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Chronic bronchitis before age 50 years predicts incident airflow limitation and mortality risk
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ABSTRACT

Background: Previous studies on the relationship of chronic bronchitis to incident airflow limitation and all-cause mortality have provided conflicting results, with positive findings reported mainly by studies that included populations of young adults. This study sought to determine whether having chronic cough and sputum production in the absence of airflow limitation is associated with an onset of airflow limitation, all-cause mortality and serum levels of C-reactive protein (CRP) and interleukin-8 (IL-8), and whether subjects’ age influences these relationships.

Methods: 1412 participants in the long-term Tucson Epidemiological Study of Airway Obstructive Disease who at enrolment (1972–1973) were 21–80 years old and had FEV1/FVC (forced expiratory volume in 1 s) < 70% and no asthma were identified. Chronic bronchitis was defined as cough and phlegm production on most days for >3 months in two or more consecutive years. Incidence of airflow limitation was defined as the first follow-up survey with FEV1/FVC < 70%. Serum IL-8 and CRP levels were measured in cryopreserved samples from the enrolment survey.

Results: After adjusting for covariates, chronic bronchitis at enrolment significantly increased the risk for incident airflow limitation and all-cause mortality among subjects <50 years old (HR 2.2, 95% CI 1.3 to 3.8; and HR 2.2, 95% CI 1.3 to 3.8, respectively), but not among subjects ≥50 years old (HR 0.9, 95% CI 0.6 to 1.4; and HR 1.0, 95% CI 0.7 to 1.3). Chronic bronchitis was associated with increased IL-8 and CRP serum levels only among subjects <50 years old.

Conclusions: Among adults <50 years old, chronic bronchitis unaccompanied by airflow limitation may represent an early marker of susceptibility to the effects of cigarette smoking on systemic inflammation and long-term risk for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and all-cause mortality.

Chronic bronchitis (i.e. chronic cough and sputum production) is a common clinical feature associated with cigarette smoking and it can precede by years the development of any spirometric abnormalities indicative of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) among smokers. Whether mucus hypersecretion has independent effects on predisposing to chronic airflow limitation has long been debated and recent studies have indeed provided conflicting results on the subject. In the Copenhagen City Heart study, participants who had productive cough were found to have a non-significant increase in their risk of incident airflow limitation over a 15-year follow-up, although their FEV1 (forced expiratory volume in 1 s) decline was on average 25 ml per year steeper than that of subjects with no chronic bronchitis. In that study, the mean age of subjects with no COPD at baseline was 52 years. In contrast, in the younger cohort of the European Community Respiratory Health Survey (ECRHS) conducted among adults 20–44 years old at enrolment, subjects who reported chronic cough and/or phlegm at baseline had a more than twofold increased risk of developing incident airflow limitation over the 9-year follow-up, as compared with subjects with no chronic cough and phlegm. This association was confirmed after adjustment for multiple covariates. In a third study from Sweden, the presence of chronic productive cough was found to be associated with a significant 46% increase in the risk of incident airflow limitation over 10 years of follow-up in an adult population that included three cohorts of subjects who were 36–37, 51–52 and 66–67 years old at enrolment. Results were reported for the three cohorts combined and it was not possible to determine whether these associations were different across different age groups.

In addition to COPD risk, chronic bronchitis may also increase all-cause mortality risk. However, much like for the case of the association between chronic bronchitis and incident COPD, studies have also produced inconsistent findings on the effects of chronic bronchitis on all-cause mortality, with the strongest links reported by studies that included younger study populations.

Taken together, these observations suggest that conflicting evidence emerging from previous studies on clinical outcomes of chronic bronchitis may be partly explained by differences in the age distributions of the subjects studied, with the impact of chronic bronchitis on both incident airflow limitation and mortality being stronger among young as compared with older adults.

