Effect of CPAP on insulin resistance and HbA1c in men with obstructive sleep apnoea and type 2 diabetes
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Background: The effects of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) for obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA) on insulin resistance are not clear. Trials have found conflicting results and no appropriate control groups have been used.

Methods: Forty-two men with known type 2 diabetes and newly diagnosed OSA (≥10 dips/h in oxygen saturation of >4%) were randomised to receive therapeutic (n = 20) or placebo CPAP (n = 22) for 3 months. Baseline tests were performed and repeated after 3 months. The study was double blind.

Results: Results are expressed as mean (SD). CPAP improved the Epworth sleepiness score significantly more in the therapeutic group than in the placebo group (−6.6 (4.5) vs −2.6 (4.9), p = 0.01). Glycaemic control and insulin resistance did not significantly change in either the therapeutic or placebo groups: HbA1c (−0.02 (1.5) vs +0.1 (0.7), p = 0.7, 95% CI −0.6% to +0.9%), euglycaemic clamp (M/I: +1.7 (14.1) vs −5.7 (14.8), p = 0.2, 95% CI −1.8 to +0.3 l/kg/min1000), HOMA-%$ (−1.5 (2.3) vs −1.1 (1.8), p = 0.2, 95% CI −0.3% to +0.08%) and adiponectin (−1.1 (1.2) vs −1.1 (1.3), p = 0.2, 95% CI −0.7 to +0.6 µg/ml). Body mass index, bioimpedance and anthropometric measurements were unchanged. Hours of CPAP use per night were 3.6 (2.8) in the treatment group and 3.3 (3.0) in the placebo group (p = 0.8). There was no correlation between CPAP use and the measures of glycaemic control or insulin resistance.

Conclusion: Therapeutic CPAP does not significantly improve measures of glycaemic control or insulin resistance in men with type 2 diabetes and OSA.

Obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA) is characterised by recurrent upper airway obstruction during sleep, recurrent apnoeas and arousals. It is associated with central obesity and affects approximately 4% of men.1 Population studies have found that OSA is associated with insulin resistance, and the more severe the OSA, the greater the insulin resistance, independent of general obesity.2,3 Insulin resistance occurs when the metabolic effect of insulin is reduced, leading to a lack of hepatic and peripheral tissue response to insulin-mediated glucose metabolism.4 This most closely correlates with central obesity, and the greater the visceral fat, the greater the insulin resistance.5 It is postulated that the insulin resistance in OSA is due, not only to visceral obesity, but also to increased sympathetic drive from the frequent arousals, hypoxia and sleep fragmentation—all of which are thought to impair glucose tolerance.6,7

Insulin resistance is freely observed in pre-diabetes and type 2 diabetes develops when normoglycaemia is no longer maintained as a result of inadequate pancreatic b cell compensation and insulin production. Insulin resistance is affected by many variables including changes in weight, body fat distribution (with visceral fat causing more insulin resistance than subcutaneous fat), exercise, drugs and smoking. Studies of longitudinal change in insulin resistance as an outcome need to include a control group to allow for such confounders. Insulin resistance is measurable by several techniques including the homeostatic model assessment (HOMA) for basal assessment6 and the euglycaemic clamp for stimulated insulin assessment.8

There has been interest in whether the use of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) for the treatment of OSA can improve the insulin resistance found in OSA. If the hypoxia, arousals and increased sympathetic drive found in OSA were adequately treated, would the insulin resistance and hence the glycaemic control improve? Several studies have tried to answer this but, so far, the available data have not led to a conclusive answer as the significance of any changes cannot be assessed without a control group.9–14 We therefore performed a randomised controlled trial using therapeutic and placebo CPAP to assess the effect of CPAP on glycaemic control (glycosylated haemoglobin, HbA1c) and insulin resistance (determined by euglycaemic clamp and HOMA) in men with established type 2 diabetes and newly diagnosed OSA.

