In patients with more advanced chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), ventilatory constraints and the associated respiratory discomfort (dyspnoea) contribute importantly to poor exercise performance. Recent consensus guidelines have correctly highlighted the importance of reducing dyspnoea and activity limitation as an effective means of improving perceived health status in these patients.

An individualised integrated management plan that combines pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions is most likely to be successful in achieving these goals.

Our understanding of the mechanisms of dyspnoea relief following bronchodilator therapy continues to grow. All classes of bronchodilators have been shown to improve airway conductance and to facilitate lung emptying. In patients with moderate to severe disease, the consequent reduction in end expiratory (EELV) and end inspiratory (EILV) lung volumes allows greater tidal volume expansion and ventilation during exercise with less exertional dyspnoea. However, the impact of different bronchodilators on these operating volume components during exercise appears to vary, and this inter-patient variability has not been studied. Moreover, the relative importance of increases in inspiratory capacity (IC) and inspiratory reserve volume (IRV) (reflecting decreases in EELV and EILV, respectively) in contributing to improvement in dyspnoea and activity limitation remains unknown and is also explored further in this study.

Several controlled studies have shown positive effects of hyperoxia on dyspnoea and exercise performance, even in patients with insignificant arterial oxygen desaturation. Exercise dyspnoea relief during hyperoxia is multifactorial but appears to be linked to the attendant reduction in ventilation during exercise. However, it remains uncertain whether hyperoxia induced reduction in ventilation is associated with a reduced rate of dynamic hyperinflation during exercise in normoxic patients with COPD. In this regard, different studies have yielded conflicting results, suggesting that there is considerable inter-patient variability in this response.

This study was therefore undertaken to examine further the relative contribution of reduced ventilation and reduced lung volumes to dyspnoea relief during hyperoxia.

In the past, dyspnoea relieving interventions such as bronchodilator therapy and supplemental oxygen (O2) have been studied only in isolation, and no information is available on their combined physiological interactions and resultant clinical consequences. In this study we therefore compared the acute effects of bronchodilators and hyperoxia (50% O2), both singly and in combination, in order to gain new insights into the mechanisms of improved dyspnoea and exercise performance. We reasoned that the combination of treatments that both improves dynamic ventilatory mechanics and reduces ventilatory demand would have additive or possibly even synergistic effects on dyspnoea.

**Abbreviations**: BD, bronchodilator; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; EELV, end expiratory lung volume; EILV, end inspiratory lung volume; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FRC, functional residual capacity; FVC, forced vital capacity; IC, inspiratory capacity; IRV, inspiratory reserve volume; O2, oxygen; PaCO2, arterial carbon dioxide tension; Pmax, maximal inspiratory pressure; PL, placebo; RA, room air; RV, residual volume; SaO2, oxygen saturation; Te, inspiratory and expiratory time; TLC, total lung capacity; TCO2, lung carbon monoxide transfer factor; VCO2, carbon dioxide output; Vt, minute ventilation; VO2, oxygen consumption; VT, total volume.
and exercise endurance in patients with moderate to severe COPD who were not significantly hypoxaemic during activity.

METHODS

Subjects
Sixteen clinically stable patients with COPD (forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) ≤60% predicted, FEV1/forced vital capacity (FVC) <70%) who were not hypoxic (resting arterial oxygen tension (PaO2) >65 mm Hg (8.7 kPa), exercise oxygen saturation (SaO2) ≥88%) and had significant activity related breathlessness (modified Borg scale) before starting exercise and throughout exercise; they were randomised, double blind, placebo controlled, crossover study was approved by the local university/hospital research ethics committee. Subjects were recruited from a list of patients who had participated in previous exercise studies.

Study design
This randomised, double blind, placebo controlled, crossover study was approved by the local university/hospital research ethics committee. Subjects were recruited from a list of patients who had participated in previous exercise studies. After giving informed consent and screening of medical history, patients were familiarised with all procedures and completed pulmonary function tests and a symptom limited incremental cycle exercise test. During four subsequent visits conducted 2–7 days apart, subjects received one of four treatment combinations in random order: bronchodilator (BD)+room air (RA), placebo (PL)+RA, BD+O2, or PL+O2. At these visits subjects were given either PL or BD, they waited 105 (15) minutes before performing pulmonary function tests, then completed a constant load cycle endurance test at 75% of their maximal incremental work rate while breathing either RA or 50% O2. Subjects adhered to the standard treatment combinations in random order: bronchodilator (BD)+room air (RA), placebo (PL)+RA, BD+O2, or PL+O2.

Interventions
PL and BD were administered by nebuliser (Pari LC Jet+ nebuliser; PARI Respiratory Equipment Inc, Richmond, VA, USA) in a double blind fashion. The BD used was Combivent (0.5 mg ipratropium bromide + 2.5 mg salbutamol) and PL was sterile 0.9% saline solution. Subjects breathed either RA (21% O2) or 50% O2 on demand from a 200 l Douglas bag reservoir for at least 10 minutes at rest before starting exercise and throughout exercise; they were blinded to the gas mixture being breathed at each test.

Procedures
Pulmonary function measurements were collected using automated equipment (Vmax229d with Autobox 6200 D2; SensorMedics, Yorba Linda, CA, USA) and expressed as percentages of predicted normal values;15–20 predicted IC was calculated as predicted total lung capacity (TLC) minus predicted functional residual capacity (FRC). Symptom limited exercise tests were conducted on an electrically braked cycle ergometer (Ergometrics 800S; SensorMedics) using a cardipulmonary exercise testing system (Vmax229d; SensorMedics). Incremental testing was performed at the first visit. Subsequent constant load tests were conducted at 75% of the maximal incremental work rate. Exercise test measurements included intensity of dyspnoea (bathing discomfort) and leg discomfort using the 10-point modified Borg scale;21 operating lung volumes derived from IC manoeuvres;22–23 arterialised capillary blood samples taken from the earlobe; and reason for stopping exercise. Endurance time was defined as the duration of loaded pedalling (see the online supplement at http://www.thoraxjnl.com/supplemental for a more detailed description of the procedures).