The exact mechanisms by which chronic cough and phlegm production may affect the incidence of COPD and mortality risk remain largely unknown. Phlegm hypersecretion has long been associated with airway inflammation. There is now convincing evidence that in COPD airway inflammation may extend beyond the lung to systemic inflammation which, in turn, has been implicated in the systemic manifestations and excess mortality risk of this disease. In this framework, it is reasonable to hypothesise that chronic bronchitis represents an early marker of susceptibility to both the proinflammatory effects and the long-term health consequences of cigarette smoking and, thus, that the same factors that influence inflammatory response in subjects with chronic bronchitis will, in turn, also affect the risk for incident COPD and mortality associated with this phenotype.
In the current study, we sought to determine whether having chronic cough and sputum production is associated with subsequent incidence of airflow limitation, risk for all-cause mortality and increased serum levels of interleukin 8 (IL-8) and C-reactive protein (CRP). We also sought to determine whether these associations differed significantly between adults <50 and ≥50 years old.

**METHODS**

**Study population and definition of exposure at the enrolment survey**

The Tucson Epidemiological Study of Airway Obstructive Disease (TESAOD) is a population-based prospective cohort study initiated in Tucson, Arizona, in 1972. Details of the enrolment process have been reported previously. At the enrolment survey, 2754 white participants (age range: 6–95 years) completed both a standardised respiratory questionnaire and spirometric lung function tests with a pneumotachygraphic device. Twelve additional follow-up surveys were completed approximately every 2 years between 1974 and 1996, in which participants completed questionnaires and, with the exception of survey 4, performed follow-up spirometric lung function tests. Protocols for lung function testing were consistent across surveys, and American Thoracic Society (ATS) guidelines were integrated into the protocols after their publication in 1979. Protocols for lung function testing were consistent across surveys, and American Thoracic Society (ATS) guidelines were integrated into the protocols after their publication in 1979. Methods used to perform lung function tests before 1979 are described elsewhere.

For the present study, we used data from participants who at the enrolment survey in 1972–1973: (1) were 21–50 years old (2135 of the total 2754 subjects, 78%); (2) did not report a physician-confirmed diagnosis of asthma (1893 of the remaining 2135 subjects, 89%); (3) did not report having ever had lung/chest surgery (1852/1893, 98%); (4) were not pregnant (1831/1893, 99%); and (5) had an FEV1/FVC (forced vital capacity) ratio ≥70% (1599/1851, 87%). In addition, to be included in the present study subjects also had to have at least one lung function follow-up test (1412 of the remaining 1599 subjects, 88%).

Participants were considered to have chronic bronchitis at enrolment if they reported cough and sputum production on most days for at least 3 months in at least two consecutive years. Chronic cough was defined as a cough meeting the above temporal criteria regardless of the presence of accompanying phlegm production. Pack-years at enrolment were computed for each participant based on responses to a series of smoking questions. We categorised subjects as ever smokers if they had at least 1 pack-year at the enrolment survey.

Skin prick tests, serum immunoglobulin E (IgE) measurements (PRIST [paper radioimmunosorbent test] method) and blood eosinophil counts were also performed at the enrolment survey (see online data).

**Assessment of outcomes**

Incident airflow limitation was defined as an FEV1/FVC ratio <70% in any of the follow-up surveys. Because the FEV1/FVC ratio declines significantly with ageing, sensitivity analyses were also repeated after defining incident airflow limitation as the first survey with an FEV1/FVC ratio below the sex- and age-specific lower limit of normal.

The vital status of TESAOD participants as of January 2005 was determined through direct contact with the family or designated next of kin of the participant, linkage with the Social Security Death Index and—for deaths that occurred after 1978—linkage with the National Death Index, which has been shown to be a reliable and specific means of establishing the vital status of study participants.

**Molecular assays**

We measured levels of IL-8 and CRP in cryopreserved serum samples that were collected during the enrolment survey. IL-8 levels were measured using a commercially available ELISA kit (HyCult Biotechnology b.v., Cell Sciences, Norwood, Massachusetts, USA). CRP levels were measured with the enzymatic solid-phase chemiluminescent immunometric assay supported by Immulite 2000 (Siemens Diagnostics, Tarrytown, New York, USA).

**Statistical analyses**

Standard parametric tests and χ² tests were used to compare baseline characteristics of subjects with and without chronic bronchitis. IgE and CRP values were log-transformed to achieve normalisation. Because IL-8 levels were undetectable (ie, <4.09 pg/ml) in 14% of the analysed serum samples, they were categorised into quartiles. Elevated IL-8 levels were defined as IL-8 levels above the median (top two quartiles). The relationship of chronic bronchitis to IL-8 and CRP levels was also tested after adjustment for covariates in multiple logistic regression and multiple linear regression models, respectively.

