Cardiopulmonary exercise testing for the selection of patients undergoing surgery for lung cancer: friend or foe?
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The contribution of exercise testing for risk assessment for lung resection is well established and has been embedded in international guidelines from Europe1 and the USA.2 There are many forms of exercise tests (6 min walk, 12 min walk, shuttle walk, stair climbing), but the most established investigation is formal assessment of maximum oxygen consumption during exercise (VO2 max). British and American (American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP)) guidelines use VO2 max as the ultimate assessment of operative risk, positioned at or near the end of the functional algorithm,3 whereas European guidelines recommend the use of this test much earlier in patients with a forced expiratory volume in 1s (FEV1) or carbon monoxide transfer factor (Tlco) <80% predicted.1 2

Numerous cohort studies and a meta-analysis report the association of low VO2 max and ‘high risk’ lung resection.4–18 However ‘high’ is not quantified and ‘risk’ is not defined, two fundamentally important definitions if guidelines that use these terms are to be applied clinically. Here we focus on validity of the VO2 max studies and the clinical utility of the available evidence with respect to individual interpretation of risk.

SAMPLE SIZE AND PRECISION OF RISK ESTIMATION OF DEATH

Arguably, the most important outcome when considering surgery for lung cancer is the ability to survive the procedure. The most apparent limitation of the currently available evidence is the lack of appropriately powered studies to address this. The precision of a risk model is not specifically dependent on sample size, but rather the number of events—that is deaths—an uncommon outcome in thoracic surgery. In the UK, lobectomy, the most common procedure for lung cancer, carried an operative mortality of ~2% in 2004–2005,19 and in the USA the mortality rate has been reported to range from 2.3% to 4.1%.20 Reflective of this, the largest study in this context on VO2 max (422 patients) had only 15 deaths. What is clearly more disconcerting is that the functional algorithm,3 whereas European guidelines recommend the use of this test much earlier in patients with a forced expiratory volume in 1s (FEV1) or carbon monoxide transfer factor (Tlco) <80% predicted.1 2

UPPER LIMITS OF UNCERTAINTY FOR SAFE CUT-OFF VALUES

Many studies have defined arbitrary cut-off values ranging from 15 to 20/ml/kg/min as a ‘safe’ cut-off value4 12–14 because above these levels no patient experienced an adverse event. What is the validity of this type of recommendation?

The answer lies in the uncertainty that surrounds the observation of no events (ie, upper 95% CI), a function of the sample size. For a standard binomial distribution, the upper limit of the CI of zero events with the sample size of 8–160 corresponds to 42–2.7%, respectively (figure 1), illustrating high limits of uncertainty in the majority of studies with smaller sample sizes.

ALTERNATIVE MODELS FOR RISK ESTIMATION OF DEATH

Given these limitations, are there any other alternatives for the risk assessment for operative mortality? Thoracoscore is a composite scoring system that can be used to quantify risk. It is a logistic regression-derived model with coefficients provided for individual risk factors, calculated to provide a percentage probability of death. It is currently the best model and was developed from a sample size of 15183 patients with 338 deaths, and provides excellent discrimination with an area under the curve of 0.82.22 Furthermore, it has been validated in different populations.23 Apart from superior statistical power, much larger sample size, external validity and excellent performance, the logistic risk model carries two further attractive advantages compared with VO2 max assessment: it is cost free and can be universally available.

OTHER OUTCOMES AND COMPOSITE END POINTS

The consistent message that lower values of VO2 max are associated with higher risk of complications is to be expected as a measure of cardiovascular fitness. From a patient’s and clinician’s perspective, however, the nature of the complications is of central importance. All studies to date have used composite end points and, when multiple outcomes are combined, it becomes difficult to interpret the impact of each individual component. It has been recommended that each outcome should have a similar weighting or clinical importance to facilitate clinical interpretation.24 For example, death and myocardial infarction would be combined to estimate the total number of patients that may have experienced a myocardial infarction and survived, added to those that have (presumably) experienced a myocardial infarction and died.

Researchers, however, may use composite outcomes to increase the power of the study (by increasing event rate) and therefore increasing the chances of achieving statistically significant results.25 The corollary is that important outcomes such as death can be piggybacked within the pool of less important outcomes such as atelectasis5 7–9 11–14 16 or purulent sputum,4 giving rise to considerable difficulties for the clinician and, more importantly, the patient to evaluate the importance of the overall result. We believe that most patients would not consider readmission to the intensive care unit,11 atelectasis,5 7–9 11–14 16 arrhythmia4 6–8 10 13 14 or postoperative CO2 retention6 8–10 13 16 as ‘prohibitive’ complications leading them to refuse surgery.
Values of VO\textsubscript{2} max the opportunity to consider surgery as a management option may in fact be against their best interests. As the study was not randomised, it is important to bear in mind the invariable presence of selection bias, and the possibility that a better result was achieved by offering surgery to fitter patients with less co-morbidity. Our point is more to question the ‘conventional’ lower limit of safety and the results that can be achieved by further selection.

