

18. **Marks G**, Crepez N, Janssen RS. Estimating sexual transmission of HIV from persons aware and unaware that they are infected with the virus in the USA. *AIDS* 2006;**20**:1447–50.
19. **Girardi E**, Sabin CA, Monforte AD. Late diagnosis of HIV infection: epidemiological features, consequences and strategies to encourage earlier testing. *JAIDS* 2007;**46**(Suppl 1):S3–8.
20. **Marks G**, Crepez N, Senterfitt JW, *et al*. Meta-analysis of high-risk sexual behavior in persons aware and unaware they are infected with HIV in the United States: implications for HIV prevention programs. *JAIDS* 2005;**39**:446–53.
21. **Castilla J**, Del Romero J, Hernando V, *et al*. Effectiveness of highly active antiretroviral therapy in reducing heterosexual transmission of HIV. *JAIDS* 2005;**40**:96–101.
22. **British HIV Association (BHIVA)**. *Clinical audit report 2005–6. HIV-related deaths in the HAART era*. London: BHIVA, 2006. http://www.bhiva.org/documents/ClinicalAudit/AnnualReports/AuditRep2005_6.pdf
23. **Liddicoat RV**, Horton NJ, Urban R, *et al*. Assessing missed opportunities for HIV testing in medical settings. *J Gen Intern Med* 2004;**19**:349–56.
24. **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)**. Missed opportunities for earlier diagnosis of HIV infection – South Carolina, 1997–2005. *MMWR* 2006;**55**:1269–72.
25. **Klein D**, Hurley LB, Merrill D, *et al*. Review of medical encounters in the 5 years before a diagnosis of HIV-1 infection: implications for early detection. *JAIDS* 2003;**32**:143–52.
26. **Hamill M**, Burgoine K, Farrell F, *et al*. Time to move towards opt-out testing for HIV in the UK. *BMJ* 2007;**334**:1352–4.
27. **Walker N**, Kliner M, Turner D, *et al*. Hepatotoxicity and anti-tuberculosis therapy: time to revise UK guidelines? *Thorax* 2009;**64**:918.
28. **Donaldson L**, Beasley C. *Improving the detection and diagnosis of HIV in non-HIV specialties including primary care*. London: Department of Health, 2007.
29. **Health Protection Agency**. The UK collaborative group for HIV and STI surveillance. *Testing Times. HIV and other sexually transmitted infections in the United Kingdom*. London: Health Protection Agency Centre for Infections, 2007. http://www.hpa.org.uk/web/HPAweb&HPAwebStandard/HPAweb_C/1203084355941.
30. **Health Protection Agency and UK National Screening Committee**. *Infectious diseases in pregnancy screening programme: report on 2005–2007 data*. London: Health Protection Agency, March 2009. Infectious Diseases in Pregnancy Screening Programme: 2007/8 Annual Report and 2005–2007 Surveillance Data.
31. **Iskander R**, Wass T, Jones J, *et al*. *Communicable Disease Surveillance Centre Annual Report 2001*. London: London Regional Office, 2001.

Lung alert

A simplified tool for predicting mortality in ventilator-associated pneumonia

Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is associated with increased morbidity and mortality among critically ill patients. The Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) score is a validated tool for predicting mortality in critical care. However, the APACHE II score requires recording and input of multiple variables, which is time consuming and may lead to erroneous predictions if data are missing or inaccurately documented. The current study aimed to develop an uncomplicated tool that could be used by clinicians to predict mortality in VAP.

The authors identified patients diagnosed with VAP from four academic centres and performed univariate analysis of variables related to demographics, co-morbidities, physiological and laboratory parameters, and radiographs against mortality. Five variables associated with mortality, which could be obtained when VAP is diagnosed, were selected: Immunodeficiency, Blood pressure (<90 mm Hg systolic or 60 mm Hg diastolic), Multilobular infiltrates on the chest radiograph, Platelets <100×10⁹/l and hospitalisation >10 days before the onset of VAP. The IBMP-10 score (0–5) was derived by assigning one point for each variable, and had a higher sensitivity and specificity than APACHE II for predicting death.

The IBMP-10 score is the first tool to predict mortality specifically in patients with VAP and can undoubtedly be incorporated into daily clinical practice by physicians. However, as the same cohort of patients was used to both derive and evaluate IBMP-10, adoption of this score by clinicians cannot be recommended until it has been validated in a larger population of patients with VAP.

- ▶ Mirsaeidi M, Peyrani P, Ramirez JA, the Improving Medicine through Pathway Assessment of Critical Therapy of Hospital-Acquired Pneumonia (IMPACT-HAP) Investigators. Predicting mortality in patients with ventilator-associated pneumonia: the APACHE II score versus the new IBMP-10 score. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009;**49**:72–7.

M P Wise

Correspondence to: Dr M P Wise, Consultant Critical Care, University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff, UK; mattwise@doctors.org.uk

Thorax 2010;**65**:69. doi:10.1136/thx.2009.127423