Statistical analysis
The sample size of 16 provides the power (80%) to detect a difference in IC measured at a standardised exercise time based on a relevant difference of 0.3 l, standard deviation (SD) of 0.3 l for IC changes found at our laboratory, α = 0.05, and a two tailed test of significance. Results are reported as mean (SE). A p value of <0.05 was considered significant in all analyses. Comparisons were made using ANOVA for repeated measures for linear exercise response slopes and for measurements at rest (pre-exercise steady state), at isotime during exercise (the highest common exercise time achieved during all tests performed by a given subject), and at peak exercise (mean of last 30 seconds of loaded pedalling). Paired t tests were used for post hoc analyses. Reasons for stopping exercise were analysed using Fisher’s exact test. Pearson correlations were used to establish associations between standardised dyspnoea ratings (and exercise endurance time) and relevant independent variables; forward stepwise multiple regression analysis was carried out with significant variables and relevant covariates.

RESULTS
Sixteen subjects with moderate to severe airflow obstruction and lung hyperinflation and significantly reduced exercise...
capacity completed the study (table 1). One additional subject was enrolled in the study but was withdrawn after the first treatment visit due to an adverse reaction (dizziness, nausea) to acute administration of the bronchodilator.

Resting pulmonary function

Pulmonary function parameters are shown in table 2. These parameters were measured before exercising with either RA or O₂ and reflect responses to nebulised BD or PL only. Measurements on the two PL days were similar (and similar to those at visit 1), demonstrating good repeatability of measurements. Improvements in pulmonary function on the two BD days were also comparable.

Exercise response to bronchodilators

Endurance time increased by 1.7 (0.9) min (41 (16)%)) after BD (+RA) compared with PL (+RA) (p = 0.067, table 3). After BD compared with PL, dyspnoea intensity decreased at isotime during exercise (p = 0.008, table 4) and the slope of Borg dyspnoea ratings over time also fell significantly (p = 0.039, fig 1). The main reasons for stopping exercise did not change significantly in response to BD (fig 2).

Dyspnoea/V̇E slopes shifted rightwards after BD compared with PL, such that dyspnoea fell by 1.2 (0.3) Borg units (p = 0.001) at a standardised V̇E of 33.4 (2.5) l/min (fig 3).

Bronchodilator induced increases in peak V̇CO₂, V̇E, VT, and ḞE were shown in table 3. Compared with PL at isotime (4.1 (0.8) minutes) during exercise, BD increased IC and VT (p < 0.005), decreased Ḟ as a result of increased Ti and Ṫe (p < 0.05), with a resultant increase in V̇ (p = 0.06, table 4, fig 4). At a standardised V̇E, the only difference between BD and PL was a reduction in lung hyperinflation (all p < 0.01): decreases in EELV (−0.35 (0.09) l) and EILV (−0.31 (0.10) l) with reciprocal increases in IC (0.25 (0.07) l) and IRV (0.21 (0.07) l).

All but three subjects had reduced lung hyperinflation at rest with BD compared with PL. In 10 subjects the reduction in resting lung hyperinflation continued during exercise—that is, IC at isotime increased. In these 10 subjects dyspnoea was reduced at isotime by −1.7 (0.7) Borg units (p = 0.031) and exercise endurance improved by 2.7 (1.3) min or 64 (23)% (p = 0.023), whereas there was no change in dyspnoea at isotime (−0.9 (0.6) Borg units) or endurance time (0.1 (0.5) min) in the six subjects with no volume response.

### Table 2 Resting pulmonary function measured after placebo (PL) or bronchodilator (BD) but before breathing oxygen (O₂) or room air (RA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>RA + PL</th>
<th>RA + BD</th>
<th>O₂ + PL</th>
<th>O₂ + BD</th>
<th>p value (ANOVA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ (l)</td>
<td>1.17 (0.09)</td>
<td>1.50 (0.13)</td>
<td>1.17 (0.09)</td>
<td>1.47 (0.13)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC (l)</td>
<td>2.68 (0.17)</td>
<td>3.09 (0.20)</td>
<td>2.67 (0.17)</td>
<td>3.09 (0.20)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁/FVC (%)</td>
<td>44 (2)</td>
<td>48 (2)</td>
<td>44 (2)</td>
<td>48 (2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEFR (l/s)</td>
<td>3.92 (0.23)</td>
<td>4.84 (0.35)</td>
<td>3.86 (0.27)</td>
<td>4.88 (0.34)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC (l)</td>
<td>1.96 (0.13)</td>
<td>2.28 (0.16)</td>
<td>1.98 (0.15)</td>
<td>2.23 (0.14)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVC (l)</td>
<td>3.06 (0.20)</td>
<td>3.45 (0.21)</td>
<td>3.11 (0.21)</td>
<td>3.41 (0.20)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRC₂ (l)</td>
<td>4.72 (0.18)</td>
<td>4.31 (0.21)</td>
<td>4.80 (0.19)</td>
<td>4.34 (0.19)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV (l)</td>
<td>6.68 (0.24)</td>
<td>6.58 (0.27)</td>
<td>6.78 (0.27)</td>
<td>6.58 (0.23)</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sRaw (cm H₂O.s)</td>
<td>22.9 (1.6)</td>
<td>14.5 (1.7)</td>
<td>22.8 (1.5)</td>
<td>15.3 (1.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLCO (ml/min/mm Hg)</td>
<td>16.0 (1.3)</td>
<td>15.9 (1.2)</td>
<td>15.9 (1.3)</td>
<td>16.7 (1.2)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ḞE (breaths/min)</td>
<td>62 (4)</td>
<td>61 (5)</td>
<td>58 (5)</td>
<td>64 (5)</td>
<td>0.282</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SE).

