much larger retrospective analysis of 364 prospectively collected cases,40 rigid bronchoscopy was used in more than 90%, but a flexible bronchoscope was passed through the rigid bronchoscope to deliver the treatment.

The studies used different outcome measures for relief of CAO, retrospectively specified in all except the study by Reichle et al.40 The outcome measure used for haemoptysis was consistent—namely, non-recurrence during follow-up.

In treating CAO, APC consistently improved symptoms and airway patency in all studies. In the only study with predefined outcomes, APC produced complete or partial success in reopening the treated airway in two-thirds of patients (124/186).40 The treatment of haemoptysis was described as being completely successful in 149/150 patients. The evidence is from case series alone but the outcomes are consistent.

**Evidence statements**
- APC is effective in the treatment of CAO. (Evidence level 3)
- APC is effective in the treatment of haemoptysis due to endobronchial disease. (Evidence level 3)
- APC delivered via flexible bronchoscopy for the treatment of CAO or haemoptysis due to endobronchial lesions has a major complication rate of 2%. (Evidence level 5)

**Recommendations**
- APC may be considered for the debunking of obstructing endobronchial tumour. (Grade D)
- APC may be considered for tumour debunking in patients without acute critical airway narrowing. (Grade D)
- APC may be considered for the treatment of haemoptysis in patients with endobronchial abnormalities. (Grade D)

**Thermal laser**

**Principles**
Laser therapy for the relief of endobronchial obstruction was first described in 1974 using the CO₂ laser. The majority of
Limit power setting to 40 W. *(There is no role for laser therapy in endobronchial obstruction)*

**Technique**

The technique can be delivered via rigid or flexible bronchoscopy. Low-power laser is used initially to coagulate tissue to reduce the risk of bleeding. The laser fibre tip should be at least 3 mm from the target tissue to avoid the tissue being vapourised. Continuous suction is used during the procedure to remove smoke from the airways, and continuous inspection of non-obstructed airways is performed to remove any debris and to optimise ventilation. Inspired oxygen is limited to 40% to reduce the risk of airway fire. Laser exposure should be kept to a minimum.

**Indications**

The main indication for laser therapy is the immediate relief of endobronchial obstruction due to primary lung cancer or metastatic disease.

**Complications**

Complications include massive haemorrhage (1%), pneumothorax (0.4%) and pneumomediastinum (0.2%). The peri-procedural death rate is 2–3%. Thermal laser causes more airway scarring and subepithelial fibrosis than other immediate debulking techniques such as diathermy, argon plasma and cryoextraction. With the Nd-YAG laser the literature suggests complication rates can be reduced by limiting the power setting to a maximum of 40 W.

**Evidence**

Data are almost entirely from case series and, although outcome data in many of these series are poorly documented, laser therapy appears to be effective in providing rapid relief of endobronchial obstruction with symptomatic improvement in around 70–80%, and 50–53% one-year survival following treatment was around 50%. There is some evidence that outcomes are better if the airway is not totally occluded prior to treatment. Laser therapy has no role in airway occlusion due to extrinsic compression.

Nd-YAG laser is a technique with considerable set-up and maintenance costs. Coulter et al. and Boxem et al. reported that electrocautery was as effective as laser in the palliation of endobronchial tumour, but is less expensive.

**Evidence statements**

- Nd-YAG laser is effective for endobronchial tumour debulking, with or without critical airway narrowing. (Evidence level 3)
- There is no role for laser therapy in endobronchial obstruction caused by extrinsic compression. (Evidence level 3)

**Recommendation**

- In patients with CAO due to intraluminal tumour, relief of obstruction using Nd-YAG laser may be considered. (Grade D)

**Good practice point**

- Limit power setting to 40 W.

**Cryotherapy and cryoextraction**

**Principles**

Cryotherapy uses extreme cold to cause delayed local destruction of tissue. It is applied in cycles of freezing and thawing, causing tissue necrosis.

**Technique**

Cryotherapy is currently used in two different ways. Standard cryotherapy uses a cryoprobe inserted through the instrument channel of a bronchoscope and applied directly to the target tissue. The tissue is frozen and then allowed to thaw, and repeated freeze-thaw cycles lead to tissue necrosis. One disadvantage of standard cryotherapy is the need to repeat bronchoscopy 3–7 days later to remove necrotic material.

A newer form of cryotherapy, 'cryoextraction', is performed with probes which have an improved join between gas channel and probe in order to withstand much greater forces. The probe is applied to the tissue and the freeze cycle activated for 3–7 s (depending on the tissue composition). The bronchoscope is then removed with the cryoprobe and attached tumour tissue. The tissue is allowed to thaw once it is removed from the airway. This technique is undertaken using an endotracheal airway to facilitate repeated removal and reinserterion of the bronchoscope.

**Indications**

Cryotherapy—and, in particular, cryoextraction—is mainly indicated as a palliative measure in malignant airway obstruction. Cryoextraction can also be effectively applied to remove foreign objects and blood clots from the airways. There may be an indication for the treatment of low-grade malignant lesions such as adenoid cystic carcinoma and early cancer such as carcinoma in situ. The quality of specimens obtained using cryoextraction with absence of crush artefact has led to its use for endobronchial and transbronchial lung biopsy.

**Complications**

Cryotherapy appears to be safe in the treatment of malignant endobronchial obstruction. In case series, the complications observed were haemoptysis (4–10%), bronchospasm (4.5%), cardiac arrhythmia (11%) and death (1.3%). One case series reported on cryoextraction for recanalisation (cryorecanalisation) with a 10% rate of significant bleeding (six patients) managed with conservative measures and APC; no deaths were reported in the series.

