LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Can financial incentives for improvements in healthcare quality enhance identification of COPD in primary care?

Undiagnosed chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a major public health issue, as it leads to patients missing out on appropriate preventive and therapeutic interventions. The ratio of diagnosed/predicted COPD prevalence differs widely between Primary Care Trusts (PCTs), suggesting that there are unacceptable variations in care. A National Clinical Strategy for COPD is to be launched in the UK in 2010 and there is an urgent need for evidence to support strategies to increase the identification of patients, particularly those with early disease.

In 2008 a locally enhanced service (LES) for COPD was introduced by NHS Kensington and Chelsea (K&C), giving general practitioners a small financial incentive for each individual screened and a larger payment for each patient diagnosed with COPD, where the quality items included in the LES were then documented. These included spirometry, pulse oximetry, body mass index, smoking cessation management, inhaler technique, Medical Research Council (MRC) dyspnoea score, medication review, a self-management plan, provision of a COPD rescue pack if appropriate and influenza and pneumococcal vaccination (see online for further details).

Practices received two types of payment; one for a screening test and one for the enhanced management of patients. Thus, if a patient was screened and found to have COPD, a practice would be paid both the screening fee and the enhanced management fee. Hence the incentive for screening was to locate new cases, so that they could go through the enhanced management template and attract the enhanced payment. The remuneration for the screening itself was quite small (only £10), but for the enhanced management more significant (£80). This incentivised practices to focus screening on those patients most likely to have COPD—that is, older individuals and smokers.

Data on COPD prevalence for 51 PCTs in London from 2005 to 2009 were obtained from the national quality outcomes framework database. Individual practice data from K&C were compared with NHS Westminster, a partner PCT in an Integrated Service Improvement Programme, where the LES had not been introduced. Between 2005 and 2008 there was a linear increase in COPD prevalence in K&C (r² = 0.997). If the preceding trend had continued, the predicted prevalence for 2009 would have been 0.87% (95% CI 0.84% to 0.90%), whereas following the introduction of the LES it was 0.98% (figure 1). Neither Westminster nor other London PCTs showed any variation from the preceding 4 years’ trend (data for each PCT and comparison of individual Westminster and K&C practices are available online).

In the 39 practices that participated in the LES in K&C, 963 patients were screened with spirometry, 31.5% of whom were diagnosed with COPD. The cost of the screening per diagnosis was £94, which included £1000 given to each participating practice up-front to cover set-up costs for the LES.

Our data are consistent with previous findings that financial incentives can accelerate improvements in healthcare quality.

Incentivised targets for quality care in COPD through a LES can drive case-finding in general practice and could lead to a step change in the prevalence of COPD if adopted more widely.
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Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: resistance rates to first and reserve antituberculosis drugs in the UK in 2008/9 and the role of rapid molecular tests for drug resistance

At the Health Protection Agency National Mycobacterium Reference Laboratory (HPA NMRL) between January 2008 and December 2009, we evaluated in patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR TB; isolates resistant to rifampicin and isoniazid) the rate of resistance to other first-line drugs (ethambutol and pyrazinamide) and to reserve drugs and the role of rapid molecular tests for rifampicin (and MDR TB) resistance.

MDR TB is difficult to manage—drugs are toxic, less effective and costly. Further problems arise from extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis (XDR TB); MDR TB isolates resistant to a quinolone and any of the injectable drugs (amikacin, capreomycin, kanamycin). Effective management of...
MDRTB/XDR-TB depends on drug sensitivity test (DST) results to the remaining first-line and reserve drugs.

We have previously demonstrated that our national ‘fastrack’ molecular tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance identification service significantly reduces time for detection compared with bacteriological culture. Overall, Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex is detected 15-2 days earlier than gold standard automated liquid culture methods and rifampicin resistance identified 30.7 days earlier.1–3

During 2008, 53 UK cases of MDRTB were reported by the HPA,4 of which the NMRL identified 47 (89%). The NMRL identified a further 65 cases of MDRTB during 2009. Of the 112 MDRTB cases, 107 (96%) patients had a complete DST profile for all 11 drugs tested, and 87 (78%) isolates were initially identified as MDRTB by ‘fastrack’.

Fifty-one of the 107 isolates (48%) were resistant to rifampicin and isoniazid alone of the first-line drugs (table 1, group 1); 17 (15.2%) were additionally resistant to one reserve drug, ie, only 34 isolates (67%) were sensitive to all reserve drugs. In the remaining 56 isolates (52%), resistance to rifampicin and isoniazid was combined with resistance to another first-line drug (table 1, group 2), and 34 of these (61%) were additionally resistant to a reserve drug; 22 isolates (39%) were sensitive to all reserve drugs. Almost half (48%) of all MDRTB isolates were resistant to at least one reserve drug and 21% were resistant to all four first-line drugs.

Our results show multidrug-resistant isolates with resistance to one or more of the remaining first-line drugs increases the likelihood of resistance to reserve drugs. In table 1, the rate of resistance to any second-line drug was significantly greater in group 2 (61%) than in group 1 (33%) (Fisher’s exact test p=0.0065). Our findings justify a policy of using the ‘fastrack’ approach and, when rifampicin resistance has been detected and a culture obtained, planning of using DST to all first and reserve drugs immediately and simultaneously (rather than the conventional approach of DST for reserve drugs only when MDRTB is detected phenotypically). The NMRL has recently added a similar test for resistance to isoniazid and the introduction of genotypic tests for XDR-TB would be highly desirable. This policy would greatly accelerate the return of DST results to relevant clinicians, reducing the time for the instigation of appropriate management, helping to reduce any increasing prevalence of MDRTB.
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A randomised trial of domiciliary, ambulatory oxygen in patients with COPD and dyspnoea but without resting hypoxaemia

We read with great interest the recently published article by Moore et al and would like to make the following remarks.

Desaturation with exercise is still a hotly debated issue, and the papers that deal with the effectiveness of oxygen therapy during exercise in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease who desaturate have not shown any benefit.4–9 It should nevertheless be pointed out that there are different types of desaturating patients with exercise. Indeed, we recently published a paper5 the results of which clearly show that only patients who desaturate before 1 min—early desaturators—during the 6-minute walking test are associated with an important desaturation during daily life activities. This is why we believe that desaturation with exercise research has to take into account whether the patients are early or late desaturators in order to reach sound clinical conclusions. Along the same lines, the effectiveness of oxygen therapy in these patients can differ according to the type of patients (early or late desaturators).