* p<0.05 v RA+PL; † p<0.05 v RA+BD; * p<0.05 v O₂+PL

RA, room air; BD, bronchodilator; PL, placebo; O₂, oxygen; V̇CO₂, carbon dioxide output; V̇E, minute ventilation; Ḟ, breathing frequency; Ti, Ṫe, inspiratory and expiratory time; VT, total volume; IC, inspiratory capacity; SaO₂, arterial saturation; IRV, inspiratory reserve volume; EELV, end expiratory lung volume; EILV, end inspiratory lung volume; TLC, total lung capacity; Paco₂, arterial carbon dioxide tension; HCO₃⁻, bicarbonate.
Correlates of improvement

Reductions in dyspnoea/time slopes were greatest in subjects with the steepest non-intervention (RA+PL) dyspnoea/time slopes (r = -0.59, p = 0.016). Dyspnoea/time slopes decreased most in those who experienced the greatest expansion of $V_t$ standardised as % predicted vital capacity measured at isotime ($r = -0.54$, p = 0.027) or at peak exercise ($r = -0.69$, p = 0.003), and in those with the largest increases in $T_i$ at isotime ($r = -0.60$, p = 0.014) or at peak exercise ($r = -0.56$, p = 0.024). Reductions in dyspnoea at isotime correlated best with reductions in concurrent measurements of $F$ ($r = 0.64$, p = 0.007) and with increases in isotime $T_i$ ($r = -0.62$, p = 0.010) and $T_e$ ($r = -0.57$, p = 0.020); the combination of change in $F$ and change in EELV (% predicted TLC) explained 50% of the variance in change in dyspnoea at isotime. Reductions in dyspnoea at iso-$V_t$/ $V_{E}O_{2}$ correlated best with the concurrent increase in IC ($r = -0.46$, p = 0.074). Reductions in dyspnoea did not correlate with improvements in FEV$_1$ (p > 0.5).

Exercise response to oxygen

Endurance time increased by 3.1 (1.1) minutes (76 (28)%), $T_i$, $T_e$, inspiratory and expiratory time; $V_t$, total volume; IC, inspiratory capacity; $SaO_2$, arterial saturation; $IRV$, inspiratory reserve volume; $EELV$, end expiratory lung volume; $EILV$, end inspiratory lung volume; TLC, total lung capacity; $PET_{CO_2}$, arterial end tidal carbon dioxide tension; $PCO_2$, arterial carbon dioxide tension; $HCO_3^-$, bicarbonate.

Figure 1 shows exercise responses to $O_2$. Isotime $V_t$ fell as a result of a concurrent decrease in $F$ (r = 0.65, p < 0.01) which,
in turn, correlated with increases in $T_i$ ($r = -0.87, p < 0.0005$) and $T_e$ ($r = -0.64, p < 0.01$). Changes in $V_{\dot{E}}$ also correlated with concurrent changes in $V_{\dot{CO}_2}$ ($r = 0.80, p < 0.0005$), $pH$ ($r = -0.65, p < 0.05$), and base excess ($r = -0.58, p < 0.05$). $P_{aCO_2}$ and $HCO_3^-$ increased during exercise with $O_2$ compared with RA, but not at rest.

Figure 2  Selection frequency of reasons for stopping symptom limited cycle endurance tests. The percentage of patients stopping exercise mainly because of dyspnoea decreased with oxygen ($O_2$) and bronchodilator (BD), alone or in combination, while other reasons or leg discomfort (combined with dyspnoea) became more predominant. The distribution of reasons for stopping was significantly ($p < 0.01$) different with the treatment combination ($O_2+BD$) compared with room air and placebo (RA+PL).

Correlates of improvement
Decreases in dyspnoea/time slopes were largest in subjects with the steepest slopes on RA ($r = -0.70, p = 0.002$). Since dyspnoea/$V_{\dot{E}}$ relationships did not change in response to $O_2$, decreases in dyspnoea were directly related to decreases in $V_{\dot{E}}$. After accounting for differences in isotime $V_{\dot{E}}$, increases in isotime IC % predicted explained an additional 45% ($p < 0.005$) of the variance in improvement in dyspnoea/time slopes.

Exercise response to $O_2+BD$
With $O_2+BD$ combined, endurance time increased by 5.0 (1.5) minutes (127 (40)%) compared with RA+PL ($p = 0.004$). This increase was greater ($p = 0.01$) than with either intervention alone and equaled the sum of increases with BD and $O_2$ singly ($p = 0.004$; agreement measured by $r = 0.86, p < 0.0005$). By combining interventions, dyspnoea was displaced as the predominant exercise limiting symptom on average, operating lung volumes at rest and during exercise did not change significantly with hyperoxia. Compared with RA, seven of the 16 subjects reduced lung hyperinflation during exercise (that is, increased IC at isotime) on oxygen. These subjects had worse maximal expiratory flows than the nine subjects with no volume response to $O_2$ (FEV1/FVC ratios 39 (2)% and 48 (2)% respectively; $p = 0.009$). Volume responders had significantly steeper dyspnoea/time slopes ($p = 0.012$) and poorer exercise endurance ($p = 0.045$) on RA, with greater improvements in $O_2$. Dyspnoea/$V_{\dot{E}}$ relationships were also steeper in volume responders than in non-responders on RA and did not change on $O_2$ (fig 3). $O_2$ induced changes in the ventilatory responses to exercise were generally similar across subgroups, but volume responders had more significant associated decreases in $V_{\dot{E}}$ and $V_{\dot{T}/T_E}$ (due to increased $T_E$).