Another recent case series reported significant bleeding requiring APC or blocking devices in 8%.

**Evidence**

In the largest case series comprising 521 patients, cryoablation appeared effective in the treatment of malignant endobronchial obstruction. Technical success as judged by restoration of airway patency was 61% and improvement occurred in symptoms such as haemoptysis (61–76.4%), cough (69%) and dyspnoea (59–81%). One- and two-year survivals were 38.4% and 15.9%, respectively. Another series of 225 patients showed airway patency restoration (complete or partial) in 91%. A lot of the evidence base is from one centre which performed the procedure with a combination of rigid bronchoscopy and a flexible bronchoscope. The reviewers felt it was still important evidence that could be included in this guideline for flexible bronchoscopy, particularly as the technique can just as easily be performed by flexible bronchoscopy.

In one series where 57 patients with malignant tracheal bronchial obstruction were managed by bronchoscopy and cryoextraction, complete recanalisation was observed in 61% and partial restoration of patency in a further 22%. Since cryoextraction produces immediate results (unlike conventional cryotherapy), it may be used for the management of acute tracheal or bronchial obstruction.

Eighty-five per
The palliative treatment of malignancy causing endobronchial large airway obstruction. Cryorecanalisation/cryoextraction may be considered for tumour debulking.

Cryobiopsy may be considered for diagnostic endobronchial tissue sampling to provide large-volume specimens without crush artefact. (Grade B)

Cryorecanalisation/cryoextraction is effective in the treatment of malignant endobronchial obstruction both with and without critical airway narrowing. (Evidence level 3)

Recommendations
- Cryotherapy may be considered for tumour debulking in patients without critical airway narrowing. (Grade D)
- Cryobiopsy may be considered for diagnostic endobronchial tissue sampling to provide large-volume specimens without crush artefact. (Grade B)
- Cryorecanalisation/cryoextraction may be considered for tumour debulking. (Grade D)

Photodynamic therapy (PDT)

Principles
PDT uses a systemic photosensitiser, most commonly a haematorphyrin derivative, selectively retained and concentrated in tumour tissue to render the tumour sensitive to light of a given wavelength. The sensitised tumour is then illuminated by laser light of that wavelength, usually 630 Nm at the red end of the spectrum. In the presence of sensitiser and oxygen, laser light illumination causes tumour cell death by a complex pathway triggered by the release of singlet oxygen.

Technique
PDT requires a photosensitiser, a diode laser and a flexible light-guide, at the end of which is either a radial diffuser or a forward-projecting microlens. The photosensitisier most often used has been intravenous porifermin sodium administered in a dose of 200 mg/kg. The laser with its calibration device is a compact desktop instrument. Forty-eight hours after the administration of photosensitisier the tumour is illuminated by laser light using a flexible light-guide passed down the working channel of a standard flexible bronchoscope. The use of PDT lasers requires standard laser safety precautions, principally to address the risk of ocular damage. There are no thermal risks.

Indications
PDT has been used for the treatment of:
- Early central lung cancer, defined as visible endoscopically but not on imaging
- The palliative treatment of malignancy causing endobronchial large airway obstruction.

Complications
Hematoporphyrin derivatives are taken up by skin, causing sensitivity to sunlight or bright direct light for up to 8 weeks. Protection of exposed areas is necessary, but indoor light is safe and some light exposure is required to photobleach the sensitiser from the skin. In one series of nine patients there was one massive haemoptysis and one bronchopleural fistula. Complications may arise from delayed necrosis of treated tissue.

Compared with electrocautery, more airway scarring and more subepithelial fibrosis were seen after treatment with PDT.

Organisation and cost
PDT requires the purchase or loan of a diode laser. Intravenous PDT sensitisers are expensive. Light-guides are reusable, being deployed inside a disposable plastic sheath.

Evidence
In the treatment of early central lung cancer, complete responses have been reported in 50–100% of patients and at least 80% in most series. Around a third of patients have required two treatments and a few have needed three treatments. Partial responders can be considered for other treatments including resection or radiotherapy if appropriate. Better responses have been reported for tumours 1 cm or less in diameter. Overall 5-year survival rates have been around 50%, cancer-specific survival up to 90%.

There are only a few series reporting the effect of PDT in palliatiing the endobronchial symptoms of advanced lung cancer, but it has been shown to be effective for palliation before or after other treatments. Moghissi et al reported experience of 100 cases, 82% of whom had been pretreated. Mean endoluminal obstruction (estimated bronchoscopically) fell from 86% to 17.5% with significant increases in forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1). All patients had symptomatic relief and 20% had a complete endoscopic response for 5–19 months. There was no treatment-related mortality in this series.

In a review of 12 papers comprising 656 patients with advanced lung cancer, almost all patients had some relief in cough and dyspnoea. Comparisons with YAG laser treatment suggests more prolonged symptomatic relief with PDT, but it has also been used in combination with YAG laser and with endobronchial radiotherapy, with some evidence of a better response to combined treatments.

