Screening for lung cancer using low dose CT scanning: results of 2 year follow up
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Background: Screening with low dose chest computed tomographic scanning (LDCCT) may improve survival by identifying early asymptomatic lung cancer.

Methods: Four hundred and forty nine high risk subjects were screened with serial LDCCT scanning over 2 years. Fine needle aspiration biopsy was recommended for non-calcified nodules (NCNs) of >10 mm diameter or demonstrating interval growth.

Results: NCNs were identified in 111 subjects (24.7%), three of which were lung cancer. The overall prevalence (0.4%) and incidence (1.3%) rates of lung cancer detection were low. Three of the six lung cancers detected in the study were stage 1 non-small cell lung cancer; the remainder were unresectable central tumours. By contrast, eight subjects developed extrathoracic malignancy during the study period and other incidental pathology was noted in 221 subjects (49.2%). Smoking cessation rates at 19% were higher than in the general population, but 60.8% of subjects continued to smoke.

Conclusion: LDCCT scanning is useful in detecting early peripheral non-small cell lung cancers but its usefulness as a screening tool is limited by low specificity and by poor sensitivity for central tumours.

Lung cancer is the most common cancer worldwide and the leading cause of cancer related deaths in many countries. The majority of patients present with advanced inoperable disease, but cure rates following surgery for early stage disease are excellent with 5 year survival rates of over 70% following resection of stage I non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). A screening method that reliably identifies early stage cancers could potentially improve mortality.

Much recent work has focused on the value of low dose chest CT (LDCCT) scanning as a screening tool for detecting early asymptomatic lung cancers. In particular, the work of the ELCAP group showed that LDCCT scanning is more sensitive than chest radiography in detecting small pulmonary nodules. They reported a prevalence rate of lung cancer of 2.7% in a high risk population and an incidence of lung cancer of 0.05% in the general population. In the ELCAP study, two were resected at the initial presentation (one NSCLC stage 1 and one hamartoma), and two demonstrated nodule growth.

METHODS

Four hundred and forty nine subjects of median age 56.4 years (range 50–74) with a minimum smoking history of 10 pack years (mean 53.4) and still smoking at age 45, no prior history of cancer, and medically fit for thoracic surgery were recruited. Spiral 10 mm, pitch 2, low dose (50 MA or less) CT images were obtained and reconstructed using a high resolution algorithm in overlapping 5 mm increments. The results of the prevalence scan determined intervention or repeat scanning as previously described. Briefly, non-calcified nodules (NCNs) <10 mm in diameter were followed with LDCCT scans at 6, 12 and 24 months, larger nodules or those showing interval growth were referred for fine needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB), and annual repeat LDCCT scanning was recommended where no nodule was seen on prevalence scanning.

RESULTS

A total of 1371 scans were performed on 449 subjects in the 2 year period. Twenty two subjects (4.9%) withdrew from the study during the 2 years and a further 14 (3.1%) did not complete because of intercurrent illness or death; 413 subjects (92%) completed the 2 year repeat screening. The results are presented in table 1.

Non-calcified nodules were identified in 93 subjects on the prevalence scan. A further 12 subjects were found to have nodules on repeat screening which, in retrospect, were visible on the prevalence scan. Nodules measuring less than 10 mm on prevalence scanning were followed without change for 24 months in 97 of these 105 subjects; four did not complete the study, two were resected at the initial presentation (one NSCLC stage 1 and one hamartoma), and two demonstrated nodule growth.

Both cases of nodule growth were observed on the second interval scan. FNAB revealed no malignant cells in either subject. A speculated appearance on the CT scan was suspicious for malignancy in one nodule with interval growth from 8 mm to 12 mm, and lobectomy was performed revealing adenocarcinoma stage 1. The other case with interval growth from 4 mm to 10 mm had a negative positron emission tomographic (PET) scan and no further interval growth on the follow up LDCCT scan so was deemed benign.

Six subjects were found to have new nodules during the 2 year follow up period, of which one proceeded to lobectomy revealing a neuroendocrine tumour. The other five subjects continue to be followed according to the study protocol and none has shown interval growth to date.