METHODS

Subjects

Subjects were recruited via the Oxford Sleep Clinic between June 2004 and August 2005. Eligible subjects were men aged 18–75 years with established type 2 diabetes (on diet, oral hypoglycaemic agents or insulin therapy). They had excessive daytime sleepiness (Epworth Sleepiness Score (ESS) ≥9) and were due to start CPAP for OSA, established from overnight laboratory sleep studies (VisiLab, Stowood Scientific Instruments, Oxford, UK). The entry criterion for OSA was >10 oxygen saturation (SaO2) dips of >4% per hour on an overnight sleep study. Patients were excluded if they required urgent CPAP or if they had unstable diabetes (requiring an escalation in treatment). Additional details are provided in the online data supplement available at http://thorax.bmj.com/ supplemental.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CPAP, continuous positive airway pressure; ESS, Epworth sleepiness score; HbA1c, glycosylated haemoglobin; HOMA, homeostatic model assessment; MWT, Maintenance of Wakefulness test; OHA, oral hypoglycaemic agents; OSA, obstructive sleep apnoea; SAQU, Sleep Apnoea Quality of Life Index
Study design
Eligible subjects were seen for their baseline study visit 10 days before commencing CPAP. Subjects were asked not to change their diet or exercise habits for the duration of the study, and their primary care physicians were asked not to change their medications unless essential. Following baseline studies, each subject was randomised to receive either therapeutic or placebo CPAP for 3 months in a double blind fashion. Randomisation was by means of a balanced computer programme (MINIM Version 1.5, Evans S). CPAP was first used overnight at home following an afternoon training session, which is standard practice in our unit. Two weeks after CPAP initiation, all patients were seen in the nurse-led CPAP clinic. The nurses involved in the randomisation, CPAP initiation and ongoing CPAP care were separate from the study investigators. After 3 months of CPAP treatment the baseline studies were repeated. At the end of the study all subjects receiving placebo CPAP were changed to therapeutic CPAP. Subjects gave written informed consent and the study was approved by the local ethics committee.

CPAP
Subjects receiving therapeutic CPAP had autotitrating machines (Autoset Spirit, ResMed, UK) while those receiving placebo CPAP had the same machines set to their lowest pressure with a flow restricting connector inserted in the collar of the main tubing to allow air to escape and to prevent rebreathing of carbon dioxide. A pressure of <1 and >0 cm H₂O was delivered, insufficient to hold open the pharynx. These methods of placebo CPAP provision have been used previously. The data from the CPAP machines were downloaded at the second study visit.

Measures of insulin resistance
Insulin resistance was assessed by both HOMA and euglycaemmic hyperinsulinaemic clamp on a single day. Studies were carried out after an overnight fast and omission of the morning oral hypoglycaemic agents or insulin. Baseline blood samples were collected for the determination of glucose and insulin for HOMA. Following the basal sampling, subjects underwent a hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamp. They were kept awake for the duration of the clamp in order to avoid any confounding effects of sleep on glucose metabolism.

Other blood tests
HbA1c, lipids (cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, triglycerides), adiponectin and highly sensitive C-reactive protein were measured.

Measures of body composition
Height and weight were recorded, body mass index (BMI) was calculated and neck, waist and hip measurements were made. Body composition was measured using bioelectrical impedance analysis (Bodystat 1500, UK).

Measures of sleepiness and activity
Subjective sleepiness was measured by the ESS and objective sleepiness was measured once at the same time of day using a modification of the Maintenance of Wakefulness test (MWT) (OSLER). The Short Sleep Apnea Quality of Life Index (Short SAQLI) was completed. These variables were measured to confirm patients were responding to therapeutic CPAP compared with the placebo group. Physical activity was assessed at baseline and at the end of the study using wrist worn actiwatches (electronic devices containing accelerometers which measure and record intensity, amount and duration of physical movement; Cambridge Neurotechnology Ltd, UK).
The study was powered not to miss a difference of 0.8 in HbA1c. Study size data were analysed using non-parametric tests. A p value of \(p = 0.05\) was considered to be statistically significant. Analysis was performed with SPSS Version 12.0.

**Study size**
The study was powered not to miss a difference of 0.8 in HbA1c (assuming a within subject SD of 0.8) at a significance level of 5% and with a power of 90%, which required 20 subjects in each treatment group.

**RESULTS**
Figure 1 shows a flow chart of the study. Forty-eight men were considered for entry to the study: four declined and two were unsuitable, so 42 were enrolled. Twenty-one men were randomised to receive therapeutic CPAP and 21 to receive placebo CPAP. One patient randomised to receive therapeutic CPAP had a defective machine which delivered minimal pressure so his data were therefore analysed with the placebo CPAP. One patient randomised to receive therapeutic CPAP did not attend his second study visit as he was admitted to hospital for emergency cardiac surgery and one patient withdrew from the study because he was unwilling to continue using CPAP (randomised to placebo). Patients who attended and had poor or negligible CPAP usage were included and analysed on an intention to treat basis. Euglycaemic clamps were performed on 33 of the study participants; technical difficulties meant these were not performed in the first nine participants. There were no adverse events in either of the groups.