Evidence statements
- PDT is effective in tumour debulking and palliation of symptoms in tracheobronchial obstruction from non-small cell lung carcinoma. (Evidence level 1−)
- PDT is effective in the palliation of advanced tracheobronchial lung cancer, although adverse events including haemoptysis can occur. (Evidence level 2+)
- PDT is effective in the curative treatment of early stage lung cancer. (Evidence level 2+)

Recommendations
- PDT may be considered for tumour debulking in patients without critical airway narrowing. (Grade D)
- PDT can be considered for the curative treatment of early central lung cancer, especially for tumours 1 cm or less in diameter and provided there is no imaging evidence of extrabronchial involvement. (Grade D)
- PDT can be considered for the curative treatment of recurrent lung cancer, for localised endobronchial disease in patients who are not fit for surgery or radical radiotherapy. (Grade D)

Good practice point
- The technique should be available for carefully selected patients on a regional basis, administered by physicians or
surgeons with a particular interest and expertise in lung cancer, bronchoscopy and airway management. (✓)

**Endobronchial brachytherapy**

**Principles**

The word derives from the Greek ‘Brachis’ meaning ‘close to’. It refers to the placement of radioactive sources within or alongside tumours, enabling an effective local dose to be administered but sparing surrounding tissues from the effects of radiation. The use of brachytherapy for lung tumours has been made possible by the development of high dose rate sources enabling treatment to be administered in minutes rather than hours. The technique is suitable for the relief of large airway obstruction and the relief of haemoptysis and other symptoms caused by endobronchial tumour. It can also be used with curative intent for tumours which are small enough to be encompassed by the limited field of radiation.

**Technique**

Most centres have used iridium-192, an artificially manufactured isotope. The treatment source consists of a series of iridium pellets housed in a stainless steel capsule approximately the size of a rice grain. It is welded to a drive cable and housed in a safe. A flexible bronchoscope is used to place an applicator (a blind-ending catheter) within or alongside an intraluminal tumour. The bronchoscope is then removed over a long guidewire, leaving the applicator in situ. The guidewire is removed and replaced by a radiodense graduated metal insert. A chest x-ray is taken and the treatment length planned using the insert and its relationship to the main carina to localise the area to be treated. The insert is then removed and the applicator connected to the safe. The radioactive source is then delivered by its drive cable to preplanned positions inside the applicator. The treatment length is determined by the number of positions selected and the diameter of the treatment field is determined by the dwell time at each position. Typically, in a sausage-shaped field, some 10×2 cm is administered. The treatment takes only a few minutes and is therefore suitable for outpatient or day case use. Most centres have used fractionated regimes, typically three fractions of 5–7.5 Gy, but the treatment is also effective as a single fraction of 15 Gy.

**Indications**

The technique is suitable for the primary and secondary palliation of symptoms caused by large airway obstruction including cough, shortness of breath, haemoptysis and obstructive collapse. It is effective for the treatment of endobronchial tumour and submucosal/peribronchial infiltration. It is not suitable for the treatment of extrinsic compression. It offers flexibility in a number of situations such as bilateral or multiple tumours, or in patients who have been pre-treated with other techniques including external beam radiotherapy. It may be considered for the attempted cure of early central lung cancer subject to accurate local staging to confirm that there has not been extrabronchial spread of tumour.

**Complications**

Some patients develop radiation bronchitis and occasionally stenosis. The principal serious risk is of massive haemoptysis, often occurring as a late complication in good responders, and more likely to occur with a higher local radiation dosage—that is, in patients who have also received external beam radiation either sequentially or concurrently.

**Organisation and cost**

The equipment is expensive to purchase but can also be used to treat other organ sites and is usually available in major radiotherapy centres. It requires the availability of flexible bronchoscopy, preferably within the radiotherapy treatment area, and needs good collaboration between physician/endoscopist and clinical oncologist. Health economics comparisons are not straightforward, but it compares favourably with multifraction external beam treatments in terms of cost and patient convenience and avoids the side effects of external beam radiation, especially oesophagitis. It should be available to cancer networks as a treatment option for selected patients.

**Evidence**

Brachytherapy has been shown to be effective in the palliation of previously untreated inoperable lung cancer, relieving cough in 20–70% of patients, dyspnoea in 25–80% and haemoptysis in 70–90%, but the relief of obstructive collapse has been reported in around 25% of patients. Endoscopic evidence of tumour regression has been observed in most patients, with some complete responses.

Brachytherapy has also been reported to be effective in the palliation of previously treated lung cancer, with symptom relief and endoscopic improvement. There are few comparative studies available, but Stout et al compared a single fraction of brachytherapy with fractionated palliative external beam radiotherapy, reporting comparable symptom relief but a slightly better duration of response for the external beam arm and also a slightly longer survival.

No survival benefit has been demonstrated for palliative treatments. Survival has been related, unsurprisingly, to performance status.

Brachytherapy has been used to treat radiologically-occult early-stage lung cancer. Complete endoscopic responses have been reported in up to 70% of patients, with partial responses in the remainder and 5-year survival of around 80%.

Brachytherapy has been shown to be safe and well tolerated, but massive haemoptysis has been reported in up to 7% of patients and is more likely with higher local dosage, combined or re-treatments, or following laser therapy.

**Evidence statements**

- Brachytherapy is effective in the palliation of inoperable lung cancer. (Evidence level 1)
- Brachytherapy is safe but massive haemoptysis has been reported in up to 7% of patients. (Evidence level 3)
- Brachytherapy may be used to treat early stage lung cancer (Evidence level 3)

**Recommendations**

- Brachytherapy should be considered for the palliation of haemoptysis or CAO in locally advanced central lung cancer. (Grade D)
- Brachytherapy should not be used first-line in preference to external beam radiotherapy for the palliation of lung cancer. (Grade C)
- Fractionated brachytherapy can be considered for the curative treatment of early central lung cancer, especially if performance or cardiorespiratory status precludes surgery or radical external beam radiotherapy. The success of brachytherapy for radical treatments requires accurate local staging to exclude extrabronchial tumour extension. (Grade C)

**Tracheobronchial stents**

**Principles**

Tracheal and bronchial stents can be used to maintain airway patency and integrity. Plastic (ie, silicone) stents and metal
stents are available. Silicone stents are deployed using rigid bronchoscopy under general anaesthesia. The majority of the published case series regarding outcomes and complications of stent deployment are for deployment by rigid bronchoscopy only.\textsuperscript{87} Stent deployment using rigid bronchoscopy is not addressed in this guideline. A recent review offers further information.\textsuperscript{88}

Flexible bronchoscopy is an alternative to rigid bronchoscopy to deploy metallic airway stents. The current standard is the Self-Expanding Metallic Airway Stent (SEMAS), made from the alloy NITINOL (Nickel Titanium National Ordnance Laboratories).

