Chronic cough: how do cough reflex sensitivity and subjective assessments correlate with objective cough counts during ambulatory monitoring?

Samantha Clare Decalmer, Deborah Webster, Angela Alice Kelsall, Kevin McGuinness, Ashley Arthur Woodcock, Jaclyn Ann Smith

**Background:** Cough reflex sensitivity, subjective estimates of cough frequency and cough-related quality of life have been used to assess cough and monitor treatment responses. The relationships between these measures and objective cough monitoring remain unclear and the usefulness of subjective assessments remains questionable.

**Subjects:** 62 patients with chronic cough (39 women) were studied. Mean age of patients was 54.9 (SD 12.2) years, with a median duration of cough of 5.5 (range 1–30) years.

**Methods:** Cough reflex sensitivity testing (C5; citric acid) was performed in all patients before fully ambulatory day-time and night-time cough recordings. Patients scored the frequency and severity of their cough (Visual Analogue Scales (VAS) and 0–5 score) for each recording period and completed a cough-related quality-of-life questionnaire, Leicester Cough Questionnaire (LCQ). Ambulatory cough recordings were manually counted and reported in terms of cough seconds per hour (cs/h). Cough rates were log10 transformed for analysis.

**Results:** The median time spent coughing was 11.36 (range 1.06–46) cs/h with median day rates of 15.59 (range 2–74.8) cs/h and median night rates of 2.94 (range 0–26.67) cs/h. An inverse relationship was seen between day cough rates and log10 C5 (r = -0.452, p < 0.001). Subjective cough scores and visual analogue scales were only moderately associated with objective time spent coughing, with night-time being scores more strongly associated than day-time scores. The strongest correlation with objective cough frequency was cough-related quality of life (LCQ), (r = -0.622, p < 0.001), mediated through the psychological domain.

**Conclusions:** Subjective measures of cough and cough reflex sensitivity are only moderately related to objective time spent coughing, and hence cannot be used as surrogate markers for objective cough-frequency measurements. Cough-related quality of life (LCQ) is most strongly related to objectively counted cough, and may be a useful adjunct to objective measures in the assessment of cough.

**Abbreviations:** cs/h, cough seconds per hour; LCQ, Leicester Cough Questionnaire; Log C5, logarithmic transformation of concentration causing 5 coughs; VAS, Visual Analogue Scale.
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PATIENTS AND METHODS
Consecutive patients with chronic cough (>8-weeks' duration), who were referred to the tertiary referral cough clinic at the North West Lung Centre, South Manchester University Hospitals Trust, Manchester, UK, were studied. Current smokers, ex smokers of <6-months' duration, those with a respiratory tract infection in the preceding month and those taking ACE inhibitors or opiates were excluded. A diagnosis of the underlying cause of chronic cough was established from the history, investigations and trials of treatment. Investigations in addition to those reported here included 24 h pH monitoring, bronchoscopy, CT scanning and ENT examination. Diagnoses were established when resolution or considerable improvement of the cough occurred with specific treatment. Patients without definite findings on investigation and no response to treatment were considered idiopathic. All measures of cough were performed before investigation or trials of treatment.

The study was approved by the local research ethics committee and all patients gave written, informed consent. A sample size of 62 patients would have 80% power to detect correlation coefficients of ≥0.35. In a previous study of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, we found that the weakest correlation was between objective cough rate and cough scores (0.37), hence we powered this study to detect correlations of this order and above.

Cough reflex sensitivity
A citric acid cough challenge test was conducted to assess the sensitivity of the cough reflex. Six ascending concentrations (doubling doses from 0.03 to 1 M) were delivered as 12 μl single-breath inhalations (Mefar Dosimeter, Brescia, Italy, or KöKo Dosimeter, De Villibis Health Care Inc, Somerset, Pennsylvania, USA. Pds Instrumentation, USA). Three placebo inhalations of normal saline were randomly interspersed, with both the operator and the patient blinded to their position. After each inhalation, the number of coughs in the subsequent minute was counted by an experienced observer. The challenge was terminated at the concentration of citric acid which resulted in 5 consecutive coughs (C5).