Figure 3  Dyspnoea/ventilation ($V_{\dot{E}}$) plots for bronchodilators (BD) and 50% oxygen ($O_2$), alone and in combination, compared with placebo (PL) and room air (RA). (A) Dyspnoea/$V_{\dot{E}}$ slopes shift downwards and to the right with BD such that dyspnoea is significantly ($p = 0.05$) reduced for a given $V_{\dot{E}}$ during exercise. (B) With $O_2$, dyspnoea/$V_{\dot{E}}$ relationships remain unchanged so that dyspnoea falls in conjunction with a fall in $V_{\dot{E}}$. (C) With $O_2+BD$ combined, the dyspnoea/$V_{\dot{E}}$ response falls between those of BD and $O_2$ alone. (D) Responses to $O_2$ are shown for $O_2$ induced “volume responders” (VR: those in whom exercise inspiratory capacity (IC) increased) and “non-responders” (NR: those with no change in exercise IC). Despite differences in slopes on room air and placebo (RA+PL) across subgroups, the dyspnoea/$V_{\dot{E}}$ relationships did not change with $O_2$ in either group.
such that more subjects now stopped due to combined breathing and leg discomfort and for other reasons such as being too hot, too tired, or too uncomfortable sitting on the bicycle seat (fig 2). Dyspnoea/time slopes fell significantly in response to O2+BD compared with RA+PL (p = 0.001) and were also different from those with BD (p = 0.010) and O2 (p = 0.045) alone (fig 1). Slopes of Borg ratings of perceived leg discomfort over time fell significantly in response to O2+BD compared with RA+PL (p = 0.002) and compared with BD alone (p = 0.021, fig 1).

For all interventions the relationship between dyspnoea and IRV remained constant (fig 5). Once IRV reached its “minimal” level of 0.4 l, on average, dyspnoea increased steeply until it reached its peak level. The plateau in IRV at this point (fig 5) corresponded with the plateau in the VT response to exercise (fig 4).

By combining O2 and BD, the opposing changes in exercise VE resulting from each intervention alone were negated—that is, an increase in VT (similar to that with BD alone) and a decrease in F (similar to that with O2 alone) resulted in no change in VE (fig 4). Adding BD to O2 also reduced the magnitude of increase in PACO2 and HCO3− shown with O2 alone (p<0.05, table 3).

**Correlates of improvement**

Baseline percentage predicted carbon monoxide transfer factor (TLCO) correlated with improvements in the dyspnoea/time slope with O2+BD (r = 0.62, p = 0.010) and with RA+PL (r = −0.64, p = 0.007). Decreases in dyspnoea at isotime correlated best with reductions in isotime F (r = 0.81, p<0.0005), but also with decreases in VE (r = 0.58, p = 0.019) and increases in Te (r = −0.52, p = 0.041); stepwise regression selected the combination of changes in F and EILV/TLC to best predict the relief of dyspnoea at isotime (r² = 0.74, p<0.0005). Reductions in dyspnoea did not correlate with improvements in FEV1 (p>0.2).

Additional results are presented in the online supplement available at http://www.thoraxjn.com/supplemental.

**DISCUSSION**

This is the first study to demonstrate additive effects of BD and O2 therapy on dyspnoea and exercise endurance in normoxic COPD, reflecting the combined salutary influences of improved dynamic mechanics and reduced ventilatory drive. The other novel aspect of this study is that it helps us to understand how these treatments interact.

The effects of hyperoxia on breathing pattern and operating lung volumes were distinctly different from those of BD in the same patients. Consistent with the results of previous studies, BD treatment was associated with a 17% increase in resting IC, thus allowing greater VT expansion “from below” throughout exercise, within the constraints of the existing diminished IRV. In contrast to previous studies, mean IRV at a standardised time during exercise was not increased after BD compared with placebo, and dyspnoea/IRV relationships remained superimposed. It follows that IRV recruitment during exercise is not a prerequisite for dyspnoea alleviation during BD treatment provided greater VT expansion is achieved as a result of an increased IC. Reductions in breathing frequency (increased Ti and Te) in conjunction with increased VT during exercise probably reflect BD induced improvements in the operating limits for volume expansion. While bronchodilators increased IC, VT, and VE, hyperoxia was associated with reduced VE as a result of reduced breathing frequency, with minimal change in VT or IC. Reduced frequency reflected prolongation of both Ti and Te, but correlated more closely with the increase in Ti (r = −0.87, p<0.0005); there was no change in the inspiratory duty cycle (Ti/Ttot). This consistent effect on respiratory timing must ultimately reflect altered peripheral chemoreceptor input.

The mechanisms of reduced ventilation have been the subject of debate. Most short term studies in health show either no change or a reduction in VE during exercise as a result of a fall in breathing frequency, especially at higher submaximal exercise levels. In studies in non-hypoxic patients with COPD, the range of reduction in exercise VE...
had greater depression of \( V_e \) and prolongation of \( V_t \), and experienced greater dyspnoea relief with supplemental \( O_2 \) than the remaining patients.