**Technique**

SEMAS are used to treat airway stenosis or aerodigestive fistulae due to malignant disease. Their use is not advised in benign disease because of the high incidence of severe complications with prolonged use.

Before embarking upon a stenting procedure, a stent of the correct diameter, length and type must be chosen. The availability of modern multislice CT scans with multiplanar reformats has considerably facilitated this process, making it possible accurately to estimate the length and minimum diameter of the luminal stenosis and the diameter of the adjacent normal lumen. Where a main bronchus is extensively narrowed, the opposite main bronchus can be used to estimate the premorbid airway diameter. The length of the stent should be chosen to provide at least 0.5–1.0 cm of overlap at each end of the stenosed segment where possible. The diameter of the stent should be 1–2 mm greater than the estimated normal diameter of the airway. Stent sizing is usually 12–14 mm in diameter for main bronchi, 18–20 mm for the trachea, with lengths of 40 mm for the left main bronchus, 30–40 mm for the right main bronchus and 60–80 mm for the trachea. Where the lesion is exclusively extrabronchial, an uncovered stent is favoured because ventilation of side-branching bronchi can be maintained. For lesions with both extrinsic compression and endobronchial tumour, a stent incorporating a covering membrane (‘covered stent’) is favoured to prevent tumour in growth through the stent after deployment. A selection of stent sizes should be available prior to the procedure in case bronchoscopic inspection leads to a change of chosen stent size.

Some operators favour the insertion of an uncuffed endotracheal tube over the bronchoscope to protect the airway during the procedure. This may reduce trauma to the vocal cords from repeated bronchoscopic intubation and withdrawal during the procedure. After airway inspection a guidewire is introduced through the working channel of the bronchoscope and positioned beyond the distal extent of the lesion. The bronchoscope is then withdrawn leaving the guidewire in place. The bronchoscope is reintroduced next to the guidewire. The stent is placed over the guidewire and introduced. The distal end of the stent is advanced beyond the stenosed airway segment. The precise positioning of the proximal and distal ends of the stent can be checked either bronchoscopically or fluoroscopically. When these are satisfactory, the stent can be deployed.

A number of stent deployment devices are available. These all have the stent held compressed radially onto a delivery catheter and held down by a restraining mechanism which may be a silk thread or an external catheter. More recently, metallic carinal Y-stents have become available whose deployment is complex and better performed during rigid bronchoscopy.

**Indications**

SEMAS are used principally for the relief of CAO due to malignant disease. Other indications include malignant airway fistulas and post-anastomosis bronchial strictures following lung transplantation.

**Organisation**

The expertise to deliver airway stenting should exist within each cancer network. It may be provided by thoracic surgeons or respiratory physicians, but should form part of multimodality treatment supervised by a properly constituted cancer multi-disciplinary team. It is essential that the team performing the procedures should have appropriate expertise in managing airway complications, should they occur. Safe airway stenting requires the presence of at least two experienced practitioners, one to visualise the endobronchial appearances and one to deploy the stent.

**Complications**

The use of self-expanding metallic stents for the treatment of CAO is associated with a number of complications including stent malposition, migration or fracture, haemorrhage, mucus impaction, overgrowth by granulation tissue or tumour, infection, aerodigestive fistula formation and bronchospasm. Early stent-associated deaths have been reported due to complications such as hypoxia following stent migration, severe sepsis\textsuperscript{89} and bronchospasm.\textsuperscript{90} Complications may necessitate stent removal, which can be complex and hazardous where there has been a stent fracture. In benign airway conditions where life expectancy is greater, metallic stents are not recommended because of the longer-term risk of stent fracture.

**Evidence**

The absence of RCTs of airway stenting makes evaluation of its true effectiveness difficult. Nine case series (349 patients) have described the efficacy and safety of stent insertion by flexible bronchoscopy for malignant and benign airway conditions. SEMAS appear effective in improving breathlessness and cough.\textsuperscript{90–98} A visual increase in airway patency has been documented.\textsuperscript{91} In a series of 40 patients with malignancy-associated CAO, the severe dyspnoea index improved in 34/59 patients within 24 h of stent insertion.\textsuperscript{89}

In CAO due to benign causes, stents improve symptoms. In a series of 40 patients this improvement was evident in stridor in 73% of cases, breathlessness in 71%, cough in 79% and in sputum clearance in 75%.\textsuperscript{91} In five series where a proportion of patients had lung function assessed before and after stent insertion,\textsuperscript{89, 91–94} FEV\textsubscript{1}, forced vital capacity (FVC) and airway resistance (where measured) improved.

In patients with acute respiratory failure due to malignant CAO requiring mechanical ventilation, stenting is associated with weaning from ventilatory support. In one series this was achieved in 14 of 26 patients with CAO, 21 of whom had malignancy.\textsuperscript{95–97} These case series data do not permit the identification of stenting as the cause of weaning success.