Objective time spent coughing
Ambulatory cough recording was started immediately after the cough challenge was completed; we have previously found that cough reflex testing does not markedly alter objective cough frequency in the subsequent 24 h. Patients were discharged from hospital with the cough monitor in place, and instructed to return to their normal daily routine. Digital sound recordings were made during the day and overnight, as described previously (16 kHz, 16 bit wave format). Cough recordings were transferred from the digital recorders to a personal computer and archived on a compact disc. For each recording, coughs were counted manually in “cough seconds”—that is, the number of seconds per hour in which at least one explosive cough sound was present (cs/h). If coughs occurred as a “peel”, that is several cough sounds occurring after one inspiration, the total number of seconds in which these sounds were heard was recorded. We present objective cough data as time spent coughing per hour (cs/h) for which we have shown a high level of agreement between observers.

Cough monitoring was repeated in 10 patients after 14 days, to measure the repeatability of cough seconds.

Subjective measures of cough
Patients were asked to subjectively assess their cough severity for the day and night recording periods by means of a VAS (100 mm linear scale marked with a horizontal line by the patient, with 0 mm representing “no cough” and 100 mm “worst cough”). Subjective scores of cough frequency were measured by a cough scoring system completed by patients (table 1). Cough-related quality of life
All patients completed the LCQ, a cough-specific quality-of-life measure. This is a 19-item validated, repeatable and responsive questionnaire, which contains physical, social and psychological domains. Answers are graded on a 7-point Likert scale.

Table 1: Cough scoring system adapted from Hsu et al

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Daytime</th>
<th>Night-time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No cough during the day</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cough for one short period</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cough for more than two short periods</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Frequent coughing, which did not interfere with usual daytime activities</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Frequent coughing, which did interfere with usual daytime activities</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Distressing cough most of the day</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Summary statistics for objective and subjective measures of cough during the day and night

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Night</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cough rate (cs/h)</td>
<td>15.59 (2.474.8)</td>
<td>2.94 (0.267)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough score</td>
<td>2.81 (0.85)</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough VAS (mm)</td>
<td>40.6 (23.7)</td>
<td>18 (0.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS (M)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.03–4)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LogCS (M)</td>
<td>−0.52 (0.58)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| cs/h, cough seconds per hour; log CS, logarithmic transformation of concentration causing 5 coughs; VAS, Visual Analogue Scale. |
| Median (range) |
| Wilcoxon signed rank test. |
| t Value |
giving a total score ranging from 3 to 21, a higher score indicating a better health status.14

Statistical analysis
Day, night and total cough rates were positively skewed; logarithmic transformation normalised the distribution of total and daytime rates, but not night-time rates. Night-time VAS and night-time cough scores were also positively skewed and were not normalised by logarithmic transformation. All other variables were normally distributed.

To examine correlations between objective and subjective measures, the appropriate parametric and non-parametric tests were performed using SPSS V.11.0. The relationships between the domains of the LCQ and objective cough rates were examined using a multiple linear regression analysis.

RESULTS
Patients
From 111 patients assessed in the cough clinic, 79 met the criteria for inclusion into the study. Of these, 66 agreed to participate in the study, but 4 patients failed to complete. Data are presented on 62 patients (39 women, 62.9%) with a mean age of 54.9 years (standard deviation (SD) 12.2), mean forced expiratory volume in 1 s 101.8% (16.2%) of predicted and mean FVC 107% (15.5%) of predicted. The median duration of coughing was 5.5 (range 1–30) years. At initial presentation to clinic, 29% (n = 18) of patients were taking inhaled steroids, 1.6% (n = 1) oral steroids, 1.6% (n = 1) nasal steroids, 9.7% (n = 6) antihistamines and 35.5% (n = 22) proton pump inhibitors. In all, 23 patients were ex smokers, with a median period of abstinence of 15 (range 3–35) years.

Based on history, investigation and response to treatment trials, diagnosis was established in 64.5% (n = 40) of patients, and the remainder were classified as idiopathic (n = 22). One diagnosis was identified in 74.2% of patients, two in 21% and three in 4.8%. The most common diagnosis was gastroesophageal reflux disease (n = 33), followed by postnasal drip (n = 8), asthma (n = 6), eosinophilic bronchitis (n = 2), bronchiectasis (n = 2) and tracheopathia osteochondroplastica (n = 2). Isolated cases of tracheomalacia, extrinsic allergic alveolitis, endobronchial amyloidosis, emphysema and respiratory bronchiolitis were also identified.