**Table 1** Baseline measurements and changes from baseline in subjects randomised to therapeutic and placebo CPAP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Change from baseline (%)</th>
<th>95% CI between groups</th>
<th>p Value (for %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Therapeutic CPAP (n = 20)</td>
<td>Placebo CPAP (n = 22)</td>
<td>Therapeutic CPAP (n = 19)</td>
<td>Placebo CPAP (n = 21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>57.8 (10.4)</td>
<td>54.5 (9.4)</td>
<td>-6.6 (4.5)</td>
<td>-2.6 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;4% (\text{SaO}_2) %/h</td>
<td>33.1 (21.6)</td>
<td>39.1 (24.8)</td>
<td>-10.6 (13.9)</td>
<td>-4.7 (11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESS</td>
<td>14.7 (3.5)</td>
<td>13.6 (3.5)</td>
<td>-0.2 (1.0)</td>
<td>-0.2 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWT (Orders)</td>
<td>21.9 (12.8)</td>
<td>32.0 (10.8)</td>
<td>-0.1 (0.7)</td>
<td>-0.03 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>36.6 (4.9)</td>
<td>36.8 (4.6)</td>
<td>-0.7 (1.0)</td>
<td>-0.06 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAQLI</td>
<td>4.3 (1.1)</td>
<td>4.4 (0.9)</td>
<td>-0.8 (1.0)</td>
<td>-0.03 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck size (cm)</td>
<td>46.2 (2.6)</td>
<td>47.0 (2.6)</td>
<td>0.04 (1.2)</td>
<td>-0.06 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist to hip ratio</td>
<td>1.0 (0.06)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impedance</td>
<td>426.4 (91.3)</td>
<td>404.9 (39.5)</td>
<td>-3.8 (28.4)</td>
<td>-2.9 (31.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>10.1 (3.6)</td>
<td>10.0 (4.5)</td>
<td>-0.3 (2.1)</td>
<td>-0.2 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>8.5 (1.1)</td>
<td>8.4 (1.9)</td>
<td>-0.02 (1.5)</td>
<td>+0.1 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma insulin (µU/ml)</td>
<td>93.3 (52.2)*</td>
<td>100.0 (71.5)*</td>
<td>+1.3 (1.6)</td>
<td>+1.1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-5%</td>
<td>47.9 (1.4)*</td>
<td>44.7 (1.7)*</td>
<td>-1.5 (2.3)*</td>
<td>-1.1 (1.8)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/I: euglycaemic clamp</td>
<td>26.5 (14.7)</td>
<td>27.8 (17.9)</td>
<td>+1.7 (14.1)</td>
<td>-5.7 (14.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adiponectin (µU/ml)</td>
<td>3.7 (2.2)</td>
<td>2.8 (1.5)*</td>
<td>-1.1 (1.2)*</td>
<td>-1.1 (1.3)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Measures of daytime sleepiness and SAQLI score**
Subjective sleepiness measured by the ESS improved in both groups following CPAP treatment (table 1), but the change was significantly greater in the group receiving therapeutic CPAP (\(p<0.01\)). Objective sleepiness, measured by the modified MWT, improved significantly only in the group receiving therapeutic CPAP by a mean of +10.6 min (\(p<0.001\)). This change in MWT was similar to our previous randomised controlled trial in this area (+7.0 min), and the change in ESS was of an effect size (1.9) nearly as large as this previous study (2.2) in which the patients had less comorbidity. \(^{15}\) The mean short SAQLI score improved following CPAP treatment in both groups, but the change between the groups was significantly different in favour of therapeutic CPAP (\(p = 0.04\)).

**Clamp characteristics**
The mean (SD) blood glucose concentrations over the last 20 min of baseline euglycaemic clamp were 5.9 (0.5) mmol/l in the therapeutic CPAP group and 5.9 (0.5) mmol/l in the placebo group (\(p = 0.8\)); and in the repeat clamp the levels were 6.1 (0.8) mmol/l and 5.9 (0.5) mmol/l, respectively (\(p = 0.5\)).

