Background: Reduced bioactive vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) has been demonstrated in several inflammatory lung conditions including the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). sVEGFR-1, a soluble form of VEGF-1 receptor, is a potent natural inhibitor of VEGF. We hypothesised that sVEGFR-1 plays an important role in the regulation of the bioactivity of VEGF within the lung in patients with ARDS.

Methods: Forty one patients with ARDS, 12 at risk of developing ARDS, and 16 normal controls were studied. Bioactive VEGF, total VEGF, and sVEGFR-1 were measured by ELISA in plasma and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid. Reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction for sVEGFR-1 was performed on BAL cells.

Results: sVEGFR-1 was detectable in the BAL fluid of 48% (20/41) of patients with early ARDS (1.4–54.8 ng/ml epithelial lining fluid (ELF)) compared with 8% (1/12) at risk patients (p = 0.017) and none of the normal controls (p = 0.002). By day 4 sVEGFR-1 was detectable in only 2/18 ARDS patients (p = 0.008). Patients with detectable sVEGFR-1 had lower ELF median (IQR) levels of bioactive VEGF than those without detectable sVEGFR-1 (1415.2 (474.9–3192) pg/ml v 4761 (1349–7596.6) pg/ml, median difference 3346 pg/ml (95% CI 305.1 to 14711.9), p = 0.016), but there was no difference in total VEGF levels. BAL cells expressed mRNA for sVEGFR-1 and produced sVEGFR-1 protein which increased following incubation with tumour necrosis factor α.

Conclusion: This study shows for the first time the presence of sVEGFR-1 in the BAL fluid of patients with ARDS. This may explain the presence of reduced bioactive VEGF in patients early in the course of ARDS.

In order to preserve gas exchange, the lung needs a confluent layer of alveolar epithelial and endothelial cells to prevent flooding of the alveolar space with fluid and plasma proteins. When this layer is damaged the resultant non-cardiogenic pulmonary oedema leads to refractory hypoxia and the need for mechanical ventilation. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) plays a central role in the embryonic pulmonary angiogenesis and development. In human fetuses at term, low alveolar levels of VEGF are associated with the development of a severe respiratory distress syndrome. As the lung develops VEGF protein levels increase such that, by adulthood, the biological effects of proinflammatory cytokines within the lung are mesenchymal and type II alveolar cells. During acid injury 12 and increase surfactant production from type II cells. The mechanisms responsible for regulating VEGF in the lung are currently unclear. Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain the low intrapulmonary levels of VEGF. These include decreased production due to the loss of alveolar type II cells, increased degradation of VEGF by proteases released from neutrophils and other inflammatory cells, or increased release of VEGF from the lung to the plasma as a consequence of damage to the alveolar-capillary barrier. The recent discovery of specific and non-specific cytokine antagonists in patients with ARDS has considerably enhanced our understanding of the complex regulation of the biological effects of proinflammatory cytokines within the alveolar space in ARDS.

Furthermore, in ARDS the early restoration of ELF VEGF levels was associated with recovery from lung injury. It has been suggested that VEGF within the lung may therefore play a dual role, not only in contributing to the regulation of alveolar-capillary permeability but also promoting lung repair. This hypothesis is supported by recent in vitro studies that have shown that VEGF can enhance alveolar cell proliferation after acid injury and increase surfactant production from type II cells. The function and regulation of VEGF in acute lung injury (ALI) and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) is complex. VEGF is a potent endothelial cell mitogen and permeability factor. Clinical studies have reported increased plasma VEGF levels in a wide variety of inflammatory conditions where increased capillary permeability is a feature such as pre-eclampsia, inflammatory pleural effusion, and ARDS. In vitro studies using plasma from patients with ARDS showed that the addition of a specific antagonist to VEGF can reduce endothelial monolayer permeability by up to 50%, suggesting an important role for VEGF in regulating alveolar-capillary permeability in ARDS. In contrast to the plasma compartment, however, bioactive VEGF is reduced in the ELF of patients with pulmonary fibrosis, emphysema, sarcoidosis, following lung transplantation, and ARDS. 10 11
function by forming heterodimers with it which prevent binding and activation of the VEGF receptors.16

We hypothesised that the reduced bioactive VEGF found in patients with ARDS might be due to the presence of sVEGFR-1. To examine this hypothesis we measured sVEGFR-1 and total VEGF and, as in previous studies, bioactive VEGF in the BAL fluid of patients at risk for ARDS and those with established ARDS. Our second goal was to investigate the relationship between these measures and indices of the severity of lung injury and clinical outcome in patients with established ARDS.