Objective cough monitoring
All 62 patients had successful daytime recordings, with 58 having successful overnight recordings (4 patients were unable to initiate overnight recordings despite repeated attempts). The median total time spent coughing was 11.36 cs/h, with a wide range noted (1.06–46 cs/h). Median daytime cough rates of 15.59 (range 2–74.8) cs/h were substantially higher than median night-time rates of 2.94 (range 0–26.67) cs/h; (Wilcoxon signed rank test, p < 0.001; table 2 and fig 1). The repeatability of cough monitoring was excellent. Daytime cough rates were similar for both visits (mean (range) days 1 and 14: 13.3 (2–22.8) cs/h and 12 (0.9–27) cs/h, respectively; p = 0.56), with a mean difference of 1.24 cs/h (95% confidence interval (CI) −11.3 to 13.8 cs/h). Overnight cough rates were also reproducible between visits (mean (range) days 1 and 14: 3.7 (0–17.2) cs/h and 2.67 (0.51–12.64) cs/h, respectively; p = 0.14), with a mean difference of 1.91 cs/h (95% CI −5.40 to 9.21).

Relationship between objective cough monitoring and cough reflex sensitivity
One patient coughed on a placebo inhalation of normal saline and therefore did not achieve a measurable C5. In the remaining 61 patients, the median concentration of citric acid inducing 5 coughs was 0.25 (range 0.03–4) M. As cough reflex sensitivity was measured during the day, we investigated relationships with daytime cough counts only. There was a significant inverse correlation between log10 daytime cough rates and log C5 (Pearson’s r = −0.45, p < 0.001; fig 2).

Relationship between objective and subjective cough measures
Subjective cough measures tended to correlate more strongly with objective cough rates for overnight than for daytime recordings and the VAS correlated more strongly than cough scores (table 3).

Cough score
Mean daytime scores were 2.82 (SD 0.85) and median nighttime scores 1 (range 0–4). Day and night cough scores showed moderate positive correlation with logarithmically transformed objective time spent coughing during the day and night, respectively (Pearson’s, day r = 0.50, p < 0.001 and Spearman’s night r = 0.35, p < 0.001).

VAS scores
A mean daytime VAS of 40.5 (SD 23.7) and median night-time VAS of 18 (range 0–87) was seen. These positively correlated with log10 day and night cough rates, respectively (Pearson’s, day r = 0.46, p < 0.001, Spearman’s night r = 0.61, p < 0.001; fig 3).

Cough-related quality of life (LCQ)
All 62 patients completed the LCQ. The median total LCQ Score was 12.8 (SD 3.7); scores for the physical, psychological and social domains were 4.4 (SD 1.1), 4.3 (SD 1.5) and 4.1 (SD 1.5), respectively. A moderately strong negative correlation was seen between log10 total cough rates and total LCQ Score (Pearson’s r = −0.62, p < 0.001; fig 4 and table 3). Similar correlations were seen in the physical, psychological and social domains when examined separately (table 3).

As the LCQ correlated most strongly with total objective cough frequency, a multiple linear regression analysis was used to examine the relative contribution of the subdomains to this relationship. In this analysis, only the psychological domain remained significantly related to objective cough frequency.

Figure 1 Time spent coughing during the day and night in individuals with chronic dry cough.
Most previous studies have used either only subjective measures of cough, or cough-related quality-of-life questionnaires to assess the severity of cough, and monitor treatment responses. However, it has been impossible to assess their validity in the absence of an objective measure of cough frequency.

The use of cough seconds as a measure of cough frequency is different from other investigators who report cough sounds. However, a strong linear relationship has been shown between cough sounds and cough seconds, and excellent interobserver agreement has been noted, making this a comparable measure, giving an indication of overall time spent coughing.\(^\text{17}\)

Cough rates measured in the home environment, with ambulatory monitoring over a 24-h period, seem lower than those reported previously in the shorter studies in fewer patients, mainly under hospital surveillance. For example, Birring et al\(^\text{13}\) recorded mean cough rates of 43 cs/h in studies over 6 h. There are a number of possible explanations for the discrepancy; firstly, the methods for quantifying cough are different. Cough seconds will always be less than the number of explosive cough phases.\(^\text{17}\) Secondly, it is our experience and that of others that cough rate data are positively skewed.\(^\text{22, 25}\) The scatter plot of Birring et al’s data suggests that these data may also be positively skewed and hence the mean would be an inappropriate summary statistic; the median appears to be about 17—that is, in agreement with our data. Finally, the patients were only monitored for a short period of time (6 h) and it is possible that the patients paid more attention to their urge to cough as they were aware of the cough monitor and hence coughed more. Over longer time periods, patients are more likely to be distracted and less influenced by the presence of the monitor.