RCTs are needed to compare the addition of airway stenting to conventional anticancer treatments versus conventional treatment alone in patients with CAO due to malignancy.

**Evidence statements**

- Self-expanding metallic stents are effective in the treatment of malignant CAO following endobronchial debulking techniques. (Evidence level 3)
- Self-expanding metallic stents are effective in the treatment of extrinsic malignant CAO. (Evidence level 3)
Recommendations

- The use of self-expanding metallic stents may be considered for the treatment of malignant CAO due to extrinsic disease. (Grade D)
- Self-expanding metallic stents may be used to maintain airway patency following endobronchial debulking techniques. (Grade D)
- Self-expanding metallic stents can be used to restore or maintain airway patency in conjunction with other treatments such as external beam radiotherapy. (Grade D)

Good practice points

- Patients require careful specialist follow-up after stent insertion. (✓)
- Stents should be used with caution in non-malignant disease because of their long-term complications. Self-expanding metallic stents may be difficult to remove following long-term placement. (✓)
- Self-expanding metallic stents should only be used in benign disease after all other therapeutic options have been exhausted. (✓)
- A risk-benefit assessment should be performed, incorporating immediate and long-term implications, before selecting any particular type of stent. (✓)

EMERGING APPLICATIONS FOR FLEXIBLE BRONCHOSCOPY

The following applications have been developed for use with the flexible bronchoscope but are yet to have established a clear place in routine practice.

Electromagnetic navigation bronchoscopy (ENB)

Principles

ENB is an image-guided localisation system to aid biopsy of lesions that are not visible endobronchially. It combines CT-generated virtual bronchoscopy and electromagnetic tracking of a steerable probe within the bronchial tree.

Technique

A multi-slice thin-section CT scan of the thorax is performed and used to create a 3-D virtual bronchoscopy. During virtual bronchoscopy, specific bronchial landmarks such as the main and segmental carinae are identified and the target lesion is marked. At bronchoscopy the patient lies in a magnetic field created by an electromagnetic board placed below the chest. A magnetic locatable probe, passed through the bronchoscope, is used to mark the same sites in the bronchial tree as were previously identified on virtual bronchoscopy. The two sets of data are then merged to co-register the CT data on the bronchoscopic spatial points. This allows the data from the virtual bronchoscopy to direct the bronchoscopic probe to the lesion. Once the desired location is reached, the locatable guide is exchanged for biopsy forceps or bronchial brushes and sampling is performed.

Indications

- Peripheral nodule sampling
- Targeted biopsy in patients with diffuse lung disease
- Mediastinal lymph node sampling
- Insertion of fiducial markers for radiotherapy
- Implantation of brachytherapy seeds or catheters
- Dye marker placement for surgical resection of peripheral mass

Complications

ENB appears to be safe, with pneumothorax as the most commonly reported complication. The rates of pneumothorax reported in three studies using ENB were 3.5–7.5%, 97–99 with are lower than reported rates of pneumothorax following CT-guided percutaneous needle biopsy.100–102 However, RCTs comparing the techniques have not been performed.

Evidence

The evidence comes from six case series97–99 103–105 with a total of 231 patients. In these studies a diagnostic rate of 59–80% was achieved. The results indicate that diagnostic accuracy is related to the size of the pulmonary opacity, with greater accuracy for masses >40 mm in diameter.

In a randomised study, Eberhardt et al achieved a higher diagnostic rate by combining ENB with radial EBUS than with ENB alone (88% vs 59%).106 In a separate study they achieved a higher diagnostic rate using suction catheter aspiration (90%) compared with forceps biopsy (50%).107

Studies using virtual bronchoscopy alone to guide peripheral lung biopsy have found similar diagnostic rates,108–110 and there is currently a lack of evidence that ENB provides additional sensitivity.

ENB appears to be a safe and effective but expensive modality for the sampling of peripheral lung lesions.

Recommendation

- Electromagnetic bronchoscopy may be considered for the biopsy of peripheral lesions or to guide TBNA for sampling mediastinal lymph nodes. (Grade D)

Valves in the treatment of emphysema

Principles

Patients with severe emphysema who are on maximal medical treatment are often very disabled. Treatment options other than lung transplantation and, in selected cases, lung volume reduction surgery are limited. This group of patients has significant morbidity with repeated hospitalisations and increased healthcare utilisation. In the UK over 20% of patients with emphysema have severe disease, and in 2004 chronic obstructive pulmonary disease accounted for 1.4 million consultations with over 100,000 hospital admissions.

Endobronchial valves may be used in the treatment of patients with emphysema with severe hyperinflation. The valves are inserted into the target area of the lungs via flexible bronchoscopy under conscious sedation or general anaesthesia. Two different valves systems are available. The Zephyr valve is a one-way duck-billed valve and the intrabronchial valve (IBV) is an umbrella-like device. Both valves act by reducing gas inflow to the treated segment while allowing air and secretions to exit.

Technique

The procedure may be performed under conscious sedation or general anaesthesia. The insertion of an endotracheal tube at the beginning of the procedure is recommended. Under direct vision the size of the target airway is estimated. For the Zephyr valve, an appropriately-sized device on its catheter is inserted through the instrument channel of the bronchoscope and the valve is partly deployed. The valve is then wedged onto a carina in the target area to prevent distal or incorrect placement. Once appropriately positioned, the valve is fully deployed. Further valves are placed in order to achieve complete occlusion of the target lobe. Placement of the IBV is similar but requires accurate airway sizing with a calibrated balloon catheter.