METHODS
Subjects
Patients were studied within 48 hours of admission to the intensive care units of Birmingham Heartlands Hospital (Birmingham, UK) and Southmead Hospital (Bristol, UK) between 2001 and 2003. The study was approved by the local research and ethics committees at each institution.

Patients were identified as having ALI or ARDS according to the American-European consensus statement.7 Patients who did not fulfil these criteria but had predisposing risk factors for ARDS were defined as “at risk”. Patients were ventilated using pressure controlled ventilation aiming for tidal volumes of 6 ml/kg. Age/sex matched health volunteers (non-smoking, free from respiratory disease) were defined as normal. Bronchoscopy and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) was performed in all patients immediately following inclusion and, when possible, 4 days later. The Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II score8 and Simplified Acute Physiology Score (SAPS) II9 were calculated as a global assessment of the severity of illness in each group. Murray lung injury score (LIS) and PA02 to FiO2 ratio9 were calculated as a measure of the severity of lung injury.

Bronchoscopy
Briefly, the bronchoscope was wedged into a subsegmental bronchus in the middle lobe and 150 ml 0.9% saline was instilled in three 50 ml aliquots. The BAL fluid was aspirated and placed immediately on ice until processing. Arterial blood was collected simultaneously into lithium heparin and placed immediately on ice until processing. Arterial blood was collected simultaneously into lithium heparin and placed immediately on ice until processing. Arterial blood was collected simultaneously into lithium heparin and placed immediately on ice until processing. Arterial

Sample processing
The chilled BAL fluid was filtered through a single layer of coarse surgical gauze to remove clumps of mucus and then spun at 500g for 5 minutes to pellet the cells. The BAL fluid supernatant was collected and stored at −80°C until analysis. A cell count was performed using a haemocytometer and cells were processed for RNA extraction. RNA was extracted from BAL cells using the RNeasy mini kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Qiagen, UK). RNA was quantified at 260 nm using a UV wavelength spectrophotometer. Whole blood was spun at 500g for 10 minutes, the plasma was removed and stored at −80°C until analysis.

Bioactive VEGF and sVEGFR-1 measurement
Commercially available ELISA kits were used to measure bioactive VEGF (a bioactive assay detecting free 121 and 165; Quantikine R&D Systems, UK), total VEGF (Chemicon, USA) and sVEGFR-1 (Bendermedics, Germany) according to the manufacturers’ instructions.

VEGF recovery from BAL fluid
BAL fluid from patients with detectable (n = 12) and undetectable (n = 12) sVEGFR-1 were spiked with 200 pg/ml VEGF-A (R&D Systems) for 30 minutes. Bioactive VEGF was measured by ELISA and the percentage of bioactive VEGF recovery was calculated.

ELF and protein determination
The urea concentration was determined using a commercially available urea kit (Sigma Diagnostics). The volume of ELF was estimated using the formula: ELF volume (l) = BAL urea (mmol/l)/plasma urea concentration (mmol/l).21 BAL fluid protein was measured using the Lowry method.22 The protein permeability index was calculated as plasma protein/BAL protein × 100.23

BAL cell culture
BAL cells were cultured for 24 hours in RPMI at a concentration of 1×10⁶/ml in the presence of 1 ng/ml tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα), 1 μg/ml lipopolysaccharide (LPS), or control media (RPMI). Supernatants were collected and the concentration of sVEGFR-1 was measured by ELISA.

sVEGFR-1 RT-PCR
sVEGFR-1 and the housekeeping control gene GAPDH were amplified by RT-PCR. Primers were synthesised (Alta Bioscience, UK). PCR products were analysed by running through a 3% (w/v) agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide (Sigma). Bands were visualised by UVP transilluminator and analysed using the ScanImage software package (ScanImage Corp, USA). The sVEGFR-1 band was sequenced and confirmed as specific for the soluble and not membrane associated receptor.