The relationship of chronic bronchitis to incident airflow limitation and to all-cause mortality was investigated in Cox proportional hazards models. In analyses on incident airflow limitation, the end date was the first survey with an FEV1/FVC ratio <70% for incident cases and the last survey with completed spirometry for subjects who did not develop airflow limitation. In mortality analyses, the end date was the date of death for deceased subjects and 1 January 2005 for subjects who were still alive as of that date. In Cox models, effect modification by smoking and age was tested by including interaction terms between chronic bronchitis and smoking (ever smokers ≥1 pack-year vs never smoked ≥1 pack-year) and between chronic bronchitis and age (<50 years vs ≥50 years). The age cut-off of 50 years was selected because it was the median age of the study population.

For illustrative purposes, Kaplan–Meier survival curves for incident airflow limitation and all-cause mortality were produced for “combinatorial groups” that were generated based on (1) the combination of smoking status and chronic bronchitis at enrolment and (2) the combination of age and chronic bronchitis at enrolment.

Additional details on the study methods are provided in the online data.

**RESULTS**

**Comparison of TESAOD participants included and excluded from the present study**

Among the 1599 TESAOD participants who, at enrolment, met the inclusion criteria for the present analyses, 1412 (88%) had at least one follow-up lung function test and were included in the present study, whereas 187 (12%) did not and were excluded from all subsequent analyses. The only significant difference between subjects included and excluded from the present study was that, as compared with the latter, those included were more likely to have >12 years of formal education (45% vs 35%, respectively, p = 0.008). The two groups were comparable for all
other demographic and clinical factors, supporting the representative nature of the study population.

Comparison of subjects with and without chronic bronchitis at enrolment
Of the 1412 subjects included in the present study, 97 (6.9%) reported chronic bronchitis at the enrolment survey. As compared with subjects with no chronic bronchitis, participants with chronic bronchitis were more likely to be males and smokers, had fewer years of formal education and slightly lower FEV1/FVC ratio at enrolment (table 1). No significant differences between the two groups were found in terms of age, body mass index (BMI), skin tests, total IgE levels or eosinophilia.

Effects of chronic bronchitis on incident airflow limitation
Participants with and without chronic bronchitis at enrolment completed on average a similar number of lung function tests during the study follow-up (mean number of tests (SD) 6.2 (3) vs 6.4 (3); p = 0.46). During follow-up, 42% (41/97) of subjects with chronic bronchitis developed airflow limitation vs 28% (304/1315) of subjects with no chronic bronchitis (p<0.001).

The relationship of chronic bronchitis to the risk of developing incident airflow limitation was further investigated in Cox proportional hazards models (table 2). In univariate analyses, participants with chronic bronchitis had a significant 94% increased risk for developing airflow limitation (95% CI 40% to 169%). However, after adjusting for age and the other covariates that were found to be significantly associated with chronic bronchitis in table 1 (ie, sex, education, pack-years and the FEV1/FVC ratio at enrolment), this association became marginal (hazard ratio (HR) from multivariate model 1: 1.57, 95% CI 0.98 to 1.92). An interaction term between chronic bronchitis and ever smoking was tested and found to be non-significant, although the adjusted HR for the increased risk of airflow limitation associated with chronic bronchitis tended to be higher among smokers (1.50, 95% CI 1.05 to 2.15) than never smokers (0.86, 95% CI 0.27 to 2.72) (data not shown in the table). In contrast, we found a significant interaction between chronic bronchitis and age (model 2 in the table), with the adjusted HR associated with chronic bronchitis being significantly higher among subjects <50 years of age (2.24, 95% CI 1.33 to 3.76) than among subjects ≥50 years of age (0.92, 95% CI 0.59 to 1.43; data computed with linear contrasts and not shown in the table). Inclusion of usual number of cigarettes per day as an additional covariate in the model left the results unchanged. These results thus indicate that chronic bronchitis increases by more than twofold the risk of developing airflow limitation among adults <50 years, whereas it is associated with no increased risk among older adults.

