Cough remains the most common reason for patients to seek medical attention. In the last 30 years there have been considerable advances in our understanding of the mechanisms and management of cough. Basic science has combined closely with clinical practice and the pharmaceutical industry to develop new diagnostic and therapeutic strategies. Currently, self-medication with over the counter antitussives probably remains the best approach for a cough associated with upper respiratory tract infection, despite doubts as to their efficacy. However, the management of chronic cough remains a challenge for the clinician.

Chronic cough as the only symptom can account for 10% of all referrals made to general respiratory clinics. The treatment success in such clinics with no established diagnostic protocol is poor, contrasting with the excellent treatment responses reported by specialist cough clinics. However, a careful review of reports detailing experience from a number of well established cough clinics has indicated some variance in diagnostic approach and treatment success.

A number of factors may account for the discrepancy between units and include differences in how diagnoses are established and some variation in the study populations. In both North America and Europe guidelines have now been drawn up and recommendations made on the management of chronic cough. Despite this, no completely satisfactory agreement on the diagnostic approach and treatment of cough exists. The main problem centres on provision of guidelines, which consider the availability of diagnostic tests to the general and specialist physician in both hospital and general practice. Recently, questions have arisen over the cost effectiveness of diagnostic protocols which involve an elaborate series of laboratory investigations. A number of recent studies have suggested that trials of empirical treatment for chronic cough offered a more cost beneficial option than laboratory testing. It is therefore timely to review the investigations currently available, to highlight those which are most useful, and to consider the future role of new tools in the evaluation of cough.

**DIAGNOSTIC STRATEGIES FOR CHRONIC COUGH**

Chronic cough has been defined as one lasting more than 3 weeks, although a cough following an upper respiratory tract infection may persist for considerably longer. It is therefore more satisfactory to define chronic cough as one lasting more than 8 weeks and to recognise an overlap period of 3–8 weeks.

Current diagnostic protocols for chronic cough have been based on the work of Irwin and colleagues first reported over 20 years ago. The foundation for this approach was an appreciation of the anatomical sites of receptors comprising the afferent limb of the human cough reflex. This approach has been termed the “anatomic diagnostic protocol”. Using a combination of history, physical examination, and laboratory investigations directed at these sites, possible causes for the cough were considered. The suspected cause could then be confirmed if the cough resolved or significantly improved after a trial of diagnosis specific treatment. The main findings from the work of this group can be summarised as follows:

- By following the anatomic diagnostic protocol, a cause for cough can be found in almost all cases (>90%).
- Asthma, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, and rhinitis are responsible for most cases of chronic cough in the non-smoker.
- Combination therapy may be required as persistent cough may have more than one cause (multiple causes in up to 40% of cases).

A number of subsequent prospective studies in both community and hospital settings using modifications of the protocol have extended these observations. The key findings are as follows:

- Systematic evaluation remains the most effective approach to chronic cough.
- Asthma, postnasal drip syndrome, and gastro-oesophageal reflux remain the most important causes of chronic cough to consider.
- Chronic cough may be simultaneously caused by more than one condition (19–62% of cases).
- Empirical treatment can be substituted for specific diagnostic testing.
• Eosinophilic bronchitis may account for up to 15% of cough patients being referred for specialist attention.2,11
• Despite comprehensive evaluation and treatment, up to 20% of patients remain symptomatic.8,11

MAKING THE DIAGNOSIS
The most challenging patient is one who has coughed for many years, has never smoked, is not taking an angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor, has no abnormal findings on physical examination, and has a normal chest radiograph. Diagnostic protocols are most relevant for this group of patients, although the best combination of diagnostic testing and trials of empirical treatment is unknown.

History and examination
A careful history and thorough physical examination remains the first step in the evaluation of a patient with chronic cough. It is unlikely that any single feature at this stage is likely to clinch the diagnosis. Reliance on the characteristics and associated symptoms of the cough can be misleading—that is, symptoms of postnasal drip may reflect only co-existent rhinitis and the absence of dyspepsia does not rule out reflux as the cause of the cough. The predictive values of cough characteristics identified from the history have been calculated and are shown in table 1.11

The finding of wheeze or crackles on chest auscultation, or inflamed and obstructed nasal passages on inspection of the upper airway will influence the subsequent investigation or choice of treatment. More commonly, though, the examination is entirely normal.

CLINICAL INVESTIGATIONS
A number of baseline investigations which should be performed routinely and a range of more complex tests often limited by availability may be requested. An overview of these is presented, with the most valuable aspects of the individual tests highlighted.