**HbA1c and insulin sensitivity**
The results are shown in table 1. HbA1c did not change significantly following CPAP treatment in either of the groups. There was no significant change in insulin sensitivity in either the therapeutic or placebo CPAP groups after 3 months of treatment. The plasma insulin concentrations during the 6.5 years in the placebo group (\(p = 0.3\), \(\chi^2\) test). Completion data were available for 40 men: one patient receiving therapeutic CPAP did not attend his second study visit as he was admitted to hospital for emergency cardiac surgery and one patient withdrew from the study because he was unwilling to continue using CPAP (randomised to placebo). Patients who attended and had poor or negligible CPAP usage were included and analysed on an intention to treat basis. Euglycaemic clamps were performed on 33 of the study participants; technical difficulties meant these were not performed in the first nine participants. There were no adverse events in either of the groups.
Mean hours on nights used over last month
Mean hours on nights used over last 3 months
% with compliance <1 h/night used
Mean hours on nights used in those with >1 h/night compliance over last month
Mean hours on nights used in those with >1 h/night compliance over last 3 months
comparable to those in this pioglitazone study, as was the improvements over the last 20–30 min and SD of M/I) in our study were statistically significant. The validity characteristics of the euglycaemic clamp (mean (SD) of the measures of blood glucose concentrations over the last 20–30 min and SD of M/I) in our study were comparable to those in this pioglitazone study, as was the variation in the repeat measurements of HbA1c, HOMA-%S and M/I between the two time points.

The patients studied all had well established type 2 diabetes. The development of type 2 diabetes reflects a progressive decline in pancreatic β cell function rather than increasing insulin resistance.13 The patients had a range of ages (24–74 years) and were receiving different treatments for their diabetes; neither age nor diabetes treatment was significantly different between the two groups. In a comparison depending on a change following an intervention with within-subject comparisons being made, homogeneity of groups is not of the same importance as it is in a cross-sectional comparison. Wider recruitment can therefore be seen as an advantage.

Studies of drug treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes receiving different therapies have shown significant improvements in insulin resistance, typically within 3 months.24,25 The use of CPAP for 3 months would therefore seem to be long enough for any changes in insulin resistance or glycaemic control to occur. It would be difficult to justify ethically giving placebo CPAP for longer than 3 months in this symptomatic group. It is possible that CPAP might be effective in a pre-diabetic group by improving insulin resistance via an improvement in the activity of the still functioning β cells; this is an area for future research.

It could be argued that the mean CPAP compliance figures of 4 h use per night in our study might account for the lack of improvement in glycaemic and insulin resistance variables. If subjects had used their CPAP for longer, decreasing the number of apnoea-related arousals and the resultant sympathetic nervous system activation, would they have improved their insulin resistance? We do not think this is the case. First, the mean CPAP compliance was clearly great enough in the therapeutic group to improve the OSA, making a significant difference to sleepiness (measured by ESS, MWT and SAQLI) whereas the placebo group experienced no significant improvement. Since the sleepiness improved, the number of apnoea-related arousals was likely to have decreased together with the associated sympathetic nervous system hormone surges. Second, there was a range of mean compliance over the preceding month from zero use to 9.1 h/night, with poor and good compliers in both the therapeutic and placebo groups. A per protocol analysis of these good compliers did not change the results, neither could we could find a correlation between any of the measures of insulin resistance or HbA1c and CPAP compliance. We would have expected positive correlations if improvements in insulin resistance were associated with compliance. Indeed, even the study by Harsch et al13 showed no correlation between CPAP use and the improvements in insulin resistance. This is surprising, given that the treatment of OSA is thought to lead to improvements in insulin resistance via decreased sympathetic nervous system activation. We are clear that the outcome of our study is not due to lack of CPAP use.

The inclusion of a control group treated with placebo CPAP is particularly important in a study of insulin resistance. It is likely that glycaemic control and insulin resistance would be influenced by taking part in a study, regardless of the intervention, as people are more likely to modify their behaviour when they know they are being monitored. It would be impossible in an uncontrolled study to attribute any changes purely to the intervention concerned. There have been several studies published assessing the effect of CPAP on insulin resistance. None have used a control group, which leads to concern regarding the interpretation of the results. Harsch et al treated 40 patients with therapeutic CPAP and performed euglycaemic clamp studies prior to CPAP, after 2 days and after 3 months.13 A significant improvement in insulin sensitivity (the reciprocal of insulin resistance) was found after 2 days of CPAP treatment which was sustained at 3 months (p = 0.001). Mean BMI did not change during the study. The subgroup of patients with a BMI of >30 kg/m2 showed no significant change in insulin sensitivity at 2 days but a significant improvement at 3 months (p = 0.03). There was no correlation between improvement in insulin sensitivity and CPAP use. The investigators hypothesised that the early changes in insulin sensitivity after 2 days were due to improvements in sleep disordered breathing and associated decreases in nocturnal sympathetic drive, as well as improvements in the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal function due to improvements in sleep and hypoxia. The later changes in the patients with BMI >30 kg/m2 may have been due to changes in body fat distribution.