Both cough scores and VAS showed a moderate correlation with cough frequency. The relationship between overnight cough frequency and subjective measures seems to be stronger than for daytime data, in contrast with a previous small study suggesting that night-time cough scores and observed rates

| Table 3 | Correlations between objective and subjective measures of cough in patients with chronic cough |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Cough score      | Log total time spent coughing | Log daytime spent coughing | Log night-time spent coughing |
| Day              | NA                             | r = 0.50                    | p < 0.001                     |
| Night            | NA                             | NA                           | r = 0.55                      | p < 0.001*                     |
| VAS              | Log total time spent coughing | Log daytime spent coughing | Log night-time spent coughing |
| Day              | NA                             | r = 0.46                    | p < 0.001                     |
| Night            | NA                             | NA                           | r = 0.61*                     | p < 0.001                     |
| Log(C5)          | Day                            | NA                           | r = −0.45                     | p < 0.001                     |
| LCQ              | Total                          | r = −0.62                   | r = −0.54                     | r = −0.39                     |
|                  | p < 0.001                      | p < 0.001                   | p = 0.002                     |
|                  | Physical                       | r = −0.55                   | r = −0.43                     | r = −0.53                     |
|                  | p < 0.001                      | p < 0.001                   | p < 0.001                     |
|                  | Psychological                  | r = −0.59                   | r = −0.54                     | r = −0.33                     |
|                  | p < 0.001                      | p < 0.001                   | p = 0.012                     |
|                  | Social                         | r = −0.55                   | r = −0.49                     | r = −0.26                     |
|                  | p < 0.001                      | p < 0.001                   | p = 0.053                     |

LCQ, Leicester Cough Questionnaire; log C5, logarithmic transformation of concentration causing 5 coughs; NA, not applicable; VAS, Visual Analogue Scale. *Non-parametric correlations.
failed to correlate. We speculate that the stronger correlations, seen in our larger dataset, between objective night-time cough rates and subjective evaluation may result from sleep disturbance and fatigue. This interpretation tends to be supported by the cough quality-of-life data, which suggested that nocturnal coughing was independently related to cough-related quality of life, in particular the physical domain.

A retrospective analysis suggested that the strongest relationship existed with the psychological domain of the LCQ. In a recent study, >50% of patients attending a specialist chronic cough clinic met the criteria on the Center for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression Scale for clinical depression. Furthermore, depression scores dramatically improved in patients whose cough was successfully treated. Psychological problems may increase the cough frequency, but it would seem more plausible that the patients with the highest cough rates have the greatest psychological consequences.

Data on the relationship between cough reflex sensitivity and cough frequency are limited. All of the patients in this study had a measurable cough reflex sensitivity to citric acid. However, many normal volunteers also have a measurable cough reflex sensitivity in the absence of symptoms. We found only a moderate inverse correlation for the sensitivity of the cough reflex (log10 C5) with observed cough frequency, suggesting that higher cough rates are only moderately associated with a more sensitive cough reflex. Cough reflex sensitivity testing is probably of utmost use in gaining insights into the mechanisms by which coughing occurs and treatments have their action, rather than in assessing severity of cough or response to treatment.

Manually counting coughs from ambulatory recordings remains extremely laborious. Despite this, we found excellent interobserver and intraobserver agreement, and excellent agreement between cough rates over a 2-week period. Customised computer software is only now becoming available to allow automated counting of cough. This has proved a technical challenge, especially for daytime recordings where extraneous noise, particularly speech and background coughs may provide false positives. All recordings used in this study were manually counted in their entirety.

Although the assessment of objective cough rates represents a pronounced improvement in quantifying coughing, frequency may not be the only parameter that is important in a patient’s perception of the severity of cough and its effect on quality of life. The intensity or effort of each cough may be important particularly in predicting the physical consequences of coughing. This should be possible in the future and it will be interesting to see whether cough intensity accounts for the remaining variance in subjective measures of cough not explained by cough frequency.

In summary, subjective measures of cough, cough-related quality of life and cough reflex sensitivity correlate modestly with objective measures of cough frequency in patients with chronic cough. Cough frequency seems to relate best to the psychological subdomain of cough-related quality of life rather than to social or physical subdomains. We suggest that, currently, a combination of objective cough frequency and cough-related quality of life is the optimal combination for assessing cough.
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