4. **Quanjer PH**, Tammeling GJ, Cotes JE, *et al*. Lung volumes and forced ventilatory flows. report working party standardization of lung function tests, european community for steel and coal. Official Statement of the European Respiratory Society. *Eur Respir J Suppl* 1993;(Suppl 16):5–40.
5. **Stanojevic S**, Wade A, Stocks J, *et al*. Reference ranges for spirometry across all ages. A new approach. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008;**177**:253–60.

Author's response

We thank Dr Miller and colleagues for their valuable comments¹ on our recent article. Our findings² suggested a gender difference in susceptibility to the lung damaging effects of cigarette smoking. Female gender was associated with lung function reduction and more severe disease in COPD subjects with early-onset of disease or low smoking exposure. Interaction analysis also suggested that the effect of smoking on lung function might be different by gender.

Miller and colleagues question the use of lung function measurements expressed as per cent of predicted values, suggesting that this approach may introduce a gender bias. They argue that our prediction equations automatically make low results for women appear worse than equivalently low results for men.

FEV₁ expressed as per cent of predicted values was used as the outcome in several analyses in our article. As we pointed out in the discussion section of the article, we are fully aware that per cent of predicted values represent a potential limitation of the study. In the analyses of our article, we calculated predicted FEV₁ using Gulsvik reference equations.³ As mentioned in the article, we repeated our analyses using prediction equations from Johannessen *et al*⁴ and found that the main results were the same when changing the reference equations. To further verify our findings, we have now analysed our data using the equations of Stanojevic *et al*,⁵ as suggested by Miller and colleagues. All of our main findings were still valid.

In addition, we have estimated lower limit of normal (LLN, 5th centile) as 1.645 SDs below predicted to check for inherent gender bias in our study population across age groups. The point made by Miller and colleagues is important and their example showed that LLN in per cent predicted was lower for women than for men. To examine this issue further, we used four different reference equations (Gulsvik 2001,³ Johannessen 2006,⁴ Stanojevic 2008,⁵ Quanjer 1993⁶) and calculated LLN in per cent of predicted FEV₁ across age groups (40–50, 50–60, 60–70, >70) for men and women separately. As Miller points out, LLN in per cent of predicted FEV₁ declines with age. However, with the exception of men older than 70 years using Johannessen reference values and women older than 70 years using Quanjer values, LLN exceeded 80% predicted

for both genders across all age groups. Furthermore, an inherent gender bias is unlikely to explain the results in a population with our age and height distribution. If anything, the gender bias seemed to be towards men, in that men had slightly lower per cent predicted LLN than women—except when we used the Quanjer equations, where women had the lowest per cent predicted LLN.

In conclusion, both the rerun of our main analyses with alternative reference values and the additional estimations of LLN by gender suggest that our results are unlikely to be dependent on the use of FEV₁ in per cent predicted. We agree with Miller and colleagues that LLN in per cent of predicted FEV₁ clearly declines with age, and that there may be a gender bias depending on the reference equations used. To avoid results that are dependent on a specific set of reference values, alternative reference values should be applied to test the robustness of the initial results.

Inga-Cecilie Sørheim,^{1,2} Ane Johannessen,³ Amund Gulsvik,^{2,4} Per S Bakke,^{2,4} Edwin K Silverman,^{1,5} Dawn L DeMeo^{1,5}

¹Channing Laboratory, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA;

²Institute of Medicine, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway; ³Centre for Clinical Research, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway; ⁴Department of Thoracic Medicine, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway; ⁵Pulmonary and Critical Care Division, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Correspondence to Dawn L. DeMeo, M.D., M.P.H. Channing Laboratory, 181 Longwood Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA; dawn.demeo@channing.harvard.edu

Competing interests None.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

Accepted 18 October 2010

Published Online First 20 November 2010

Thorax 2011;**66**:922. doi:10.1136/thx.2010.153478

REFERENCES

1. **Miller MR**, Jordan RE, Adab P. Gender differences in COPD: are women more susceptible to smoking effects than men? *Thorax* 2011;**66**:921–2.
2. **Sørheim IC**, Johannessen A, Gulsvik A, *et al*. Gender differences in COPD: are women more susceptible to smoking effects than men? *Thorax* 2010;**65**:480–5.
3. **Gulsvik A**, Tosteson T, Bakke P, *et al*. Expiratory and inspiratory forced vital capacity and one-second forced volume in asymptomatic never-smokers in Norway. *Clin Physiol* 2001;**21**:648–60.
4. **Johannessen A**, Lehmann S, Omenaas ER, *et al*. Post-bronchodilator spirometry reference values in adults and implications for disease management. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006;**173**:1316–25.
5. **Stanojevic S**, Wade A, Stocks J, *et al*. Reference ranges for spirometry across all ages: a new approach. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008;**177**:253–60.
6. **Quanjer PH**, Tammeling GJ, Cotes JE, *et al*. Lung volumes and forced ventilatory flows. Report Working

Party Standardization of Lung Function Tests, European Community for Steel and Coal. Official Statement of the European Respiratory Society. *Eur Respir J Suppl* 1993;**16**:5–40.