**Combined bronchodilators and hyperoxia**

The physiological interactions of the combined interventions resulted in additive effects on exertional dyspnoea and exercise endurance time. The magnitude of this effect (a decrease of 1.75 units in standardised dyspnoea ratings and nearly a twofold increase in endurance time) was impressive and probably clinically important. The dominant physiological effects evident when interventions were considered in isolation were still discernible when they were given in combination. However, the net effect of the combination on exercise \( V_t \) was neutral: the decrease in \( V_e \) as a result of decreased breathing frequency during hyperoxia was counterbalanced by the increased \( V_t \) as a result of increased \( V_t \) secondary to BD. Timing component changes during the combination mimicked those seen during hyperoxia alone. The increase in IC at rest and exercise in the BD arm of the study was also preserved during combined treatment. Finally, in spite of an increased cumulative \( V_e \) over an extended exercise duration with the combined treatments, dyspnoea intensity was significantly diminished.

**Mechanisms of symptom relief**

As previously reported during exercise in COPD,\(^1\) this study showed a discernible inflection point in the dyspnoea/IRV relationship such that dyspnoea rose steeply after reaching a "minimal" IRV where further \( V_t \) expansion was not possible (fig 5). After this inflection, dyspnoea at this minimal IRV probably rises with the increasing disparity between neural drive (and inspired effort) and the \( V_t \) response which is essentially fixed—that is, neuromechanical dissociation.\(^4\) It is noteworthy that BD, \( O_2 \), or a combination of the two had no significant effect on the time course of change of IRV with exercise or on the dyspnoea/IRV relationship, suggesting that factors other than change in IRV are instrumental in both the cause and relief of dyspnoea. Thus, when a minimal IRV is reached during exercise, dyspnoea relief is possible if the intervention releases \( V_t \) constriction by increasing IC (for example, in response to BD), reduces neural drive (for example, in response to \( O_2 \)), or accomplishes both of these together. Of note, the 10 patients who had increased exercise IC with BD showed important improvements in dyspnoea and endurance, whereas those with no change in IC did not. It is also of interest that, despite impressive increases in FEV\(_1\) (by an average of 28%) following high dose BD treatment, there was no correlation between this variable and improvements in either dyspnoea or exercise endurance. The finding that dyspnoea relief correlated with increased \( V_t \), reduced breathing frequency, and reduced EELV supports the idea that reduced elastic loading is importantly linked to dyspnoea relief with BD.

A comparison of the effects of BD and \( O_2 \), singly and in combination, on dyspnoea/\( V_t \) slopes allowed us to identify different underlying mechanisms of dyspnoea relief. Dyspnoea intensity fell for a given \( V_t \) after BD compared with placebo, probably reflecting the improvement in ventilatory mechanics outlined above. By contrast, mean dyspnoea/\( V_t \) slopes during \( O_2 \) and RA were exactly superimposed, suggesting that the reduction in dyspnoea at a standardised time during \( O_2 \) mainly reflected the concomitant reduction of \( V_t \). Interestingly, dyspnoea/\( V_t \) slopes remained superimposed on \( O_2 \) and RA even in the subgroup of patients (\( n = 7 \)) in whom operating lung volumes were reduced. However, after accounting for the reduction in \( V_t \), reductions in lung hyperinflation further contributed to dyspnoea relief during \( O_2 \). Although improved ventilatory

---

**Figure 5** Relationships between dyspnoea and inspiratory reserve volume (IRV) during exercise were superimposable for each test. Once a minimal IRV was reached, dyspnoea intensity increased steeply to reach its peak level while no further change occurred in IRV.

---

Previous studies on the effect of hyperoxia on oxygen uptake and ventilatory kinetics and blood lactate levels in normoxic COPD patients have shown conflicting results, with the majority of studies showing an improvement in oxidative capacity.\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^11\) We previously reported a reduction in lactate in conjunction with a reduced \( V_t \) during hyperoxia in both hypoxic and non-hypoxic COPD patients.\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^11\) In the current study the relationship between \( V_t \) and base excess (which is inversely related to blood lactate) was superimposable on \( O_2 \) and RA, suggesting that the reduction in \( V_t \) during hyperoxia was linked to reduced metabolic acidosis. However, in the present study there was a significant decrease in the \( V_t/\text{VE} \) ratio at a standardised exercise time during \( O_2 \) with no change during BD. This suggests that the decrease in \( V_t \) and the increase in arterial \( CO_2 \) during hyperoxia are independent of metabolic factors, and that direct effects of hyperoxia (independent of reduced acidosis) on carotid receptor input cannot be ruled out.

In accordance with the results of our previous study on the effects of hyperoxia in normoxic COPD patients,\(^7\) only seven of the 16 patients in the current study had reduced operating lung volumes in response to 50% \( O_2 \) compared with RA. This small subset of patients had significantly greater baseline airway obstruction, greater ventilatory constraints during exercise, and poorer exercise performance with steeper dyspnoea/\( V_t \) slopes. Moreover, these volume responders varied between 6% and 15% (that is, approximately 2–6 l/min), again due to a decrease in breathing frequency.\(^20\)-\(^22\)
mechanics and reduced neural drive have been identified as possible contributory factors in dyspnoea relief in this study, we recognise that other oxygen induced factors (not evaluated in this study) such as reduced pulmonary hypertension, improved left ventricular function, central effects of hyperoxia on the perception of dyspneogenic stimuli, and reduced anxiety may all affect the intensity and quality of exertional dyspnoea on an individual basis.

Combined $O_2$ and BD had additive effects on dyspnoea/time slopes; dyspnoea also fell at a given ventilation during exercise; 74% of the variance in change in dyspnoea ratings at a standardised exercise time was explained by the combination of reduced breathing frequency and EILV. Patients with the lowest $T_{LCO}$, the most severe exertional dyspnoea, and worst impairment of exercise endurance derived the greatest subjective benefit from the combined interventions. $O_2$ with BD resulted in a dramatic shift in the locus of sensory limitation to exercise such that dyspnoea was now rarely selected by patients as the primary exercise limiting symptom.

