Background: Although C-reactive protein (CRP) levels are increased in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), it is not certain whether they are associated with adverse clinical outcomes.

Methods: Serum CRP levels were measured in 4803 participants in the Lung Health Study with mild to moderate COPD. The risk of all-cause and disease specific causes of mortality was determined as well as cardiovascular event rates, adjusting for important covariates such as age, sex, cigarette smoking, and lung function. Cardiovascular events were defined as death from coronary heart disease or stroke, or non-fatal myocardial infarction or stroke requiring admission to hospital.

Results: CRP levels were associated with all-cause, cardiovascular, and cancer specific causes of mortality. Individuals in the highest quintile of CRP had a relative risk (RR) for all-cause mortality of 1.79 (95% confidence interval (CI) 1.25 to 2.56) compared with those in the lowest quintile of CRP. For cardiovascular events and cancer deaths the corresponding RRs were 1.51 (95% CI 1.20 to 1.90) and 1.85 (95% CI 1.10 to 3.13), respectively. CRP levels were also associated with an accelerated decline in forced expiratory volume in 1 second (p<0.001). The discriminative property of CRP was greatest during the first year of measurement and decayed over time. Comparing the highest and lowest CRP quintiles, the RR was 4.03 (95% CI 1.23 to 13.21) for 1 year mortality, 3.30 (95% CI 1.38 to 7.86) for 2 year mortality, and 1.82 (95% CI 1.22 to 2.68) for >5 year mortality.

Conclusions: CRP measurements provide incremental prognostic information beyond that achieved by traditional markers of prognosis in patients with mild to moderate COPD, and may enable more accurate detection of patients at a high risk of mortality.

C

hronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a major global epidemic, affecting 5–15% of all adults in industrialised countries and accounting for over 3 million deaths each year worldwide. COPD is an inflammatory disorder characterised by progressive airflow limitation and parenchymal destruction. The inflammatory process may extend beyond the pulmonary system, resulting in a state of persistent low grade systemic inflammation which has been implicated in various complications of COPD including cachexia, ischaemic heart disease, and arrhythmias. A recent study indicated that plasma fibrinogen levels are associated with an accelerated decline in lung function; however, to date, there is a scarcity of longitudinal data that have temporally linked systemic inflammation with hard clinical end points such as mortality and hospital admissions in patients with COPD. Using the Lung Health Study (LHS) cohort, we determined whether serum C-reactive protein (CRP), a marker of systemic inflammation, is associated with (1) increased risk of all-cause and disease specific causes of mortality, (2) increased risk of fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events, and (3) an accelerated decline in lung function as measured by forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1). The relationship between CRP and cardiovascular events is very germane because they are the leading causes of hospital admissions and mortality in patients with mild to moderate COPD. Briefly, the LHS recruited 5887 cigarette smoking participants aged 35–60 years with mild to moderate airflow obstruction on spirometric testing. Individuals who had a history of cancer except carcinoma in situ or basal cell carcinoma of the skin, myocardial infarction (in the past 2 years), angina, heart failure, stroke (in the past 2 years), renal failure, cirrhosis or other serious liver diseases, pulmonary embolism, disorders of the central nervous system, narrow angle glaucoma, or any other major diseases which could have compromised follow up were excluded from the cohort.

Mild to moderate airflow obstruction was defined as FEV1 <90% predicted but >55% predicted in the presence of FEV1/forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio of <0.70. After enrolment and baseline measurements, the study participants were asked to visit the study centre annually for follow up. At these visits spirometric parameters were measured before and after two puffs of isoprotalron. The largest single FEV1 and FVC were reported and converted to percentages of the predicted normal value using the formula of Crapo and co-workers. In addition, the health status, symptoms, and health service usage of participants over the preceding 12 months were captured using a detailed questionnaire. At these visits salivary cotinine levels were measured to verify objectively the smoking status of the participants. Participants were categorised as sustained quitters if they were biochemically validated non-smokers at each annual visit. Participants who were smokers at each annual visit were continuing smokers. Those whose behaviour varied were classified as intermittent quitters. The initial LHS involved 5 years of follow up and the results of this phase of the study have been published previously.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRP, C-reactive protein; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity
At the fifth annual visit a venipuncture was carried out on participants who attended their LHS clinics. At year 5, 5413 participants were alive and were eligible for venipuncture. Of these, 4803 provided serum samples (89% of eligible participants). At this visit the participants were also asked to consent for additional follow up (LHS 3). During the follow up of LHS 3, the vital status and hospitalisation records of participants were captured biannually. If a participant had been admitted to hospital, copies of essential documents were obtained from hospital record rooms. Records that made significant mention of respiratory disease, cardiovascular disease, or cancer were forwarded to the mortality and morbidity review board of the study for definitive coding. The mortality and morbidity review board was also responsible for classifying the causes of death for all participants who died during the study. They reviewed death certificates, necropsy reports, relevant hospital records, and summaries of interviews with attending physicians or eye witnesses. These data were supplemented by linkages with a National Death Index which provided the date and cause of death for all US study participants through the end of 2001. Vital status was successfully determined for 98.3% of the participants. Mortality end points were classified into: coronary heart disease, cardiovascular disease (which also included coronary heart disease), lung cancer, other cancer, respiratory disease excluding lung cancer, other, and unknown.