Statistical analysis
The presence or absence of detectable sVEGFR-1 between patients with ARDS, those at risk, and normal patient groups were analysed using Fisher’s exact test. Linear relations between bioactive VEGF, total VEGF, detectable sVEGFR-1, and severity markers (Murray lung injury score, P:F ratio, APACHE II, SAPS II) were tested using Pearson’s correlation coefficient on log transformed data. Data on differences between receptor positive and receptor negative groups were of a non-normal distribution and were analysed using the Mann-Whitney U test. Data on differences between ELF and plasma sVEGFR-1 were normally distributed and analysed using the paired sample t test.

RESULTS
Demographic characteristics of patients
Bronchoalveolar lavage was performed in 41 patients within 48 hours of the onset of ALI/ARDS and a repeat bronchoscopy was performed in 17 patients 4 days after the onset of ARDS. Bioactive VEGF data alone from seven of the patients has been reported previously.12 Twelve patients at risk of ARDS and 16 normal volunteers were recruited as controls. The factors predisposing the “at risk” group to ARDS were sepsis (n = 7), trauma (n = 1), and pneumonia (n = 4). Three of the “at risk” patients had pneumonia and a P:F ratio of <40 kPa but only unilateral infiltrates on the chest radiograph. Lavage was performed on the contralateral side to the infiltrates in these patients. Detailed demographic characteristics of the patients are shown in table 1. The mean age of the normal volunteers was 62 (range 25–77).

sVEGFR-1 in ELF of ARDS patients
sVEGFR-1 was detectable in the BAL fluid of 48% (20/41) of patients with ALI/ARDS on day 1 (0–48 hours) (ELF concentration range 1.4–54.8 ng/ml) compared with 8%
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Table 1  Demographic characteristics of patients (according to GOCA stratification24)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ARDS (n = 41)</th>
<th>At risk (n = 12)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gas exchange</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) P:F ratio (kPa)</td>
<td>16.8 (8.2)</td>
<td>39.9 (10.4)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO2/FIO2 &gt;40 kPa</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO2/FIO2 13.46-26.6 kPa</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous breathing, no PEEP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assisted breathing, PEEP 0–5 cm H2O</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assisted breathing, PEEP 6–10 cm H2O</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assisted breathing, PEEP &gt;10 cm H2O</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organ failure (non-pulmonary)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 organ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 organ</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 organs</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 organs</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect lung injury</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct lung injury</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>62 (18–93)</td>
<td>68 (29–78)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APACHE II</td>
<td>22.1 (9.3)</td>
<td>21.23 (12.2)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAPS II</td>
<td>42.6 (15.8)</td>
<td>42.8 (14.3)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIS</td>
<td>2.7 (0.6)</td>
<td>1.35 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PEEP, positive end expiratory pressure; APACHE, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation; ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; LIS, lung injury score; SAPS, Simplified Acute Physiology Score.

Figure 1  sVEGFR-1 levels in the epithelial lining fluid of patients with ARDS.

(1/12) at risk patients (p = 0.017) and none (0/16) of the normal controls (p = 0.002). By day 4 sVEGFR-1 was detectable in only two out of 18 patients in the ARDS group (p = 0.008, fig 1). In ARDS patients with detectable sVEGFR-1 on day 1 there was a positive correlation with the P:F ratio (r = 0.466, p = 0.038) and a negative correlation with LIS (r = -0.430, p = 0.058). There were no linear relations with APACHE II or SAPS II scores. The survival of ARDS patients with or without detectable sVEGFR-1 on day 1 was similar in both groups (R negative 52.4%; R positive 30%).

Total and bioactive VEGF levels in ELF

Total VEGF levels in ELF were higher than bioactive VEGF levels at day 1 in all groups (table 2). There was a trend towards lower total VEGF levels in the ELF of ARDS patients (163.7 ng/ml) compared with at risk subjects (439.1 ng/ml, p = 0.07) and normal controls (234.9 ng/ml, p = 0.41). Total ELF levels of VEGF in ARDS patients on day 1 were associated with the APACHE II score (r = -0.624, p = 0.01) and the SAPS II score (r = -0.554, p = 0.026). There was an inverse correlation between total VEGF and protein permeability (r = -0.675, p = 0.023). No difference was found in total ELF levels of VEGF on days 1 or 4 and patient survival.

