Pulmonary hypertension frequently complicates mitral stenosis. Increased pulmonary artery pressure results from raised left atrial pressure, pulmonary arteriolar constriction, and obliterator changes in the pulmonary vascular bed, and usually responds to surgical relief of mitral stenosis. However, severe pulmonary hypertension may persist after surgical treatment of mitral stenosis. We describe a patient whose severe pulmonary hypertension following mitral valve replacement was treated successfully with continuous intravenous epoprostenol.

**CASE REPORT**

A 46 year old woman, diagnosed at the age of 11 with acute rheumatic fever, underwent placement of a #26 Duran ring for mitral regurgitation in 1991. Pulmonary artery pressures were normal although mild mitral stenosis was noted postoperatively. She was lost to follow up.

In 1999 she sought medical attention because of dyspnoea and orthopnoea. Cardiac catheterisation showed severe pulmonary hypertension (mean pulmonary artery pressure 48 mm Hg) with a 14 mm Hg gradient across the mitral valve (pulmonary capillary wedge pressure 18 mm Hg). In August 1999 she underwent mitral valve replacement with a 23 mm St Jude prosthesis. Postoperative transoesophageal echocardiography showed good function of the new prosthetic valve, but severe pulmonary hypertension persisted.

In June 2001 she again sought medical attention because of dyspnoea with the slightest activity. Echocardiography showed a normally functioning prosthetic mitral valve and severe pulmonary hypertension. Diagnostic workup did not suggest another aetiology for pulmonary hypertension. Cardiac catheterisation showed severe pulmonary hypertension without evidence of mitral stenosis (table 1). Continuous intravenous epoprostenol (Flolan) was begun. Over the next 4 months the dose was increased from 7 ng/kg/min to 23 ng/kg/min and the patient noted improvement in her exercise capacity. Six and 17 months after beginning epoprostenol repeat right heart catheterisation showed significant and sustained reductions of pulmonary artery pressure and pulmonary vascular resistance (table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

Pulmonary hypertension is a common complication of severe mitral valve disease. Raised pulmonary artery pressure results initially from increased left atrial pressure, pulmonary arteriolar vasoconstriction, and ultimately obliterator changes in the pulmonary vascular bed. Wood studied 500 cases of critical mitral stenosis, 12% of whom had extremely high pulmonary vascular resistance (>10 units) and 16% in whom it was moderately high (6–10 units). Injection of 1 mg acetylcholine lowered pulmonary artery pressures in 14 of 16 cases, but in two cases with extremely high pulmonary vascular resistance there was no response, presumably because of obliterator pulmonary vascular disease.

Mitral valve replacement generally alleviates pulmonary hypertension, but a small subgroup of patients have persistent severe pulmonary hypertension in spite of relief of mitral stenosis by closed valvuloplasty or mitral valve replacement. Other patients with extreme preoperative increases in pulmonary vascular resistance do not survive valvuloplasty or valve replacement because of pulmonary vascular disease. Failure to respond to surgical relief of mitral stenosis often reflects pathological changes in the pulmonary arteries that resemble those seen with other causes of pulmonary arterial hypertension. Excessive thickening of the media and intimal fibrosis of small muscular pulmonary arteries are typical of long standing mitral valvuloplasty or valve replacement because of pulmonary vascular disease.

**Table 1** Haemodynamic variables 2 years after mitral valve replacement (baseline) and after long term epoprostenol treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>6 months*</th>
<th>17 months†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean systemic arterial pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean right atrial pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean pulmonary artery pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac output (l/min)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary artery occlusion pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14‡</td>
<td>18‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular end diastolic pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary vascular resistance (dyne.s/cm5)</td>
<td>1560</td>
<td>1072</td>
<td>999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Epoprostenol dose 24 ng/kg/min.
†Epoprostenol dose 26 ng/kg/min.
‡Thrombosis of the anterior leaflet of the St Jude valve led to mild increases in pulmonary artery occlusion pressure at 6 months and 17 months after epoprostenol was started.
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The distinction between pulmonary hypertension complicating mitral stenosis and primary pulmonary hypertension is difficult, particularly when the pulmonary artery wedge pressure is only modestly raised. Sometimes there are clues—such as the identification of another family member with primary pulmonary hypertension—which help to distinguish these two conditions but, in spite of a careful search, we were unable to identify such a clue in this case. We cannot exclude the possibility that the patient represents the extremely unusual coexistence of primary pulmonary hypertension with mitral stenosis, although this possibility seems less likely in the setting of established mitral stenosis.

The present case illustrates a favourable response to epoprostenol of a patient with severe pulmonary hypertension following successful mitral valve replacement. Epoprostenol improves survival as well as haemodynamics in primary pulmonary hypertension, although the exact mechanism for this effect remains uncertain. The haemodynamic response of our patient was typical of the response described for patients with primary pulmonary hypertension, and suggests that epoprostenol may have a role in the treatment of patients with sustained pulmonary hypertension after successful mitral valve replacement.
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Does a raised pro-BNP level in pleural fluid aid the diagnosis of effusion due to heart failure?

Diagnosis of effusion due to heart failure

Blood levels of B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) are increased in heart failure. The aim of this study was to assess the usefulness of BNP measurements in pleural fluid from patients with and without heart failure. The pro-BNP concentration was measured in 117 pleural fluid samples taken from patients with heart failure, malignant effusions, tuberculous pleurisy, parapneumonic effusions, hepatic hydrothorax, and effusions secondary to pulmonary embolism. In patients with heart failure the median level of pro-BNP was significantly higher than in all other groups (p<0.001). A pleural fluid BNP concentration of ≥1500 pg/ml had a sensitivity of 91% and specificity of 93% for the diagnosis of cardiac failure and, furthermore, a high pro-BNP level helped to correctly identify those heart failure patients, mostly on diuretics, who were classed as having exudates according to Light’s criteria.

There are, however, limitations to the study. It is not reported whether pro-BNP levels were more predictive of heart failure than clinical variables such as a history of myocardial infarction, and it did not compare the pleural pro-BNP concentration with plasma BNP levels. Further studies are required to clarify the clinical usefulness of pleural fluid BNP assay.
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