Three subjects had lung cancer detected in the mediastinum or hilum. One was identified on the prevalence scan and another had a small cell carcinoma and liver metastases at annual incidence screening. The third presented with...
Two years of screening with LDCCT scanning in a high risk population identified three resectable lung tumours (0.7%); one prevalence and two incidence cancers including one neuroendocrine tumour. A similar rate of inoperable cancer was observed with two small cell cancers and one interval unclassified cancer. In keeping with another similar study from Northern Europe,15 we failed to replicate the high rates of LDCCT detected early stage NSCLC reported by Henschke and the Cornell group. This may be partly attributable to the older age profile of the ELCAP group, which was on average a decade older than the other two groups, but also highlights the difficulty in applying results of screening in one population to another.

The proportion of small cell cancers (33%) was higher than in our general population where small cell cancers comprise 17% of all cancers diagnosed in our institution.11 This over-representation is probably an artefact of our small sample size. Small cell lung cancer is an aggressive tumour characterised by rapid doubling time and early metastasis and, as such, should not be considered as a realistic target for LDCCT screening. Adenocarcinomas, in contrast, are typically peripheral and more indolent tumours, making them more amenable to detection by screening. Adenocarcinomas generally account for 30–40% of all lung cancers but were under-represented in the total number of cancers detected in the cohorts studied by both Henschke et al12 (67%) and the Mayo Clinic (60%).16 It remains to be proved that earlier diagnosis of slow growing adenocarcinomas will confer a real mortality benefit as survival benefit with indolent tumours is particularly subject to the effects of overdiagnosis and length-time bias.13

Using an algorithm such as ours with selected use of FNAB, LDCCT scanning is useful for detecting early stage peripheral NSCLC. Its usefulness as a screening tool for lung cancer, however, is limited by poor sensitivity in detecting central endobronchial tumours and by the biologically aggressive nature of small cell lung cancer. In addition, the low specificity of LDCCT scanning necessitates follow up of a large number of nodules that are ultimately benign. The cost of population based LDCCT screening for lung cancer has been estimated at over $100,000 per year of life gained,14 and reduction in mortality is yet to be proven. Our lower detection rates and high rates of ancillary pathology requiring follow up or intervention may indicate even higher costs. These may prove prohibitive, particularly when over half of the screening population continue to smoke.

DISCUSSION

Means of reducing benign intervention but led to surgical biopsy for benign disease in three of five resections and false negative results in two cases of adenocarcinoma in the cohort of 1035 patients reported by Pastorino et al.12 FNAB, in contrast, resulted in no false positives and one false negative of five negative biopsies. Of four surgical resections in our cohort, only one was found to be a benign lesion.
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LUNG ALERT

The public health burden of acute lung injury

The public health burden of acute lung injury (ALI/ARDS) in terms of morbidity, mortality, length of hospitalisation, and need for rehabilitation is poorly appreciated. This study reports the results of a prospective, population based, cohort study conducted in 21 hospitals in and around King County, Washington, USA to determine the incidence and mortality associated with ALI/ARDS. Between April 1999 and July 2000, 4251 adults requiring mechanical ventilation for more than 24 hours were screened. Of the 1687 patients with acute hypoxic respiratory failure, 1113 had ALI, 828 of whom (74%) had ARDS. The crude incidence of ALI/ARDS was 78.9/58.7 cases per 100 000 person-years, respectively, giving age adjusted national estimates of 86.2/64 cases per 100 000. The in-hospital mortality was 38.3% for ALI and 41.1% for ARDS. Mortality increased with age, reaching 60% for patients aged 85 or older. The calculated incidence of ALI/ARDS in this study was 2–40 times higher than previously reported with an estimated 190 600 new cases of ALI every year requiring 3.6 million hospital days, 2.15 million ICU days, and leading to 74 500 deaths. This number is comparable to the deaths due to breast cancer or HIV in the USA in 1999. Of note, only 34% of the survivors were discharged directly home while 51% were transferred to rehabilitation or nursing facilities.

This study provides us with a better understanding of the epidemiology of ALI/ARDS which is helpful for allocating future ICU resources and planning physical and neurocognitive rehabilitation programmes for ICU survivors.
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