Consistent with our previous findings, ELF levels of bioactive VEGF were lower in ARDS patients than in those at risk or normal subjects (table 2). There was a moderate inverse correlation between LIS and bioactive VEGF levels (r = -0.44, p = 0.01). The protein permeability index showed an inverse correlation with bioactive VEGF levels (r = -0.59, p = 0.07). There was no difference in ELF levels of bioactive VEGF on days 1 or 4 and survival.

ARDS subjects with detectable sVEGFR-1 in ELF had lower median (IQR) levels of bioactive VEGF (1415.2 (474.9-3192.5) pg/ml) than those with no detectable receptor (4761.3 (3003.9–7596.6) pg/ml; median difference 3003.9 (95% CI 305.1 to 4711.9), fig 2). There were no linear associations between levels of total or bioactive VEGF and total sVEGFR-1. There was a moderate positive correlation between total VEGF and the ratio between bioactive VEGF and total sVEGFR-1 (r = 0.6; p = 0.04).

Relationship with total VEGF to sVEGFR-1 ratio

There was no association between the ratio of total VEGF to sVEGFR-1 and the LIS (r = 0.215, p = 0.1). There were significant inverse relationships between the ratio of total VEGF to sVEGFR-1 and the protein permeability index (r = -0.625, p = 0.04), APACHE II score (r = -0.6, p = 0.01), and the SAPS II score (r = -0.6, p = 0.016). There was a non-significant relationship between bioactive VEGF and the ratio of total VEGF to sVEGFR-1(r = 0.44, p = 0.1). There was no difference in the ratio of total VEGF to sVEGFR-1 between survivors and non-survivors.

Plasma levels of sVEGFR-1 in patients with ARDS

Mean (SD) ELF concentrations of sVEGFR-1 (8.9 (9.6) ng/ml) in patients with ARDS (p = 0.001, 95% CI of difference
3.9 to 13.5 ng/ml (fig 3). No sVEGFR-1 was detected in the plasma of normal volunteers.

**Production of sVEGFR-1 by BAL cells and effect of TNFα**

sVEGFR-1 was detected in the BAL fluid supernatants (n = 10) after culture for 24 hours in RPMI (median 1.2 (IQR 0.87–1.61) ng/ml). The concentration of sVEGFR-1 increased following incubation with TNFα to 1.83 (1.41–2.28) ng/ml, median difference 0.65 (95% CI 0.05 to 1.256), p = 0.044) but not in the presence of LPS (0.98 (0.63–1.38), p = 0.48).

**Expression of mRNA for sVEGFR-1 in BAL cells**

After finding sVEGFR-1 protein in the BAL fluid supernatants, we looked to see if mRNA for sVEGFR-1 was produced by BAL cells using RT-PCR. sVEGFR-1 expression was found in BAL cells from normal subjects, those at risk for ARDS, and ARDS patients (n = 14, fig 4). The expression was calculated as a percentage of the housekeeping gene GAPDH to standardise the samples; a range of 31–399% (mean (SE) 166 (23)% of GAPDH was found, indicating a heterogeneous population. We therefore split the patients into ARDS (n = 5), patients at risk of ARDS (n = 4) and normal subjects (n = 5). The highest mRNA level was found in patients with ARDS (mean (SE) 162 (60)%) compared with the normal group (86 (13)%) and at risk patients (97 (25)%), p = 0.1.

**Exogenous VEGF in BAL fluid**

Recovery of VEGF spiked into BAL fluid was significantly reduced in BAL fluid from patients with ARDS (recovery 80.1 (20.5)%), p < 0.001. Samples with detectable sVEGFR-1 had lower VEGF recovery than those with none detectable (72.1% (20.5)%, p = 0.001). VEGF recovery was significantly reduced in BAL fluid from patients with ARDS (recovery 80.1 (20.5)% compared with the normal group (86 (13)%), p < 0.001). Bioactive VEGF levels were lower in ARDS patients with detectable sVEGFR-1 in BAL fluid but there was no difference in total VEGF levels.