**Measurements of CRP**

After collection the blood samples were separated into their various components. They were then shipped to the LHS data coordinating centre on dry ice and kept in −70°C freezers until use. The serum samples were thawed once for CRP determination. The levels of CRP were measured using highly sensitive enzyme linked immunosorbent assay kits (Alpha Diagnostics, San Antonio, TX, USA). All assays were measured at the James Hogg iCAPTURE Center (University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada) by laboratory personnel who were unaware of the clinical outcomes of the study participants. All assays were performed in triplicate. The median interassay coefficient of variation was 6.3%.

**Statistical analysis**

The primary relationship of interest was between serum CRP levels and all-cause mortality. Other end points were considered secondary in nature. All individuals who died or were lost to follow up before visit 5 were censored. CRP values were divided into quintiles from the lowest to the highest levels. We compared the risk of all-cause mortality across the CRP quintiles over the follow up period using a Cox proportional hazards model in which the following covariates were adjusted for: sex, race, age (in quintiles), body mass index (BMI) (in quintiles), pack-years of smoking (in quintiles), biochemically validated smoking status (continued smokers, sustained quitters, or intermittent quitters), rate of decline in FEV₁ during the first 5 years of follow up (in quintiles), and percentage predicted FEV₁ (in quintiles). To determine the relationship between CRP and cardiovascular disease events, defined as either fatal or non-fatal cardiovascular disease hospital admissions, we used the same model as for all-cause mortality. Multiple regression modelling was used to determine the relationship between CRP quintiles and the rate of decline in FEV₁. We have previously shown in this cohort that the slope of FEV₁ decline over the first 5 years of follow up reliably predicts the rate of decline over much longer periods of follow up (over 11 years). Because CRP was non-normally distributed, for certain analyses we log transformed CRP values to achieve normality.

To determine whether the predictive (discriminative) power of baseline CRP levels decayed over time, we ran a series of logistic regression models in which we determined all-cause mortality rates from 1 to >5 years of follow up. From these models we determined the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (also known as C statistic) of baseline CRP. The C statistic can range from 0.5 (model discrimination no better than by chance) to 1.0 (perfect model discrimination). We then constructed a multiple logistic regression model in which sex, race, age (in quintiles), BMI (in quintiles), biochemically validated smoking status, and percentage predicted FEV₁ (in quintiles), together with serum CRP levels, were included as potential covariates to predict 1 year mortality. We used a stepwise selection process to select variables that had a p value of 0.20 or less in the univariate model and 0.10 or less in the multivariate model. Discrimination of the model was assessed by the C statistic and calibration was assessed using the Hosmer and Lemeshow χ² statistic (p>0.05 for all models). We also did this for longer term mortality but the discriminative power of baseline measurements diminished significantly over time.

Continuous variables are presented as mean (SD) unless otherwise specified. All analyses were performed using SAS software version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Carey, NC, USA). p