Indications

- Heterogeneous (ie, >10% variation) in emphysematous destruction between adjacent lobes on CT scanning
Moderate to severe airflow obstruction (FEV₁ <50% predicted)
- Severe dyspnoea (MRC ≥2)
- Hyperinflation (total lung capacity ≥100% predicted, residual volume ≥150% predicted)
- Optimum treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease for at least 6 weeks

Contraindications
- Carbon monoxide transfer factor <15% predicted and FEV₁ <15% predicted
- Oxygen tension on air <6.0 kPa
- Production of purulent sputum more often than not (>50% of days)
- Lung nodule requiring surgery

Evidence
The evidence consists of open-label cohort studies in 222 patients with severe emphysema and one randomised study has recently been published.

Two devices have been used differently. The Zephyr valve has been used to produce atelectasis by unilateral treatment of a whole lobe. The IBV has been used in a strategy of airflow redirection by treating both upper lobes but leaving at least one sub-segment in each lobe open. Quantitative CT has shown that treatment of patients with emphysema with bronchial valves results in changes in regional volumes with a significant decrease in treated upper lobe volumes and a significant increase in the non-treated non-upper lobe volumes.

Treatment has been complicated by exacerbations and some pneumothoraces but is generally safe. In the randomised study there were eight deaths (2.7%) and the incidence of other key adverse events was distal pneumonia (0.5%), pneumothorax requiring an intercostal drain for >7 days (1.4%) and respiratory failure (1.8%). There have been small improvements in lung function and variable improvements in quality of life depending on treatment strategy. The randomised study with Zephyr valves has shown only modest improvements in FEV₁ of about 5.8%. There were no consistent improvements in the 6 min walk test and quality of life measures.

The open-label study with the IBV demonstrated improvements in quality of life but no significant change in pulmonary function. However, the changes in subjective measures such as quality of life in an open-label study should be interpreted with caution. The place of endobronchial valves in patients with emphysema remains to be established.

Evidence statements
- Endobronchial valves in the treatment of emphysema appear to be safe in patients with severe disease. (Evidence level 1)
- Endobronchial valve insertion in patients with severe emphysema and hyperinflation leads to small improvements in lung function. (Evidence level 2–)

Recommendation
- Sufficient efficacy has not been demonstrated with endobronchial valves to recommend their use currently. However, they may be considered in the treatment of selected patients with severe emphysema and hyperinflation with heterogeneous disease in the absence of significant collateral ventilation or in those who have a complete fissure on CT scanning. (Grade B)

Good practice point
- Patients should be enrolled into clinical trials until more robust data of clinical benefit are available. (√)

Other procedures in emphysema
A number of bronchoscopic procedures are being developed for emphysema that include airway stents (bypass procedure) in homogeneous emphysema, coils which lead to infolding of the lung, hydrofoam gel and steam instillation to induce localised fibrosis.

Bronchial thermoplasty for asthma
Principle
The prevalence of asthma is increasing and ranges from 10% to 13% in the UK. It is associated with a high admission rate of around 200 per 100 000 of the population per annum, and approximately 18% of patients in the UK have severe persistent asthma. Some symptoms are due to airflow obstruction as a direct result of airway smooth muscle contraction. Airway smooth muscle may also have a role in the pathogenesis of asthma by secreting proinflammatory cytokines and promoting airway remodelling. The goal of bronchial thermoplasty is to reduce airway smooth muscle.

Technique
The treatment is performed via flexible bronchoscopy under conscious sedation. Microwave energy is delivered to the bronchial wall via a flexible catheter from a radiofrequency generator. The treatment catheter is passed through the bronchoscope and is navigated to the distal target airway. A wire array at the distal end of the catheter is expanded and the radiofrequency generator activated. This heats the airway wall to about 65°C and selectively ablates the concentric smooth muscle. The airways are treated in a systematic manner from distal to proximal. The right lower lobe is treated first followed by the left lower lobe 3 weeks later, then the upper lobes.

Indications
Thermoplasty may be indicated in patients with severe persistent asthma receiving high-dose combination inhalers (>1000 µg beclometasone equivalent) plus long-acting bronchodilators or long-term oral corticosteroids. The FEV₁ should be >50% predicted.

Complications
Patients may experience post-procedure respiratory exacerbations. Limited long-term safety data for this procedure are available.

Evidence
The evidence base includes preliminary studies demonstrating feasibility and safety in 25 patients, two randomised controlled studies in 146 patients and one randomised sham controlled study in 297 patients. The studies have consistently demonstrated a transient increase in asthma-related adverse events in the short term during the bronchoscopic treatment, but are associated with a significant reduction in adverse events, asthma-related symptoms and hospitalisations in the longer term. The AIR2 randomised sham controlled study demonstrated a 32% reduction in exacerbations and a decrease of >80% in emergency room visits. There were improvements in quality of life and asthma control symptoms; the mean change in the Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ) was 1.35 from baseline and 79% of patients had a clinically meaningful improvement in the AQLQ score. There was a reduction of 66% in days lost from work, school and other activities due to asthma.

However, despite some evidence for the use of thermoplasty in asthma, the studies are selective and the outcomes are only
positive in some aspects. This is a treatment which might be useful for some selected cases of difficult asthma. The final place of thermoplasty in cases of difficult asthma remains to be established.