Participants were also divided into subgroups based on (1) the combination of smoking status (ever smoked >1 pack-year vs never smoked 1 pack-year) and chronic bronchitis at enrolment; and (2) the combination of age (<50 years vs ≥50 years) and chronic bronchitis at enrolment. As shown in fig 1, the effects of chronic bronchitis on incident airflow limitation were strong among smokers (fig 1A) and subjects <50 years old (fig 1B), whereas no effects were found among never smokers and subjects ≥50 years old.

Because the FEV1/FVC ratio declines significantly with ageing, the effect modification by age on the association between chronic bronchitis and incident airflow limitation could be due to the different sensitivity and specificity of a fixed FEV1/FVC ratio <70% in identifying true COPD cases among younger as compared with older adults. To rule out this possibility, we repeated Cox models and confirmed the main findings after defining incident airflow limitation as the first survey with an FEV1/FVC ratio below the lower limit of normal.23 In these sensitivity analyses, the adjusted HRs for chronic bronchitis were 1.58 (95% CI 1.05 to 2.39) in the total population, 2.34 (95% CI 1.37 to 4.00) among subjects <50 years old and 1.18 (95% CI 0.61 to 2.09) among subjects ≥50 years old. The p value associated with the interaction term between chronic bronchitis and age was 0.08.

In the online data, results are also presented from sensitivity analyses (1) adding to the study population the 145 subjects.

Table 1 Characteristics of TESAOD participants with and without chronic bronchitis at enrolment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Participants with chronic bronchitis at enrolment (n = 97)</th>
<th>Participants without chronic bronchitis at enrolment (n = 1315)</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex: % male</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in years: mean (SD)</td>
<td>50 (14)</td>
<td>49 (18)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (n = 1383): mean (SD)</td>
<td>25.0 (4.8)</td>
<td>24.4 (3.7)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of formal education: % with &gt;12 years</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack-years (n = 1411): %</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% 1–19.9 pack-years</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% 20–49.9 pack-years</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% &gt;50 pack-years</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergy skin tests† (n = 1393): % positive</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total IgE in IU/ml (n = 1284): geometric mean (95% CI)</td>
<td>28 (20 to 38)</td>
<td>23 (21 to 25)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophilia‡ (n = 1067): % positive</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1/FVC ratio: mean (SD)</td>
<td>81.3 (6)</td>
<td>83.0 (7)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n is 1412 unless otherwise specified.

*p Value for the comparison between the two groups.

†Wheat at least 2 mm larger than the control wheal for at least one of five tested allergens (house dust, Bermuda grass, tree mix, weed mix and Dematiaceae mould mix).

‡Eosinophils were measured as percentages from stained blood smears, and blood eosinophilia was defined as eosinophils >4%.

FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; IgE, immunoglobulin E; TESAOD, Tucson Epidemiological Study of Airway Obstructive Disease.
with physician-confirmed diagnosis of asthma at enrolment (30 of whom with chronic bronchitis at enrolment) who were excluded from the main analyses (table E1 and fig E1 online) and (2) using chronic cough (no. of cases = 162) instead of chronic bronchitis as the main predictor (table E2 and fig E2 online). In all cases, both the association of chronic bronchitis/chronic cough with incident airflow limitation and their interaction with age were confirmed.

Effects of chronic bronchitis on mortality risk
As of January 2005, 63% of the subjects who had chronic bronchitis at enrolment had died as compared with 50% of the subjects with no chronic bronchitis (p = 0.02), despite the similar age distribution of the two groups at enrolment. In Cox models, after adjusting for sex, age, education, pack-years and FEV1/FVC ratio at enrolment, the presence of chronic bronchitis was still associated with a significant 31% increased risk of all-cause mortality (adjusted HR (adjHR) 1.31, 95% CI 1.00 to 1.71). Much as was the case for incident airflow limitation, the risk for all-cause mortality associated with chronic bronchitis was stronger among smokers (adjHR 1.50, 95% CI 1.12 to 2.01) than non-smokers (0.80, 95% CI 0.40 to 1.62), and among subjects <50 years of age (2.22, 95% CI 1.30 to 3.79) than among subjects ≥50 years of age (0.96, 95% CI 0.70 to 1.31). When tested in Cox models, the interaction term between chronic bronchitis and smoking was borderline significant (p = 0.10), whereas the interaction term between chronic bronchitis and age was highly significant (p = 0.007) and remained significant (p = 0.005) after inclusion of usual number of cigarettes per day as an additional covariate in the model. Figure 2 shows the survival curves for the combinatorial groups, which confirmed that chronic bronchitis had effects on all-cause mortality among subjects <50 years old, but not among subjects ≥50 years old. Replacing the FEV1/FVC ratio with FEV1 percent predicted in Cox models produced similar results.