---

**Table 1** Characteristics of participants in the Lung Health Study according to quintiles of baseline CRP levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quintile 1 (n = 960)</th>
<th>Quintile 2 (n = 961)</th>
<th>Quintile 3 (n = 961)</th>
<th>Quintile 4 (n = 960)</th>
<th>Quintile 5 (n = 960)</th>
<th>p for trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/l)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.17–0.39)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.62–0.86)</td>
<td>1.39 (1.21–1.61)</td>
<td>2.58 (2.17–3.06)</td>
<td>7.06 (4.79–9.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>52 (7)</td>
<td>53 (7)</td>
<td>54 (7)</td>
<td>54 (7)</td>
<td>55 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>568 (59%)</td>
<td>617 (64%)</td>
<td>660 (69%)</td>
<td>630 (66%)</td>
<td>548 (57%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.9 (3.7)</td>
<td>23.2 (3.7)</td>
<td>23.3 (3.7)</td>
<td>23.4 (3.7)</td>
<td>23.5 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily cough</td>
<td>317 (33%)</td>
<td>341 (35%)</td>
<td>344 (36%)</td>
<td>343 (35%)</td>
<td>333 (35%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily sputum</td>
<td>273 (28%)</td>
<td>287 (30%)</td>
<td>306 (32%)</td>
<td>287 (30%)</td>
<td>313 (33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.1 (3.6)</td>
<td>23.2 (3.6)</td>
<td>23.6 (3.5)</td>
<td>25.3 (4.0)</td>
<td>26.4 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ (% predicted)</td>
<td>80 (9)</td>
<td>78 (9)</td>
<td>79 (9)</td>
<td>78 (9)</td>
<td>77 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP</td>
<td>76 (9)</td>
<td>78 (9)</td>
<td>79 (9)</td>
<td>79 (9)</td>
<td>79 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP</td>
<td>119 (14)</td>
<td>121 (15)</td>
<td>123 (15)</td>
<td>123 (14)</td>
<td>125 (16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CRP, C-reactive protein; BMI, body mass index; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; BP, blood pressure.

*Linear trend from quintile 1 to quintile 5.

†Geometric mean (interquartile range).

‡Post- bronchodilator values.

Continuous variables are presented as mean (SD) and dichotomous variables are presented as number of participants (% column totals) unless otherwise indicated.
values were two tailed and those below 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

RESULTS

The mean (SD) age of the participants was 53 (7) years. Their FEV\textsubscript{1} was 2.75 (0.63) l (78 (9)% predicted), BMI was 25.6 (3.9) kg/m\textsuperscript{2}, and the mean smoking history was 40 (19) pack-years. Of the participants, 3023 (63%) were men, 4623 (96%) were white, 2601 (54%) were continued smokers, 1351 (28%) were intermittent quitters, and the rest were sustained quitters on the basis of salivary cotinine measurements at visit 5. The geometric mean serum CRP level was 1.32 mg/l (interquartile range 0.62–3.06). During the follow up period, 329 (6.8%) participants died; 87 (26%) from cardiovascular disease, 99 (31%) from lung cancer, 26 (8%) from respiratory failure, and the rest from other causes (n = 117; 36%). During the follow up period, 821 participants were admitted to hospital for cardiovascular diseases (17%). The median (10th–90th) duration of follow up was 7.5 years from the date of venipuncture (visit 5).

The clinical characteristics of the study participants divided into quintiles of CRP are summarised in table 1.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Quintile 1 (n = 960)</th>
<th>Quintile 2 (n = 961)</th>
<th>Quintile 3 (n = 961)</th>
<th>Quintile 4 (n = 961)</th>
<th>Quintile 5 (n = 960)</th>
<th>p for trend†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean CRP (mg/l)*</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total deaths</td>
<td>47 (4.9)</td>
<td>50 (5.2)</td>
<td>61 (6.4)</td>
<td>65 (6.8)</td>
<td>106 (11.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD deaths</td>
<td>7 (0.7)</td>
<td>5 (0.5)</td>
<td>8 (0.8)</td>
<td>9 (0.9)</td>
<td>22 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD deaths</td>
<td>13 (1.4)</td>
<td>7 (0.7)</td>
<td>17 (1.8)</td>
<td>17 (1.8)</td>
<td>33 (3.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatal/fatal CHD</td>
<td>68 (7.1)</td>
<td>85 (8.8)</td>
<td>86 (9.0)</td>
<td>119 (12.4)</td>
<td>145 (15.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatal/fatal CVD</td>
<td>120 (12.5)</td>
<td>133 (13.8)</td>
<td>147 (15.3)</td>
<td>187 (19.5)</td>
<td>237 (24.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer deaths</td>
<td>21 (2.2)</td>
<td>32 (3.3)</td>
<td>29 (3.0)</td>
<td>37 (3.9)</td>
<td>50 (5.2)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung cancer deaths</td>
<td>13 (1.4)</td>
<td>23 (2.4)</td>
<td>15 (1.6)</td>
<td>20 (2.1)</td>
<td>28 (2.9)</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory deaths</td>
<td>8 (0.8)</td>
<td>3 (0.3)</td>
<td>6 (0.6)</td>
<td>3 (0.3)</td>
<td>6 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.578</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHD, coronary heart disease; CVD, cardiovascular disease.

*pGeometric mean value for each quintile of CRP.
†Linear trend from quintile 1 to quintile 5.

Variables presented as number of participants (% column totals) unless otherwise indicated.