Chest radiograph
Patients referred to specialist cough clinics most commonly have a normal chest radiograph. However, review of a recent film is mandatory as any significant abnormality will alter the diagnostic algorithm and avoid unnecessary investigation.

Spirometric and peak expiratory flow measurements
Baseline spirometric results are likely to be normal in patients referred for specialist opinion. Spirometry is available in most hospitals and in an increasing number of primary care clinics. When available, it should be performed with reversibility testing in all patients with chronic cough. Peak expiratory flow (PEF) measurement in patients with persistent cough presenting in primary care has focused on the diagnosis of airflow obstruction. For this purpose it may have some merit, but the use of PEF measurements to assess bronchodilator response appears to have limitations compared with conventional measurements of forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1).12 The value of serial PEF measurements for determining diurnal variability has not been properly assessed and is infrequently requested by specialist cough clinics.

Bronchoprovocation testing
Bronchial challenge testing can provide very useful clinical information about patients with chronic cough. Virtually every diagnostic protocol for cough uses direct challenge methods (usually histamine or methacholine) and this review will be restricted to these. Bronchial hyperreactivity in a patient with cough and normal spirometric measurements raises the possibility of cough variant asthma. However, a definitive diagnosis cannot be made until the cough responds to specific asthma treatment. Airway hyperreactivity may develop during an acute viral respiratory illness and may persist for some months afterwards.20 Cough may persist for many weeks following an upper respiratory tract infection and a positive challenge test in this circumstance may be diagnostically misleading. Airway hyperreactivity to methacholine has also been found in patients with reflux oesophagitis but no respiratory complaints.21 A negative bronchial challenge effectively excludes asthma as the diagnosis but does not eliminate a cough that may respond to steroid treatment. Particular interest has focused on patients with chronic cough and an eosinophilic bronchitis but with none of the airway dysfunction typically associated with asthma.12 22 23 A negative bronchial challenge may therefore prompt the clinician to assess the airway (most commonly using induced sputum) for evidence of an eosinophilia. Cough associated with non-asthmatic eosinophilic bronchitis has been discussed in an earlier review in this series.24

Upper airway provocation studies
Extrathoracic airway dysfunction may account for asthma-like symptoms in particular cough. Extrathoracic airway responsiveness can be assessed by recording the maximal inspiratory flow-volume curve during conventional bronchial challenge testing.25 Extrathoracic airway hyperresponsiveness in the absence of bronchial hyperresponsiveness may be an indicator of upper airway disease as a cause for cough. Using flow-volume loops, variable extrathoracic upper airway obstruction has been observed in a series of patients with cough due to postnasal drip syndrome which improved with treatment.26 Precise interpretation of extrathoracic reactivity is difficult and the test is likely to remain primarily a research tool.

Sinus imaging
The appropriate role and timing of sinus imaging in patients with chronic cough has yet to be established. It should follow ear, nose and throat inspection and may identify abnormalities not appreciated on examination. In a prospective study of patients with chronic cough, abnormalities of the plain sinus radiograph were identified in only 29% of patient diagnosed with cough due to sinusitis.27 Computed tomographic (CT) imaging of the sinuses in children with chronic cough revealed abnormalities in two thirds of cases. However, no correlation was found between any of the findings and the child’s cough.28 In a prospective evaluation of a diagnostic protocol for cough which included routine CT sinus imaging, the predictive value of the scan was no better than ear, nose and throat examination in accurately identifying upper airway disease.11

---

### Table 1: Predictive value of symptom characteristics obtained from history

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>No with positive history</th>
<th>No correctly identified</th>
<th>Positive predictive value (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asthma (nocturnal cough, precipitated by cold air, exercise, aerosols)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNDS (throat clearing, sensation of postnasal drip, nasal discharge, previous sinusitis)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GORD (dyspepsia, cough worse after meals)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PNDS = postnasal drip syndrome; GORD = gastro-oesophageal reflux disease.

Reproduced from McGarvey et al1 with permission.
Plain sinus radiographs therefore are of little value in the evaluation of chronic cough, and sinus CT scanning should be reserved for refractory cases which may require surgical intervention.