Evidence statements

- Bronchial thermoplasty in patients with moderate to severe asthma has been associated with a short-term increase in asthma-related symptoms. (Evidence level 1)
- Bronchial thermoplasty in patients with moderate to severe asthma leads to a reduction in adverse events from 6 weeks after their final treatment. (Evidence level 1)
- Treatment with bronchial thermoplasty in patients with moderate to severe asthma has been shown to reduce the frequency of respiratory exacerbations, days lost from school or work due to asthma and to improve the quality of life. (Evidence level 1)

Recommendation

- Bronchial thermoplasty is a possible treatment option in selected patients with severe persistent asthma already on maximal therapy, although its place in the treatment of asthma remains to be established. (Grade A)

Good practice point

- Long-term safety and efficacy remains unclear. Hence, treatment should be limited to a few specialist centres in carefully selected patients. Longer term follow-up of treated patients is recommended. (f)

CONCLUSION

This guideline provides an evidence-based overview of advanced diagnostic and therapeutic techniques, some of which are widely available and some of which are only available in specialist centres. It is clear that TBNA and EBUS are becoming standard practice in all regions. Tumour ablation is not routinely available in all centres. It is clear that TBNA and EBUS are becoming standard diagnostic and therapeutic techniques, some of which are widely used for the Perioperative Management of Patients with Implantable Pacemakers or Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillators, where the use of Surgical Diathermy!
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APPENDIX 2
A step-by-step practical guide for performing transbronchial needle aspiration (TBNA)

Initial considerations

TBNA requires very few additional resources to implement, and is therefore readily available to all practitioners. Bronchoscopists have, however, frequently found that ‘real-world’ results do not equal those in the published literature. This guide is intended to help shorten the learning curve.

TBNA is best viewed as a team effort. Optimal results depend upon:

(i) Familiarity with the needle for both the bronchoscopist and the assisting staff.
(ii) Collaboration with colleagues in cytopathology to ensure optimal specimen preparation.
(iii) Careful pre-procedure discussion with a radiologist to ensure that the bronchoscopist knows where the targets are endobronchially.

The needle

There are several different TBNA needles available and choice between them is clearly a matter of personal preference and cost. It is recommended that demonstration needles are obtained of different types. Needles with a clear plastic outer sheath have allowed to protrude from the distal end of the channel.

(i) The bronchoscope is positioned over the first target site, and the needle sheath advanced through the working channel. Approximately 5 mm of needle sheath is allowed for advancement and retracting the needle, how and when to apply and release suction, and so on.

(ii) The bronchoscope is flexed so that the tip of the needle sheath lies against the airway wall, between the cartilaginous rings, and directed towards the target.

(iii) The bronchoscopy is begun as usual. Once the bronchoscope is within the trachea, lymph node sampling is performed before any other inspection or sampling. If possible suctioning within the airways is avoided, lest any exfoliated malignant cells enter the airway wall, between the cartilaginous rings, and directed towards the target.

(iv) The bronchoscope is flexed so that the tip of the needle sheath lies against the airway wall, between the cartilaginous rings, and directed towards the target.

(v) The bronchoscope is positioned over the first target site, and the needle sheath advanced through the working channel. Approximately 5 mm of needle sheath is allowed for advancement and retracting the needle, how and when to apply and release suction, and so on.

(vi) The needle sheath is anchored within the working channel by one of two methods: operators with large hands may be able to use the little finger to apply gentle pressure to the sheath against the wall of the working channel, while still directing the...
Others may prefer to ask an assistant to anchor the needle sheath. An advantage for the operator of anchoring the needle oneself is that subtle tactile feedback occurs as the sheath tip moves up and down the airway, ‘bumping over’ the cartilage rings. This may facilitate precise, correct positioning of the sheath tip between the rings.

The assistant is then asked to advance the needle, using some agreed instruction (eg, ‘needle out’). If the needle is of a type that has a reasonably stiff wire running down it, and if the tip of the sheath is correctly positioned between the airway cartilages, the needle will often pass directly through the airway wall. This is known as the ‘Hub against the wall’ method. If the needle does not penetrate the airway and instead causes the sheath and bronchoscope to recoil, the operator can advance the bronchoscope, with the needle sheath still anchored within the working channel, to attempt penetration with the ‘Piggy back’ method.

Once the needle has passed through the airway wall, it may be necessary to advance the needle sheath, while carefully withdrawing the bronchoscope, so that more of the needle sheath is visible before sampling begins. Otherwise, as the needle sheath is drawn back to begin the cycle of to-and-fro motion through the lymph node, the airway wall may simply recoil forwards towards the bronchoscope, obscuring the view, before any relative motion between the needle and the lymph node has occurred.

The bronchoscopist then asks that suction be applied to the needle.

The bronchoscopist then begins to-and-fro motion of the needle sheath, and observes carefully the motion of the needle as it enters the airway wall. It is important to be able to observe definite motion in and out, though care should be taken not to withdraw the needle completely from the airway wall. This takes considerable practice.

During the sampling process it is helpful if an assistant holds the bronchoscope stationary at the nose or mouth.

With some experience it is possible for the operator to know whether a lymph node is being successfully sampled. If it is, then gooey material, looking a little like pink toothpaste or raspberry ripple ice-cream, is seen entering the needle sheath. If the needle appears to be well-positioned, and satisfactory passes are being performed, but no such aspirate is obtained, it is worth slowly withdrawing the needle and then advancing it rapidly in a jabbing motion. This is because some lymph nodes have a relatively tough capsule that deforms steadily as the needle is advanced, without permitting penetration. A jabbing action can overcome this effect and allow the needle to enter the node, which then yields “goo” as above.

Once a satisfactory specimen is obtained, the needle is withdrawn by the assistant, in response to a clear and previously agreed instruction from the operator. The needle sheath is withdrawn and the specimen prepared by the assistant.