**DISCUSSION**

ARDS is characterised by intense inflammation in the alveolar space and the development of a high permeability pulmonary oedema. We initially reported increased levels of bioactive VEGF in the ELF of patients with ARDS. In the present study the finding of sVEGFR-1, a naturally occurring antagonist to VEGF bioactivity, in the ELF of patients with ARDS suggests a potential mechanism through which the bioactivity of VEGF may be regulated early in its course. Shortly after the initial descriptions of inflammatory cytokines in the alveolar space in ARDS, specific and non-specific cytokine antagonists that limit the biological effects of proinflammatory cytokines were discovered. It soon became clear that the net inflammatory balance was of greater physiological and clinical importance than individual cytokine concentrations. The tight regulation of the bioavailability of VEGF may be an important factor in the pathophysiology of ARDS. In adult mice transfection with an adenovirus vector containing VEGF 165 led to an increase in the expression of VEGF with a consequential increase in pulmonary capillary permeability and alveolar oedema. However, pretreatment of the mice with an adenovirus vector expressing a truncated soluble form of sVEGFR-1 abrogated completely the development of alveolar oedema in vivo, demonstrating the ability of sVEGFR-1 to effectively inhibit the function of excess VEGF. We have shown in vitro that plasma from human subjects with ARDS increases human pulmonary artery endothelial cell monolayer permeability by nearly 50% compared with control plasma. This effect was abolished by the addition of recombinant sVEGFR-1 to the plasma of ARDS patients. It is therefore clear that pulmonary sVEGFR-1 expression early in the course of ARDS may be a physiological response to limit functional increases in the permeability of the alveolar-capillary barrier induced by VEGF.

The novel finding of 50–100 fold higher total VEGF compared with bioactive VEGF in the ELF of patients with ARDS and postulated that VEGF was likely to play a prominent role in the development of non-cardiogenic pulmonary edema. Paradoxically, we and others have subsequently found reduced levels of bioactive VEGF in the ELF of patients with ARDS. This suggests that the bioactivity of VEGF may be regulated early in its course.

---

**Table 2 Total and bioactive VEGF levels in epithelial lining fluid (ELF)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Median (IQR) total VEGF (ng/ml)</th>
<th>Median (IQR) bioactive VEGF (ng/ml)</th>
<th>Median difference (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>234.9* (160.6–359.2)</td>
<td>6.52 (3.50–9.73)</td>
<td>228.38 (158.6 to 349.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At risk</td>
<td>439.1* (322.7–550.2)</td>
<td>5.01 (2.69–8.55)</td>
<td>434.1 (317.8 to 562.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARDS</td>
<td>163.7* (77.9–353.8)</td>
<td>2.68† (0.82–5.90)</td>
<td>161.02 (107.4 to 259.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.001 v ELF levels of bioactive VEGF.
† p < 0.05 v ELF levels of bioactive VEGF in at risk and normal subjects.

Bioactive VEGF levels were lower in ARDS patients with detectable sVEGFR-1 in BAL fluid but there was no difference in total VEGF levels.
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In parallel with this observation, the findings in VEGF has been identified as an independent predictor of primary breast cancer where the ratio of tissue sVEGFR-1 to VEGF levels has also been reported in placental tissue and at lower concentrations in our group designated receptor we cannot be sure that the soluble receptor was not present explanation could be that the lower limit of detection for patients with no detectable sVEGFR-1. One potential VEGF recovery was incomplete even in BAL fluid from patients with ARDS had detectable soluble receptor in the ELF and VEGF to total sVEGFR-1. However, only half our patients correlation between total VEGF and the ratio of bioactive patient group. This is supported by the spiking experiments with BAL fluid and VEGF and our finding of a positive bioactivity of VEGF is important in ARDS. The presence of sVEGFR-1 in the ELF of patients with ARDS provides one potential explanation for reduced bioactive VEGF in this patient group. This is supported by the spiking experiments with BAL fluid and VEGF and our finding of a positive correlation between total VEGF and the ratio of bioactive VEGF to total sVEGFR-1. However, only half our patients with ARDS had detectable soluble receptor in the ELF and VEGF recovery was incomplete even in BAL fluid from patients with no detectable sVEGFR-1. One potential explanation could be that the lower limit of detection for the sVEGFR-1 ELISA we used was 80 pg/ml. Consequently, we cannot be sure that the soluble receptor was not present at lower concentrations in our group designated receptor negative.