**Gastrointestinal investigations**

The association between gastro-oesophageal reflux and chronic cough has been comprehensively reviewed in a previous article in this series. The salient points relevant to evaluating reflux cough in the outpatient setting are presented here. An empirical trial of antireflux therapy may be the best first line approach for patients with cough and heartburn. However, as cough may be the only clinical manifestation of gastro-oesophageal reflux or if a therapeutic trial fails, laboratory investigations may be required. Barium swallow has low sensitivity and specificity and is of little value in the initial evaluation of chronic cough. Although not always available, 24 hour oesophageal pH monitoring is currently the best single test to help characterise any link between gastro-oesophageal reflux and cough. Dual probe pH studies are now commonly used and have extended our knowledge of the site and extent of reflux disease. A review of dual probe data in cough patients found that reflux to the proximal probe is an uncommon event, although reflux to the hypopharynx and beyond (laryngopharyngeal reflux) certainly does occur in some patients and may be the reason for the cough. However, 24 hour oesophageal pH monitoring is not without limitations and no consensus exists as to how best to define the temporal association between a cough and a reflux episode or to identify individuals most likely to benefit from antireflux therapy. There needs to be some caution in the interpretation of a negative pH profile. In one recent series, patients who continued to cough despite complete acid suppression as shown by oesophageal pH monitoring had resolution of their symptom after antireflux surgery. There are a number of explanations for this, but the most plausible is that, in some patients, non-acid refluxate may be of equal importance in the pathogenesis of cough. Up to one third of reflux episodes in patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease are non-acid, and a promising development has been the simultaneous intraoesophageal impedance and pH measurement of acid and non-acid reflux events. This technique should differentiate better the role of non-acid refluxate in the mediation of cough and extend our understanding of the role of gastro-oesophageal reflux in the pathogenesis of many airway diseases.

**High resolution computed tomography (CT) of the thorax**

A high resolution CT scan may identify parenchymal lung disease not apparent on the chest radiograph. In a prospective study of patients with chronic cough, all of whom were undergoing a complex diagnostic protocol which included a high resolution CT scan of the chest, bronchiectasis was identified in nearly a quarter of cases. It was not clear from the study if this diagnosis could have been made from the history, examination and/or chest radiograph alone. Targeted use in patients with chest radiographic abnormalities and/or clinical findings on chest examination has a diagnostic yield of about 17%. In summary, there is no role for thoracic CT scanning in the routine evaluation of chronic cough.

**Bronchoscopy**

The diagnostic yield from bronchoscopy in the routine evaluation of chronic cough is low, about 5%. This reflects the low frequency of smokers and radiograph abnormalities among patients seeking medical attention for their cough. In spite of this, bronchoscopy has significant diagnostic potential in selected patients where the more common causes have been rigorously excluded. In a review of such patients referred with refractory cough, bronchoscopic diagnoses were found in a quarter of cases and included broncholithiasis, tracheobronchopathia, and laryngeal dyskinesia. Aspirated foreign bodies may go unrecognised for prolonged periods of time, although bronchoscopy is probably only indicated when there is a clue in the medical history. Fibreoptic bronchoscopy provides the opportunity for airway sampling either by mucosal biopsy or bronchial lavage, although this approach has been largely replaced by non-invasive techniques.

**ASSESSING AIRWAY INFLAMMATION**

**Induced sputum**

A number of groups have recently adapted the conventional diagnostic strategies for chronic cough to include induced sputum. The key aspect has been the demonstration of an airway eosinophilia in patients without functional abnormalities, in particular bronchial hyperreactivity associated with asthma. Eosinophilic bronchitis without asthma as a cause for chronic cough was first described by Gibson et al. A sputum eosinophil count of more than 3% has been suggested as indicative of eosinophilic bronchitis, and the condition has now been added to the spectrum of diseases that cause chronic cough, accounting for up to 15% of cases referred for specialist attention. Although debate remains as to whether eosinophilic bronchitis exists as a separate diagnostic entity, the finding of a sputum eosinophilia has important treatment implications with high success rates following inhaled corticosteroid therapy. Ideally, induced sputum should be requested after exclusion of the other common causes and after demonstration of normal airway hyperresponsiveness (provocative concentration of methacholine or histamine producing a 20% decrease in FEV1 (PC20) >16 mg/ml). Unfortunately, induced sputum is not widely available outside specialist centres and is unlikely to be routinely incorporated into diagnostic protocols for cough. In primary care and in general medical clinics, empirical trials of inhaled corticosteroids are still commonly used for chronic cough, which further obscures the true prevalence of eosinophilic bronchitis and its distinction from asthma.

**Exhaled breath**

Exhaled nitric oxide (NO) levels appear to be lower in non-asthmatic coughers, allowing some differentiation from asthmatic cough. Exhaled NO may represent a simpler alternative to induced sputum tests, but currently it has no clear diagnostic role in the management of chronic cough. The measurement of many different inflammatory molecules in breath condensate, although currently a research procedure, may have a place in the diagnosis of chronic cough in the future.