The way that specimens are prepared will depend upon the preference of the local cytopathologist. The options are direct smears, air-dried or fixed with cytological fixative, or both, or simple flushing of the sample into liquid, which may be either saline, cytological fixative or media suitable for RNA extraction or flow cytometry. The best results, in the author’s experience, are always obtained by giving the cytopathology department what it wants.

Further samples are then taken from the same lymph node station, before moving on to further, lower-stage sites. It is recommended that up to 5 passes are obtained through each node.

Golden rules

There are a few rules that will help to avoid complications and promote success.

(i) The needle should never be advanced unless the tip of its sheath is clearly visible, otherwise the needle may perforate the working channel.

(ii) Always sample the highest-station nodes first.

(iii) Don’t give up if the first few nodes sampled give non-diagnostic results. The learning curve for TBNA is not flat.

(iv) Aim for large (>2 cm short axis) nodes for your first few procedures.
APPENDIX 3
An example of a malignant airway obstruction flow diagram (local facilities may determine the approach used)

- Malignant Airway Obstruction
  - Severe/Life-threatening
    - No
    - Yes
      - Threatening
        - Flexible Bronchoscopy
        - Histology
        - Staging
        - WHD Performance
        - Consider Rigid Bronchoscopy
        - Endobronchial
        - Extrinsic
        - Uncovered Stent
      - re-evaluate
        - MDT
        - Endoscopic Resection
        - Electrocautery
        - Cryo Extraction
        - Covered Stent
      - Suitable for curative intent
        - Yes
        - No
          - Conventional multi-modality treatment
          - Impending airway compromise (>50% stenosis)
            - Yes
            - Threatening
              - Consider Endobronchial Tx treatment
                - Exophytic/Submucosal
                - Haemoptysis
                - Extrinsic
                  - Uncovered stent, only if severe stenosis (>70%) with usable lung distally
                - Electrocautery
                - Cryoextraction
                - Brachytherapy
                - PDT
                - Covered stent
          - No
            - Consider local control e.g. XRT
            - No endobronchial treatment

* pre-stent/debulk for tracheal disease
### APPENDIX 4

**Evidence Tables**
Available on-line on the BTS Website and at http://thorax.bmjgroup.com

### APPENDIX 5
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- Royal College of Physicians
- Royal College of Physicians, Edinburgh
- Royal College of Surgeons of England
- Royal College of Surgeons of Edinburgh
- Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Glasgow
- Royal College of Anaesthetists
- British Geriatrics Society
- Society for Acute Medicine
- TSANZ
- Endoscopy UK
- NICE
- Royal College of General Practitioners
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- Royal college of Pathologists
- British Society of Clinical Cytology
- British Oncological Association
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- Society for Cardiothoracic Surgery in GB and Ireland
- KeyMed Olympus Pentax—commercial stakeholders contacted only upon completion of the guideline for comments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time to onset of action</th>
<th>Contra-indications</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
<th>Effectiveness to palliate symptoms (% patients)</th>
<th>Effectiveness to open airway (% patients)</th>
<th>Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **PDT**                 | Within 48 h,
|                         | Tracheal disease requires pre-stent or debulk | Effective palliative measure for endobronchial airway obstruction. | Delayed onset of action. Debulking often required after palliative treatment. Skin photosensitivity for 6–8 weeks. Requires specialised equipment and radiotherapist collaboration. | 100%<sup>126</sup> | 80%<sup>3</sup> | Skin Burn if not protected. Initial airway oedema/obstruction. Late stricture. Occasional major bleeding. Radiation bronchitis. Late stenosis. Late massive haemoptysis. |
| **Brachytherapy**       | Gradual over days or weeks, occasionally months | Prior high-dose radiation or thermal laser Tracheal disease may require pre-stent or debulk | Long-term palliation of symptomatic endobronchial malignancy | 69–90%<sup>61</sup> 126 127 | 78–85%<sup>61</sup> 126 127 | |
| **Original Cryotherapy**| 1–2 weeks | Can be used to remove foreign objects or mucus plugs | Multiple bronchoscopies required | 70–93%<sup>60</sup> 126 128 129 | 77–79%<sup>60</sup> 126 128 129 | Bleeding. Initial hyaemaia of tumour, with temporary increase in airway obstruction. Bleeding following tumour extraction. |
| **Cryo-extraction**     | Immediate effect | Effective palliation of central airway obstruction. | Requires specialist equipment | >90% | 83–91% | |
| **Thermal Laser**       | Immediate effect | If exlusive extrinsic airway compression | Rapid therapeutic effect. Extensive experience worldwide | Not well established as a flexible bronchoscopy technique; poorly tolerated with conscious sedation. Difficult to treat upper lobe less. | 63–94%<sup>45</sup> 56 130 | >90% in trachea<sup>3</sup> 60–70% for more distal lesions<sup>4</sup> 48 56 130 | Smoke. Haemorrhage. Hypoxia. Airway perforation. Airway fire risk with >40% FiO2. |
| **Diathermy/Electrocautery** | Immediate effect | Caution with pacemaker | Inexpensive. Good safety profile. Time-consuming probe in contact with tissue, needs frequent cleaning | 70–97%<sup>25</sup> 56 131 | 88%<sup>25</sup> 56 131 | Airway fire. Contact burns. Airway perforation. |
| **APC**                | Immediate effect | Caution with pacemaker | Effective in haemoptysis and for larger areas of superficial cancer | Ineffective for major debulking. | 100% in haemoptysis<sup>42</sup> | 91%<sup>42</sup> 126 | Bleeding. Fire. Late stenosis. |