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LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Predictors of therapy resistant asthma

We read with interest the report by Heaney et al that the use of a systematic protocol for therapy resistant asthma resulted in control of asthma in 53% of patients who were previously poorly controlled. However, we suspect that a significant proportion of the remaining 47% of patients who were classified as therapy resistant asthma actually had underlying gastro-oesophageal reflux disease which was either not adequately investigated (by 24 hour pH monitoring alone) or, once diagnosed, was not adequately treated (with standard dose proton pump inhibitor).

A large northern European study of 2661 subjects found that people with gastro-oesophageal reflux had a significantly higher rate of physician diagnosed current asthma and that those with reflux and asthma had more nocturnal cough, morning phlegm, sleep related symptoms, and more peak flow variability than those with asthma alone. Pathological gastro-oesophageal reflux, which is often clinically silent, has been found on pH monitoring in 53–65% of asthmatics and has been shown in various studies to cause increased airway hyperresponsiveness, increased airway hyperreactivity, increased respiratory symptoms, and increased respiratory symptoms. In the case of chronic cough, gastro-oesophageal reflux should be sought if the patient is one of the most frequent underlying causes.

Heaney et al state that 17 patients with positive oesophageal pH monitoring were classified as having therapy resistant asthma because the respiratory symptoms did not improve with standard dose proton pump inhibitors. However, proton pump inhibitors have only a minor effect on the reflux of gastric contents; they alter the pH of the refluxate. This mode of action is effective in diseases such as oesophagitis where acid plays a vital role in pathogenesis. However, in airways disease non-acid reflux may be a major problem. It therefore seems surprising that other anti-reflux treatments such as alginates were not tried in this group of patients who had refractory respiratory symptoms and no other identifiable cause.
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Authors’ reply

In response to the letter from Professor Morice and colleagues, we welcome the growing interest in the role of the oesophagolung axis as evidenced by recent publications by both them and us in this journal.1 We agree with many of their comments regarding the frequent coinciding of gastro-oesophageal reflux and asthma, but we would suggest that this is not necessarily imply a causal association.

Four issues of substance arise from their letter concerning our paper:

• Were our subjects adequately investigated?
• What is the role of oesophageal dysmotility?
• Were they adequately treated?
• Was our definition of therapy resistant asthma appropriate?

Regarding oesophageal investigation, we would maintain that ambulatory pH monitoring remains the single best test with regard to sensitivity and specificity in the diagnosis of gastro-oesophageal reflux.2,3 We therefore believe it highly unlikely that we failed to diagnose reflux in such a large percentage of patients resistant to therapy (12 of 29 (41%) had pH profiles within normal limits). In addition, our pH probes are placed manometrically and all our subjects underwent a limited manometric study (limited in that, after assessment of the lower oesophageal sphincter, if five water bolus swallows were normal we did not proceed to the full 10 swallows). In only one subject was an abnormality detected (that patient was in the therapy responsive group and the diagnosis was previously unsuspected achalasia). We do not believe this supports a prominent role for undiagnosed oesophageal motility disorders in the therapy resistant group.

The authors suggest that inadequate acid suppression may relate to resistance to treatment. We did not repeat oesophageal pH monitoring when patients were treated with standard dose proton pump inhibitors
We believe that the two conditions commonly occur together and this is supported by the high prevalence of gastro-oesophageal reflux in our patients with difficult asthma. However, we did not find any difference in the prevalence of gastro-oesophageal reflux between subjects whose asthma improved with detailed investigation and management and those without effective asthma. This suggests that, while gastro-oesophageal reflux is common in difficult asthma, its pro-active identification and treatment with proton pump inhibitors does not relate to asthma outcome.
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FENO as a diagnostic tool in paediatric asthma

Malmberg and colleagues reported the robust discriminatory properties of exhaled nitric oxide (FE\textsubscript{NO}) for asthma in a paediatric population, but also noted that 29% of the subjects studied could not perform the manoeuvres necessary for online NO measurements at a target expiratory flow rate of 50 ml/s.\textsuperscript{3,4} These results are consistent with those reported by Canady and colleagues who noted that 24% of children studied could not perform online NO analysis.\textsuperscript{5} We studied healthy and asthmatic adults and found a similarly robust ability of NO to discriminate between those with and without asthma with online technique and flow rate of 50 ml/s (area under ROC curve 0.84).\textsuperscript{1} Importantly, we also found that these discriminatory properties were not diminished when simpler offline collection techniques or faster, more tolerable, expiratory flow rates were used (areas under ROC curve 0.79–0.86).\textsuperscript{1} If NO measurements are to gain acceptance for identifying children with asthma, use offline techniques with faster expiratory flow rates may be preferred.
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Author’s reply

We appreciate Dr Deykin’s comments regarding our recent study comparing the diagnostic power of exhaled nitric oxide (FE\textsubscript{NO}) and the oscillometric assessment of airflow limitation for asthma in preschool children.\textsuperscript{1} We are pleased to learn that our results of the robust discriminatory properties of FE\textsubscript{NO} are consistent with his findings in healthy and asthmatic adults.\textsuperscript{2} Importantly, Dr Deykin also mentions the feasibility problems of standard online FE\textsubscript{NO} measurements in young children which we and others have found in a series of preschool children. As discussed in our paper,\textsuperscript{3} the standard online technique requires considerable cooperation and, according to our experience, is rarely successful in children aged less than 4 years.

Dr Deykin’s proposal of using offline measurements has practical advantages over the standard technique which relate to the portability of the samples. However, because of the flow dependence of FE\textsubscript{NO}, standardisation of the flow rate is necessary even when using this technique, so the offline method may not be significantly easier for the child than the online method. In commercial equipment, dynamic resistors and biofeedback views on the computer screen may increase the feasibility of the measurements in young children, but there is still a need to develop new techniques and recommendations for the measurement of FE\textsubscript{NO} in children of preschool age and in infants.\textsuperscript{4} The findings of Dr Deykin and colleagues that offline measurements, when controlled at low and faster flow rates, maintain good discriminatory properties for asthma are certainly important when such recommendations are to be updated. However, further studies are necessary to see whether these results can be extrapolated and applied to young children.
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