**Inhalation cough challenge**

Patients with persistent cough have a hypersensitive cough reflex to a range of noxious agents which diminishes with successful treatment. Citric acid and capsaicin are the two most widely used in cough challenge testing, although others include tartaric acid and ultrasonically nebulised distilled water. The methodology involved is similar to bronchial provocation testing but, in contrast to these inhalation tests, no agreed universal standards for cough challenge testing exist. The particular value of cough reflex testing is in assessing the efficacy of antitussive therapy. There are no discriminating features on cough challenge testing which are likely to be of diagnostic value, and hence its place outside a research capacity is likely to remain limited.
Cough recording
Interest in cough monitoring has concentrated mainly on developing an objective means of measuring cough frequency and assessing severity. Differences in the characteristics of the cough sound and flow pattern between asthma, bronchitis, and interstitial fibrosis have been reported. Analysis of overnight cough recording determined differences in character and intensity of cough sounds between patients with cystic fibrosis and cryptogenic fibrosing alveolitis. These observations open the possibility of using cough monitoring as a diagnostic tool. Ambulatory devices offer the best opportunity for this, but cost and portability together with insufficient computer memory have been the major limitations. Current advances in technology should resolve these problems and widen the opportunity to record cough accurately.

Psychological assessment
Psychogenic cough can be included in the range of recognised functional respiratory disorders. In children a characteristic “honking” or barking sound is described and is often attributed to a “habit cough”. However, a more complex “cough tic” disorder may underlie the problem.

Psychogenic cough in an adult patient should only be considered following thorough exclusion of a physical cause. The value of a prompt and accurate diagnosis is manifest in the successful outcomes reported after psychotherapy. As cough is known to have an adverse impact on the quality of an individual’s life (in particular psychosocial aspects), cough specific quality of life questionnaires have been developed. Although not diagnostic, such instruments provide a measurement of the size and nature of this effect.

EMPIRICAL TREATMENT
Empirical treatment can be thought of as a “diagnostic trial” in patients with cough. Patients reporting symptoms suggestive of gastro-oesophageal reflux or postnasal drip syndrome should first be offered a trial of empirical treatment. In a placebo controlled trial in patients with cough associated with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, omeprazole 40 mg daily for 8 weeks produced an effective and sustained improvement in symptoms and quality of life. Despite compliance with adequate dosing for a sufficient duration, treatment have yet to be established. If the cough persists despite compliance with adequate dosing for a sufficient duration, laboratory investigations in that area are not likely to be helpful.

CONCLUSIONS
A systematic approach to diagnosis and treatment remains the most effective way to manage chronic cough. Important questions remain as to the complexity and cost effectiveness of existing diagnostic algorithms. The baseline investigations that should be available in all or almost all patients with persistent cough are a chest radiograph and spirometric tests. The choice of additional tests will be determined by the clinician’s view of the individual case and what laboratory investigations are available. The appraisal of current diagnostic protocols has highlighted the limitations of some of the constituent investigations. In particular, while a negative bronchoprovocation test rules out asthma, it does not exclude a steroid responsive cough. Similarly, a negative 24 hour oesophageal pH probe suggests acid reflux is not the cause of cough, but it does not rule out non-acid reflux. Current investigations therefore need to be further refined and more emphasis should be placed on the diagnostic role of empirical trials of treatment. Future efforts should be directed at designing more reliable and precise tests. Some promising developments in the near future may well improve our diagnostic approach to this common and debilitating symptom.
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Doubling the dose of inhaled corticosteroid for asthma exacerbations does not decrease subsequent use of systemic steroids


This blinded trial with concealed randomisation compared doubling the dose of inhaled corticosteroid for 14 days with continuing the same dose when asthma symptoms or peak flow worsened in patients monitoring themselves daily at home. The 390 patients enrolled had been given oral corticosteroid or transiently doubled their inhaled corticosteroid dose in the past year for worsening asthma, but had been stable for at least 2 weeks before entry to the study. All patients were using an inhaled corticosteroid, with most using a low or moderate dose. They were randomised to receive a study inhaler, identical in appearance to their usual steroid inhaler, which contained either further steroid or placebo. During the 1 year study period 53% of patients started their study inhaler due to worsening asthma, and 11% in the treatment group versus 12% in the placebo group started oral prednisolone because of a fall in peak flow of >40%, subjectively worsening asthma, or on advice of their general practitioner (p = 0.8). There was still no difference in oral steroid use when analysed among those who used the study inhaler (per protocol analysis) or among those in the subgroups on low/moderate versus high dose inhaled corticosteroid at baseline.

While this study does not lend support for the practice of patients doubling their inhaled corticosteroid for worsening asthma as part of their self-management plan, it also does not definitively refute it. Despite being a large trial, it was significantly underpowered to detect what could be a clinically important reduction in systemic steroid use because of the low event rate.
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