Medication adherence in COPD: what have we learned?

MeiLan K Han

As physicians, we take great interest in the adherence of our patients to prescribed medications. This is based on our belief that the benefits of treatment will be greater in patients who take their medications regularly and less among those who do not. C Everett Koop is quoted as having said: “Drugs don’t work in patients who don’t take them”. The practice of faithfully complying with a medication regimen, however, may be just as important as the efficacy of the medication itself. In this month’s issue of Thorax, Vestbo et al report that, among patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) participating in the TORCH trial, adherers experienced significantly better survival and a lower risk of hospital admission due to exacerbations than non-adherers (see page 939). These effects, however, were independent of treatment group.

In fact, a growing body of literature suggests that adherence to drug therapy in clinical trials—both medication and placebo regimens—predicts better outcomes. Many of these data have been generated from cardiovascular trials. In 1980 a report from the Coronary Drug Project significantly challenged traditional scientific thought regarding the relationship between adherence and disease outcomes. This randomised double-blind placebo controlled trial examined the effects of clofibrate on the survival of men who had experienced a myocardial infarction. Five-year mortality was similar for those treated with medication (20%) and those treated with placebo (21%). When analysed by adherence patterns, however, good adherers (defined as those taking at least 80% of the prescribed medication) had significantly lower 5-year mortality than poor adherers (15% vs 25%). Surprisingly, however, these findings were nearly identical in the placebo group (15% vs 28% mortality). Initially, much discussion focused on the potential for bias in post hoc analyses. As more data have accumulated, however, the scientific community has begun to realise that, across disciplines and treatment assignments, adherent behaviour in clinical trials is associated with better outcomes.

While it has been hypothesised that improved outcomes for adherers may be due to selection bias with good adherers having less severe disease, this is probably not the case. The improved outcome for adherers in the study by Vestbo et al remained after adjustment for disease severity as measured by forced expiratory volume in 1 s and dyspnoea measured by the MRC dyspnoea scale. Similar results have been reported in other trials. In fact, the Lung Health Study reported that better adherence was associated with more severe airways obstruction. Another hypothesis is that adherence to drug treatment may reflect a patient’s biological, social and psychological makeup which may all influence outcomes. Adherence may be a surrogate marker for other healthy behaviours such as taking medications for comorbid conditions, eating more healthily or taking safer precautions such as wearing seatbelts or sunscreen. Alternatively, however, the adherence itself and the expectation of treatment effect may activate behaviours that are then partly responsible for improved outcomes. For instance, the act of adhering to a treatment regimen may instil a sense of well-being and reduce anxiety about a chronic disease or may lead to altered health habits such as exercise or smoking cessation.

Very few data exist regarding adherence to inhaled medication in COPD. It was previously reported that only about 37% of patients with chronic lung disease are fully adherent with medical treatments in general. Long-term adherence with inhaled medications was reported from the Lung Health Study. Self-reported data suggested that nearly 70% of patients adhered to the medication regimen, dropping off slightly over the next 18 months. Adherence confirmed by censoring weights, however, showed that only 45% of patients had good adherence at 1 year. Two other studies of nebulised treatments in COPD suggest that only about half of patients take their medications regularly. In the current study, 80% of subjects demonstrated good adherence (defined as adherence to study medications of >80% over the entire period the subject was in the study). While this is a good percentage within the context of a clinical trial, it probably does not reflect “real life” patient behaviour.

One would hope that the patients who need medication most might also be the group that is most adherent. It is therefore disappointing that, in the study by Vestbo et al, no association was found between disease severity defined by GOLD stage and adherence. In fact, poor adherers actually had higher MRC dyspnoea scores. However, it should also be noted that adherence in this study was determined by the percentage of returned drug left unused. What this analysis does not take into account is the significantly greater number of patients who dropped out of the placebo arm compared with the combination therapy arm (44.2% vs 34.1%), which also could be interpreted as non-adherence in the broadest sense of the word. These data suggest that adherence in this more global sense is probably less than the reported 80%. They also suggest that symptomatic improvement or drug efficacy may have had an impact on study participation even if the analysis of medication adherence among those who stayed in the trial did not differ between treatment and placebo arms.

In summary, this study indicates that adherence to inhaled therapy in COPD within the context of a clinical trial is good and associated with improved outcomes. Ultimately, however, if this is due to qualities intrinsic to the adherer, this actually leaves little room for the physician to modify outcomes other than to prescribe the treatment itself. If, however, the act of adhering actually activates other positive behaviours, then we as physicians should be even more aggressive about creating structured treatment.
Airways disease: just nosing around?

Glenis K Scadding, Harsha H Kariyawasam

The airway is a continuous structure extending from the nasal vestibule to the alveoli, with the same pseudostratified ciliated columnar epithelium along much of its length. While an arbitrary line at the level of the vocal cords divides the airway into upper and lower subdivisions—a concept introduced in medical school anatomy tutorials and continued in patient care—airways disease does not conform to such specific anatomical regions. Upper and lower airway disease often coexist, with upper airway involvement often preceding that of the lower airway and even determining severity of disease and quality of life.1 This recognition has led to the development of the terms “united airways”1 and “one airway, one disease”.2

The nose is an air conditioner—filtering, warming and humidifying over 10 000 litres of air daily before it progresses to the lungs. The nasal passages and associated structures bear the brunt of environmental contact, being the first site of allergen, microbial and particle deposition. As a consequence, the upper airway is the location of a highly-developed innate and adaptive immune system. Effective mucociliary clearance is vital for respiratory health, as evidenced by the effects of defects such as primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD) and cystic fibrosis. Sinus disease is almost universal in these patients, and even the subgroup of idiopathic bronchiectasis demonstrates high rates of chronic sinus infection, polyps and inflammation.3 Simple measures such as nasal douching can help with symptoms and quality of life. Measurement of nasal nitric oxide is simple and quick,4 and very low levels can alert the physician to the possibility of PCD before major lung damage is sustained, thus allowing the benefit of early physiotherapy.

An important lymphoid tissue mass (tonsils and adenoids) collectively termed Waldeyer’s ring and prominent in childhood is a unique immunological organ surrounding the upper airway. It supports development and maturation of the immune system. A defective or compromised immune response often manifests initially with recurrent upper airway infection before involving the lungs or other organs. The upper airway epithelium can rapidly generate an array of immunomodulatory cytokines, chemokines and growth factors in response to injury, allergen or pathogen contact that can activate and sustain an airway inflammatory response. The submucosal tissue is rich in antigen presenting cells that can sample the environment, process information and possibly predispose to by the presence of thymic stromal lymphopoietin in nasal epithelium.5 6 Alternatively, Th1 mechanisms give rise to serious systemic disorders such as Wegener’s granulomatosis in which, again, upper airway manifestations usually appear first.7 Early diagnosis and rapid treatment can prevent further organ involvement such as renal disease and improve prognosis. The upper airway is also a site of manifestation of rheumatological disease such as Sjögren’s syndrome or disorders of vascular remodelling impairment such as hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia. It is therefore evident that a broad spectrum of disease can present at the nasal level before involving the remainder of the airways, allowing a window of opportunity for diagnosis and early intervention.

Rhinitis means inflammation of the nasal lining, but the term is used to define a constellation of symptoms—nasal obstruction or congestion, rhinorhoea
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