During the $O_2$ applications (alone and in combination with BD), perceived leg discomfort fell significantly whereas no such effect was seen with BD alone. The mechanism of benefit is unknown but may indicate an improved metabolic milieu in the active peripheral skeletal muscles with increased intracellular $O_2$ tension. Recent studies by Hogan et al. have shown that an oxygen rich environment in the exercising muscles of healthy individuals attenuated muscle fatigue. A similar effect has been suggested during 30% $O_2$ in mildly hypoxaemic patients with COPD. Improved oxygenation may alter sensory afferent inputs from muscle mechanoreceptors and metaboreceptors or enhance neuromuscular coupling. Reduced fatigue would result in reduced central motor command output and, possibly, attendant reductions in ventilation. This may translate into a concomitant reduction of perceived effort required for a given force generation by these muscles. It is intriguing to speculate that similar salutary effects may occur in the ventilatory and peripheral muscles in response to $O_2$, with favourable consequences for the perception of both leg discomfort and exertional dyspnoea.

This study has extended the results of previous mechanistic studies on pharmacotherapy in COPD by showing that effective dyspnoea relief is possible with BD in the absence of increased IRV during exercise, provided there is also an increase in $V_t$ expansion. This study also showed that alleviation of exertional dyspnoea during $O_2$ breathing is possible in normoxic COPD patients in the absence of any consistent reductions in the rate of dynamic hyperinflation. As our analysis of dyspnoea/$V_t$ slopes suggests, dyspnoea relief during $O_2$ is mainly linked to reduced ventilatory demand. The benefits following $O_2$ and combined $O_2$ and BD were most pronounced in those with the most severe disease, and these individuals showed greater reductions in operating lung volumes during exercise than patients with less severe COPD. The physiological interactions of combining BD and $O_2$ culminated in impressive improvements in exertional symptoms and exercise endurance, thus underscoring the incremental benefits of reducing neural drive and improving dynamic ventilatory mechanics. Finally, this study provides a physiological rationale for the recommendation of $O_2$ therapy as an adjunct to exercise reconditioning for patients with more advanced normoxic COPD who remain incapacitated by dyspnoea despite optimisation of bronchodilators.

Additional results are presented in the online supplement available at http://www.thoraxjnl.com/supplement.
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Elastin fragments are pro-inflammatory in the progression of emphysema

Mice deficient in the macrophage elastase matrix metalloproteinase-12 (MMP-12) do not develop cigarette smoke induced emphysema or show high levels of macrophage accumulation in the lung on exposure to cigarette smoke. However, monocyte migration to the lung in MMP-12 deficient mice can occur in the presence of an appropriate stimulus. This study used an in vivo model to investigate that stimulus.

Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid from wild-type smoke exposed mice (and not MMP-12 deficient controls) showed monocyte chemotactic activity. MMP-12 itself was not chemotactic. Fractionation of BAL fluid from wild-type mice found that elastin fragments were the only matrix protein fragments present in the chemotactic fraction of the fluid. The use of an elastin fragment antibody resulted in monocyte chemotaxis inhibition, even in the presence of cigarette smoke.

Porcine pancreatic elastase generates a model of emphysema that closely resembles human emphysema. Elastin fragment inhibition by elastin fragment antibody reduced lung macrophage accumulation and airspace disease in this model. The authors hypothesise that alveolar macrophage activation occurs in response to chronic cigarette smoke exposure, resulting in macrophage release of MMP-12. MMP-12 degrades lung extracellular matrix components and generates the production of elastin fragments that are chemotactic to monocytes and which drive disease progression.

Y Aggarwal
Senior House Officer, St George’s Hospital, London, UK; dr_y_aggarwal@hotmail.com
Figure 1 Differences in measurements at isotime during constant-load cycle exercise for O$_2$-induced “volume responders” (i.e., those who increased exercise IC) and “non-responders” (i.e. those with no change in exercise IC). Values are mean (SE). *p<0.05 with 50% oxygen (O$_2$) compared to room air (RA), each combined with placebo (PL). Ti, inspiratory time; Te, expiratory time; VT/Ti, mean tidal inspiratory flow; VT/Te, mean tidal expiratory flow; dyspnea, intensity of exertional breathing discomfort.
Methods

Exercise testing

Volume and gas calibrations were performed prior to each test. Exercise testing was conducted on a calibrated, electronically-braked cycle ergometer with a constant pedaling frequency of 50–70 rpm. At the screening visit, the seat height was adjusted so that the subject’s legs were almost completely extended when the pedals were at the lowest point and the cycling rhythm practiced; the seat was adjusted to this height in all subsequent exercise challenges. The incremental exercise test consisted of a steady-state resting period of at least 3 minutes, followed by 1 minute of loadless pedaling, with subsequent systematic increases in work rate in increments of 10 watts each minute to the point of symptom limitation. Maximal work capacity ($W_{\text{max}}$) was defined as the greatest work rate that the subject was able to maintain for at least 30 seconds. Constant-load exercise tests consisted of a steady-state resting period, a 1-minute period of loadless pedaling, and then an immediate “step” increase in work rate to 75% $W_{\text{max}}$ which was maintained until the point of symptom limitation. Endurance time was recorded as the time from the increase in work rate to 75%$W_{\text{max}}$ to the point of symptom limitation.