Similarly, the risk of fatal and non-fatal coronary heart disease and cardiovascular diseases also increased along the CRP quintile gradient. However, the risk of respiratory deaths was similar between the groups.

After adjustment for potential confounders (see Methods section for details), the risk of all-cause mortality increased significantly as a function of serum CRP levels (table 3). Similarly, the risk of fatal and non-fatal coronary heart disease and cardiovascular diseases and cancer specific mortality increased along the CRP gradient. Respiratory causes of mortality, however, were not significantly related to serum CRP levels. To determine whether the relationship between CRP and all-cause mortality was threshold-dependent or continuous, we plotted a cubic spline curve. Figure 1 shows that the relationship between CRP and all-cause mortality is linear over the range of CRP that is prevalent in patients with mild to moderate COPD (0–6 mg/l).

Table 4 summarises the rate of decline in FEV\textsubscript{1} (percentage predicted) as a function of serum CRP levels. The highest quintile had the fastest decline, while the lowest quintile had the slowest decline in all smoking categories. This relationship was not materially modified by smoking status (p = 0.140).

For 1 year mortality, the C statistic for CRP (in quintiles) was 0.69 (95% CI 0.58 to 0.81). Quintiles of age had a C statistic of 0.70 (95% CI 0.57 to 0.82), while FEV\textsubscript{1} (in quintiles) had a C statistic of 0.65 (95% CI 0.53 to 0.77). In a multiple regression model (described in the Methods section), age (p = 0.002), race (p < 0.001), BMI (p = 0.005), and CRP levels (p = 0.003) were the only variables that significantly predicted 1 year mortality. When combined, these variables had a C statistic of 0.82 indicating excellent discriminative power. The discriminative power of CRP decreased over time. The C statistic of CRP alone was 0.64 (95% CI 0.56 to 0.72) for 2 year mortality, 0.63 (0.59 to 0.69) for 3 year mortality, 0.59 (95% CI 0.54 to 0.65) for 4 year mortality, 0.60 (95% CI 0.55 to 0.64) for 5 year mortality, and 0.59 (95% CI 0.55 to 0.62) for >5 year mortality. Comparing the highest with the lowest CRP quintile, the RR was 4.03 (95% CI 1.23 to 13.21) for 1 year mortality, 3.30 (95% CI 1.38 to 7.86) for 2 year mortality, 2.77 (95% CI 1.46 to 5.27) for 3 year mortality, 1.98 (95% CI 1.22 to 3.24) for 4 year mortality, and 1.82 (95% CI 1.22 to 2.68) for >5 year mortality.

DISCUSSION

In this large prospective study of over 4800 individuals with mild to moderate COPD, serum CRP levels were found to be a significant predictor of all-cause mortality. The risk increased linearly along the CRP gradient, even after adjustments for
Table 3  Adjusted risk of clinical outcomes in the Lung Health Study cohort according to quintiles of baseline CRP levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quintile</th>
<th>Quintile 1</th>
<th>Quintile 2</th>
<th>Quintile 3</th>
<th>Quintile 4</th>
<th>Quintile 5</th>
<th>p for trend†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean CRP (mg/l)*</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-cause mortality</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.98 (0.65 to 1.46)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.78 to 1.68)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.77 to 1.65)</td>
<td>1.79 (1.25 to 2.56)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD deaths</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.66 (0.87 to 1.79)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.34 to 2.60)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.29 to 2.60)</td>
<td>2.20 (0.90 to 5.38)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD deaths</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.34 (0.17 to 0.99)</td>
<td>1.00 (1.00 to 1.00)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.13 to 0.89)</td>
<td>1.69 (0.86 to 3.33)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatal/non-fatal CHD</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.00 (0.71 to 1.35)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.71 to 1.35)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.71 to 1.35)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.71 to 1.35)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatal/non-fatal CVD</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.50 (0.34 to 0.74)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.34 to 0.74)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.34 to 0.74)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.34 to 0.74)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer deaths</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.00 (0.80 to 2.43)</td>
<td>1.10 (0.38 to 2.31)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.38 to 2.31)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.38 to 2.31)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung cancer deaths</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.00 (0.80 to 1.63)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.38 to 1.63)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.38 to 1.63)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.38 to 1.63)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory deaths</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.27 (0.13 to 0.49)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.22 to 1.90)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.08 to 1.20)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.20 to 1.78)</td>
<td>0.310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CRP, C-reactive protein; CHD, coronary heart disease; CVD, cardiovascular disease.
*Geometric mean value for each quintile of CRP.
†Linear trend from quintile 1 to quintile 5.
All values are mean (95% CI) and have been adjusted for sex, race, age (in quintiles), body mass index (in quintiles), pack-years of smoking (in quintiles), smoking status (biochemically validated smoking status (continued smokers, sustained quitters, or intermittent quitters), rate of decline in FEV1 (in quintiles), and predicted FEV1 (in quintiles).