Chronic bronchitis and serum levels of IL-8 and CRP
Cryopreserved serum samples from the enrolment survey were available for 1087 of the 1412 subjects (77%) included in the present study. The distribution by IL-8 quartiles differed significantly between subjects with and without chronic bronchitis (p = 0.02), with 66% of subjects with chronic bronchitis having elevated serum IL-8 levels (ie, above the table.

Table 2 Cox proportional hazards models predicting incident airflow limitation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate model 1</th>
<th>Multivariate model 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: male</td>
<td>1.36 (1.10 to 1.68)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1.16 (0.92 to 1.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in years</td>
<td>1.04 (1.03 to 1.04)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.03 (1.02 to 1.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formal education: &gt;12 years</td>
<td>0.58 (0.46 to 0.72)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.85 (0.68 to 1.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1/FVC ratio (%)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.86 to 0.89)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.89 (0.88 to 0.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack-years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1 pack-year</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–19.9 pack-years</td>
<td>1.19 (0.90 to 1.59)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.50 (1.12 to 2.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥20–49 pack-years</td>
<td>2.79 (2.14 to 3.64)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.75 (1.32 to 2.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50 pack-years</td>
<td>3.78 (2.64 to 5.40)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.28 (1.57 to 3.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic bronchitis</td>
<td>1.94 (1.40 to 2.69)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.37 (0.98 to 1.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Categorical age: &lt;50 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction term: chronic bronchitis × age ≥50 years</td>
<td>2.22 (1.71 to 2.89)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.41 (0.21 to 0.80)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All predictors were measured at the enrolment survey (1972–1973). n is 1410 because two subjects had missing information.

*This HR represents the increase in risk associated with chronic bronchitis among subjects <50 years old.

FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity.

Figure 1 Kaplan–Meier survival curves for incident airflow limitation. (A) Survival curves for the four groups generated by the combination of smoking status (ever smoked at least 1 pack-year vs never smoked 1 pack-year) and chronic bronchitis (C. Bronchitis) at enrolment. (B) Survival curves for the four groups generated by the combination of age (<50 years vs ≥50 years) and chronic bronchitis at enrolment.
and adults significantly associated with chronic bronchitis among smokers and concurrent airflow limitation becomes very similar among young adults with chronic bronchitis than in subjects with no chronic bronchitis. However, ours is the first study to address systematically and demonstrate the existence of this effect modification by age within the same population, with the important advantage of removing or reducing critical sources of interstudy variation in terms of methodological approaches, the genetic background of study populations and different levels of exposure to environmental factors.

There are several possible explanations for the effect modification by age on the relationship of chronic bronchitis to incident airflow limitation and mortality. First, using a fixed FEV₁/FVC cut-off of 70% to define airflow limitation is likely to result in underdiagnosing COPD among young adults and overdiagnosing it among older subjects. Therefore, it is possible that the age modification is simply due to the different sensitivity and specificity of an FEV₁/FVC ratio <70% in identifying true COPD cases in different age groups. We consider this possibility unlikely because findings were confirmed when analyses were repeated after defining airflow limitation based on the lower limit of normal equations for FEV₁/FVC,²⁸ which control for the age effects. Secondly, it is possible that the negative effects of chronic bronchitis are detected only among young adults because, among older subjects, other environmental exposures accumulate with ageing and—by mid to late adult life—affect clinical outcomes in such a predominant way that they can “mask” the relatively modest effects of chronic bronchitis. While this explanation may apply to all-cause mortality (fig 2B), it is not as plausible in relation to incident airflow limitation (fig 1B) because older subjects did not appear to be at substantially greater risk for COPD than young adults with chronic bronchitis.