Prior to each exercise test, a detailed explanation of the testing procedures and equipment was given to the subject, outlining the risks involved and potential complications. Indications to stop the test were clearly established in accordance with clinical exercise testing guidelines.[1, 2]
Subjects were encouraged to cycle to the point of symptom limitation by being specifically instructed to “cycle for as long as you can”. During exercise, standardized and continuous verbal encouragement to subjects was provided by a member of the study team who was blinded to the results of the lung function testing.

Subjects breathed through a mouthpiece and a low resistance flow transducer. Breath-by-breath measurements [minute ventilation (VE), tidal volume (VT), breathing frequency (F), oxygen consumption (VO2), oxygen saturation (SaO2)] were collected using a cardiopulmonary exercise testing system (Vmax229d; SensorMedics, Yorba Linda, CA). Due to measurement errors inherent to testing during hyperoxia, VO2 was not analyzed for these tests. Pulse oximetry and electrocardiographic monitoring were carried out throughout exercise, while blood pressure was determined before, every 2 minutes during exercise, at the end of exercise, and 5 minutes post-exercise. Cardiopulmonary measurements were recorded as 30-second averages. At rest, every minute during exercise, and at the end of exercise, subjects rated the intensity of their breathing and leg discomfort using the modified Borg category-ratio scale.[3] Inspiratory capacity (IC) maneuvers were performed after Borg ratings pre-exercise, every second minute during exercise, and at end-exercise. At the same time points, subjects also rated the intensity of their leg discomfort. At the end of exercise, subjects were asked why the stopped exercising.

**Symptom intensity during exercise**

Prior to exercise testing, subjects were informed that they would be asked to rate the intensity of their “breathing discomfort” and “leg discomfort” during exercise. Subjects were given no further information about these sensations. Subjects were first familiarized with the modified Borg category-ratio scale[3] and its endpoints were anchored such that zero represented “no breathing (leg) discomfort” and 10 was “the most severe breathing (leg) discomfort that they had ever experienced or could imagine experiencing”. By pointing to the Borg Scale, subjects rated
the intensity of their breathing and leg discomfort at rest, every minute during exercise, and at end-exercise. Symptom ratings preceded IC maneuvers by at least 5 breaths to avoid interference with pre-IC breathing patterns, and to avoid the possible influence that the performance of an IC maneuver might have on dyspnea intensity.

**Inspiratory capacity measurements**

IC measurements were collected as previously described.[4] At each visit, the correct conduct of IC maneuvers was fully explained to the patient and then practiced at rest until consistently reproducible efforts were made (i.e., within ±5% or ±100 mL, whichever was larger). Subjects were given a few breaths warning before an IC maneuver, a prompt for the maneuver (i.e., “At the end of the next normal breath out, take a deep breath all the way IN” or “at the end of this breath out, take a big breath all the IN”), and then strong verbal encouragement to make a maximal effort (i.e., “in…, in…, in…” before returning to their regular breathing. The resting IC was recorded as the mean of the two best reproducible efforts. Satisfactory technique and repeatability of maneuvers was ensured before proceeding with exercise testing. During the constant-load exercise tests, IC maneuvers were performed at 2-minute intervals. When subjects indicated the desire to stop exercise, an end-exercise IC maneuver was performed within 15 seconds and the subjects were permitted to cool down; or if an acceptable IC had been performed within the preceding 30 seconds and the breathing pattern had not restabilized, then the value for that IC was used as the end-exercise value. If an exercise IC maneuver was found to be unacceptable (i.e., submaximal effort or anticipatory changes in breathing pattern immediately preceding the IC maneuver), it was not repeated and was excluded from the analysis. End-expiratory lung volume (EELV) was calculated as total lung capacity (TLC) minus
IC, with the assumption that TLC remains constant during exercise.[5] Inspiratory reserve volume (IRV) was calculated as IC minus $V_T$.

**Arterialized capillary blood gases**

Measurements of $\text{PaCO}_2$, $\text{PaO}_2$, pH, bicarbonate and base excess were obtained via arterialized capillary blood samples taken from the earlobe at rest, at 2♣ minutes intervals during exercise and at the end of exercise. The earlobe was warmed for at least 5♣ minutes prior to testing using a warm cloth; a deep puncture was made with a lancet so that a free flow of blood appeared; a blood sample was drawn into a preheparinized capillary tube; tubes were immediately sealed, placed on ice, and analyzed (ABL; Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark) all together immediately at the end of the exercise test. This non-invasive method has been shown to be a reliable and sufficiently accurate for clinical exercise testing, with no significant differences between $\text{PaO}_2$ or $\text{PaCO}_2$ obtained by this method and simultaneous arterial blood samples.[6]

**Locus of symptom limitation**

To determine the locus of symptom limitation, subjects answered the following question immediately after reaching the point of symptom limitation:

Did you stop exercising because of:

- A. Breathing discomfort?
- B. Leg discomfort?
- C. A combination of breathing and leg discomfort?
- D. Some other reason?

If you answered “D”, please describe the reason.

**Exercise end points for analysis**
Three main time points were used for evaluation of exercise parameters, i.e., pre-exercise rest, a standardized time during exercise (isotime), and peak exercise. *Rest* was defined as the steady-state period after at least 3 minutes of breathing on the mouthpiece while seated at rest on the cycle ergometer before exercise was started: cardiopulmonary parameters were averaged over the last 30 seconds of this period, IC measurements for this period were collected while breathing on the same circuit immediately after completion of the quiet breathing period. *Peak* was defined as the last 30 seconds of loaded pedaling: cardiopulmonary parameters were taken as the average over this time period, IC measurements and Borg ratings were collected immediately at the end of this period. *Isotime* was defined as the duration of the shortest exercise test on all treatment days. Values at isotime were measured within the last full minute of the shortest exercise test: cardiopulmonary measurements were averaged over the first 30 seconds of this minute while Borg ratings and IC measurements were captured within the second 30 seconds of this minute. If the isotime minute of exercise did not correspond with a period of IC collection (i.e., every second minute), then a value for isotime IC was derived by linear interpolation over time between the two values measured before and after the interval containing the isotime minute within that test.

