rates are clearly significant, favouring varenicline over nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) ($p = 0.04$).

In addition, while Hillman *et al* question the justification of the additional cost of varenicline over NRT, the NICE technology appraisal guidance concluded that, over a lifetime, varenicline is more cost-effective than both bupropion SR and NRT.⁵

In conclusion, we feel that we honestly reported our results, not claiming any superiority of varenicline over NRT in the long term, either in the abstract or in the conclusion of the paper. Rather, we hoped to convey the message that any intervention shown to be at least as clinically effective as NRT is an important additional option for smokers attempting to quit.

H-J Aubin,¹ A Bobak,² J R Britton,³ C Oncken,⁴
C B Billing Jr,⁵ J Gong,⁵ K E Williams,⁵ K R Reeves⁵

¹ Hôpital Emile Roux, Assistance Publique-Hopitaux de Paris, Limeil-Brévannes, France; Centre d'Enseignement, de Recherche et de Traitement des Addictions, Hôpital Paul Brousse, Paris, France; Assistance Publique-Hopitaux de Paris, Villejuif, France; INSERM, Paris, France; ² Wandsworth Medical Centre, London, UK; ³ University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK; ⁴ University of Connecticut Health Center,

Farmington, Connecticut, USA; ⁵ Pfizer Global Research and Development, Groton, Connecticut, USA

Correspondence to: Dr H-J Aubin, Centre de Traitement des Addictions, Hôpital Emile Roux, F-94456 Limeil-Brévannes Cedex, France; henri-jean.aubin@erx.aphp.fr

Competing interests: H-JA has received sponsorship to attend scientific meetings, speaker honoraria and consultancy fees from GlaxoSmithKline, Pierre-Fabre Sante, Sanofi-Aventis, Merck-Lipha and Pfizer. AB has received sponsorship to attend scientific meetings, speaker honoraria and consultancy fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis and Pfizer. In the past 5 years JRB has received consultancy fees from Xenova and Novartis and his employing institution has received consultancy fees and honoraria on his behalf from Pfizer. CO has received honoraria and consulting fees from Pfizer; nicotine and placebo products for research studies at no cost from GlaxoSmithKline; and honoraria from Pri-Med and CME outfitters. CBB, JG, KEW and KRR are employees of Pfizer.

REFERENCES

1. Hajek P, West R, Foulds J, *et al*. Randomized comparative trial of nicotine polacrilex, a transdermal patch, nasal spray, and an inhaler. *Arch Intern Med* 1999;**159**:2033–8.
2. Hurt RD, Sachs DPL, Glover ED, *et al*. A comparison of sustained-release bupropion and placebo for smoking cessation. *N Engl J Med* 1997;**23**:1195–202.

3. Jorenby DE, Scott PD, Leischow SJ, *et al*. A controlled trial of sustained-release bupropion, a nicotine patch, or both for smoking cessation. *N Engl J Med* 1999;**340**:685–91.
4. West R, Hajek P, Stead L, *et al*. Outcome criteria in smoking cessation trials: proposal for a common standard. *Addiction* 2005;**100**: 299–303.
5. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). *Varenicline for smoking cessation*. NICE Technology Appraisal Guidance 123. London: NICE, 2007. <http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/pdf/TA123Guidance.pdf> (accessed 28 March 2008).

CORRECTION

doi:10.1136/thorax.57.10.847corr1

G C Donaldson, T A R Seemungal, A Bhowmik, and J A Wedzicha. Relationship between exacerbation frequency and lung function decline in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax* 2002;**57**:847–52.

The legend for fig 2 should read: "Change in FEV₁ with standard errors over 4 years. Open circles represent frequent exacerbators; closed circles represent infrequent exacerbators."

Pulmonary puzzle

ANSWER

From the question on page 746

The differential diagnosis for pulmonary infiltrates in the immunocompromised host includes opportunistic infections, drug toxicity, alveolar haemorrhage and progression of the primary disease. The diagnostic yield of bronchoscopy in immunocompromised patients with lung infiltrates is variable with a higher yield (~81%) for infectious aetiologies. On the basis of the larvae in the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, our case was diagnosed as *Strongyloides* hyperinfection.

Strongyloidiasis is caused by an infection with *Strongyloides stercoralis*, a helminth that can complete its life cycle entirely within the human host.¹ This autoinfection permits the organism to persist for decades and allows hyperinfection to occur in states of impaired cell-mediated immunity.² Detection of a large number of larvae in the stool and/or bronchoalveolar lavage fluid or sputum is a hallmark of hyperinfection. The diagnosis requires a high index of suspicion and patients who have peripheral eosinophilia, serpiginous rash or history of soil exposure in tropical and subtropical areas should be screened by stool studies before any form of immunosuppression. In disseminated disease or steroid exposure eosinophilia may be absent.

The respiratory symptoms in strongyloidosis are caused by the migrating larvae producing alveolar haemorrhage, oedema or inflammatory changes. Adult worms are known to cause chronic bronchitis or asthma-like symptoms. The chest radiograph or a CT scan in those with clinical signs and symptoms will usually show abnormal findings including fine miliary nodules or diffuse reticular infiltrates. As the infection progresses there may be bronchopneumonia with scattered ill-defined alveolar, segmental or even lobar opacities similar to those seen in Löffler's syndrome or eosinophilic pneumonitis.

The current treatment of choice for strongyloidiasis is ivermectin with albendazole as an alternative. Our patient was treated with ivermectin for 5 days. He was extubated and his nodular infiltrates cleared slowly over 6 weeks.

Thorax 2008;**63**:753. doi:10.1136/thx.2007.90100a

REFERENCES

1. Vadlamudi RS, Chi DS, Krishnaswamy G. Intestinal strongyloidiasis and hyperinfection syndrome. *Clin Mol Allergy* 2006;**4**:8.
2. Keiser PB, Nutman TB. *Strongyloides stercoralis* in the immunocompromised population. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2004;**17**:208–17.