An alternative explanation is that among smokers before age 50 years—when the level of exposure to pack-years is usually lower and clearance and defence systems in the lungs more effective than at older ages—the onset of chronic bronchitis represents an “early” marker of susceptibility to the effects of cigarette smoking that will, in turn, lead to subsequent tobacco-related development of COPD and risk of premature death. Of note, these subjects would be excluded from a study on incident COPD like ours if they are enrolled in the study later in life when they have already developed airflow limitation and, thus, prevalent COPD. Therefore, in prospective studies on incident COPD like ours, subjects with chronic bronchitis who entered the study over age 50 years will be mainly represented by individuals who developed this phenotype late in adult life as a marker of weak susceptibility to smoking. This scenario is indirectly supported by the observation that, if the absence of airflow limitation at enrolment is removed from the inclusion criteria of our study, the association between chronic bronchitis and concurrent airflow limitation becomes very similar among subjects <50 and ≥50 years old (OR 3.7 and 4.2, respectively; data not shown). These observations have important public health implications, as they suggest that the lung effects of cigarette smoking have a stronger impact on the young than on the elderly, and that this impact is underestimated by conventional COPD criteria of our study, the association between chronic bronchitis and airflow limitation at enrolment is removed from the inclusion criteria of our study, the association between chronic bronchitis and concurrent airflow limitation becomes very similar among young adults with chronic bronchitis than in subjects with no chronic bronchitis. However, ours is the first study to address systematically and demonstrate the existence of this effect modification by age within the same population, with the important advantage of removing or reducing critical sources of interstudy variation in terms of methodological approaches, the genetic background of study populations and different levels of exposure to environmental factors.

There are several possible explanations for the effect modification by age on the relationship of chronic bronchitis to incident airflow limitation and mortality. First, using a fixed FEV₁/FVC cut-off of 70% to define airflow limitation is likely to result in underdiagnosing COPD among young adults and overdiagnosing it among older subjects. Therefore, it is possible that the age modification is simply due to the different sensitivity and specificity of an FEV₁/FVC ratio <70% in identifying true COPD cases in different age groups. We consider this possibility unlikely because findings were confirmed when analyses were repeated after defining airflow limitation based on the lower limit of normal equations for FEV₁/FVC,²⁸ which control for the age effects. Secondly, it is possible that the negative effects of chronic bronchitis are detected only among young adults because, among older subjects, other environmental exposures accumulate with ageing and—by mid to late adult life—affect clinical outcomes in such a predominant way that they can “mask” the relatively modest effects of chronic bronchitis. While this explanation may apply to all-cause mortality (fig 2B), it is not as plausible in relation to incident airflow limitation (fig 1B) because older subjects did not appear to be at substantially greater risk for COPD than young adults with chronic bronchitis.

An alternative explanation is that among smokers before age 50 years—when the level of exposure to pack-years is usually lower and clearance and defence systems in the lungs more effective than at older ages—the onset of chronic bronchitis represents an “early” marker of susceptibility to the effects of cigarette smoking that will, in turn, lead to subsequent tobacco-related development of COPD and risk of premature death. Of note, these subjects would be excluded from a study on incident COPD like ours if they are enrolled in the study later in life when they have already developed airflow limitation and, thus, prevalent COPD. Therefore, in prospective studies on incident COPD like ours, subjects with chronic bronchitis who entered the study over age 50 years will be mainly represented by individuals who developed this phenotype late in adult life as a marker of weak susceptibility to smoking. This scenario is indirectly supported by the observation that, if the absence of airflow limitation at enrolment is removed from the inclusion criteria of our study, the association between chronic bronchitis and concurrent airflow limitation becomes very similar among subjects <50 and ≥50 years old (OR 3.7 and 4.2, respectively; data not shown). These observations have important public health implications, as they suggest that the lung effects of cigarette smoking have a stronger impact on the young than on the elderly, and that this impact is underestimated by conventional COPD criteria.
health implications because they indicate that, among smokers in their mid to late adult life, screening for individuals with chronic bronchitis may allow identification and treatment of subjects who have developed COPD, but only among younger smokers will it also have a critical impact on identifying subjects at risk for COPD.

Our findings are also consistent with a scenario in which, among smokers with chronic bronchitis, susceptibility to clinical outcomes is at least partly mediated by a systemic inflammatory response because—much like for the association between chronic bronchitis and clinical outcomes (ie, airflow limitation and mortality)—the relationship of chronic bronchitis to IL-8 and CRP levels also appeared stronger among young than older adults. Thus, in this scenario young smokers who develop chronic bronchitis are also predisposed to mount an inflammatory response to cigarette smoking, which, in turn, puts them at increased risk for both COPD and all-cause mortality. These hypotheses could not be conclusively tested in our study because of the concurrent assessment of chronic bronchitis and molecular markers.