It has been noted previously that the clamp procedure itself increases sympathetic nervous system activity, presumably because patients are uncomfortable and anxious and this is likely to increase insulin resistance.24 Control patients who underwent a clamp procedure, but received only saline, had increases in plasma norepinephrine similar to those found in patients undergoing a euglycaemic clamp with insulin and glucose. By the time of the second clamp, patients are likely to have acclimatised to the situation and insulin resistance is hence reduced,27 and by the third clamp this acclimatisation would be greater. This effect makes the inclusion of a control group mandatory so that changes in insulin resistance are not falsely attributed to the intervention concerned. One study which assessed the change in insulin resistance in people with type 2 diabetes treated with either pioglitazone or placebo showed that insulin resistance (measured by euglycaemic clamp) improved in both groups after 3 months, although the improvement was greater in the pioglitazone group (41% vs 10% in controls).20 The improvement in the control group was likely to be due, at least in part, to acclimatisation to the clamp procedure itself, as well as other factors such as better adherence to diet and medication or increased exercise. We did not see such improvements in either group in our study, possibly because the subjects were encouraged not to alter their diet or activity. It could be questioned whether the 18% and 31% improvement found in insulin resistance by Harsch et al13 after 2 days and 3 months respectively might not, in fact, be due to CPAP but to clamp acclimatisation, study effect or confounding variables.

The other studies published on this subject have had small numbers of patients (n ≤ 10) and have also not had control groups. A study of 10 subjects by Brooks et al12 showed a non-significant improvement in insulin sensitivity following 3 months of CPAP (28%, p = 0.06). A further study in nine subjects with type 2 diabetes by Harsch et al13 showed a significant improvement in insulin sensitivity after 3 months of CPAP (42%, p = 0.04).18 Both of these results could have been due to the effect of acclimatisation on the second clamp, study effect or biological variation in insulin sensitivity, as well as the confounders which affect insulin resistance. A study by Saarelainen et al24 found no significant effect of treatment for 3 months with CPAP on euglycaemic clamp measures in 10
subjects with OSA,11 as did a study by Smurra et al10 in 10 patients with OSA treated for 2 months with CPAP. Babu et al9 looked at HbA1c and 72 h continuous glucose monitoring before and after approximately 3 months of CPAP treatment. No significant improvement in overall HbA1c was found (p = 0.06), but HbA1c significantly improved in those patients in whom it was initially above 7% (p = 0.02, likely to be due to regression to the mean) and there were some improvements in postprandial glucose levels (p = 0.05). Again, without a control group it is difficult to attribute these improvements in glycaemic control solely to CPAP and not to the effect of being monitored in a study.

In conclusion, OSA, insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes are all increasing in prevalence as population obesity levels increase. Our randomised placebo-controlled study adds evidence that CPAP does not improve insulin resistance and glycaemic control in men with established type 2 diabetes and OSA. Routine treatment of OSA in patients with type 2 diabetes is unlikely to result in improved diabetic control or a reduction in treatment requirements through a direct effect on insulin resistance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Joy Crosby who randomised all the patients and supervised their CPAP and the staff of the Oxford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism for their help with the euglycaemic clamps.

Additional details are provided in the online data supplement available at http://thorax.bmj.com/supplemental.

Authors’ affiliations

Sophie D West, Debby J Nicoll, John R Stradling, Sleep Unit, Oxford Centre for Respiratory Medicine, Churchill Hospital, Oxford, UK
Tara M Wallace, David R Matthews, Oxford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism, Churchill Hospital, Oxford, UK

This study was funded by Diabetes UK and the Grand Charity.

Competing interests: None.

REFERENCES

18 Flemons WW, Reimer M. Measurement properties of the Calgary Sleep Apnoea Quality of Life index. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2002;165:159–64.

www.thoraxjnl.com