The local production of sVEGFR-1 in tissues with high VEGF levels has also been reported in placental tissue and primary breast cancer where the ratio of tissue sVEGFR-1 to VEGF has been identified as an independent predictor of outcome. In parallel with this observation, the findings in the present study of significant correlations between the ratio of sVEGFR-1 to total VEGF and disease severity indices supports our hypothesis that sVEGFR-1 may be important in regulating the biological function of VEGF in ARDS. The finding of mRNA and sVEGFR-1 protein from BAL cell supernatants demonstrates one source for alveolar sVEGFR-1 production in ARDS. This is consistent with our finding of increased levels of sVEGFR-1 in ELF compared with plasma. This apparent compartmentalisation of sVEGFR-1 within the lung may be important for the local regulation of bioactive VEGF since ELF levels of VEGF are also several times higher in the lung than in plasma.

The disappearance of the soluble receptor from the ELF in all but two patients by day 4 of ARDS suggests that the upregulation of sVEGFR-1 is limited to the early phases of the condition. This is consistent with our previous finding that bioactive VEGF levels tended to increase in resolving lung injury by day 4. These changes may be important since several studies have recently suggested a beneficial role for VEGF in tissue repair and proliferation. Ohwada has shown that VEGF can restore the ability of acid injured A549 cells (human alveolar cell line) to proliferate. In animal models of emphysema, blocking the function of VEGF has been shown to result in an increase in markers of oxidative stress, alveolar enlargement, and alveolar cell apoptosis. VEGF also plays an important role in maintaining endothelial cell survival in vitro and in vivo. The early downregulation of sVEGFR-1 and the increase in bioactive VEGF may therefore be important for alveolar repair after the initial inflammatory insult. In the present study the number of patients who underwent sequential lavage did not allow us to explore this hypothesis further.

We have not ruled out the possibility that other mechanisms may operate in parallel with sVEGFR-1 production to reduce bioactive VEGF in the lung. There may be other inhibitors to VEGF bioactivity within the lung. ADAMTS1, an extracellular matrix protease, has been shown to inhibit VEGF function by reversibly binding with VEGF and blocking VEGFR-2 phosphorylation leading to the suppression of endothelial cell proliferation in vitro. Connective tissue growth factor (CTGF) also inhibits VEGF binding to VEGF in vitro by 30% and reduced the angiogenic effects of VEGF in an in vivo mouse angiogenesis model. Increased VEGF degradation could also explain low levels of VEGF in ELF. Bhattacharjee et al have recently shown that α2-macroglobulin, an important proteinase inhibitor, can bind to VEGF resulting in an increase in receptor mediated internalisation and degradation via the α2-macroglobulin receptors on macrophages. Although α2-macroglobulin is usually confined to the intravascular compartment, breakdown of the alveolar capillary barrier leads to extravasation of this protein into the alveolar space in ARDS where it could potentially stimulate an increase in VEGF degradation.

In our initial study we hypothesised that the paradox of high plasma VEGF levels but low alveolar levels of VEGF in ARDS could be explained by the loss of VEGF compartmentalisation in the lung due to breakdown of the alveolar capillary barrier. In the present study we investigated the protein permeability index and found an inverse correlation with bioactive VEGF levels. This supports the hypothesis that the increased alveolar-capillary permeability found in ARDS may contribute to the loss of VEGF compartmentalisation within the lung. It is thus possible that the activity and
function of VEGF within the lung is modulated through several different and independent pathways.

In conclusion, this study has shown a new mechanism for the reduced bioactive levels of VEGF seen in patients with ARDS. sVEGFR-1 levels are higher in the ELF than in plasma in patients with ARDS, suggesting that there is an intrapulmonary source of sVEGFR-1. We also found that BAL cells from patients with ARDS expressed mRNA and produced protein for the receptor, and would appear to be a source for the soluble receptor within the lung. Further research into the source, regulation, and effects of sVEGFR-1 in ARDS is required. It is as yet unclear whether pulmonary sVEGFR-1 expression is the mechanism for reduced bioactive VEGF levels seen in other lung diseases associated with epithelial damage.
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