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ABSTRACT

Blood pleurodesis has been used to treat pneumothorax and persistent postoperative air leak following pneumonectomy. However, the indications for this procedure and the exact technique to be followed remain poorly defined. Having reviewed the current literature, a protocol is proposed for the technique and its complications and long-term outcomes are discussed.

Blood pleurodesis has been used for primary and secondary pneumothorax, persistent postoperative air leak, and hydrothorax complicating peritoneal dialysis. However, good evidence supporting its use is lacking. Following a recent randomised controlled trial (RCT) of intrapleural instillation of autologous blood in the treatment of prolonged air leak after lobectomy, we review the evidence as to whether blood pleurodesis has a role in the management of pneumothoraces.

METHODS

A review of the literature up to December 2007 was performed using Medline and Embase databases with the search term “blood pleurodesis”. References in the papers were examined to confirm that other relevant reports were not overlooked. Thirty papers were deemed relevant. There were no RCTs of blood pleurodesis in the management of pneumothorax and two RCTs relating to postoperative air leak. One prospective non-randomised case-control study examining blood pleurodesis in pneumothorax complicating acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and one investigating the intervention in spontaneous pneumothorax were also retrieved. All other publications were small case series.

MECHANISM OF ACTION

There is debate as to whether blood instillation into the pleural cavity causes a true pleurodesis or a “patch” effect whereby coagulated blood seals the site of the air leak. This latter view is supported by the rapid resolution of air leak observed in many studies, occurring within a time scale shorter than can be explained by the development of pleural adhesions. Furthermore, in an animal model, gross inspection of rabbit pleura revealed no difference in inducing pleurodesis between blood instillation and chest tube control after 30 days.

A systemic response, with fever and raised inflammatory markers, is often seen following pleurodesis. This correlates with success and does not necessarily indicate infection, although subclinical pleural infection theoretically remains a mechanism of action in blood pleurodesis.

BLOOD PLEURODESIS IN PERSISTENT AIR LEAK (PAL) FOLLOWING PULMONARY RESECTION

This was first described by Dumire in 1992 where a PAL of 5 weeks failed to resolve after tetracycline pleurodesis but ceased within 2 h of blood pleurodesis. Most of the subsequent literature consists of small case series. Although these may seem encouraging, with 111 of the 145 patients described experiencing cessation of their air leak following one instillation of blood (table 1), care needs to be taken in their interpretation. The lack of controls, coupled with the varying times over which patients were observed, raises the possibility that some air leaks may have resolved without further intervention.

Shackcloth randomised 20 patients with postoperative air leak of >5 days’ duration to blood pleurodesis compared with continued conservative chest tube drainage. The investigator assessing air leak was blinded as to treatment group. There was a statistically highly significant reduction (p<0.001) in “time to seal” the leak, time to chest drain removal and time to hospital discharge in the intervention group. Although the outcome measures may be viewed as subjective, they are defended as being “real-life” parameters used in determining patient management.

BLOOD PLEURODESIS FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF PNEUMOTHORAX

The place of blood pleurodesis in pneumothorax is less clear. Guidelines recommend that pleurodesis of any sort should only be used in patients with recurrent pneumothorax or PAL (>5 days) who are unable to undergo surgery. This is based on the low recurrence rates (0.4–2.3%) with surgical techniques compared with 10–25% for tetracycline and 9% for talc pleurodesis. In comparison, recurrence rates for chest tube drainage alone are 35–41%. These reports give recurrence rates of 0–29%, although the length of follow-up was often much less than the 4–5 years minimum in the talc and tetracycline studies (table 2). Only one controlled study exists, in which the recurrence rate was improved from 16% in controls to 0% in the blood pleurodesis group (at 4–5 years), the duration of tube drainage was reduced and the procedure was successful in 84%. The outcomes of the study are, however, weakened by its non-blinded design and non-random allocation of patients.

A recent controlled trial investigated blood pleurodesis for pneumothorax complicating...
ARDS on the intensive therapy unit (ITU). In the intervention group there were statistically significant reductions in mortality, time to cessation of air leak, weaning time and ITU stay, as well as improvements in a number of physiological variables. However, non-random artificial pairing was used to create two “seventy” matched groups and no mention is made of investigators being blinded to patient group, which is a concern given the subjective nature of some of the outcome measures.6