Utility of cytopathology in diagnosis and molecular testing of lung cancer

We read with interest the editorial by Booton *et al*¹ on advances in the treatment and diagnosis of non-small cell lung cancer. Recently published best practice guidelines for pathology recommend the provision of as precise a diagnosis as possible, with optimisation of specimen use.² We advocate the utility of cytopathology in this regard and share our experience of the diagnostic potential and the range of ancillary tests possible on respiratory-related cytology specimens.

During a 20-month period (1 September 2009 to 30 April 2011), 227 patients were diagnosed with lung cancer at our centre, 162 of whom (264 samples) had malignant cytology from a range of exfoliative (bronchial brushings, washings and lavages; pleural fluid) and fine needle aspiration samples, the latter encompassing transbronchial and transoesophageal ultrasound guided fine needle aspiration of mediastinal lymph nodes and lung. Patients had one to four samples each. Morphological diagnosis of keratinising squamous cell carcinoma could be made with confidence without the need for immunocytochemistry, and in experienced hands, cytological appearances of small cell carcinoma are also characteristic. Subtyping of other carcinomas was undertaken by means of immunocytochemistry performed on agar cell blocks, material permitting (table 1).

A morphological diagnosis of non-small cell carcinoma not otherwise specified, due to insufficient material for immunotyping, may still be clinically useful depending on other clinical and staging information. If required, extra material can be requested for further subtyping.

Epidermal growth factor receptor mutation testing was requested in 36 cases, with mutations identified in six patients. Three tests failed due to insufficient DNA. In some cases where testing was not possible due to insufficient sample, direct communication with the treating clinician was undertaken to request more material, for example, pleural fluid. Testing for ALK-EML4 fusion was performed in one case.

The strategic use and triage of cytological material enable the maximum diagnostic and therapeutic information to be obtained. This may entail using all of the material in a sample for ancillary tests without producing traditional diagnostic slides, when the diagnosis has already been established in preceding samples. Close collaboration with

Table 1 Number of patients diagnosed with different lung cancer tumour types based on the method of diagnosis (excluding 18 cases where pleural fluid samples contained adenocarcinoma that may have originated from the lung or other primary sites)

Tumour type	Morphological diagnosis only	Diagnosis based on ICC
Squamous cell carcinoma	46	7
Adenocarcinoma	12	42
Adenosquamous carcinoma	0	4
Non-small cell carcinoma NOS	15	4
Small cell carcinoma	8	6

ICC, immunocytochemistry; NOS, not otherwise specified.

clinicians, radiologists and oncologists, both on a day-to-day basis and at respiratory multidisciplinary team meetings, has led to the recognition of the value of diagnoses made on cytology samples, enabling therapeutic intervention based on cytological diagnosis alone, as many cases have no or inconclusive histology samples. However, this relies on high quality cytology preparations and accomplished cytopathologists. In

our opinion, these samples are best procured and reported in large expert centres.

Sakinah A Thiriyai,¹ Durgesh N Rana,¹ Mark Woodhead²

¹Department of Cytopathology, Manchester Royal Infirmary, Manchester, UK; ²Department of Respiratory Medicine, Manchester Royal Infirmary, Manchester, UK

Correspondence to Sakinah A Thiriyai, Department of Cytopathology, 1st Floor Clinical Sciences Building 2,

Manchester Royal Infirmary, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9WL, UK; sakinah.a.t@hotmail.co.uk

Competing interests None.

Contributors All authors have contributed to this correspondence.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Accepted 1 July 2011

Published Online First 29 July 2011

Thorax 2011;**66**:922–923.

doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2011-200559

REFERENCES

1. **Boaton R**, Blackhall F, Kerr K. Individualised treatment in non-small cell lung cancer: precise tissue diagnosis for all? *Thorax* 2011;**66**:273–5.
2. **Nicholson AG**, Gibbs A, Kerr K, *et al*. Dataset for lung cancer histopathology reports. 3rd edn. Royal College of Pathologists, 2011. <http://www.rcpath.org/resources/pdf/g048datasetlungapril11.pdf> (accessed 1 Jun 2011).

The **new EBUS** range
from Imotech Medical
is the clear way
forward

- **Forward viewing scope**
to give a direct view for easier navigation and tissue sampling
- **HD images** video and ultrasound
- **DICOM/PACS** for seamless network integration
- **Compact system** - a single trolley workstation

for more information visit
imotechmedical.com
call **01594 844770**
or email **mark.hibbert@imotechmedical.com**

IMOTECHMEDICAL
THINKING SMARTER

FUJINON
FIBROSCOPE