As expected, chronic bronchitis was strongly associated with cigarette smoking in our study, and its effects on incident airflow limitation, mortality risk and systemic inflammation appeared stronger among smokers than never smokers. Indeed, no significant association between chronic bronchitis and any of the above outcomes was found among never smokers. However, it should be noted that we had very limited statistical power to test any interaction between chronic bronchitis and smoking in our analyses because only 15 non-smokers had chronic bronchitis at enrolment. Thus, it is not possible to determine whether the effects of chronic bronchitis on these outcomes are truly absent among never smokers or if we could not detect them in this group simply because of sample size issues.

Other limitations of our study are the absence of data on lung function after bronchodilator use and the possibility of residual confounding. As with most large epidemiological studies, postbronchodilator lung function tests were not available for the vast majority of TESAOD surveys. Thus, to what extent incident airflow limitation in our study can be used as a surrogate of GOLD (Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease)-defined COPD (which uses postbronchodilator lung function tests) is not known, although the exclusion of asthma cases and the strong association between smoking and airflow limitation suggest that the majority of incident cases in our study do represent subjects with COPD. In addition, it should be noted that, although analyses were adjusted for smoking status and pack-years at enrolment, no information on temporal changes of smoking habits during the study follow-up.

Figure 3  Proportion (and 95% CIs) of subjects with elevated interleukin-8 (IL-8) levels—defined as IL-8 levels above the median—(A and B) and geometric means (and 95% CIs) of serum C-reactive protein (CRP) levels (C and D) in each of the combinatorial groups generated by the combination of smoking status and chronic bronchitis, and by the combination of age and chronic bronchitis. *CB, chronic bronchitis. The p value refers to the statistical comparison across the four groups.
was included in the Cox models. However, this limitation could have inflated the association between chronic bronchitis and COPD/mortality only in the unlikely event that smokers with chronic bronchitis were more likely to continue to smoke and/or to increase the number of cigarettes smoked during the study follow-up than were smokers with no chronic bronchitis. In addition, the potential confounding effect of smoking per se appeared to have little impact on the age modification of the relationship of chronic bronchitis to incident airflow limitation and mortality because inclusion of the usual number of cigarettes per day as an additional covariate in the models had virtually no effect on the interaction terms.

Strengths of our study are the population-based prospective nature of the TESAOD cohort; the multiple long-term assessments of incident airflow limitation in up to 12 follow-up surveys; the exhaustive search for information on vital status of participants via direct contact with family/next of kin as well as linkage with two national death indexes; and the availability of data on systemic biomarkers and phenotypic information. This information allowed us to adjust analyses for multiple demographic and clinical factors that are independently linked to chronic bronchitis and may act as confounders. In our study, survival analyses for incident airflow limitation (as well as those for all-cause mortality) were also adjusted for levels of the FEV1/FVC ratio at the enrolment survey, suggesting that subjects with chronic bronchitis are not at increased risk for developing COPD (or for earlier mortality) simply because they started the study with already lower levels of lung function.

In conclusion, among adults <50 years old, chronic bronchitis represents an early marker of susceptibility to the effects of cigarette smoking. This susceptibility appears to be partly mediated by systemic inflammation and is associated with long-term increased risk for developing COPD and for all-cause mortality.

Acknowledgements: We are grateful to the TESAOD research team, the study nurse Bobbie Boyer, RN, and the study participants for their extraordinary dedication to the study. We are also thankful to Susan Solomon and Stefania Scott for data management and IT support, and to Robert Bilgrad for his support during the collection of mortality data from the National Death Index.

Funding: This study was funded by grants HL14136 and HL085195 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, a grant award by the American Thoracic Society/Alpha1 Foundation, grant 0660059Z by the American Heart Association, and an grant award by the American Thoracic Society/ATS Foundation. SC is the recipient of a Parker B. Francis Fellowship.

Competing interests: None.

Ethics approval: Ethics approval was obtained from the University of Arizona Institutional Review Board.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

REFERENCES