**Results**

Randomization visits were well balanced for each intervention. No sequence effect was demonstrated for endurance time when chronological values were evaluated by ANOVA for repeated measures (p=0.34). Similarly, no sequence effect was shown for other important outcomes, i.e., isotime measurements of dyspnea intensity (p=0.92), leg discomfort (p=0.52), ventilation (p=0.85) or IC (p=0.54).

**Peak exercise: incremental versus constant-load cycle testing**
During symptom-limited incremental cycle exercise, peak oxygen consumption ($VO_2$) [1.16 (0.12) L/min or 62 (4) % predicted maximum; mean (SEM)] and work rate [55 (3) % predicted maximum] were significantly reduced as a result of ventilatory limitation. Incremental exercise was discontinued primarily due to breathing discomfort (9/16) or a combination of breathing and leg discomfort (4/16), and less often as a result of predominant leg discomfort (3/16). During constant-load exercise [control testing on room air (RA) and placebo (PL)], subjects reached a similar peak $VO_2$ [1.23 (0.11) L/min, 67 (4) % predicted] and $V_E$ [38.6 (2.9) L/min] as they did during incremental exercise, suggesting that the endurance test was “maximal”. Likewise, the majority of subjects (13/16) stopped constant-load exercise due to breathing discomfort.

**Breathing pattern responses**

Breathing pattern responses to each intervention are summarized at isotime [4.1(0.8) min] during constant-load exercise in table 1.

**Table 1 Breathing pattern measurements at isotime during constant-load cycle exercise**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>RA + PL</th>
<th>RA + BD</th>
<th>O₂ + PL</th>
<th>O₂ + BD</th>
<th>p value (ANOVA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_1$ (s)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.04)*</td>
<td>0.84 (0.04)*</td>
<td>0.88 (0.05)*</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_E$ (s)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.05)</td>
<td>1.21 (0.08)*</td>
<td>1.25 (0.07)*</td>
<td>1.31 (0.09)*†</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_I/T_{TOT}$</td>
<td>0.41 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_T/T_I$ (l/s)</td>
<td>1.55 (0.43)</td>
<td>1.61 (0.46)</td>
<td>1.43 (0.35)*†</td>
<td>1.49 (0.45)*†</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_T/T_E$, (l/s)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.39)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.45)*</td>
<td>0.99 (0.36)†</td>
<td>1.07 (0.50)†</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tidal PEF (l/s)</td>
<td>1.92 (0.53)</td>
<td>2.03 (0.68)</td>
<td>1.71 (0.48)*†</td>
<td>1.81 (0.66)†</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$ (breaths/min)</td>
<td>34 (2)</td>
<td>31 (2)*</td>
<td>29 (2)*</td>
<td>29 (2)*</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_T$ (l)</td>
<td>1.15 (0.09)</td>
<td>1.33 (0.11)*</td>
<td>1.19 (0.09)*†</td>
<td>1.30 (0.11)*‡</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>RA + PL</td>
<td>RA + BD</td>
<td>O₂ + PL</td>
<td>O₂ + BD</td>
<td>p value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vₜ/IC (%)</td>
<td>76 (2)</td>
<td>75 (3)</td>
<td>75 (3)</td>
<td>74 (2)</td>
<td>0.871</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means (SEM). Tᵢ, inspiratory time; Tₑ, expiratory time; Tᵢ/TᵢTOT, inspiratory duty cycle equals inspiratory time over total breath time; PEF, peak expiratory flow; F, breathing frequency; Vₜ, tidal volume; IC, inspiratory capacity.

*p<0.05 vs RA+PL; †p<0.05 vs RA+BD; ‡:p<0.05 vs O₂+PL.

**Hyperoxia-induced lung volume responses**

On average, operating lung volumes at rest and during exercise did not change significantly in response to O₂ in these non-hypoxic patients with COPD. However, 7 out of 16 subjects had a reduction in lung hyperinflation during exercise on O₂ compared to room air, i.e., an increase in IC at isotime. Of note, the IC response to BD did not predict the IC response to O₂ (r=0.21, p=0.43).

Compared with the 9 subjects who did not have an O₂-induced increase in exercise IC, the 7 subjects with a volume response had significantly (p<0.05): 1) worse maximal expiratory flows [FEV₁/FVC was 48(2) versus 39(2)% and FEF₂₅−₇₅% was 12(2) and 8(1) %predicted, respectively]; 2) steeper dyspnea-time slopes and poorer exercise endurance on RA, with greater improvements with O₂; 3) steeper dyspnea-Vₑ relationships that did not change on O₂; and 4) more significant decreases in Vₑ and mean tidal expiratory flow (due to increased expiratory time) in conjunction with the increases in IC and IRV in response to O₂ (fig S1).

**Correlates of improvements in exercise endurance**

After each intervention compared to control (RA+PL), improvements in exercise endurance time were related to reductions in dyspnea: the percent increase in endurance time correlated with
dyspnea (Borg)-time slopes after BD (r=−0.66, p=0.01), after O₂ (r=−0.58, p=0.02) and after O₂+BD combined (r=−0.45, p=0.08). The best indicator of who would increase exercise endurance in response to combined O₂ and BD was the increase in endurance time in response to O₂ alone (r=0.92, p<0.0005).
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