There are several limitations to this study. Firstly, the LHS cohort comprised patients who had mild to moderate COPD. It is possible that these results may not apply to individuals without COPD or to those with more advanced disease. Although cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of hospitalisation and one of the leading causes of mortality in mild COPD, respiratory insufficiency and pneumonia become more important in severe COPD.60 Whether CRP has a similar discriminative value in patients with more advanced COPD is unknown. Secondly, CRP levels were measured only once in the LHS cohort so we could not evaluate the effect of changes in smoking status over time.

Table 4  Annual change in FEV1 (% predicted) in the Lung Health Study cohort according to quintiles of CRP levels and smoking status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quintile</th>
<th>Quintile 1</th>
<th>Quintile 2</th>
<th>Quintile 3</th>
<th>Quintile 4</th>
<th>Quintile 5</th>
<th>p for trend†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean CRP (mg/l)*</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All participants</td>
<td>0.43 (1.69)</td>
<td>0.63 (1.50)</td>
<td>-0.58 (1.62)</td>
<td>-0.81 (1.53)</td>
<td>-0.93 (1.54)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustained smokers</td>
<td>1.00 (1.60)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.44)</td>
<td>-1.03 (1.57)</td>
<td>-1.30 (1.42)</td>
<td>-1.25 (1.52)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermittent smokers</td>
<td>0.10 (1.60)</td>
<td>0.30 (1.37)</td>
<td>-0.38 (1.48)</td>
<td>-0.50 (1.52)</td>
<td>-0.64 (1.44)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustained quitters</td>
<td>0.43 (1.57)</td>
<td>0.15 (1.41)</td>
<td>0.37 (1.47)</td>
<td>0.12 (1.37)</td>
<td>-0.15 (1.44)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Geometric mean value for each quintile of CRP.
†Linear trend from quintile 1 to quintile 5.
The interaction term for smoking status and CRP quintiles was not significant (p = 0.140).
Data are expressed as mean (SD) and are calculated from (FEV1 % predicted in year 1 – FEV1 % predicted in year 5)/5years.
in CRP on health outcomes in COPD patients. However, it is as-
certing that CRP levels measured at multiple time points
have been stable in many studies.\textsuperscript{26–28} Moreover, any random
fluctuations in CRP levels would have produced non-
differential misclassification, leading to a dilution of the
association between CRP and health outcomes. Consistent
with this notion, the highest discriminative power of CRP
was observed with 1 year mortality and its discriminative
power decayed with longer duration of follow up. These data
suggest that baseline CRP levels are best used to predict
prognosis over a short period of time. Thirdly, we did not
measure markers of systemic inflammation other than CRP.
We chose CRP because it is a stable molecule with a half life
of 18–24 hours, it is raised in COPD, it is easy to measure, and
because it has been shown to provide prognostic information
in the general population.\textsuperscript{29, 30} The importance and usefulness
of other markers of systemic inflammation in COPD are
much more controversial. Moreover, their assays are not
widely available commercially, making them less useful for
clinical purposes. Fourthly, it is controversial whether CRP is
an effector molecule in the pathogenesis of cardiovascular
events or merely a marker of systemic inflammation. The
current study was not designed to address this issue. Future
work is needed to identify the potential pathogenic role of
CRP and other inflammatory mediators in COPD and the
conditions associated with COPD. Finally, although we
carefully minimised the number of covariates evaluated in
the analytical model to mitigate the risk of statistical
overfitting,\textsuperscript{31} we cannot fully discount this possibility.
Validation of the present findings using a separate COPD
cohort is needed in the future.

In summary, the results of the current longitudinal study
indicate that the serum level of CRP is a significant predictor
of future risk of death, cancer deaths, and cardiovascular
events in patients with mild to moderate COPD and provides
incremental information beyond that of smoking, FEV\textsubscript{1},
and other traditional risk factors in COPD. These data suggest
that CRP could be used routinely in clinical practice to risk
stratify patients with COPD. In those with raised CRP levels,
physicians should consider aggressive treatments—for exam-
ple, smoking cessation programmes, other lifestyle interven-
tions, and possibly some pharmacological agents—to reduce
the risk of morbidity and mortality in such patients.
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