**THE FUTURE**

We acknowledge the consistent message that low levels of VO\textsubscript{2} max are associated with increased complications from surgery. However, we believe current recommendations are flawed by small sample sizes, resulting in imprecise risk estimates. Moreover, the lack of numerical quantification leads to difficulties in defining the level of acceptable risk. Furthermore, the use of composite outcomes leads to a lack of agreement on the importance of the risks, and the incongruence limits the clinical applicability to inform patients on the decision to undergo surgery. We believe that management options should be discussed at a multidisciplinary level but decisions should be undertaken at patient level. This is because patients are heterogeneous, with individual perceptions on the value of benefit and risk. As the lower limits of safety remain imprecisely defined, patients with multidisciplinary team-defined ‘prohibitive’ levels of risk may not be offered the opportunity to consider surgery as an option and denied the possibility of increased life expectancy.

There may also be a degree of concern if postoperative quality of life may be a trade-off for any increase in life expectancy in the high risk cohort; however, prospective studies indicated that patients traditionally considered at higher risk of lung resection had postoperative physical and emotional quality of life scores similar to those observed in younger and fitter patients.\textsuperscript{26}

Before widespread use, further work needs to be performed to determine if cardiopulmonary exercise testing is an independent predictor of mortality (eg, above and beyond that of Thoracoscore), to relate the study to individual outcomes that would influence the decision to undergo surgery, to provide numerical quantification of risk with an estimate of uncertainty and to demonstrate validity in different cohorts.
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Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in cystic fibrosis: prevent, eradicate or both?
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Lung disease in cystic fibrosis (CF) starts early in life. Infection, inflammation, reduced lung function and abnormal chest CT findings are present in a significant proportion of infants with CF at a time when many of these children have no clinically apparent lung disease.1−6 Infection and inflammation are intimately linked early in the course of CF lung disease.7−9 The detection of airway inflammation in the absence of apparent infection led to the speculation that CF is associated with an intrinsic abnormality of immune regulation.10 However, more recent data argue against a primary CF-associated dysregulation of local immune function where inflammation might precede infection; they rather support the central role of bacterial pathogens in initiating and sustaining the neutrophil-mediated airway inflammation characteristic of CF lung disease, with a higher inflammatory burden in children infected with Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PA) than in those infected with organisms other than PA or uninfected.6 11 12 Chronic respiratory infection is one of the main characteristics of CF and significantly contributes to morbidity and mortality.12 13 In young children Staphylococcus aureus, Haemophilus influenzae and PA are the major lower airway pathogens.12 13 Chronic infection with PA is known to be associated with a worse prognosis in children, adolescents and adults, and patients with mucoid PA strains do worse than those with non-mucoid strains.14−18 Thus, prevention or early detection and treatment of infection, in particular with PA, may prevent or delay irreversible lung damage from inflammation and consequently may improve prognosis. In order to prevent acquisition of PA, hygienic measures to decontaminate environmental reservoirs of this organism including medical equipment have been stressed, and CF centres have adopted meticulous microbiological surveillance and effective segregation policies to limit cross-infection between patients. Furthermore, several trials have been undertaken to assess the value of vaccination as a preventive strategy for PA infection but, with the variable outcomes of these trials, vaccines against PA cannot be recommended.19 At present, early treatment of initial infection—that is, an attempt to eradicate PA—is the agreed standard.20 Literature on antibiotic prophylaxis of PA infection has so far remained scarce.21 22

In this issue of Thorax, Tramper-Stranders et al report on a randomised controlled trial investigating the effectiveness of cycled antibiotic prophylaxis in children with CF (see page 915).23 Children between 0 and 18 years of age received 3-monthly 3-week treatments with oral ciprofloxacin and inhaled colistin or placebo for 3 years. The authors apparently chose this regimen because many CF centres across Europe have used the combination of oral ciprofloxacin and inhaled colistin as eradication therapy for PA, going back to the first randomised controlled trial of an eradication regimen almost 20 years ago.24 Patients were followed at 3-monthly intervals in between treatment cycles and respiratory specimens collected for microbiology studies were either oropharyngeal cough swabs or sputum samples (notably, the vast majority of patients did not produce sputum). In addition, serum samples were collected every 6 months for measurement of anti-Pseudomonas antibodies. Infection with PA (the primary end point) was defined as either two positive cultures taken >1 week apart or one positive culture and a ‘severe pulmonary exacerbation’. Nineteen out of 65 patients (29%) reached the primary end point (in only 4 of them was PA detected in sputum); information on how many strains were mucoid or non-mucoid is not given. Fourteen patients had positive antibody titres during the study period once or more often; 10 of them were culture-positive before they were serology-positive. This study once more shows that detection of infection in children with CF is difficult. In general, young children are not able to expectorate sputum, and oropharyngeal cultures do not reliably predict the presence of bacterial pathogens in the lower airways of young children with CF.25 26 Thus, cultures from bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid are usually taken as the gold standard for detection of infection in the lower airways. However, owing to sampling problems, even a negative BAL fluid culture or the absence of a particular organism on culture does not guarantee that the organism is not present in the lungs of the patient.26 For this reason, additional markers of infection are desirable and the use of specific serum antibodies against PA may be helpful in defining the status of PA infection. However, the majority of patients are antibody-negative at the time of first infection so specific antibodies against PA alone are not recommended to diagnose early infection.27 With oropharyngeal cough swabs being the prevailing respiratory specimens, one might speculate that at least some patients with positive upper airway cultures might have had negative
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