Although tetracycline is recommended as the first-line agent for medical pleurodesis in the BTS guidelines, its lack of availability—coupled with reports clarifying the risk of adverse effects with talc—led to the latter becoming increasingly used.25 The previously feared complication of ARDS seems only to result from smaller particles found in non-calibrated talc and, if talc became a licensed medication in the UK, this concern should be ameliorated.23 24 A review of the existing literature demonstrated a 91% (617/681) success rate for talc in a number of previous studies.23 24 A recent prospective study of thoracoscopic talc pleurodesis suggested a short-term failure rate of 5.1% and long-term recurrence of 5.4% over a median period of 118 months although, worryingly, 44% of the cohort were lost to follow-up.21

Only the most optimistic of studies suggest that blood pleurodesis reaches these levels of efficacy. The evidence supporting the use of this procedure is of poorer quality, and no direct comparison between the two agents exists. Nevertheless, blood pleurodesis has several theoretical advantages over the chemical techniques. It can be employed even if the lung is not fully expanded, reportedly causes less in the way of pleural thickening and adhesions, uses an agent which is always readily available, and there have been no reports of pain or long-term sequela.1 17 18 25 It is also the only non-surgical technique which has been associated with a rapid resolution of persistent air leak.

The previously held view that blood is the agent of choice for those requiring pleurodesis but likely to undergo future lung transplantation is, however, no longer valid. Initially, prior intrapleural procedures causing fusion of the pleural space were considered a contraindication to transplantation as haemorrhage from adhesions was associated with a high mortality. The “clam shell” incision, together with studies demonstrating no significant difference in surgical outcome for those with dense pleural adhesions, means this is no longer the case.27 28

### COMPLICATIONS

Empyema is the most frequent complication reported with blood pleurodesis. In one study the incidence was 9%.6 A case report describing tension pneumothorax as a result of blood clotting in the tube in a patient with cystic fibrosis illustrates another potential complication.29 Avoiding narrow-bore chest tube (12F) drainage and limiting repeat instillations of blood has been suggested to reduce complications.

### TECHNIQUE

The technique was first described by Robinson in 1987.7 In this study of 25 patients with pneumothorax, 50 ml heparinised blood was instilled into the pleural cavity on 1–3 occasions. No subsequent studies added heparin to the blood. The previously held view that blood is the agent of choice for those requiring pleurodesis but likely to undergo future lung transplantation is, however, no longer valid. Initially, prior intrapleural procedures causing fusion of the pleural space were considered a contraindication to transplantation as haemorrhage from adhesions was associated with a high mortality. The “clam shell” incision, together with studies demonstrating no significant difference in surgical outcome for those with dense pleural adhesions, means this is no longer the case.27 28

### Table 1 Success of blood pleurodesis in the setting of persistent air leak

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Volume of blood used (ml)</th>
<th>Number in whom air leak resolved following:</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1st instillation</td>
<td>2nd instillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dumire et al. 2009</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mallen et al. 2009</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yokomise et al. 2009</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cagirici et al. 2009</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ando et al. 2009</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivas de Andreas et al. 2009</td>
<td>50–250</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lang-Lazdunski et al. 2009</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinez-Escobar et al. 2009</td>
<td>50–75</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shackcloth et al. 2009 (Control arm)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Droghetti et al. 2009</td>
<td>50–150</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>76.6%</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 Recurrence of pneumothorax following blood pleurodesis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>No of recurrences</th>
<th>Percentage recurrence</th>
<th>Length of follow-up (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Robinson et al. 2009</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>24–132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mallen et al. 2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blanco &amp; Blanco et al. 2009</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>17–41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cagirici et al. 2009</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12–48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ando et al. 2009</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>2–24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinez-Escobar et al. 2009</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Given the potential problem of thrombus in the tube causing tension pneumothorax, authors have suggested transferring the blood from vein to pleural space quickly and subsequently flushing the tube with saline. In case of emergency, it would also seem prudent to have a large saline flush and second chest drain available.

Patient rotation only makes a minimal difference to the distribution of radiolabelled tetracycline when there is a complex pleural space. As blood clots within minutes, rotation here would seem inappropriate and risk causing displacement of the chest tube.

Having reviewed the techniques described, we suggest the protocol shown in box 1 as the safest and most likely to lead to successful control of a persistent air leak, although this is merely an interpretation of imperfect literature.

**CONCLUSION**

The evidence supports the use of blood pleurodesis for persistent air leak following thoracic surgery, the only situation in which there is a prospective RCT. Blood pleurodesis has a limited role in the treatment of pneumothorax in those not suitable for surgical intervention—most notably in patients where talc pleurodesis has failed to arrest an air leak, where prompt resolution is desirable and in pneumothorax complicating ARDS. The optimum volume of blood to instil and the timing of the intervention have not been defined and long-term outcomes are not known because of the short follow-up in most reports. These questions are unlikely to be adequately answered without an RCT.
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